Ālayavijñāna

On the Origin and the Early Development of a Central Concept of Yogācāra Philosophy

STUDIA PHILOLOGICA BUDDHICA Monograph Series IVb

Ālayavijñāna

On the Origin and the Early Development of a Central Concept of Yogācāra Philosophy

Part II Notes, Bibliography and Indexes

Lambert Schmithausen

Tokyo
The International Institute for Buddhist Studies
of
The International College for Postgraduate Buddhist Studies
2007

Ālayavijñāna

On the Origin and the Early Development of a Central Concept of Yogācāra Philosophy

Part II
Notes, Bibliography and Indexes

Reprint with Addenda and Corrigenda

Lambert Schmithausen

Tokyo
The International Institute for Buddhist Studies
of
The International College for Postgraduate Buddhist Studies
2007

Published by the International Institute for Buddhist Studies of the ICPBS: 5-3-23 Toranomon, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0001, Japan

© Lambert Schmithausen 2007

First published 1987 Reprinted 2007 Printed in Japan by Bethel-Photo Printing Company, Tokyo

All rights reserved.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study, research, criticism or review, no part of the book may be reproduced or translated in any form, by print, photoprint, microform or any other means without written permission. Enquiries should be made to the publishers.

ISBN 978-4-906267-56-9

Correspondence regarding all editorial matters should be sent to the Director of the International Institute for Buddhist Studies in Tokyo.

Contents of Vol. II

Notes	243
Select Bibliography	571
I. Abbreviations	571
1. Original works	571
2. Periodicals, serial works, felicitation and commemoration volumes	58o
3. Other abbreviations	583
II. Modern works cited	585
Indexes	613
I. Word Index	615
I.1 Sanskrit and Pāli words	615
I.2 Tibetan words	657
I.3 English words	658
II. Texts (+ index locorum)	661
II.1 Indian texts	661
II.2 Tibetan and Chinese texts/titles	677
III. Index of persons	678
III.1 Indian authors, masters and schools	678
III.2 Tibetan and Chinese authors	679
III.3 Modern authors	68o
Addenda et corrigenda	683

- 1. Usually, however, Chinese translations do not translate but only transliterate 'ālaya-' (cp. Hôb fasc. 1, p. 35). For the occasional rendering of 'ālayavijñāna' by 宅職 or 家職, see Ui 1958, 165f.; BDJT s.v. araya-shiki; Fukihara 1953, 378; for 無沒職 = *ǎ-laya° see ib. and Hôb fasc. 1, p. 35.
- 2. E.g. Lamotte 1934-35, 17off.
- 3. For a different Tibetan rendering see n. 191.
- 4. Frauwallner 1969, 328; 352; 386f.
- 5. The best introduction to the essential aspects of the concept and theory of ālayavijñāna in a Western language is, in my opinion, still de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35. More recent works concerned with ālayavijñāna, as e.g. Verdu 1974 or Brown 1981, focussing on later developments, are less relevant to the present study. Of course, valuable information may also be gathered from the translations of pertinent portions of Yogācāra works into Western languages, above all from MSg_L (esp. ch. I; cp. also Lamotte 1934-35) and Sip (esp. pp. 94-224). Important aspects of the present study are touched upon, from a more philosophical standpoint than mine, in Griffiths 1986, which also contains the text and an English translation of the *Proof Portion* of the *VinSg ālay*. Treatise (see § 1.5 + n. 92, and n. 226).
- Suguro 1977, 127f.
- 7. This distinction is, however, not a strict one, for in some cases the two categories overlap, and Hsüan-tsang's Siddhi (Si 15b19-19a26) goes so far as to introduce a l l systematical contexts by (partly unidentified and thus dubious) 'Sūtra quotations.
- 8. This is, to be sure, at the same time a special case of exegetical context.
- Most of these concepts are, at the same time, key terms of certain systematical contexts with which alayavijñana is associated.

- 10. Yokoyama 1979, 114; similarly Saigusa 1983, 109; cp. already Yūki 1935, 142. Intuition into the [subliminal] continuity of mind is asserted to be the precondition of the ālayavijñāna theory also by N. Hakamaya (1978, 21-23). Cp. § 7.4.
- 11. Cp., e.g., de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 146 + 156f.; 162; Conze 1953, 161f.; Cousins 1981, 22; Griffiths 1986, 91f.
- 12. I have tried to include at least all those contexts which can already be traced in the Yogācārabhūmi. My documentation in the following notes merely intends substantiation but by no means completeness.
- 13. Cp., e.g. Ui 1958, 171; Yokoyama 1979, 114; Saigusa 1983, 107; cp. also de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 161f.; Yūki 1935, 75f.; Takasaki 1982, 27; 1985, 44; Y. Sasaki 1982, 197 note62. Proof: see n. 14. As for the continuity and homogeneity of ālayavijñāna, cp., e.g., Saṃdh V.7 (see n. 321); MSg I.1 (see n. 14) and the equation of ālayavijñāna with the *āsaṃsārika-skandha of the Mahīśāsakas in MSg I.11.3 (see § 1.3.4.2; cp. also Hirakawa 1981, 126); Hsien-yang 480c6; KSi §§ 30 (Muroji p. 37,8) and 37 (Muroji p. 47,8); PSVy 24b5 (Muroji p. 40,10f.); PSk_t 17a2 (PSk_p § 26; Muroji p. 44,8): rgyun chags par 'jug pa; Tr 4d + TrBh; Si 12b28ff. See also §§ 3.4-3.6.
- 14. Cp. de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 152f. Proof for the existence of ālayavijñāna by showing that otherwise both Pollution (saṃkleśa) and Purification (vyavadāna), or both the continuance (pravṛtti) and the cessation (nivṛtti) of saṃsāra, would be impossible: MSg I.29-49 and 56-57; PSk 17a3 (PSk § 26; Muroji p. 44,13f.): 'khor bar 'jug pa dan ldog pa'i phyir ro; TrBh 37,14ff.; Si 18c24ff. Cp. also MSg I.1 (Abhidharmasūtra: ... sarvadharmasamāšrayaḥ / tasmin sati gatiḥ sarvā nirvānādhigamo 'pi ca //). As for the function of ālayavijñāna with regard to (supramundane) Purification, see also § 4.8.

- 15. Cp., e.g., de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 152f.; Frauwallner 1969, 329; Cousins 1982, 22; Hirakawa 1981, 126f.; Griffiths 1986, 92. Proof: KSi, esp. §§ 20ff. (Muroji pp. 21,14ff.) and 32 (Muroji p. 39,1ff.); in the context of the proof that without ālayavijñāna saṃkleša/saṃsārapravṛtti would be impossible (see n. 14): Si 19a3-7; in the same context and at the same time presented as an exeget i cal issue: see ns. 51 and 52.
- Frauwallner 1969, 328; cp. Demiéville 1952, 132f.; Griffiths 16. 1986, 94 + 104ff. - Proof: PSk, 17a2f. (PSk, § 26; Muroji p. 44,9ff.): 'gog pa'i sñoms par 'jug pa dan' / 'du šes med pa'i sñoms par 'jug pa dan' / 'du šes med pa pa rnams las lans nas yan yul rnam par rig pa žes bya ba 'jug pa'i rnam par šes pa (*viṣayavijñapty-ākhya-pravṛttivijñāna) 'byun ba (...'i phyir ro). - Cp. also Y_m 78b5 (see n. 146): nirodham samāpannasya ... pravṛttivijāānab i j a -parigrhitam ālayavijnānam anuparatam bhavati āyatyām tadutpattidharmatāyai; KSi $\S\S$ 21ff. (Muroji pp. 23,1ff.) and 30 + 32 (Muroji p. 37,1ff. and 37,19ff.); TrBh 35,2-4. - A somewhat different issue, connected with the exegetical one that according to the Dharmadinnasūtra vijnāna has not withdrawn from the body in $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$ (see § 1.3.2(3) + n. 57), is the impossibility of a re-emergence, in one and the same life, of the v i p \bar{a} k a $-vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ after it has once been interrupted: MSg I.51 (read gdod (= punaḥ) 'byun ba yan ma yin te); Si 18a3ff., esp. 11f. - For materials concerning the presence of ālayavijñāna in nirodhasamāpatti, etc., cp. also ns. 40, 131, 218, 322 and § 6.6.
- 17. Cp., e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 156ff.; S. Katō 1933, 215f.; Yūki 1935, 74; Nishi 1937, 68f. and 81; 1975, 489; Frauwallner 1969, 328; Yokoyama 1979a, 8ff.; Hirakawa 1981, 127; Griffiths 1986, 92f.; 105. Proof: Yt zi 3a8ff. (see n. 783); PSkt 17a3 (PSkn § 26; Muroji p. 44,12:

dmigs pa'i rkyen la ltos nas rnam pa gźan du 'jug pa ñid dan / chad nas yan 'byun ba nid (... kyi phyir ro); the passage seems to be based on Y_{t} zi 3a8ff. (cp. also PSkVai 50b6f. and PSkBh 191a4ff.); I take the first argument to prove that the homogeneous Seed of a given pravṛttivijñana cannot be the preceding pravrttivijnana but only alayavijnana because (most) consecutive pravṛttivijnanas differ as to o b j e c t i v e content (Y_t zi 3a8ff.: difference as to their moral or spiritual quality)); Si 15b19ff. Cp. also, e.g., Y_m 78b5 (see n. 146); Y 4,7 etc. (sarvabījakam ... ālayavijnānam; see §§ 6.1-6.3); 109,14f. (see § 6.4); Y_{+} zi 5b4f. (see n. 438) and 7ff. (H 1979, 31f. [§ 3.b.B]; cp. ns. 418 and 417); 17b6 (see n. 452); 30a5ff. (see n. 553); Samdh V.2 (see n. 508) and V.7 (see n. 321); Hsienyang 48 oc 4 (see n. 536), 16, 23, 28, etc. (see n. 439); 505b21f. (cp. n. 159); MSg I.2; 9; 14ff., etc.; AS 3,3f. and 8f. (see n. 445); 12,1 (see n. 450); KSi §§ 3off. (Muroji p. 37f.); Tr 2d and 18-19 + TrBh; Si 8a5ff.; etc., etc.

- 18. Sip 312; cp. also AKBh 278,21; MSg I.15 (MSg p. 9,34ff.); KSi \S 19 (Muroji p. 21,2-6; cp. ib. 22,2ff. = PSVy 23b3-5). Recollection does not appear to have been a prominent issue in classical Yogācāra philosophy.
- 19. AKBh 299,1of.; 477,9ff.; KSi §§ 20 and 32 (Muroji pp. 21,1417and 39,2-9); PSVy 22b1ff. (Muroji p. 24,2off.); Vś 5,1off.;
 TrBh 38,4ff. (cp. PSkVai 52a5ff.; PSkBh 195b8ff.); Si 19a35; 43a14-17; cp. also Y_t zi 5b7f. + 6a3f. (see ns. 418 and
 417).
- 20. Cp. Y_m 78b5 (see n. 16); KSi §§ 19 (Muroji p. 21,6-8; cp. ib. 22,1f. + 6f. = PSVy 23b3-5) and 30+32 (Muroji p. 37,4-13 and 19-25).
- 21. As for the term 'kleśa', the polemical remarks of Anacker 1970, 233f., miss the point since the defilement metapher is obvious from canonical passages like SN III 131 (vattham sańkiliţtham mala-ggahitam; cp. ASBh 62,7f. [see n. 933]:

kliştasya dhātrī-cailasya ...; AKBh 157,8f.; BHSD s.v. klisyate). In the case of the (wider) term 'samklesa' the meaning "Pollution" is moreover confirmed by the fact that its antonym is 'vyavadāna' ("purification"). Cp. also the canonical comparison of the upaklesas of mind with impurities of gold (AN III 16f., etc.), or of immoral habits (upakkilesa) with clouds, etc., "defiling" or obscuring sun and moon (AN II 53f., etc.; see CPD s.v. upakkilesa). The interpretation of klesa as "affliction", testified to by Chinese and Tibetan equivalents, is clearly a secondary development, probably influenced by the usage of kliš-/kleša in standard Sanskrit (cp. the Brahmanical explanations collected by T. Sahoda in G. Sasaki 1975, 42), and perhaps also by Abhidharmic theories like the association or even identification of klesas with viparinama-duḥkhatā (cp., e.g., SrBh 256,17ff.; S 1977, 921; see also n. 602). Yet, even a comparatively late author like *Prthivibandhu (probably later than Sthiramati but not later than the beginning of the 9th century because known to the lDan- (or: 1Han-) -dkar-ma catalogue [Yoshimura 1974, 178, No. 635]) still gives the older meaning side by side with the new one: "They are called 'klešas' because they render body and mind afflicted, i.e. uneasy (*klesayanti duhkhayanti?), and because they render the mind defiled (PSkBh 119a3f.: de dag gis (D) lus dan sems la sdug benal źin ñon mone par byed pa dan / sems dri ma can du byed pas na non mons pa źes bya'o //). Cp. also Si 22b4-6 (擾覆).

22. MSg I.30-32 (klesas); 33 + 59.1-2 (karman); TrBh 37,14-19 and 38,4ff. (karman: cp. n. 19); Si 18c24ff. (klesas [18c28 ff.] and karman [see n. 19]). As for the latent continuance, as Seeds, of bad as well as good (and even certain neutral) states of mind, or of Defilements as well as good habits, and the "fattening" ((pari-)pusti) of these Seeds by the Impressions (vāsanā) left by actual occurrences of such factors, cp., e.g., Y, zi 5b7ff. (H 1979,31 [§ 3.b.B.1]).

- 23. MSg I.43-44; Si 19a1o-18; cp. also § 4.8.3.
- 24. TrBh 38,13ff,; PSkVai 52b2ff.; PSkBh 197a2ff.; Si 19a18ff.; cp. also MSg I.57.
- 25. AKBh 295,21f.; KSi § 15 (Muroji p. 15,15ff.); PSVy 22a8f. (Muroji p. 24,14ff.).
- 26. AKBh 72,16-18; KSi § 22 (Muroji p. 23,1of.).
- 27. Cp. Si_p 312.
- 28. AD 272,18ff.: rūpādau khalv api vastuny abhyatīte sa ty eva buddhir utpadyate / na hy asad-ālambanā buddhir utpadyate / ... yad etad rūpādi-dravyam pūrvānubhūtam ta deva tat-smrtyā grhyate /; cp. AKBh 295,18f.
- 29. AKBh 63,14ff.
- 30. Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 473ff. (with further references); cp. also Mizuno 1932, 1084ff.; S. Katō 1933, 215; Yūki 1935, 47ff.; Hirakawa 1974, 218; Saigusa 1983, 111f.; see also Nishi 1937 and 1938 (= 1975, 483ff.), discussing the occurences of the term 'bīja' in the Vibhāṣā and its systematical and historical relations to the Sautrāntika and Yogācāra bīja theories.
- 31. Bareau 1955, 188 (thèse 10); Frauwallner 1969, 328; Nishi 1975, 485.
- 32. Yokoyama 1979, 117. -- Cp. Y_t zi 6a7f. (see n. 945); MSg I.3 (see n. 137, with further references; cp. also n. 140, 2nd explanation); I.13C (MSg_L p. 8,33f.; 9,2f. and 6f.); I.59,3; Hsien-yang 48oc23f.; AS 12,3 (read: ālayavijnānālambanam [AS_G 19,14]); MSABh 174,16f.; PSk_t 17a5 (PSk_D § 27; Muroji p. 44,19) + PSkVai 54b1-3 and PSkBh 202a3-5; Tr. 5c + TrBh 22,22ff. and Si 21c17ff.; Si 2a12f.; 15b1ff. Cp. also § 3.11. -- As far as I can see, this issue is nowhere made into a proof of the existence of ālayavijnāna.
- 33. Cp., e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 161. Cp. Y 4,7 (see § 6.1); 11,5 (§ 6.2); 24,4f. (§ 6.3); 192,8 (§ 6.5); Samdh

- V.2 (see n. 508); Hsien-yang 48oc4f.; 504b12f.; MSg I.21, etc.; I.59.2; I.62; AS 12,2; KSi $\S\S$ 30-33 (Muroji pp. 37-39); PSVy 24b3f. (Muroji p. 40); Tr 2d and 19 (see n. 419) + TrBh 37,4ff.; etc. etc. In Si 16a16ff., the fact that a *vipākacitta* is otherwise impossible is made into a proof of ālayavijñāna. A similar consideration seems to be implicit in the first three arguments of Y_t zi 2b4ff. (ASBh 12,1ff.; H 1978, 8 [\S i(a-c)]). See also \S 3.12.
- 34. Saṃdh V.2 (see § 3.9.2); MSg I.5 (≈ PSkViv 95b3-5; cp. n. 1477 (A 13) and G); Sasaki 1982, 179ff.; Takeuchi 1985, 267ff.); cp. also Yt zi 6a3f. (see n. 417); ASBh 11,12f. (see n. 1477 (A 12)); PSVy 24b4f. (Muroji p. 4o,8-1o); TrBh 33,21 (gatiṣu janmopādānāt). In the case of rebirth in the kāma— or rūpa—dhātu, this function is, at least in older sources, not always distinguishable from the initial phase of biological appropriation (for which see § 1.3.1.7 + n. 39): see, e.g., Yt zi 2b4ff. (see n. 33), and the material in n. 348. Moreover, in the case of rebirth as a man (or womb—born animal), taking possession of a new existence would seem to overlap with the issue of (ālaya)vijñā—na's entering the womb and coalescing with proto—embryonic matter (§ 1.3.1(8)), as e.g. at MSg I.34.
- 35. Cp. de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 157 and 162. Homogeneity and continuity of ālayavijñāna throughout a given existence: Si 17a1; cp. Y_t zi 4b6-8 (H 1979, 27 [§ B.2-3]). Ālayavijñāna as the basis of the ordinary forms of mind or as the basic layer of a living being: Samdh V.4-5 (see § 3.9.3); Y 11,4f. (see § 6.2); Y_t zi 5b5-7 (see n. 358); MSg I.1; Tr 5b and 15; MSgU_t 251a3 (see n. 367); Si 2ob6ff. and c12ff. Cp. also the identification of ālayavijñāna with, and its designation as, mūlavijñāna (§ 1.3.4.2). See also § 3.10.
- 36. Proof: Si 16b3ff.; arguing from special cases: MSg I.4o-41, etc. (see n. 37). Cp. also MSg I.21. The arguments are, of course, valid only on the assumption that a

metaphysical ātman or pudgala and hypostatized entities like the jīvitendriya and the nikāyasabhāgatā of the Vaibhāşikas do not exist (cp. KSi § 34c [Muroji p. 41,16-21); Si 16b9f. and 7c26f.; VGPVy 425b7f.

- E.g. when in a living being of the world sphere where cor-37. poreal matter is lacking (ārūpya-dhātu) a good or defiled state of mind arises, this living being would, if alayavijñana is rejected, lose its affiliation to this sphere because in the absence of corporeal matter and sense-perception (KSiT 104b3) no vipāka element constituting this affiliation would be left (KSi § 34c [Muroji p. 41,8-12]; PSVy 25a6f. [Muroji p. 42,5-7]; PSVyT 155b1ff.; Si 16b11f.). Even if one asserted that not only the *vipāka* elements but a 1 l mundane elements belonging to that sphere constitute affiliation to it (Si 16b12f., but rejected in PSVyT 155b4f.), this affiliation would be lost if the living being actualizes a s u p r a mundane (lokottara) state of mind (Si 16b13; MSg I.40). Suppose it is admitted that even such a supramundane state of mind belongs, in a sense, to that stage (*sva-bhūmika: VGPVy 425b8; cp. also KSiT 104b8), this would not work in the case of a person born in the sphere of neither consciousness nor non-consciousness (naîva-samjnā-nâsamjnāyatana) because such a person, in view of the dimness of consciousness in this sphere (cp. MSgBh 161b1, etc.), can actualize a supramundane state of mind - which is a gnosis of Truth (cp. ib.) - only by mentally clear descending to the next lower level, viz. the sphere of nothingness (ākiñcanyâyatana). This, however, would, in the absence of alayavijñana, inevitably mean that no element belonging to the naivasamjñānāsamjñāyatana would be left, i.e. that he would lose his existence there (MSg I.41; KSi § 34c [Muroji p. 41,12-16]; PSVy 25a7f. [Muroji p. 42,8-10]).
- 38. KSi § 34a (Muroji p. 41,4); PSVy 25a6 (Muroji p. 42,3f.) + PSVyT 155a1ff., esp. 6ff.; PSkVai 53b7 (see n. 1373); PSkBh

- 199b8 (kun gźi rnam par śes pa ni lus mtha' dag la khyab par gnas te; cp. 201b1: len pa'i rnam par śes pa źes bya ba ni lus thams cad khyab par len pa ...); $MSgU_t$ 259b6 (des lus la khyab pa'i phyir ro; cp. § 12.2.2d). Cp. also Y_t zi 2b7f. (ASBh 12,7f.; H 1978, 8 [§ i(d)]) i m p l y i n g that ālayavijñāna, in contrast to sense perceptions, pervades the whole body; Si 16b22-24, 17a18f. and 2ob24f.: pervasiveness (Ξ) of the vipāka-citta (largely = ālayavijñāna) as a presupposition for biological appropriation.
- 39. Cp., e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 165ff.; Yūki 1935, 74; Ui 1958, 171; Yokoyama 1979a, 2ff.; Takasaki 1985, passim; Hirakawa 1981, 127; Saigusa 1983, 108f.; Takeuchi 1985, 268f.; Griffiths 1986, 94. Proof: Yt zi 2b4ff. (ASBh 12,1ff.; H 1978, 8f. [§ i]; Griffiths 1986, 97f. and 13off.); MSg I.35; KSi § 34a (Muroji p. 41,2-5); PSVy 25a6 (Muroji p. 42,3): lus zin pa ñid kyan (sc. kun gzi rnam par šes pa med par gźag par mi nus so: PSVyT 155a1); Si 16b2off. Cp. also Y 4,7, etc. (see §§ 6.1-6.3); Yt zi 5b5f. (see n. 358); Samdh V.2-3; MSg I.5 (≈ PSkViv 95b3f.); Hsien-yang 48oc5 (see n. 536); 483c9 (see n. 44o); PSkt 17a4 (Muroji p. 44,18): lus (Ch. 身) len pa'i phyir (not unambiguous: cp. n. 34); PSkVai 54a2f.; PSkBh 2ooa2ff.; ASBh 45,5f.; Si 10a15-17.
- 40. Griffiths 1983, 571f., referring to Y_t zi 3b8ff. (see n. 227). Cp. also PSVyT 154b4f. and Si 17b26-c1 and 11b7f.. This issue of the presence of a *vijnāna*, preventing death, in states like *nirodhasamāpatti* has also an exegetical aspect: see § 1.3.2.3.
- 41. Cp., e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 165; Ui 1958, 171; Yokoyama 1979a, 16ff. Proof: MSg I.34; Si 16c23ff. Cp. also Y 24,4f. (see § 6.3); Samdh V.2 (see n. 508). See § 3.3.1.

- 42. Cp., e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 165f.; Takasaki 1985, 44. Proof: Y_t zi 4a2ff. (see n. 281); MSg I.42; Si 16c23ff., esp. 17a13ff. Cp. also Y 18,16ff. and 2o2,2o (only *vijnāna*, and not ālaya-v.). See § 3.3.2.2.
- 43. Proof: Y_t zi 3b6ff. (see n. 295). Cp. Si 16a25ff. See § 3.7.1.
- 44. Hsien-yang 487a3-6 (see n. 297). See § 3.7.2.
- 45. Y_t zi 3b4ff. (see n. 630). Cp. Samdh VIII.37.1.1 (see n. 629); Y_t zi 4a8ff. (see ns. 633 and 531); 189b4f. (see n. 653). See § 5.6.
- 46. Esp. Y_t zi 8a4ff. (see ns. 549, 444 and 548), 9a3f. (see § 10.1 © and 9b6 (see n. 568); MSg I.45 (see n. 574). See § 4.7. Cp. also the verse quoted at TrBh 44,15f. (jñeyam ādānavijñānaṃ dvay ā v a r a ṇ a l a k ṣ a ṇ a m ...), which is taken from T No. 1607 (p. 774b4f.), viz. from the Liu-mên-chiao-shou-hsi-ting-lun (六門教授習定論) ascribed to Asaṅga (cp. Ui 1979, 585); in the commentary (774b14), ādānavijñāna is expressly identified with ālayavijñāna.
- Cp. S 1977, 925f. Cp., e.g., Y_{+} zi 9b5 (see n. 551); 47. 30a5ff. (see n. 553); ASBh 55,13f. (see n. 489); MAVŢ 79,23ff.; 80,21ff.; 93,26ff.; 168,11f.; TrBh 44,6f.; Si 51a6 13; cp. also the equation of alayavijñana with dausthulyāšraya or dausthulya-kāya (see n. 1330; H 1976, 53, 58 (+ note 66) and 61 (+ note 77)). See §§ 4.1-2 and, esp., 4.7. - Whereas in some sources (esp. the Nivṛtti Portion [cp. n. 226]: see § 4.7) ālayavijñāna appears to be essentially characterized by, or even consist of, Badness (dausthulya), other texts (MSg I.61.1; cp. also Hsien-yang 487a3ff. [see § 3.7.2 + n. 297]) take it to contain or comprise both Badness and its opposite, Ease (praśrabdhi, implying also the nuance of goodnaturedness), the latter being defined, in MSg I.61.1, as the Seeds of such good dharmas as are still under the sway

of Cankers (sāsrava-kuśala). Usually, however, praśrabdhi is, in contrast to dauṣṭhulya, not interpreted as a subcategory of Seeds. Rather Ease, especially mental Ease, is established as a mental factor (caitta) on its own (e.g. Y 11,16; AS 6,19f.; PSk_D § 18.8 (read sbyańs). Tr 11b + TrBh 27,14ff.; AKBh 55,8f.), and bodily Ease is often defined as a special kind of tangible (spraṣṭavyaviśeṣa: TrBh 27,19f. = PSkVai 25b6f.; PSkBh 131a6; cp. AKBh 21,2f. and 439,1of.).

On the other hand, the Seeds of mundane good dharmas are, nevertheless, usually considered to be contained in ālayavijñāna (cp., e.g., Y 109,14f. [see § 6.4]); Y_t zi 5b4f. and 8ff. (H 1979, 3of. [§ 3.b.A.1 and B.1]); TrBh 18,1of.). But they seem to be overlooked or even ignored in the basic material of the Nivṛtti Portion (§ 4.7) and may have been taken to be comprised in Badness (viz. sarvatraga-dauṣṭhulya; cp. also ASBh 92,5-7) in Y_t zi 3oa5 ff. (see n. 553), whereas Y 26,14-16 (which, by the way, looks like an insertion) expressly excludes them from dauṣṭhulya though, in contrast to MSg I.61, not making use of the term 'praśrabdhi'.

- 48. Cp. S 1977, 925f. Cp., e.g., comm. ad PG 33-34 and 37 (see App. II); Y_t zi 8b1 (see n. 548); ASBh 55,14f. (see n. 489). See § 4.1.4.
- 49. Cp. esp. Kajiyama 1985 (see § 7.3.2).
- 50. For further though (at least partly) dubious cases see n. 7.
- 51. MSg I.33.1 and 59.1; PSVy 17a6ff., esp. 18b2ff. and 23b6-24a2; TrBh 37,16-38,13; PSkVai 51b2-52b2; PSkBh 193b4-197a2; Si 19a7-9. According to the afore-mentioned sources, the saṃskāra-pratyayaṃ vijnānam is the vijnāna of the prior existence which receives the Impression of karman and by continuously propagating itself along with this Impression becomes, in its turn, the cause of a new existence (beginning with nāmarūpa) (cp. n. 1128). This exegetical issue thus

- involves the systematical issue of $(\bar{a}laya)vij\bar{n}ana$ as the recipient and support of karmic Impressions $(v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$.
- 52. MSg I.33.2; 59.1; Si 19a9f. (+ Si_p 218). According to MSgU_t 257a8ff., 'bhava' means the karmic Impression (vāsanā) when it is about to mature, or actualize itself. In order to be able to reach this stage, it of course presupposes a vijnāna by which it was received at the moment of the act and passed on until the moment of its actualization.
- 53. MSg I.36; Si 17a23ff.
- 54. Y 230,10ff. Cp. § 12.1.
- 55. Cp. Enomoto 1982 and Kajiyama 1985. See § 7.3.
- 56. See n. 149.
- 57. Y_m 78b5 (see § 2.1 + n. 146); MSg I.5o(f.); KSi § 24ff. (Muroji p. 27ff.); PSVy 25a8-b4 (Muroji p. 42, 11-25); cp. PSVy 18b5ff. (Muroji p. 28) + 24a1 (Muroji p. 48,2-5) [where the *saṃskāra-paribhāvitaṃ vijnānam, the presence of which in nirodhasamāpatti had been corroborated by the Sūtra passage under discussion at 18b5ff., is equated with ālayavijnāna]; PSVyT 139a6-b3; Si 17c25ff.; cp. also Y_t zi 4a2 (see n. 40); TrBh 19,24f.; Si 11b6f.
- Si 16c6ff. The quotation probably refers to the Mahākauṣṭhi-lasūtra (see AKŢU tu 191a3ff.; MĀ_c 791b23ff.; cp. MN I 295). Yet, none of these versions preserved mentions vijāāna. Even Śamathadeva's text which most probably represents the Mūlasarvāstivāda version (see S 1987, §§ 5.1.5.1, 6.1 + note 142a, and 6.2.2.2.0) speaks of a commingling or inseparable association of life-force and bodily heat only, as is also confirmed by a quotation of the pertinent sentence in Yaśomitra (AKVy 667,29f.: yac câyuṣman Kauṣṭhila āyur yac côṣmakaṃ, saṃṣṛṣṭāv imau dharmau, na visaṃṣṛṣṭau). Since at least the early Yogācāras, too, appear to have used the Mūlasarvāstivāda version (see n. 166), the wording to be presupposed for the early period is most probably that of Yaśomitra

and not that of Hsüan-tsang. This would also explain the fact that the Sūtra is, to my knowledge, not used as a proof of ālayavijñāna in any earlier source. Hsüan-tsang's version is, however, also adduced by Vibhajyavādins at Vi 431b11f. (cp. n. 154) as well as by an opponent at TSi 345b8f., and, in the latter case, as a proof of the presence of mind in nirodhasamāpatti at that.

- 59. MSg I.37; Si 17b8ff.; cp. § 4.3.
- 60. See n. 64.
- 61. § 1.3.1.8.
- 62. See § 1.3.2.1, 2 and 6.
- 63. Cp., e.g. Ui 1958, 171; Kanakura 1980, 169; Yokayama 1979a, 18; Takasaki 1982, 27; 1982a, 50,3f.; Y_t zi 2b5f. (see n. 859). Cp. also § 1.3.1.8 + n. 41.
- 64. DN II 63; cp. $D\bar{A}_{C}$ 61b9ff.; T 14, 243b18ff.; $M\bar{A}_{C}$ 579c17ff.; AKVy 669,1ff.; AKŢU tu 157a1f.; DhSk $_{D}$ p. 34f.; cp. also ns. 238 and 244.
- 65. MN I 296; SN III 143 (cp. AKBh 73,19f., etc.; SrBh 376,4f.); cp. also § 2.4 + n. 165.
- 66. Cp. SN No. 4.23 = 1120f.
- 67. Cp., e.g. Si_p 178ff.; Mizuno 1932, esp. 1070ff.; 1957,
 448ff.;
 Yūki 1935, 78ff.; Katsumata 1974, 520ff.; Katano 1968,
 52ff.; Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 473f. and 485f. (with copious references, q.v.); Hirakawa 1981, 125ff.; Kim 1985, 194ff.
- 68. MSg I.11, mentioning the mūlavijnāna of the Mahāsānghikas and the *āsaṃsārika-skandha of the Mahīśāsakas.

 Vasubandhu the Kośakāra replaces the latter by bhavānga-vi-jnāna which he ascribes to the Tāmraśāţīyas or -parnīyas (i.e., as KSiŢ 105a6f. confirms, the Sthaviras or Theravādins; cp. also the Tibetan version of MSg I.11) at KSi § 35, (Muroji p. 45,2f.), whereas at PSVy 24b5 (Muroji p.

40,12f.) he attributes it to the Mahīśāsakas (cp. Matsuda 1982, (65)) whom, however, some scholars regard as the Continental counterpart of the Theravadins (Bareau 1955, 183; cp. Cousins 1981, 23).

Vasubandhu's replacement of *asaṃsārika-skandha by bhavāṅga-vijnāna calls, of course, for an explanation. Does it indicate a difference of geographical horizon, or rather a doctrinal or at least terminological shift on the part of the Mahīśāsakas/Sthaviras, and perhaps a not too insignificant lapse of time between MSg and KSi/PSVy? At any rate, the fact that bhavāṅgavijnāna is not mentioned in the earliest pertinent source (i.e. MSg) would seem to suggest that this concept, though fairly old according to specialists (e.g. Cousins 1981, 38ff.), is not likely to have decisively stimulated the introduction of ālayavijñāna.

69. Cp. the reserves in Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 485.

It may be pointed out in this connection that Vasubandhu the Kośakāra informs us in his PSVy (25b5; Muroji p. 42,3of.) that "the same (viz. alayavijnana) is mentioned in the Abhidharma-dharmaparyāya of the Bhadanta-Mahīśāsakas" (btsun pa Sa ston pa'i chos mnon pa'i chos kyi rnam grans las de nid brjod do). It would seem natural to see in this Abhidharma-dharmaparyaya the Abhidharmasūtra quoted at MSg I.2., esp. in view of the fact that Asanga is said to have been affiliated with the Mahiśasakas (Frauwallner 1969, 327; Wayman 1961, 25ff.; Griffiths 1986, 174 note 7) and in spite of Gunamati's classifying it as a Śāstra (PSVyŢ 156b5). But if this is true, why does MSg tell us then that the Śravakayana has not taught ālayavijnāna as 'ālayavijnāna' but only by means of synonyms, i.e. as '*āsaṃsārikaskandha' in the case of the Mahīśāsakas? Obviously because the Abhidharma-dharmaparyaya, though stemming from the Mahisasaka-nikaya Vinaya school), was a Mahāyāna text, as would seem to be confirmed by the very name 'Abhidharma-mahayana-sütra' at MSg, Prast. 1.

- 70. Otherwise Hirakawa 1981, 128.
- 71. ālayārāmā, bhikkhave, pajā ālayaratā ālayasaṃmuditā. The (Mū-la-)Sarvāstivāda version (cp. VGPVy 389b3f.) is quoted at MSg I.11 as referring to ālayavijñāna. For parallels see MSg_L vol. II, 7*; cp. also Funahashi 1976, 19ff.
- 72. Mentioned at Y_t zi 9a2f. (Y_c 581b2o; H 1979, 39) as referring to alayavijñana; cp. H 1981.
- 73. Cp. Yokoyama 1979, 114,6f.; Cousins 1981, 22.
- 74. Cp., e.g., ŚrBh 384,13ff.; 386,18; 431,15; 445,6; 507,8; BoBh_D 69,10 (BoBh_W 97,24); 71,6 (100,19); 218,11 (318,19f.), etc. (cp. Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 482f.); PG 31; 42; Y_t 'i (= VaSg) 151a2; 201a2ff.; 285b8 and 286a7ff. = Y 200,2f. (see n. 1135) and 17ff.; Y 5,15; 6,8; 11,13; 19,1; 25,3ff.; 52,12ff.; 55,14ff.; 61,3ff.; etc.; cp. also the §§ indicated in n. 85.
- 75. Cp., e.g., \$rBh 196,17; 200,8; 257,14; 269,2; 271,16ff.; 283,7; 292,2; 301,8ff.; 320,5; 390,4; 432,22; 433,4; 444,1; 450,13; 490,7; 500,19; 501,8; 507,8; BoBh_D 6,2 (BoBh_W 9,1); 10,4 (14,26); 13,15-17 (20,7-9); 18,16 (27,5); 77,23 (110,10); 196,17 (289,25); 243,7ff. (356,14ff.); 253,23 (368,5); 265,5ff. (384,5ff.); 280,1 (405,25); Y_t 'i (= VaSg) 145b4; 221a2; 222a2; 237a4; 261b4; 312b3; 335a8; Y 26,12ff.; 90,18ff.; 99,6; Y_t dzi 139a4; 143b2; etc.
- 76. E.g. Y_t 'i 288b1 (see n. 788); Y 4,11; 24,7f. (see § 6.3.1); 25,1; cp. also 224,19 (bijabhūtaṃ vijnānam). Cp. § 7.3.6.3.3.
- 77. See n. 374.
- 78. E.g. Y 199,7. As for the continuous sarvabījakam vipā-kavijnānam ascribed to "some Sautrāntika(s)" at KSi § 30 (Muroji p. 37,1ff.), it does not justify Lamotte's (KSi p. 178; cp. Silburn 1955, 252f.) assertion that it is the Sautrāntikas who are to be credited with the discovery of ālayavijnāna; for it is only in a later paragraph (KSi § 33 [Muroji p. 39,26ff.]; cp. also §§ 37 (end) and 40) that

Vasubandhu equates this vipākavijnāna with ālayavijnāna, and it cannot be doubted that this equation (which also includes $\bar{a}d\bar{a}navijar{n}ar{a}na$) is made after the pattern of Samdh V.3, the more so since Vasubandhu's familiarity with this text and thus with the Yogacara tradition is obvious from the fact that he expressly quotes Samdh V.7 at KSi §§ 32 and 37; cp. also KSi § 35 reminiscent of MSg I.11, and PSVy 25b4f. (Muroji p. 42,28f.) expressly referring to Y o g \bar{a} c \bar{a} rabhūmi and Samdhinirmocanasūtra as explicitly proclaiming ālayavijñāna. Moreover, the Abhidharmakośabhāşya does not contain even a hint of alayavijnana, not even of a continuous vipākavijāāna as a Sautrantika concept, and Yasomitra (AKVy 167,6f.) expressly declares alayavijñana to be a specific Yogacara notion (Mimaki 1972, 89 note 11). Therefore, the *vipākavijñāna* of KSi § 30 is more likely to have been modelled, in its turn, - probably by Vasubandhu himself - after the alayavijñana of the Yogacaras. Cp. also Yamaguchi 1975, 34f.; H 1975a, (40); Hyodo 1982, (47); Matsuda 1982b, 52 [addendum]; Suguro 1983, 9ff. - The assumption of a vipākavijnāna in addition to the ordinary six vijnānas is, by the way, ascribed to the Sammitiyas in Paramartha's translation of MSgBh ad I.11 (T 1595, 16oc9; cp. Katsumata 1974, 552) and VGPVy 39ob7; see also Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 474 note 1.

- 79. E.g. Y 4,12; PG 28c and 29a (see App. II).
- 80. Y_{t} 'i 311a7 and b2 (see ns. 500 and 502).
- 81. Y_t 'i 167b3 (Y_c 781c12f.); Y_t 'i 312a6 (Y_c 839a16); see § 4.3.
- 82. Bījas: e.g. MSABh ad MSA XI.44 and XIX.49 (see n. 719(a)); dauṣṭhulya(-kāya, -āśraya): see n. 47; sarvabījakaṃ vijñānam (or cittam): Saṃdh V.2+3; cp. Tr 18a + TrBh 36,7; de facto already Y 4,7+11 and 24,4f.+7f. (see §§ 6.1.3 and 6.3.3); vipāka-vijnāna: MSg I.34ff.; II.32; AS 12,1f.; KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,28ff.); PSVy 24b2-4 (Muroji p. 40,1 + 5-7);

- sarvabījako vipākaḥ: indirectly Y 4,11f. + 4,7; *indriya-ma-hābhūtôpādātṛ vijnānam: cp., e.g., Y 4,7 āśrayôpādātṛ ... ālayavijnānam; sopādānam vijnānam: Hsien-yang 48oc12-14.
- 83. Cp. §§ 6.1 and 6.3.
- 84. Cp., e.g., Yūki 1935, 47ff.; Mizuno 1957, 433ff.; Mikogami 1965; cp. also Odani 1976, 169.
- 85. Cp. $\S 2.5 + n. 172$; $\S \S 3.13.1-4$, 6.1.2.2a and 7.3.6.3.1.
- 86. Cp. esp. Ui 1958, 17of.; Aramaki 1963, 211f.; Odani 1976; Takasaki 1982, 27; Enomoto 1982 (see § 7.3); Kajiyama 1985 (see ib.).
- 87. Y. Sasaki 1982 and 1982a. Cp. also Takasaki 1982a and 1985, and Takeuchi 1985.
- 88. Preliminary attempts: see n. 468.
- As is testified to by the case of bhavangavināāṇa, which in spite of its subliminal nature is still regarded as a form of manovijnāna, the assumption of a peculiar type of vijnāna bearing a special name of its own does not necessarily preclude its remaining included within the framework of the traditional set of six kinds of vijnāna. Even in Yogācāra texts such an inclusion, though unusual, is occasionally met with: cp., e.g., T 1618 (*Khyātivijnānaśāstra of Paramārtha), 879b3ff. (= Ui 1965, 363), where both ālayavijnāna and ādānavijnāna (here = kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ, cp. Katsumata 1974, 721; Paul 1984, e.g. 59; 70; 97f.; 104ff.; 114; 212 note 23) are said to be forms of manovijnāna. Cp. also n. 159.
- 90. See §§ 7.1A, 7.1B and 7.2.
- 91. See n. 226.
- 92. Y_t zi $1b2-1ob6 = Y_c$ 579a7-582a12 = Chüeh-ting <math>1o18c5-1o2oc13; cp. Ui 1965, 544ff. and 717ff.; 1958, 172ff.; $Y\bar{u}ki$ 1935, 4o3ff. Cp. also the partial editions and translations mentioned in n. 226.

- 93. MSg I.29-57; cp. Yūki 1935, 605ff.; Ui 1966, 291ff.; Nagao 1982, 177ff. Further sources containing proofs for the existence of ālayavijñāna are especially KSi § 34 (Muroji p. 41; KSiŢ 103a7ff.); PSVy 25a5ff. (Muroji p. 42; PSVyŢ 154b7ff.); PSk t 17a2f. (PSk § 26; Muroji p. 44,9-14; PSk 850a1-4; cp. PSkVai 47a7ff.; PSkViv 94b6ff.; PSkBh 185a7ff., esp. 186b5ff.); TrBh 37,9ff.; Si 15b19ff.; YVy 98b4ff., esp. 100b4ff. (cp. Matsuda 1982a); YidKun 64,1ff., esp. 65,1ff. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 89ff.); cp. also Hsien-yang 48oc10-16.
- 94. Cp. Frauwallner 1953, 385. As for the *Proof Portion* of the *VinSg ālay. Treatise*, cp. also § 9.
- 95. In fact, what is considered in the present study to be the original motive has been moulded into the seventh proof of the VinSg (see § 3.2.1 + n. 227), though with a certain shift in the nature of the argument (see ib.).
- Cp., e.g., Yūki 1935, 16; 73; 142; 148; Weinstein 1958, 48;
 52; Katsumata 1974, 56off.; Funahashi 1969, 37; H 1977, 220;
 Kanakura 1980, 169; Nagao 1978, 34f.; Yokoyama 1979, 115;
 118; 122 (but see also n. 111); Nakamura 1980, 255 note 15;
 Saigusa 1983, 109; 320; Griffiths 1986, 77.
- 97. Cp., e.g., Yūki 1935, 234f.; Katsumata 1974, 562ff.; 639; 713. Hirakawa (1981, 80; 85; 92) does not commit himself to the priority of Samdh but has both Sūtras preceding the Sāstras.
- 98. With regard to the chronological priority of the Yogacarabhumi over the other Śastras see S 1969, 819ff. Cp. also § 8.2.

For the present investigation, it does not matter whether the "Maitreya" works are actually later than the latest elements (i.e. the final compilation) of the Yogacarabhūmi, because the concept of ālayavijñāna does not occur in them (see § 5.11). Although some terminological and doctrinal differences may be explained by a difference of ambiance,

the "Maitreya" works are, on the whole, doctrinally more advanced and can be shown to presuppose elements even from the Samdhinirmocanasūtra and the Viniścayasamgrahanī (cp. also Yokoyama 1982; Odani 1984, 43ff.), whereas I have so far not come across a passage in the Yogacarabhūmi which can be conclusively demonstrated to depend on one of the "Maitreya" works.

99. The title is often provisionally retranslated as *(Ārya-deśanā-)Vikhyāpana (Sip, Index, 51; Frauwallner 1969, 327), sometimes (somewhat strangely) as *Prakaraṇāryaśāsanaśāstra (Demiéville 1954, 384). After a careful reconsideration of the matter, A. Mukai (1979, 42ff., esp. 45ff.) suggests *Śāsanodbhāvana. In an Uigur text, however, the Sanskrit title is given as Sadarma-viyakiyan (Saddharmavyākhyāna: Kudara 1980, 54 and 59; cp. Mukai 1979, 46), whereas YVy 84b5f. gives the Tibetan rendering bsTan pa la khyab pa (Matsuda 1982a, 668 [add.]; 1982, (66) [add.], suggesting *Śāsanasphūrti or -sphuraṇa, which sounds somewhat queer). I refrain from committing myself in the matter.

As for the chronological priority of the Yogacarabhūmi with regard to the Hsien-yang, no doubt seems to be possible (cp. also Mukai 1979, 39f. and 53ff.; Sueki 1980, 48 and 52 note 48). Hakamaya's (1978, 2f.) reserves against my statement that the Hsien-yang has "taken over" passages from the Yogacarabhūmi are not justified because the expression does not by itself anticipate a decision on the question of authorship, for I do not exclude "taking over" from one's own earlier works: see n. 1198.

What would need additional investigation is the question of the chronological relation of the Hsien-yang to the works of Maitreya, esp. the Mahāyānasūtrālaṃkāra. On the whole, it does not seem to have received much influence from that quarter (cp. Hotori 1982, 51 note 84, suggesting that the Hsien-yang is earlier than MSA), though a few passages like 493c6ff., 562b15ff. and 583b8ff. (cp. Hayashima 1982, esp.

- 156f.) will have to be studied more closely in this connection. Anyway, Ui's view that the prose of the Hsien-yang should be ascribed to Vasubandhu is, in my opinion, rightly rejected by Mukai (1979, 52f. + 60).
- The assertion, found in the works of some American scholars (Warder 1970, 441; Willis 1979, 10), that the Abhidharmasamuccaya is earlier than the Yogācārabhūmi does not deserve to be taken seriously because it is mere guess-work, no reasons being adduced. As an argument to the contrary, I may refer to S 1969, 822 note 48(f). Besides, the Abhidharmasamuccaya, in contrast to the Yogācārabhūmi, is acquainted with the "Maitreya" texts, as is, e.g., shown by its references to the trikāya doctrine (AS 94,11), to (daršanamārga as) grāhyagrāhaka-anupalabdhi (AS 82,18; cp. 66,3), and to the spiritual practice of cittamātra (though using saṃjāā, not citta: AS 82,20ff. [Sanskrit text not altogether reliable; cp. N. Funahashi in: ŌtG 66.1/1986, 28f.]). Yet, this is not the right occasion to enter into further details.
- In this study, I use the expression "(works of) Vasubandhu 101. the Kośakara" when referring to (the author of) Abhidharmakośabhāşya, Vyākhyāyukti, Karmasiddhi, Pratītyasamutpādavyākhya, Pancaskandhaka, Vimsatika and Trimsika, because I find there are good reasons for taking the author of these works to be one and the same person (cp. also Matsuda 1984, 96; 85 n. 5). This position has been vehemently disputed by Amar Singh (1984, 23ff., esp. 31ff.). His rigid dissociation of the author of AKBh from all works containing any Yogacara elements is, however, contradicted not only by undeniable internal affinities (cp. Muroji 1985, passim [KSi, PSVy, AKBh]) but also by express cross-references including a reference to AKBh in PSVy (cp. Matsuda 1984a, 1042). Yet, this is not the place for a detailed rejection of Singh's view (and of his objections to S 1967, which misunderstand the purport of, and partly even misrepresent, my arguments). On the other hand - disregarding, for the moment, works

ascribed to Vasubandhu which are not referred to in the present study - I prefer to treat the Vasubandhu commentaries on Madhyantavibhaga, Dharmadharmatavibhaga, Mahayanasamgraha and Mahayanasutralamkara (the comm. on the latter being, sometimes, even ascribed to Asanga) as well as the Trisvabhavanirdeśa (the authorship of which may at any rate need reconsideration) as a separate group, because in these certain central doctrinal peculiarities of the comparable parts of the first group seem to be lacking (or at best marginal)². This procedure should not, however, be misunderstood as a commitment with regard to the authorship problem; for this would require a more careful study and critical evaluation of at least the most important of the recent contributions to the question (especially Matsuda 1984 and 1984a, and Sugawara 1984; cp. also the synopsis of the present state of research in Griffiths 1986, 164f.) than I can afford in the context of the present study.

- 1. E.g. vijnāna(santāna)parināma, etc. (cp. S 1967); or the function fulfilled by vijnāptimātra(tā) in the context of liberating insight (as shown by me in a lecture delivered at the Univ. of Calgary in 1982 but not yet published). Cp. also the treatment of ālayavijnāna as an actual cognition (§§ 5.13-14).
- 2. E.g. the notion of santāna- or santati-pariṇāma-višeṣa, occurring only once, viz. at MSABh 152,21, in what may well be an argument borrowed from the Sautrāntikas.
- 102. Cp. Suguro 1976, 1f. For the same reason, even the Lańkāvatārasūtra is occasionally listed, along with the Samdhinirmocana- and the Abhidharmasūtra, as one of the earliest Yogācāra sources (e.g. Hôb I, 36; cp. also de la Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 168,3ff.). But this Sūtra is altogether ignored by all the early Yogācāra texts until Vasubandhu's Vyākhyāyukti (Yamaguchi 1973, 311f.; Funahashi 1976, 367ff.; Takasaki 1980, 56ff.), but has in its turn, as I hope to show on another occasion, almost certainly drawn upon Vasubandhu's Triṃśikā and Viṃśatikā in passages some of which are already documented in the Chinese translation of

- 443. Therefore I think I can disregard it in the present investigation. Besides, a preliminary glance at the Lankavatara materials referring to alayavijnana or to the vijnana system as a whole does not create the impression of originality but rather of making use of ready concepts (including not only alayavijnana but even manas as a vijnana on its own). As far as I can see, the text does not anywhere indicate any motive due to which alayavijñana may have come to be introduced. To be sure, some passages of the Sagathaka mention, or allude to, fairly archaic aspects or functions of alayavijñana, but even these passages merely these aspects or functions as a fact or even presuppose them as well-known. Cp., e.g., the references to alayavijnana as the principle of physical life which sticks in the body and leaves it at death in LAS 285,10 pitṛsamāyogāt ālaya(m) mana-samyutam (!) ... saha sukrena (v.1.) vardhate), 293,9 (ālayo muncate kāyam), 296,10 (ālayam ... $k \bar{a} y e$) and 323,2 ($\bar{a}yur$ uşmatha $vij\bar{n}a\bar{n}am$ [cp. n. 165] ālayo jīvitendriyam ...).
- 103. I tend to follow Nagao 1982, 31 in considering the Abhidharma (mahāyāna) sūtra of MSg as one text (cp. also n. 69), in spite of the possibility of a generic use of the term 'abhidharmasūtra' in ASBh 156, 25, where Tib. (chos mion pa'i mdo rnams las) suggests an interpretation of Skt. -sūtrataḥ in a collective sense or even a reading -sūtre-bhyaḥ (cp. Aramaki 1963a, 34; cp. also H 1978a, 245). Or could one, in view of the fact that the main source of AS is no doubt the Yogācārabhūmi, even understand 'abhidharmasūtrataḥ' as a dvandva (with the predominant element being placed first), i.e. in the sense of "from Abhidharma and Sūtras"??
- 104. Cp. Yūki 1935, 24off.; Ui 1966, 39ff.; Nagao 1982, 32. The Ratnagotravibhāgavṛtti (quoting, at 72,13f., the same verse as MSg I.1) is hardly earlier; at least it is later than MSA which it quotes twice (RGVV 71,1ff. = MSA IX.15; RGVV 71,16f. = MSA IX.37).

- 105. Cp. especially the quotations in MSg I.2 + I.27 (cp. I.3; see ns. 136 and 137), II.26, and VIII.20 (cp. II.14 + 14^b).
- 106. Cp. H 1978a, 309 note 33; Nagao 1982, 33.
- 107. Cp. Katsumata 1974, 562f.
- 108. Cp., e.g., Obermiller 1933, 96; S 1969, 822; Kawasaki 1976, 168.
- 109. S 1969, 822f.
- 11o. Suguro 1976, 31ff.
- 111. E.g. Yokoyama 1980, 196-198; cp. also 204ff.; 1982, 69; Takasaki 1982, 13f. and 32; Hirosawa 1983; 1984, 61 note 1; Mori 1983; 1984; 1986; cp. also H 1982, 51. The chronological priority of the Bodhisattvabhūmi over the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra is stressed and demonstrated by Hotori (1983; cp. also 1982, 44 note 12) and Hirosawa (1984, 46 and 60), and it seems to be indicated already by Frauwallner when he treats, in his anthology (1969, 264ff.), BoBh before Saṃdh.
- 112. For an exception see n. 132.
- 113. Cp. Kudara 1980, 54 + 59. But cp. Y_t dzi 332a7 sa mań po (cp. H 1982, 59) and lDan(/lHan)-dkar-ma No. 615 (sa mań po ba) [Basic Section except ŚrBh and BoBh]. In VinSg, the Basic Section is called sa'i dńos gźi (S 1969a, 17f. note 4).
- 114. As for the alleged references of the Bodhisattvabhūmi to the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra (Nagazawa 1978, 325f., note 5), or at least to the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra in statu nascendi (Suguro 1976,47), it is quite obvious that one of them (BoBh_D 175,22 = BoBh_W 257,10: nītārthaṃ sūtraṃ pratisarati, na neyārtham) is too vague to allow any identification at all, whereas another one (BoBh_D 179,6f = BoBh_W 262,24ff.: gambhīrāṃs tathāgatabhāṣitān sūnyatāpratisaṃyuktān dharmān tathā tathā uttānīkaroti ... yathā pare srutvā tīvraṃ ... saṃvegam utpādayanti) may just as well refer to traditional Sūtras like AN I 72f. (cp. Suguro 1982b, 213ff.) or to

Mahayanasutras emphasizing śūnyata, as e.g. the Prajñaparamitāsūtras. Reference to such Mahāyāna Sūtras is certainly made by $BoBh_n$ 31,1off. = $BoBh_w$ 46,8ff. (ekatyā jneyān sūtrāntān mahāyānapratisamyuktān gambhīrān sūnyatāpratisamyuktān ābhiprāyikārthanirūpitān ("conceived with an intentional meaning"?) śrutvā yathābhūtam ... artham avijnāya ... evamdṛṣṭayo bhavanti ...: 'prajñaptimātram eva sar $vam \dots 'iti)$ and $BoBh_n$ 180,16ff. = $BoBh_w$ 265,3ff. (ye ... sattvā gambhīrāṇāṃ tathāgatabhāṣitānāṃ šūnyatāpratisaṃyuktānām sūtrāntānām ābhiprāyikam tathāgatānām artham avijnāya ye te sūtrāntāḥ niḥsvabhāvatāṃ dharmāṇām abhivadanti nirvastukatām anutpannāniruddhatām ākāšasamatām māyāsvapnopamatām ..., teṣāṃ yathāvad artham avijñāya — uttrastamānasāḥ tān sūtrāntān ... pratiksipanti ..., tesām api sattvānām sa bodhisattvah ... teṣām sūtrāntānām ābhiprāyikam artham yathā vad anulomayati). As these Sūtras are told to be easily misunderstood, thus not nītārtha but "intentional", they cannot be identified with the Samdhinirmocanasutra the aim of which (cp. especially ch. VII) is precisely to make explicit what is meant by the doctrine of essencelessness (etc.) and to remove possibility of misunderstanding. Therefore, the Sūtras referred to in these passages can only be the Prajñaparamitasutras or similar texts (as is quite obvious from the additional qualification of the doctrine of these Sutras in the latter passage: cp. Hotori 1982, 47 note 30).

- 115. Saṃdh X.5.2 (cp. Mukai 1985, 10) is unintelligible by itself and is therefore most probably based Y 71,12ff. which in its turn seems to presuppose the Vastusaṃgrahaṇī (Y_t 'i 143b1ff.: cp. Mukai 1985, 9ff.). Cp. also Mori 1983; 1984; 1986, 28ff. + 36ff..
- 116. S 1969, 823 + note 54; Suguro 1976, 42; Takasaki 1982, 13f.; Hotori 1983.
- 117. Viz. Samdh V (passim) and VIII (37.1.1).

- 118. Samdh V.3 (see \S 2.8 + n. 181). I for one do not see any clue for regarding Samdh V.3 (or the alayavijñana sentence in it) as a later interpolation, the more so as all the three concepts it treats of recur at V.6. Of course, I cannot altogether exclude the possibility of earlier oral materials containing only ādānavijnāna, but I regard it as fairly improbable since Samdh V seems to presuppose, and even be intended to supersede, the alayavijñana passages in the first two Bhumis of the Basic Section of Y (see § 3.9.1). Yet, even if such materials had in fact existed and were to be regarded as prior to all the alayavijñana passages of the Yogacarabhumi, the adanavijnana of these materials would be nothing but a close precursor of alayavijñana, and the question of the origin of the latter in the strict sense (§ 1.4) would still have to be distinguished and assessed independently.
- 119. Cp. Suguro 1976, 37; 1977, 129.
- 120. The same is true of the Hsien-yang (48oc14f.), whereas MSg (I.4f.) and AS (12,1f.) as well as many later sources (e.g. KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,26ff.); PSVy 24b2f. (Muroji p. 40,1-5); Si 13c9ff.; cp. also n. 46) expressly identify ādānavijnāna with ālayavijnāna (as for Paramārtha, see n. 89).
- 121. Or at least after most of the materials it contains, including the concept of alayavijñana, had taken shape.
- 122. S 1969; Suguro 1976. Cp. also Kudō 1975 (esp. p. 20) and Sh. Takemura 1940 (see n. 129), and more recent publications like Hirakawa 1981, 96, 98f. and 115 note 23, or Sueki 1981.
- 123. Mukai 1981, especially 682 and 684.
- 124. If the author had planned the work in five sections right from the outset, why does he not tell us so in the very beginning? For usually the macro-structure of the text, especially of the five main sections (cp., e.g., the list of

the 17 Bhumis in the beginning of the Basic Section) and (except for most of VinSg) even of many sub-sections, is expressly stated or at least indicated in advance. If, as Mukai (1981, 682) thinks, the author's personal views as well as the difficult subject-matter requiring discussion or explanation were, from the outset, planned to be treated in VinSg, why is this not indicated either, once for all, in a general way (e.g. in the beginning of the Basic Section), or by a reference in each case (as is in fact done, in the Basic Section, with regard to more extensive treatments in other chapters of the Basic Section or other sections like the Vastusamgrahani, but never with regard to the Viniścayasamgrahani) ? And how to explain, if VinSg mainly contained the author's o w n views and discussions of intricate matters, the statement, found at the end of each chapter of VinSg (see S 1969a, 21 + note 20), that further materials or even texts ($g\acute{z}u\acute{n}$, $\dot{\chi}$, *grantha) supplying clarification concerning the respective Bhumi are (mi snan, found 不現, *na dršyate)? In my opinion, this statement unambiguously supports the evidence supplied for the compilatory character of this text by incoherences of both (micro-)structure and contents (cp. S 1969, 813ff.; 1969a, 20ff.; §§ 4.5ff. (esp. 4.8ff.), 9 and 11 of the present study). 1. Cp. Suguro 1976, 4ff.; 9f.; 15.

The situation is somewhat different with regard to the Basic Section, but here too many incoherences or at least bewildering discrepancies of both structure and contents can be discovered on more or less closer observation. (A closer inspection of style and grammar – e.g. the distribution of the use of gerunds in $-(i)tv\bar{a}$ in the case of compound verbs – would probably reveal an unevenness of evidential value already on this level, but I have to leave this task to future research.)

To be sure, incoherences and discrepancies may also occur within the genuine work of one single author (who may have

revised or supplemented his own work or even have simply patched together several works of his own). But surely in mediaeval India too (see n. 1183) such inconsistencies would not, at any rate in a philosophical or dogmatical text, exceed certain limits, unless the person is, to say the least, unnaturally careless or unsystematic. But would it not show lack of respect to impute such a thing to a famous scholar like Asanga?

On the other hand, in a compilation of heterogeneous materials such inconsistencies are quite natural, especially if the compiler(s) refrained from tampering with the wording of their materials or if the compilation was done in several stages or by different persons. After all, Mukai (1981, 684f.) himself considers the Bodhisattvabhūmi to be based on an older, independent work preserved in a Chinese translation so close to the present BoBh that it had so far been regarded as nothing else but an aberrant recension of the latter. But how can we, in view of this precedent, preclude that other parts of the Yogācārabhūmi, too, or even all of it, consist of, or are at any rate based on, preexisting materials?

- 125. Mukai 1981, 682.
- 126. See § 4.9.
- 127. As this doctrinal contradiction subsists in dependently of the "compilation hypothesis" and of textual analysis, no circular reasoning is involved. Of course, I cannot prevent anybody from asserting that, in order to a void such a contradiction, one has to a ssume that "the author", for certain reasons, which one will no doubt be imaginative enough to invent, has used the term 'ālayavijñāna' in two different senses. But one should at least admit that such an assumption would not in any way be based on the text itself but merely on the preconception of the subsported by

"tradition" though it may be) that the text was composed by one single author - a famous one at that - and therefore at all events has to be free from gross contradictions. If such a view is deliberately adopted, it is of course altogether futile to continue the discussion by adducing further doctrinal or textual evidence to the contrary.

- 128. H 1977, 221; 223f. (for a detailed discussion of this article, see § 8); H 1978, 2. Cp. also the short but more soft-spoken reference to this issue in H 1982, 54. In view of the compiler's final remark at the end of each chapter and the considerable structural and doctrinal inhomogeneity within the Viniścayasamgrahani (see n. 124) as well as the considerable differences in the ālayavijñāna theories of VinSg (see §§ 4.8.1-4 and esp. 5.6.2-4.1) on the one hand and MSg and AS (see §§ 4.8.5 and esp. 5.12.1-2) on the other, I cannot but express serious reserves against Hakamaya's view (H 1978, 2f.) that most of the material of VinSg is Asanga's own.
- 129. This was, in principle, already recognized by Sh. Takemura (1940, esp. 79f. [summary]).
- 130. Samdh X, e.g., though included in the quotation of the whole Sūtra in the Bodhisattvabhūmi-viniścaya, does not seem to have had much doctrinal influence on the other materials (cp. Suguro 1976, 46), in any case not on the Nirvāṇa chapter which does not use the terms dharma- and vimuktikāya typical of Samdh X but has the Buddha continue to work for the salvation of living beings a f t e r his entrance into the Nirvāṇa where no Possessions (i.e. skandhas) remain (nirupadhiseṣaṃ nirvāṇam) (S 1969a, 37; 54ff.; 66f.). On the other hand, the ālayavijñāna theory of this chapter would seem, in a sense at least, to be more advanced than that of the Nivṛtti Portion (see n. 226) of the VinSg ālay. Treatise, as a whole, presupposes Samdh V and VIII, though this need

not, eo ipso, be true of the basic material made use of in the Nivrtti Portion (see § 11).

131. Cp., e.g., Y_t 'i 2b4ff. (see n. 471) where Badness (dausthulya) and Ease (prasrabdhi, cp. n. 47) are taught to exist in body and mind, and Seeds (bija) in the good, bad and neutral dharmas (i.e. in the mental series made up of these?), or Y_{+} zi 215a5f. (see n. 495) where it is said that the Seeds of Defilements, which are also called 'Badness', exist in mind and in the mental factors as well as in the pellucid matter [of the sense faculties]. - As far as I can see, the quotation of the whole of the Samdhinirmocanasutra in the Bodhisattvabhumi-viniścaya apart, alayavijñana does not occur except in the Pañcavijñanakaya-mano-bhumi, the Sacittika Bhumih and the Nirvana chapter of VinSg. Several of its occurrences in the Pañcavijñanakaya-mano-bhumi-viniścaya are, in the present connection, especially interesting because in their case the reference to alayavijñana looks, more or less, appended: Y, zi 15b5ff. (= Y_c 583b21ff.) extensively describes a theory of bijas according to which mind and corporeal matter contain their own Seeds as well as those of each other (see § 2.5). The description of this theory is, however, followed by the express statement - in my opinion to be ascribed to the compiler - that it is valid only so long as alayavijñana is not introduced and that, if alayavijñana i s duced, it alone functions as the container of the Seeds of all dharmas $(Y_{+}$ zi 17b6: see n. 452). Similarly, in Y_{+} zi 76b2ff. (= Y_c 6o7b4ff.) the traditional view that nirodhasamāpatti, once obtained in this world-sphere, can be reproduced even in the rūpadhātu (but not in the ārūpyadhātu because no basis of existence would be left) is reported but afterwards supplemented by the (compiler's own?) remark that this is what is taught only so long as alayavijñana is not introduced, whereas when it is introduced there is no need for such a restriction (because even in the ārūpyadhātu

ālayavijñāna will still be available even when all pravṛtti-vijñānas have ceased). Cp. also Y_t zi 39a3ff. (= Y_c 593a1ff.) where the (tradition al) definition of nirodhasamāpatti as cessation of mind (citta) and mental factors (caitta) [in general, i.e. of all of them,] is followed by the remark (once again by the compiler?) that what ceases is only the pravṛttivijñānas but not ālayavijñāna.

Such a case is, in my opinion, the passage containing the 132. only occurrence of the continuous manas in the Basic Section, viz. Y 11,4-8 (see § 6.2, especially 6.2.3-4, and n. 943). Another one I take to be the passage from the Śrutamayi Bhūmiḥ pointed out by Mukai (1981, 683f.), viz. Y_{+} dzi 184b8ff. (= Y_c 345b19ff. = Y_m 84a4ff.), where, after a set of key terms (pada) for explaining Śrāvakayāna, another set of such terms for Vaipulya, i.e. Mahayana (Ym 84b2), is presented along with short definitions consisting of enumerations of the items comprised in the key terms. Among these key terms, several of which are typical of the Bodhisattvabhūmi, we find the five vastus (nimitta, nāman, vikalpa, tathatā, and samyagjīnāna), the three svabhāvas (pariniṣpannaḥ, paratantraḥ and parikalpitaḥ svabhāvaḥ), the three niḥsvabhāvatās (lakṣaṇa-, utpatti- $[Y_m: upapatti-]$ and paramārtha-niḥsvabhāvatā), and the fivefold mahābodhi (svabhāvatah saktitah upayatah pravṛttito nivṛttitas ca). These terms do not occur anywhere else in the Basic Section. The three svabhāvas and niḥsvabhāvatās are found in the Samdhinirmocanasutra but the other two key-terms point to the Bodhisattvabhumi of the Viniścayasamgraha-enumeration of these terms in the present passage presupposes additional information about them, but I do not think it probable that such additional information existed merely in the mind of the author, in the sense that he had a clear conception, right

from the very beginning of the details of the later parts of the work. For in this case one would expect him to give a hint to the effect that full treatment was intended at a later point. And even if he wanted to anticipate such a treatment by introducing no more than the terms here: since this treatment is found in the Bodhisattvabhumi-viniścaya, why does he anticipate it in the Śrutamaył Bhūmi of the Basic Section and not in the Bodhisattvabh $ar{ exttt{u}}$ -Is it not more probable that the passage was added only after the Basic Section and the Viniscayasamgrahani were already there, i.e. added, e.g., by the final compiler or redactor of Y as a whole, who found that these important terms should not be missing in such a list of the key terms of Mahayana (cp. also Yokoyama 1982, 62)? To insert a few items in such a list would by no means be unprecedented. It may even be that the whole list of Mahayana key terms is a later addition, for although the concluding phrase refers to the dichotomy of key terms into Śrāvakayanist and Mahayanist ones, and although the Mahayanist ones are expressly introduced as such, the Śrāvakayāna key terms lack such an introductory phrase. The text rather starts with simply presenting key terms, without any specification as to the yana. Such a situation would appear quite natural if there had been, originally, traditional key terms only and if the Mahayanist terms had been added later, but one would not expect it if b o t h sets of key terms had been conceived from the outset.

- 133. Cp. Suguro 1976, 38; 1977, 128 (below).
- 134. Cp. Suguro 1977, 129 above, 1ff.
- 135. See § 2.8 + n. 181.
- 136. MSg I.2 + I.27:

"Mind containing all Seeds is the ālaya of all dharmas; therefore it is [called] 'ālaya-vijnāna'; I have taught it [only] to the excellent [disciples].

[It is the $\bar{a}laya$ of all dharmas] because all dharmas always stick to [this] mind, and likewise this [mind] sticks to them, in the sense of being the result and the cause of each other."

(chos kun sa bon thams cad pa'i // rnam par ses pa kun gźi ste //
de bas kun gźi rnam ses te // dam pa dag la nas bsad do //
chos kun rnam par ses la sbyor // de dag la yan de de
bźin //
phan tshun 'bras bu'i dnos po dan // rgyu yi dnos por
rtag tu sbyor //

*ālayaḥ sarvadharmāṇāṃ vijñānaṃ sarvabījakam /
*tasmād ālayavijñānam ... // (cp. H 1978a, 223)
sarvadharmā hi ālīnā vijñāne, teşu tat tathā /
anyonyaṃ phalabhāvena hetubhāvena sarvadā // (MAVŢ
34,1f.)

As the second verse shows, 'ālaya' is understood here in more or less the same sense as in the first explanation of MSg (see n. 137).

137. MSg I.3 (≈ YVy 98a8f.):

"It is [called] 'ālayavijñāna' because all polluted (sāṃkle-śika) dharmas which have an origin (i.e. are non-permanent) stick (ālīyante) to it as [its] results (phalabhāvena), and it sticks to them as [their] cause. Or it is [called] 'ālayavijñāna' because living beings stick to it as to [their] Self (probably *sattvā vā tad ātmatvenālīyante, Tib. having understood *tad-ātma-)".

(skye ba can kun nas ñon mons pa'i chos thams cad 'bras bu'i dnos por der sbyor ba 'am / de yan rgyu'i dnos por de dag tu sbyor ba'i phyir kun gźi rnam par šes pa'o // yan na sems can dag de'i bdag ñid du sbyor bas kun gźi rnam par šes pa'o //).

1. YVy: de la bdag tu sbyor ba'i phyir; Hts.: 播蔵此識 為自我故 ; similarly Pa. and Buddhaśānta.

The first explanation (for which cp. TrBh 18,24-26, MAVT 33,8f., ASBh 11,10f., and Si 7c21f. and 13c13f.) is close to that of the Abhidharmasūtra (see n. 136). As Sthiramati (TrBh 18,24f.) aptly points out, 'aliyante' in this explanation means upanibadhyante, i.e. to be bound or attached to something else in a causal, ontological sense, the noun 'alaya' being explicable either in an active (more precisely: middle) or in a locative meaning. On the other hand, in the second explanation 'ālaya' is used in a locative or objective application (cp. n. 202) of the (traditional Buddhist) meaning "(spiritually evil) emotional or intellectual clinging" (cp. also ASBh 11,1of.: ālīyante sattvā ... ātmagrāheṇa, Si 7c22 and tasmin . . . 13c14f., and the second explanation of the Pancaskandhaka

138. KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,28f.): "It is called 'ālayavijāāna' because it is the abode or receptacle (*ālaya) of the Seeds of all dharmas" (chos thams cad kyi sa bon gyi gźir gyur pa'i phyir). This explanation starts from the standard Skt. use of 'ālaya' in the sense of "hiding-place", "abode", which is also common in non-terminological Buddhist language. Cp. also the definition of PSVy (n. 139), the first definition of PSk (n. 140), and TrBh 18,23f. (tac ca [Ui 1979a, 55; ed.: tatra] sarva-sāṃkleśika-dharma-bīja-sthāna-tvāt ālayaḥ).

(see n. 140)).

- 139. PSVy 24b2 (Muroji p. 40,1f.): ci'i phyir 'di kun gźi rnam par ses pa źes bya źe na / chos thams cad kyi sa bon gyi gźi gań yin pa'o ("because (*yat) it is the receptacle of the Seeds of all dharmas").
- 140. PSk_t 17a3f. (PSk_D § 26; Muroji, p. 44,15-17; Anacker 1984, 72; Shimokawabe/Takayama 1976, 22): "It is [called)] 'ālaya-vijñāna' 1) because it is the receptacle of all Seeds, 2) because it is the object of Clinging to personal existence (v.l.: because it is the object of Clinging [consisting in] the conceitful conception of Self), ¹ and 3) because it

sticks to (or hides in) the body." (kun gźi rnam par śes pa de² nīd³ ni sa bon thams cad kyi gźi nīd dan / ¹lus kyi kun⁴ gźi dan rgyu nīd dan / lus la gnas pa nīd kyi yan phyir ro; PSkc 850a5f.:阿賴耶識者、謂能攝藏一切種子故、又能攝藏我慢相故、又復婦身為境界故、Presumable original: *tadālayavijnānatvaṃ⁵ sarvabījālayatām ātmabhāvālaya-nimittatām (v.l.: ātmamānāla-ya-) kāyālayanatām (Ch.: kāyālambanatām) copādāya). Cp. PSkVai 53a7ff.; PSkViv 95a7ff.; PSkEh 199a1ff.

- 1. Tib. (and the commentaries) take *-ālayanimitta- as a dvandva, involving two arguments; but the latter of these deviates from the otherwise consistently etymological character of the explanations. For this reason I have not followed this interpretation.
- 2. PSkVai 53a7: 'di; PSkBh 199a2f.: om.
- 3. PSkVai 53a7: om.
- 4 ... 4 PSkVai 53b4 gives as a v.l.: bdag tu na rgyal gyi (cp. Ch!).
- 5. Tib. seems to have (mis)understood *tad- $\bar{a}laya$ as *tad $\bar{a}laya$ -.
- 141. Cp. §§ 3.11.8; 3.13.8; 4.7.3; 6.2.2.d + 6.2.3.a.
- 142. CPD s.v. ālaya; Funahashi 1969, 32 and 37 (above, 2f.); 1976, 7ff.; cp. also Tsukinowa 1971, 177ff.

 Cp. also AKBh 245,19: chando vā rāgo vā sneho vā prema (text wrongly yena) vā ā l a y o vā niyantir <vā?> adhyavasānam vā (SĀ No. 312 [Honjō 1984, 68f.]). Similarly DhSk D 51,17f. As for the use of 'ālaya' in an objective sense, see ns. 202 and 137.
- 143. Cp. Funahashi 1976, 39ff.; for details see ns. 192, 193, 195, 203 and 204.
- 144. Cp. Yokoyama 1979, 116ff.
- 145. Suguro 1976, 38; 1977, 128 (below, 1. 21ff.).
- 146. Y_m 78b5 (Y_t dzi 172a6-8;Y_c 34oc27ff.): nirodhaṃ samāpannasya cittacaita ¹sikā niruddhā bhavanti / kathaṃ vijnānaṃ kāyād anapa ²krāntaṃ bhavati / tasya hi rūpiṣv indriye<ṣv a>pariṇateṣu pravṛttivijnānabījaparigṛhītam ālayavijnānam anuparataṃ bhavati āyatyāṃ tadutpattidharmatāyai </>.

- 1. Y_m -tta-.
- 2. Y_m -va-.
- 147. a) The use of -parigrhita in Yogacara sources (especially in Y) calls for special investigation which is beyond the limits of this study. As far as I can see, it may be used both in a passive and in an active sense (for the latter use see H. Bechert, Eine eigentümliche Partizipialkonstruktion, in: Münchner Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft 10/1957, 54ff.; BHSG § 34.15; BHSD s.v. paryupāsita). The t i v e use is unambiguously documented by $BoBh_n$ 68,7 (= BoBh, 96,12f.): sarvavidyāsthānaparigṛhītāni pañca vidyāsthānāni ("the five fields of knowledge which prise all fields of knowledge", inspite of Tib. [Y, źi 61a5: rig pa'i gnas kyis yons su bsdus pa] and Chin. [Y 一切明慮所攝]). The passive 500c21f.: unequivocal at $BoBh_n$ 35,3f. (= $BoBh_w$ 51,5f.): $n\bar{a}ma-samj\bar{n}\bar{a}bhi$ lāpa-parigrhīto nāma-samjnābhilāpa-paribhāvito where -parigrhīta, as a quasi-synonym of -paribhāvita ("soaked in or permeated by"), must mean "possessed or influenced by", as is confirmed by AS 23,26f. (tair eva nāma-pada-vyanjana-kāyaih parigrhītāh citta-caitasikā dharmāh). Cp. also BoBh_D 187,19 (= BoBh_W 276,7f.): klešena duḥkhena ca parigṛhitatvat ("seized by or stricken with ..."); BoBh_n 115,6 (= BoBh, 167,27f.): akalyāṇamitra-parigṛhīta ("taken in by ...", "under the sway of ..."); MSABh 59,6: mantraparigrhitam ... kāsthalostādikam ("influenced by ...").
 - b) In connection with bija or related notions, an a c t i v e relation of (ālaya) vijnāna (etc.) to bija (etc.), denoted by pari-grah-, is unambiguously expressed at MAVT 34,8f.: ālayavijnānam ...-vāsanām parigrhņāti, or Ytzi 8b4f.: kun gźi rnam par ses pa ... dge ba'i rtsa ba rnams kyi sa bon yons su 'dzin pa gan yin pa ("ālayavijnāna in so far as it possesses/comprises the Seeds of ..."). The substantive 'bijaparigraha', too, appears to be mostly used in this sense of receiving, or possessing, Seeds; cp., e.g.,

ŚrBh 384,17 where both Tib. (Y, wi 169b7: sa bon yons su zin pa) and Chin. (Y 454b25: **攝受** ... 種子) render bija as the object of parigraha, or as that which is, or has been, taken possession of; cp. also MSg I.14.1 (sa bon yens su 'dzin pa) + $MSgU_t$ 248a8 (de yons su 'dzin par by e d p a s kun gźi rnam par śes pa (D) ni ...). Of course, this intrinsically active relation, viz. the fact that (alaya)vijñana receives or contains (pari-grah-) Seeds, may also be expressed in a passive construction, as e.g. at SrBh 384,14 (cp. Y 207,9 [see n. 1155]) where vijnāna-parigṛhītam ... -nāmarūpabījam must mean "the Seed of n. possessed by, or contained in, v."; cp. Y 200,16f.: vijnānam ... -nāmarūpabījopagatam ("v. is 'approached by', i.e. furnished with, the Seed of n."). Cp. also Bhasya on MSg I.39: "... from its Seed which is contained in alayavijñana" (MSgBh, 161a5f.: kun gźi rnam par šes [pa la rnam par šes] pas yons su bzun ba'i ran gi sa bon las; part in square brackets missing in all Chin. versions).

c) On the other hand, pari-grah- (esp. -parigrhita) is also used to express a relation in which $vij ilde{n}$ ana (etc.) plays an passive rôle. So far, I intrinsically have noted unambiguous examples only for the use of -parigṛhīta in the sense of vijñāna being possessed or influenced by, or infested with, karman or karmic impressions (perhaps because in this case the aspect of spiritually negative heteronomy is prominent); cp. ŚrBh 384, 12f.: saṃskāra-parigṛhītaṃ ... punarbhava-vijnānâṅkura — prādurbhāvāya tad-bījam (i.e. present vijnāna as the Seed of future vijnāna: cp. Y 206,15 (see n. 1154) puņyāpuņyānenjya-samskāra-paribhāvita-bijabhūtam vijnānam, confirming at the same time that in this context -parigrhita is used in the sense of -paribhāvita, as at $BoBh_n$ 35,3f. (see above)); cp. also Y_+ 'i 154a5: bag la ñal dan beas pa'i 'du byed kyis yons su zin pa'i lus mnon par 'grub pa (*sānu\$ayasamskāra-parigrhītātmabhāvābhinirvrtti).

- d) There are, to be sure, some passages where the Tibetan and/or Chinese translation presupposes this intrinsically passive use of -parigrhita also in the case of the relation of Seeds, etc., to their support. Cp., e.g., Y_{+} zi 225b1f. (Y 665a17f.): las dkar ba rnam par smin pa dkar ba'i rnam par smin pa ni ... gcig tu 'dod pa'i tshor ba'i sa bon gyis yons su zin pa (種子所攝受) yin pa'i phyir gcig tu 'dod pa ... yin par rig par bya'o //, corresponding to something like *śuklānām śuklavipākānām karmanām vipākah ... ekāntenêşţavedanābîjaparigrhîtatvāt ekāntenêşţo ... veditavyaḥ. Yet, I for one find it difficult to exclude the possibility that -parigrhita is used, in this passage too, in the same way as at BoBh_D 68,7, i.e. in an active sense ("because it (= the [result of] Maturation) contains exclusively Seeds of agreeable sensations"). On the other hand, at Y_{+} zi 245b2 $(Y_c$ 672b12f.), where it is said that impermanent conditioned entities are Suffering (duḥkha, i.e. unsatisfactory) because (and in as far as) they contain $(Y_+: 'du \ byed \ mi \ rtag \ pa$ rnams kyi (P, D!) gnas nan len yons su 'dzin pa'i phyir ro) or are possessed by or infested with $(Y_c:$ **麁重所攝受故**) Badness (*anityānāṃ saṃskārāṇāṃ dauṣṭhulya-parigrahat), the passive interpretation is perhaps preferable since in the case of Badness, as in the case of karman, the aspect of spiritually negative heteronomy may be prominent. e) In view of these - admittedly preliminary - observations on the use of pari-grah- and -parigrhita, esp. with reference to the relation of (alaya)vijnana (etc.) to Seeds (etc.), I prefer to interpret, in the present passage, -parigrhita not, with Tib. (sa bon g y i s yons su zin pa), in a passive but rather, with Chin. (能執持
- pa), in a passive but rather, with Chin. (能執持 ... 種子), in an active sense; at any rate, this is the rational procedure so long as no unambiguous example is available in Y itself for the use of -parigrhita in the sense of an intrinsically passive relation between vijnāna and Seeds (not karman!).

- 148. See n. 227. It is clear from the additional mention of asamjnisamapatti that in this passage emphasis is no longer on the exegetical aspect (see § 3.2.1; cp. also Griffiths 1983, 571f.).
- 149. The passage corresponds to MN I 296 (No. 43: Mahavedallasutta); it is also found in the Sarvastivada version of this $S\bar{u}tra$, viz. the Mahākauşthilas $\bar{u}tra$ (M \bar{A} 791c16ff.), but a complete Mulasarvastivada version of this Sutra, including the present passage, is not known to me. On the other hand, the passage is also contained in the Dharmadinnasūtra of the Sarvāstivādins ($M\bar{A}_{c}$ 789a7ff.) - corresponing to the Cūlave-(MN No. 44) where the passage is, however, dallasutta missing -, and of this Sutra the Mulasarvastivada version, it too containing the present passage, has been transmitted by Samathadeva (AKTU tu 7a7ff., esp. 9b2ff.; cp. also H 1975a, (39) note 26; Honjō in Bukkyō Bunka Kenkyū 28/1983 [not accessible to me]). Moreover, the passage is met with in a partial parallel to the Dharmadinnasutra in the Samyuktāgama (SĀ, No. 568, cp. SN No. 41.6 [IV 294]), and it is, in view of the name of one of the interlocutors ('Dod pa can, Pāli Kāmabhū), from this Sūtra that the passage is quoted by Guṇamati (PSVyT 139a6-b3). Cp. also S 1987, § 6.2.2.2.o and chart VII line B.b (where, unfortunately, I have forgotten to list the occurrence of our passage in SN No. 41.6).
- 150. See n. 614.
- 151. PSVy 25b2 (Muroji 42,19f.) mentions the proposal of an opponent to take the Sūtra statement that mind has, in nirodhasamāpatti, not withdrawn from the body to refer to its reappearance after nirodhasamāpatti (cp. also MSgBh_t 164alf. and 167a3f. [ad MSg I.51]). But the Initial Passage does not seem to have been even conscious of the possibility of such an evasive interpretation; rather it has taken the Sūtra at its words, as it were. Nor would

Vasubandhu's reply (PSVy 25b2f. = Muroji p. 42,21), viz. that without the presence of mind in nirodhasamā-patti there would be nothing from which mind could reap pear afterwards, be cogent in earliest Yogācā-ra since for this purpose the Seeds of mind in the material sense-faculties would have sufficed, without having to be hypostatized into a form of mind proper.

- 152. KSi § 24 (Muroji p. 27); PSVy 18b6-8 (partly quoted, in a better translation, in PSVyT 15oa8) + PSVyT 139a5ff. and PSVy 25a8f. (Muroji pp. 28 and 42); cp. AKBh 72,21f. Cp. Demiéville 1952, 133; H 1975a, (37)f.; Griffiths 1986, 67f.
- 153. Obviously a master other than the Vasumitra of the Vibhāṣā who is expressly stated to have denied the existence of citta and caittas in nirodhasamāpatti (Vi 774a22ff.; Vi 331c6ff.); cp. AKp Introd. XLIV f.; KSi p. 237 note 77; H 1975a, (36) note 18; Griffiths 1986, 126.
- 154. Cp. also the opponent in Buddhaghosa's commentary on the Mahāvedallasutta (Ps II 351; Griffiths 1986, 6-8). Cp., moreover, the opponent at TSi 345b6ff., and Buddhadeva (Vi2; Dārṣṭāntikas and Vibhajyavādins, which is perhaps an adaptation to a later situation) in the Vibhaṣā (Vi, 331c1 ff.; Vi 774a14ff.; cp. Mizuno 1932, 1071), who both substantiate their thesis that there is mind (Vi,; Vi: subtle mind, but cp. H 1975a, (36) note 17) in nirodhasamāpatti by the argument that otherwise entering nirodhasamāpatti would mean death (TSi 345b18f.; Vi₂ 331c3f.; Vi 774a16f.). The Sutra passage of § 2.1 is, however, not expressly quoted. The TSi opponent quotes instead a nother Sūtra passage (see n. 58), which states the inseparability of lifeforce, bodily heat and vijñāna; and in the case of Buddhadeva (/ the Darşţantikas, etc.), too, the fact that his (/their) thesis is combined with the one that there are no living beings without corporeal matter may, in view of Vi

- 431b11f. (Vi₂ 325a3f.), point to the same exegetical starting point (or to the verse $\bar{a}yur$ usmatha vijnanam ...: see n. 165), or even to the Nadakalapikasūtra (see § 7.3) which is in fact adduced at AKBh 434,19f. (cp. also Vi 431b9f.; Vi₂ 325a2) in order to prove the view that there is corporeal matter even in $\bar{a}r\bar{u}pyadhatu$. Cp. also Bareau 1955, 94 (thèse 37); 174 (thèse 20).
- 155. Cp., e.g., Vi 774a18; AKBh 70,1f.; 73,3f.; Prak 694a2o-22; H 1975a, (35)f.
- 156. Cp. the definition of nirodhasamāpatti at ŚrBh 460,11ff. (... ya evaṃ ... cittacaitasikānāṃ dharmāṇāṃ nirodha iyam ucyate nirodhasamāpattiḥ); cp. also Y_m 78b2 (Y_t dzi 171b5f.; Y_c 34oc9f.): nirodhasamāpattiḥ katamā / ākiṃcanyāyatanavītarāgasya vihārasaṃjñāpūrvakeṇa manasikāreṇa cittacaitasikānāṃ dharmāṇāṃ nirodhaḥ /; Y₊ zi 192a3 (Y_c 652b26-28).
- 157. Vasumitra's citta in nirodhasamāpatti is qualified "not quite clear (aparisphuţa) manovijñāna" at AKVy 167, 6, but in view of the lack of any specification in AKBh this may well be a statement expressis verbis of what was at best implicit in Vasumitra's view (cp. also the remark, on Hts.'s [" subtle citta"], in H 1975a, (38) rendering 細心 note 25). The same may even hold good for Vasubandhu's having, at KSi § 25 (Muroji 27,14f.) and PSVy 19a1 (Muroji 28,14f.), an opponent (*kecit; not: Vasumitra!) identify Vasumitra's citta in nirodhasamāpatti as a mano vijnāna, since Vasumitra's (PSVyT 139b4; see Muroji p. 30, note) reply to Ghoşaka's (PSVyT 139b3; cp. AKBh 72,22) objection refer to the specific part of his not argument - which is, significantly enough, missing in AKBh 72,22ff. - that as a manovijñāna this citta must be conditioned by dharmas, i.e. have a definite object; instead, Vasumitra's reply only refutes the general part of that objection, viz. that the presence of a $v~i~j~ar{n}~ar{a}~n~a$, involving sparsa, would by necessity entail

vedanā and samjāā and thus contradict (samjāā-vedita-)niro-dha-samāpatti as a state where the latter are, per definitio-nem, absent. Anyway, within the limits of the traditional vijāāna system of the Sarvāstivādins and Sautrāntikas, the citta in nirodhasamāpatti (which was also advocated by btsun pa dGa' ba'i sde (*Nandasena?) according to PSVyT 139b7 [Muroji p. 32, note]) could hardly be classified but as a manovijāāna, as was made explicit not only by Vasubandhu (or the opponent (*kecit), his mouthpiece, provided that this opponent is not merely a literary device) but, obviously, already by Asanga (MSg I.52).

- 158. The doctrine that the *citta* which continues to exist in *nirodhasamāpatti* is a *manovijnāna* is elaborately refuted in texts like MSg I.52-54; KSi §§ 25ff. (Muroji p. 27,14ff.); PSVy 19a1ff. (Muroji p. 28,14ff.); Si 18a18ff. Cp. also Ghoṣaka's objection (see n. 157).
- 159. Hsien-yang 505b21f., though clearly not wishing to deny, here or elsewhere, the specific character of ālayavijñāna, yet states that it may be taken to be virtually included in the traditional group of six vijnānas because it stores the Seeds of all of them (藏彼種故).
- 160. See n. 149 ($M\bar{A}_c$ passages); S 1987, chart VIII.1.
- 161. M I 296. This seems to imply that the origin of bhavangaviññāṇa is not connected with nirodhasamāpatti but, as the name suggests, with pratītyasamutpāda (cp. also KSi § 37 [Muroji p. 47,17-19 (+ 45,19-22)]), especially with the moment of conception or Linking up (pratīsandhī); cp. Cousins 1981, 24f.; I disregard the rather weak possibility that the Mahīśāsakas, who may, perhaps, be credited with having introduced bhavāngavijnāna (see n. 68), had a version of our Sūtra different from that of the Theravādins and similar to that of the Mūlasarvāstivādins. On the other hand, the Milindapañha (299,14f.; 300,4f.) indicates the function of bhavangavinānāṇa as a "gap-bridger" when asserting that a

person in [deep] sleep, where [conscious] states of mind do not arise, has retreated into bhavanga. But though it is tempting to assume this for nirodhasamāpatti also, which is in fact referred to in the following sentence of the Milindapañha (cp. H 1975a, (34)f.), the wording of the text, not mentioning bhavanga in this case, is not necessarily in favour of such an assumption. Actually, as Collins (1982, 245f.) has shown, some passages in later Theravada texts indicate that, according to this school, in nirodhasamapatti even the otherwise continuous flow of bhavangavinnāna is interrupted, personal continuity being guaranteed only by the body or the corporeal life-force (for which see VisM XIV.59) - a fact which precludes attainment of nirodhasamāpatti in the incorporeal sphere (ārūpyadhātu) (Collins, 246 + 305 note 34; cp. Vi 778c1, and Y_{t} zi 76b2ff. treated in n. 131). It would seem that the Theravadins, by excluding the patently "samsāric" bhavangavinnāna from nirodhasamāpatti, have somehow preserved a reminiscence of the original status of this state as a kind of mystical anticipation of Nirvanaafter-death (see n. 199). On the other hand, my Initial Passage (and, less explicitly, already the Sutra by which it was called forth) treats nirodhasamāpatti as a special situalife, which, though still actual as a tion i n dogmatic issue, appears to have altogether lost its original soteriological significance (cp. also Griffiths 57off.).

- 162. See n. 149 and ASBh 13,14f.
- 163. See n. 199.
- 164. Cp., e.g., Sn 1037 = DN I 223,17 (viññāṇassa nirodhena etth' etaṃ uparujjhati). But passages like MN I 329 = DN I 223,12 (viññāṇaṃ anidassanaṃ anantaṃ sabbatopabhaṃ) may perhaps represent a different view; cp. also P. Harvey in: Buddhist Studies, Ancient and Modern, ed. by Ph. Denwood and A. Piatigorsky, London 1983, 39ff.

165. MN I 296: ... yadā kho ... imam kāyam tayo dhammā jahanti: āyu usmā ca vinnāṇam, athâyam kāyo ujjhito avakkhitto seti, yathā kaṭṭham acetanam;

 $M\bar{A}_{C}$ 789a1-7 and 791c9-16; AKŢU tu 9b1f.; S \bar{A}_{C} 15ob4ff.; cp. also ŚrBh 376,4f. (AKBh 73,19f.; AKVy 668,16f.; cp. SN III 143):

āyur uṣmātha vijnānam yadā kāyām jahaty amī /
apaviddhas tadā sete yathā kāṣṭham acetanam //

- 1. Uneven pada of a śloka (position of ca!): = SN III 143,4.
- 2. Even pāda of a śloka: see pāda d of the verse $\bar{a}yur$ usmatha ...
- 166. Cp. S 1987, §§ 0.5; 1; 5.1.5.0; 6.2.2.2.0-1; etc.
- 167. Cp. T 1571 (Dharmapāla's commentary on the Catuḥśataka), p. 228c8ff., where the continuous presence of subtle mind (微細心, *sūkṣmam cittam) even in unconscious states like sleep and swoon is shown to be true by the argument, among others, that even in such states it supports (任持, *dhāra-na) the body, i.e. keeps it alive (though there is no reference to a Sūtra). Cp. also the opponent at TSi 345b6ff. (especially 8f.), who bases his view that mind is present in nirodhasamāpatti on a Sūtra passage (see n. 154) which is systematically related to the one quoted in n. 165 but does not seem to have contained the word 'vijnāna' in its Mūlasar-vāstivāda version (see n. 58).
- 168. See n. 156.
- 169. The fact that a passage contains a less developed view than another one does not, of course, *eo ipso* imply that it is chronologically older. Even a contemporaneous or later source may advocate a more conservative theory due to geographical distances, difference of milieu, or personal option.
- 170. KSiŢ 93b4; AKVy 167,16; Sthiramati, Abhidharmakośaṭikā (Tj 5875 [mDo-'grel vol. to]) 266a1f. (sňon gyi mDo sde pa rnams); cp. AK_p II,212; Bareau 1955, 158 (thèse 18); Mimaki

- 1979, 199,22ff. (Sautrāntika chapter of the Blo-gsal-grub-mtha'). Yet, Vasubandhu himself (AKBh 72,18-21) merely ascribes this theory to "others" (apare) and, in the end, connects it with the "Former Teachers" (pūrvācāryāḥ) a designation which appears to refer, consistently, to the early Yogācāra masters, especially to the Yogācārabhūmi (cp. H 1986), as is, in the present case, supported by the passage quoted in n. 172.
- 171. KSi § 23: (Muroji p. 25,2-5): "[After nirodhasamāpatti, mind arises again] from its Seeds which have survived in the material sense-faculties (*rūpiṣv indriyeṣu); [for] the Seeds of mind and mental factors subsist, according to the circumstances, in the mind series as well as in the series of the material sense-faculties" (de'i sa bon dban po gzugs can la gnas pa las te / sems dan sems las byun ba dag gi sa bon ni sems kyi rgyud dan / dban po gzugs can gyi rgyud gnis (KSiŢ) la gnas pa yin te / ci rigs su sbyar ro); AKBh 72,18-21 (sendriyaḥ kāyaḥ instead of rūpīnīndriyāṇi: cp. n. 172); PSVy 20a7 (Muroji p. 26,10-12: lus kyi dban po'i rgyun = *kāyêndriya-santati or -santāna; cp. 20b1: lus kyi rgyun; 20b2 and 4: lus kyi dban po la; see also n. 172). Cp. Griffiths 1986, 63ff.
- 172. Y_t zi 15b5ff. (= Y_c 583b21ff.): "The material sense-faculties together with their bases (rūpīnīndriyāni sādhiṣthānāni), and mind (vijnāna): these two are, in short, called 'containing all Seeds'. The [Seeds] accompanying the material sense-faculties and of all other material factors as well as of all kinds of mind and mental factors. The [Seeds] accompanying mind are the Seeds of the material sense-faculties."

(dban po gzugs can rten dan bcas pa gan yin pa dan / rnam par ses pa gan yin pa 'di gnis ni mdor na sa bon thams cad

pa źes bya'o // dbań po gzugs can dań ldan pa ni / dbań po gzugs can de dag dań / de las gźan pa'i chos gzugs can rnams kyi sa bon kyań yin la / sems dań sems las byuń ba'i chos thams cad kho na'i sa bon kyań yin no // rnam par ses pa dań ldan pa ni rnam par (D) ses pa rnam pa thams cad po de'i sa bon yań yin la / de las gźan pa'i chos gzugs can ma yin pa rnams dań / dbań po gzugs can rnams kyi sa bon yań yin no //)

Cp. S 1969, 817; H 1986, 860.

1. Ch. 隨逐 ..., suggesting, for ... dan ldan pa, -anugata or -upagata in an a c t i v e sense; cp. n. 4o2(1c).

There seems to be a certain hesitation as to whether the Seeds accompanying corporeal matter are contained in the (subtle) material sense-faculties only (cp. also Y_+ zi 215a5f. [see n. 495] and 228b7 [Y_c 666b5f.]) or even, as in PSVy 20a7ff. (see n. 171), only in the sense of touch (which pervades the whole body [VGPVy 421a3] and is invariably present from the moment of conception onward [cp. Y 24,1of.]), or whether, as in the passage quoted above, they also contained in the bases (adhisthana) of the sense-faculties, i.e. their gross, visible supports, e.g. the eye-balls (cp. AKBh 13,1; 16,11; 21,5ff.). Since the support of the sense of touch comprises the whole body, adhisthana can, in this context, be equated with the body $(k\ddot{a}ya)$: cp., e.g., SAVBh tsi 17oa2f. (ad MSABh 151,5); TrT 17b6 (ad TrBh 19,7).

The idea that not only mind but also the material sense-faculties contain (or are) the Seed(s) of mind is, moreover, clearly implied in a statement of the Va-stusamer ahani (Yt 'i 252a4f. = Yc 814b11-13) according to which the Six Senses (sad-āyatana) are the support of the Seeds (or: the support in the sense of being Seed: cp. § 6.1.2.1 + n. 775 and § 7.1B.2.1.3.b + n. 1014) (*bijāŝraya?) of the six kinds of vijñāna (rnam par šes pa'i tshogs drug po rnams kyi ni ... skye mched drug

ni rgyu yin ... / 'di lta ste / skye mched drug ni de dag gi sa bon gyi gnas yin pa ...). In the Śrāvakabhū-mi, the (still less precise, or more archaic?) formulation that the Seeds of mind are in the body is met with (ŚrBh 431,14f.: asty [ms.] eṣām sarveṣām vijnānānām asmin kāye caturmahābhūtike (ed. $car{a}$) bijam ...).

172a. Y, zi 16a2-4 (cp. S 1969, 818 note 41).

172b. Y₊ zi 16a4-6.

173. The fact that this theory is, as far as I can see, not met with in its fully explicit form in the Basic Section but only in the Viniścayasamgrahani is not a cogent counter-argument; for even if we disregard, in view of Vasubandhu's reference to the pūrvācāryas (see n. 170), the ascription, by later authors, of this bija theory to "certain Sautrantikas", as well as the less explicit but (as far as the Seeds of mind are concerned) substantially equivalent formulation of the Vastusamgrahani (see n. 172), the fact that the Viniścayasamgrahani was compiled after the ${\it Basic}$ Section (cp. § 1.6.6) does not exclude that some of the materials compiled are fairly old (cp. § 1.6.7). Actually, the compiler of VinSg himself finds it necessary to state that this bija theory presupposes that alayavijnana is not introduced (see n. 131); and in view of the analogous cases (see ib.), of the fact that the introduction of alayavijñana in the Basic Section appears to be a rather late event (see § 6, especially 6.8), and of the obvious relation of this theory to my Initial Passage, I do not hesitate to take c a l l y , viz. in the sense that this bija theory was devised when alayavijnana had not yet been introduced. Thus, even if this bija theory, not being documented in the Basic Section, may have escaped the attention of the compiler(s) of this Section, this does by no means exclude that it was nevertheless known to the real author of the Initial Passage.

- 174. AKTU tu 9b6: dban po (text: du) gźan du 'gyur ba (read gyur pa?) ...-r mi 'gyur ro; KSi § 24 (Muroji p. 27,11): dban po dag ma gyur pa (aparinata) yin źin; PSVyT 139b1 (Muroji p. 28, note): dban po rnams yons su ma gyur pa yin; SĀc 15ob14: 諸根不壞; MĀc 789alof. = 791c19: 諸根不敗壞; MN I 296 and SN IV 294: indriyāṇi vippasannāni.
- 175. It may be difficult to decide whether this step was, as Griffiths (1986, 106) seems to suggest, in fact favoured by Vasumitra's assumption of (some kind of) mind in niro-dhasamāpatti (see § 2.3 + n. 152).
- 176. The addition of an attribute aparinatesu, especially in a predicative function (with a causal nuance), is easily accounted for by the wording of the Sūtra (see n. 174).
- 177. Y_t dzi 172a7: de'i dban po gzugs can yons su ma gyur pa rnams l a ... kun gźi rnam par ses pa med pa ma yin te /.
- 178. Y_c 34oc28ff.: 不變壞諸色根中、有 ... 阿賴耶識 .
- 179. Cp. PW s.v. $l\bar{\imath}$ + \bar{a} (3). Cp. Suguro 1982, 6off. (see § 7.1B.1); cp. also H 1978a, 216, rendering \bar{a} - $l\bar{\imath}$ in MSg I.2-3 by 埋没している ("to be buried, to remain obscure"), though I for one should not apply this meaning to that passage (see ns. 136 und 137).
- 180. As for a slight shift of meaning, see § 3.3.1.3.
- 181. kun gźi rnam par śes pa źes kyań bya ste / 'di ltar de lus 'di la grub pa dań bde ba gcig pa'i don gyis kun tu sbyor ba dań rab tu sbyor bar byed pa'i phyir ro //.

 Cp. also the partly quotation in YVy 115b6: 'di ltar de ni lus de la 'brel ciń rab tu 'brel ba<s?> na kun gźi źes bya'o.

 The Chinese versions (cp. n. 183) indicate that this explanation is "etymological" like that of citta and probably also that of ādānavijnāna (for which see n. 352). Thus, it may correspond to something like:

*ālayavijnānam ity apy ucyate, yaduta tasyāsmin kāya ālaya-

- na-pralayanatā m^1 upādāya ekayogaksemārthena.
- Cp. Yokoyama 1979, 119; Funahashi 1976, 55f.
- Or ālīyanapralīyanatām (Wackernagel II,2, Nachträge, 934 (ad 201).
- 182. Cp. PW s.v. lt- + pra-: "sich verstecken, sich versteckt halten; sich auflösen, ... verschwinden".
- 183. Cp. also the Chinese renderings of Hsüan-tsang (T 676, p. 692b17: 播受蔵障 "appropriates and lies hidden"), Bodhiruci (T 675, p. 669a25: 住著 "dwells in and sticks to"), Dharmagupta (T 1596, p. 273c12: 障蔵管運 "lies hidden" and Paramartha (T 1595, p. 157b23: 蔵障 "lies hidden"; cp. T 161o, p. 803a9f.: 阿梨耶者、依理為義). Cp. also Weinstein 1958, 57; Yūki 1935, 74 (身に蔵障せられてゐる); Yokoyama 1979, 118f. ((kakure)-hisomu); Takasaki 1982, 27 (kakurete iru); Suguro 1982, 65f.
- 184. This term is explained at Y 24,16f.: cittavasena ca tan (= kalala-rūpaṃ) na pariklidyate (see n. 250), t a s y a ca (sc. kalala-rūpasya) anugrahopaghātāc cittacaittānām (Ym) anugrahopaghātaḥ / tasmāt tad anyonyayogakṣemam ity ucyate. Cp. also Funahashi 1969, 38; Yokoyama 1979, 132ff.; 1979a, 5ff.; Takasaki 1985, 50 note 8.

 On the somewhat different use of yogakṣema as a quasi-synonym of artha, hita and especially nirvāṇa, see AN III 403; Norman 1969, 128.
- 185. PSk₊ 17a4 (see n. 14o).
- 186. S 1969a, 130.
- 187. AKBh 154,12: āśrayo hi sendriyaḥ kāyaḥ; AKVy 45,6; 395,2f. (āśraya = śarīra); ŚrBh 399,11 āśraya-daurbalya = 400,19 kāya-daurbalya; S 1969a, 92f. Cp. also n. 796.
- 188. Cp. n. 39.
- 189. ASBh 45,6: $tad- (= vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na-)viyukta \bar{a}$ \$rayah p \bar{u} t \bar{i} b h a v a t i; MSgU_t 240a6f. (H 1975, (18)): gźan du na ni (i.e. if \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} ana did not appropriate the body) \$i\$ ba'i ro

- bzin du 'jig par 'gyur ro //; KSiT 103b2: lus ni rnam par ses pas zin pa'i phyir ma si'i (D) bar du rul bar mi 'gyur ro. Cp. also n. 250.
- 190. Cp. the *indriyamahābhūtopādātṛ vijñānam of Y_t 'i 311a7 (see n. 80).
- 191. E.g. YVy 98b2 (see n. 817(d)), explaining upādātṛ at Y 11,4f. as implying an etymology of ālayavijñāna. Cp. also the rendering of ālayavijñāna by kun tu len pa'i rnam par šes pa in ASVy (e.g. 162b7 = AS 12,1; 163a1ff. = ASBh 11,11ff.).
- 192. According to MSg I.13B, the traditional interpretations of 'ālaya' are: a) the five upādāna-skandhas (i.e. the skandhas as the object of Clinging (i.e. of upādāna = chandarāga: cp. AS 2,5ff.; M I 300)); b) *sukhā vedanā sarāgā (i.e. this vedanā as the object of Clinging, or vedanā as the object plus rāga as the action of Clinging [cp. MSgU 246a7f.; H 1975, (30)]); c) satkāyadṛṣṭi (as the action of Clinging).
- 193. Vi 746c11-14: 若法為欲界阿賴耶所蔵、摩摩异多所執、名欲界繋。 阿頼耶者、謂愛。摩摩异多者、謂見。 I cannot follow Funahashi (1969, 37f.; 1977, 74f.; cp. 1976, 4of.) who seems to perceive, in the expression 阿賴耶所蔵 , an indication of the aspect of being stored or hidden in the body, or of Seeds being stored, i.e. of an essential aspect of the notion of alaya-v i j ñ a n a . But in view of the fact that in this passage $\bar{a}laya$ is parallel to and even quasisynonymous with $m a m \bar{a} y i t a$, and is subsequently explained as tṛṣṇā (i.e. as the emotional or affective aspect of spiritually negative Clinging, whereas mamāyita is taught to mean drsti, i.e. the intellectual aspect of Clinging), I think that Funahashi has been misled by the Chinese phraseology (所蔵) and that the passage rather means that those dharmas which are clung to (*ālīna, or *ālīyante) by attachment $(\bar{a}laya)$ to the $k\bar{a}madh\bar{a}tu$ (etc.) are $k\bar{a}ma$ dhātu-pratisamyukta (etc.).

- 194. See n. 203 and CPD s.v. ālaya 2(c).
- 195. Y_m 84b7 (= Y_t dzi 186b4): ālayaḥ katamaḥ / atīte apekṣā, anāgate abhinandanā, pratyutpanne adhyavasānaṃ /.
- 'upādāna' in this sense is closely related to the Abhidharma 196. 'u pātta' (cp. Yūki 1935, 16of.; Suguro 1977, 130; Yokoyama 1979a, 3f.; Takasaki 1985, 42f.). The term 'upātta' characterizes living, organic matter in contradistinction to inanimate, dead matter; cp., e.g., Y_{+} zi 228a3 ff. (Y 666a11ff:) stating that only the matter $(r\bar{u}pa)$ of the sense-faculties (indriya) and the matter connected with them (i.e. corporeal matter) which mind and mental factors have made their support and not abandoned (gnas su byas sin ma spans pa) - thus not hair, nails and teeth, etc. - is upātta, and that this means that its being affected by certain external or internal causes leads to pleasant or unpleasant sensations. Similarly, Y_t zi 41b1f. (Y_c 593c29f.) reads: "[Appropriated (upātta) matter] is [matter] which occurs/grows in coalescence with mind (*vijnana-sammurcchitam (see n. 239) (pra)vartate; Ch. (生長) seems to have read *(pra)vardhate in the sense of sharing its destiny (*ekayogakṣemārthena (cp. n. 184)), and which is the basis of the origination of feelings" (de (= zin pa'i gzugs) yan rnam par ses pa dan 'dres pa grub pa dan bde ba gcig pa'i don gyis (D) 'jug pa gan yin pa dan / tshor ba rnams skye ba'i rten du gyur pa gan yin pa'o //). As for the first part of this definition, see also Y_c 880a1ff., whereas the second part stands in isolation at AS 29,23f.: vedanotpattyāsraya<rūpa>ta upāttaṃ draṣṭavyam / rūpaskandhaikadešaḥ (ASBh 43,16: sādhiṣṭhānendriyasamgṛhītaḥ). Cp. also AKBh 23,16 f.: upāttam iti ko 'rthaḥ / yac cittacaittair adhiṣṭhānabhāvenõpagrhītam anugrahopaghātābhyām anyonyānuvidhānāt, yal loke sacetanam ity ucyate; NA 352b6ff.; Vi 712b8ff., esspecially c7ff.

 ${\it Up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na}$ in the sense of biological appropriation is ex-

pressly distinguished from upādāna in the sense of spiritually negative Clinging in Yüan-ts'ê's commentary on the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra (Saṃdhī ti 269b7ff.; the Chin. original is not accessible to me). Yüan-ts'ê makes it clear that spiritually negative Clinging - i.e. when a person who is under the sway of satkāyadṛṣṭi believes that there is Self - is abandoned when pure insight (i.e. daršanamārga?) has arisen, whereas biological appropriation - which is the source of pleasant and unpleasant sensations [in the body] - continues until [the attainment of final] Nirvāṇa.

- 197. Cp., e.g., Y 208,14ff.; Sh. Miyamoto in: IBK 44/1974, 969ff.

 As for the equivalence of ālaya with this kind of upādāna, cp. the fact that AS 2,5ff. (cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 181f.) seems to make use of the Y passage quoted in n. 195 in order to explain why upādāna is defined as chanda + rāga:

 *anāgatābhilāṣād vartamānādhyavasānāc ca (reconstr. slightly modified by me). Cp. also Suguro 1977, 131 (above, 2ff.);

 Y. Sasaki 1982, 190 (below, 15ff., especially 18:

 **#告いう意味で).
- 198. Cp., e.g., AKBh 70,15f.; Vi 779c29ff.; ŚrBh 460,11ff.; 508,15f.; TrBh 24,16f.; VisM XXIII.18.
- 199. Cp. de la Vallée Poussin 1937, 189f.; S 1981, 214ff.; 1987, § 8.1.4; Vetter 1985, 68; Bronkhorst 1986, 95; 97; 102; Griffiths 1986, 13ff., especially 19 and 28ff.; 149f. note 28 (with further references).
- 200. VisM XXIII.30 (without iva!) + Paramatthamañjūsā (anupādisesanibbānaṃ ... viya; cp. Griffiths 1986, 29f. and 157);

 AKBh 232,20f.: nirodhasamāpatti-vyutthitaḥ parāṃ cittašāntiṃ labhate, nirvāṇa-sadṛšatvāt samāpatteḥ / sa hi gata pratyāgata iva nirvāṇād bhavati;

 AS 95,16ff. (reconstr.; read *šāntavimokṣavihāraṃ samatikramya mokṣānus adṛša-vihāra-samṛdhau yaš cittacaitasikānāṃ (dharmāṇāṃ) nirodhaḥ; cp. AS 133a3-5 and ASBh 125,11ff.).

- 201. Cp., e.g., kāma (cp. ŚrBh 449,15: dvividhāḥ kāmāḥ: kleśakā-mā vastukāmāś ca), upadhi (see CPD s.v.), parigraha (see PTSD s.v.).
- 202. Thus, probably, in the expressions 'ālayārāma', etc. (see n. 71; cp. ns. 203 and 204; I find that "delighting in attachment" (CPD; cp. SpK I 195,23f. and 196,5 [t a n h \bar{a} l a y a beside $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}laya$]; Ps II 174f.) is rather far-fetched, the natural interpretation being no doubt "delighting in o b j e c t s o f –attachment"); cp. also VisM XVI.28: $\bar{a}laya$ = $tanh\bar{a}$ v a t t h u (\equiv dukkha, from the ultimate point of view).
 - Cp. also the frequent use of $\bar{a}laya$ in the sense of "house, dwelling; nest, lair; abode", etc. (see CPD s.v. $\bar{a}laya$, 1), which, as A. Wezler kindly informed me, is adduced in Kāśikā ad Pāṇ 3,3,118 as an example for the use of the suffix (gh)a in the sense of the 1 o c u s (adhikaraṇa), i.e. the place where one crouches or hides. Analogously, the Buddhist meaning of \bar{a} - $l\bar{i}$ would yield a noun $\bar{a}laya$ = "that to which one sticks or clings". Cp. also n. 137.
- 203. Cp. Mp III 128 (ad AN II 131: ālayārāmā ... pajā): "'āla-ya' in the sense of what is clung to by craving and wrong views are the five objects of sensuality, or the whole process of existence (i.e. saṃsāra)" (taṇhā-diṭṭhī-hi allīyitabbaṭṭhena ālayo ti paāca kāmaguṇā, sakalam eva vā vaṭṭam); Spk I 195 (cp. Ps II 174): ālayārāmā ti: sattā paāca-kāmaguṇesu allīyanti, tasmā te (sc. kāmaguṇā!) 'āla-yā' ti vuccanti; Paramatthamañjūsā ad VisM XVI.28: "With regard to ālaya, i.e. to the five objects of sensuality, or to all kāmas [in the sense of] things [desired], or to the three [spheres of] existence" (ālaye paāca-kāmaguṇa-saṅkhāte sakala-vatthu-kāma-saṅkhāte bhava-ttaya-saṅkhāte vā). Cp. also Funahashi 1969, 33; 1976, 12; 1977, 74.
- 204. Vism-mhṭ S^e III 368,14 (quoted in CPD s.v. ālaya-rāma):
 pañc'upādāna-kkhandhā ālayo; MSg I.13B: yan kha cig ni ...

- ne bar len pa'i phun po lna po dag ni kun gźi źes bya'o snam du sems so //.
- 205. Cp. MSgU_t ad I.13 (246a6; H 1975, (30)): de dag la bdag ñid du 'dzin pa'i phyir ro//. The view that the upādānaskandhas are the object of the wrong notion of 'I' and 'Mine' is of course canonical (cp., e.g. MN I 300; SN III 127f.); cp. also Y₊ zi 112b6f. = Y_c 621b6f., etc.
- 206. Hsien-yang 505b21f. (see ns. 159 and 778) may not be unambiguous.
- 207. Cp. n. 101.
- 208. Y 207,13 (etc.): nāmarūpabija-parigṛhīta-bijabhūtaṃ (sc. sa-dāyatanam). Cp. n. 147(b).
- 209. Y 200,17f. (etc.): nāmarūpabījam ... ṣaḍāyatanabījôpagatam (etc.).
- 210. See § 6.2.2.d.
- 211. E.g. Y 55,14; cp. Yokoyama 1979a, 13f.; Suguro 1982, 63f.
- 212. See n. 195.
- 213. See below.
- 214. Murakami 1978, 461f.; for the cosmological term 'pralaya' cp. also Frauwallner 1953, 363f.
- 215. Cp. also S 1969a, 128.
- 216 Cp. also Hattori 1985, 104.
- 217. Cp. the use of -parigrhita at BoBh_D 68,7 (quoted in n. 147(a)).
- 218. Cp. Y_m 95b5f. = $SrBh_m$ 2A6,5f. $(Y_t$ dzi 213b7ff.; Y_c 355c13-16):

ākā sānantā dhimok ṣaḥ vijnānānantā dhimok ṣaḥ āki na canā dhimok ṣaḥ na iva sa mjānā sa mjānā dhimok ṣaḥ s v a r a s a v ā h i - c i t t â dhimok ṣas ca: itî me pa na câ dhimok ṣāḥ anu pūr veņa suparikar mak ṛtāḥ s a m j nā v e d a -

y i t a n i r o d h a s a m \bar{a} p a t t i -parama-vihāra-nirhā-rāya samvarttante /

1. Y -samjnāmokṣaḥ; ŚrBh -samjnāyatanādhimokṣaḥ, but -āya-tana- is not represented in Tib. nor in Chin.

This passage, which may be pre-alayavijñanic or not, at any rate appears to imply the existence of an extremely subtle form of mind in nirodhasamapatti.

The earliest explicit statement of the subtleness of \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} ana or \bar{a} d \bar{a} navij \bar{n} ana seems to be Samdh V.7 (§ 3.9.1 + n. 321); cp. also Y_t zi 4b5f. and 5a7 (subtleness of the object and of the mental associates of \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} ana) and §§ 5.7 and 5.9.

- 219. Cp. n. 172; PSVy 2ob1f. (Muroji p. 26,18-20): "In the case of this assumption the Seed(s) of manovijnana would exist in two series: one [of them] would [exist as a residue] impressed (*bhāvita) on the mind series (citta-santati or -santāna), and another one [as a residue] impressed on the body series (kāyasantati)" (rnam par rtog pa 'di la yid kyi rnam par šes pa'i sa bon rgyud gñis la yod par 'gyur te / gcig ni sems kyi rgyud la[s] bsgos pa'o // geig ni lus kyi rgyun la'o //); KSiŢ 94a4f.: "In kāma- and rūpadhātu, [the Seeds of mind exist,] in conscious states (sacittikā avasthā), in both citta- and rūpasantati. In unconscious states, in rūpasantati [only]. In ārūpyadhātu, in cittasantati only" ('dod pa dan / gzugs kyi khams sems dan bcas pa'i gnas skabs na ni / sems kyi rgyud dan / gzugs kyi rgyud la'o // sems med pa'i gnas skabs na ni gzugs kyi rgyud la'o // gzugs med pa'i khams na ni sems kyi rgyud kho na la ste /).
- 220. Cp. the fact that, according to somewhat later sources, in nirodhasamāpatti even the occurrence of the subtle Ego-feeling of kliṣṭa-manas is excluded (MSg I.7A.4; AS 11,1; 13,11; ASBh 9,2of.; Tr 7), not to speak of gross mental acts of Clinging to Self. As for the fact that passages like Yt zi 6a5-7 do not take into consideration the absence of manas

in nirodhasamāpatti, see n. 657.

- 1. In this passage, tadekatyānām ca sthāvarānām has to be added with AS, 60b4, AS, 665c7, ASVy, 160b5f., ASVy, 700b8f. and 11; ASBh 9,20 and ASBh, 10a6.
- 221. Cp. S 1973, 165f.; 1976, 238-24o. As for the Bodhisattvabhūmi, cp. also Willis 1979, 36; Hotori 1982, 26.

The absence of vijnāptimātratā throughout Y (except the quotation of Samdh (see § 1.6.3 + n. 108)) does not of course exclude the occurrence, especially in Mahāyānist chapters like the Bodhisattvabhūmi of the Basic Section as well as of the Viniścayasamgrahanī, of a somehow illusionist ontology according to which dharmas or (by nature conceptually structured) phenomena (nimitta) arise from designations (prajñapti) or conceptions (vikalpa) and, though not necessarily mere mental images, are yet ultimately essenceless (in the sense of dharmanairātmya) (S 1973, 166f.).

On the other hand, most parts of the Yogacarabhumi do not involve such views but presuppose, more or less explicitly, the traditional ontology according to which dharmas (including material ones) are really existent, though impermanent and devoid of Self or Person (in the sense of pudgalanairātmya). Cp., e.g., the prose explanation of the Paramarthagāthās (Śr Bh_w 174,15): pudgalanairātmyam / (Y_m) ; or \$rBh 490,21ff. $(Y_t$ wi 225b5ff.; Y_c 474b7ff.; cp. also Y_{+} zi $70b2-4 = Y_{c}$ 605a9ff.): tasyaivam bhavati indriyamātram <i>ha upalabhe(?) vi sayamātram tajjam anubhavamātram cittamātra<m>/ a h a m m a m e $t i (?)^2 n \bar{a} m a m \bar{a} t r a m$ daršanamātram upacāramātram, nāta uttari nāto bhūyaḥ / tad evaṃ sati skandhamātram etan, nāsty esu skandhesu nityo dhruvah šāšvatah svā<mi>bhūtah kašcid ātmā ... / iti hi šūnyā ete saṃskārāḥ ā t m a v i rahitāh.../

It is self-evident that *cittamātra* in this context has no idealist implication but merely contrasts with *ātman*.

^{1.} ŚrBh aha upalabhate; Yt bdag gis ... mthoń ba (*aham upalabhe ?); Y $_{\rm C}$ 我於今者 (iha?) 唯有 ...

2. Cp. Y_t bdag dan bdag gi žes bya ba ni; Y_c 我我所 . ŚrBh_m:
hatā ātmeti. Y_t zi 7ob3 (bdag bdag ces bya ba'i ...;
Ch.: 我我) suggests ātmātmeti.

I do not think Kajiyama (1985, 345) is right in drawing from the fact, frequently expressed in the traditional layers of Y and related texts, that dharmas or pratyayas lack activity (nirīha, nišcesta: e.g. Y 203,15; 230,17f.; PG 1 (see n. 1394); AS 27,17f. and 22; ASBh 33,21) the consequence that from the point of view of ultimate Truth they must, in the sense of (Mādhyamic) śūnyatā, also lack reality. The texts always stress that though inactive the pratyayas are nevertheless efficient in the sense that effects derive from them (Y 230,18: pratyayasāmarthyasadbhāvāc ca; cp. PG 1; AS 27,22f.). The fact that they do not carry out any activity or undertake any effort is not due to their unreality but to their momentariness, as is explicitly stated in PG 5 (kṣaṇikāḥ sarvasaṃskārāḥ, asthi $r\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ (Y_m) kutah kriya). Cp. also Y_t 'i 290a7f. (= Y_c 829c15ff.): "Because saṃskāras are impermanent - they had [existence in the] past nor [have they any in the] future, and even in the middle they exist only for a moment (kṣaṇamātra) -, from the point of ultimate truth (paramārthatah) no activity, action or function (pravrtti) is found in them" ('du byed rnams ni mi rtag pa ñid de </> snon gyi mtha' yan med / phyi ma'i mtha' yan med / dbus kyi mtha' na yan skad cig tsam zig yod par zad pa'i phyir spyod pa dan byed pa dan 'jug pa don dam par (D) mi dmigs ...).

222. Cp. § 8.4 and S 1972, 154ff. Most earlier texts (cp., e.g., MSg I, especially I.5 and I.34ff.; cp. Suguro 1983, 5,5f.) and even comparatively late authors like Sthiramati do not consistently use an idealist phraseology but in certain contexts rather follow pre-idealist patterns, and occasionally it would even be difficult to reconcile their statements with the idealist system (cp., e.g., § 5.15.1). This does not however mean that I subscribe to the - mainly

American - fashion of altogether denying idealism (in the sense that objects, including material objects, are considered to be mere mental representations and - explicitly or implicitly - denied to exist outside mind) even in texts like Samdh VIII.7-8 (Wayman 1984, 134) or Vasubandhu's Viṃśatikā and Triṃśikā (cp., e.g., Willis 1979, 33ff.; Paul 1981, 317 note 40). This is, however, not the right place for a detailed discussion of the matter, but the reader may refer to the convincing arguments in Griffiths 1986, 82f. and 177 note 19.

- 223. Cp. § 10.3.1.3.
- 224. This has already been clearly recognized by de La Vallée Poussin (1934-35, 167). Cp. also the important and perceptive remarks on this point in Suguro 1983, 1ff. Otherwise Griffiths (1986, 106), who still seems to assume, as a matter of course, idealism even for the Yogacarabhūmi and seems to regard it as an essential precondition for the formation of the alayavijnana concept, without, however, adducing any unequivocal textual evidence for this.
- 225. Cp. also § 10.3.2.
- 226. For the sake of convenience, I call the first part of the VinSg ālay. Treatise, viz. the one which deals with the proofs of the existence of ālayavijnāna, the Proof Portion. As for the second part (on the different aspects (prabheda) of ālayavijnāna), I refer to its first half, which treats of the functioning (pravrtti) of ālayavijnāna, by Pravrtti Portion, and to its second half, which discusses ālayavijnāna's cessation (nivrtti), by Nivrtti Portion. For the sake of convenience, I use the latter term in such a way as to include the paragraph Yt i loa8-b6 (H 1979, 43f. [§ II]), since this paragraph, though strictly speaking a textual unit on its own, is, from the doctrinal point of view, closely affiliated to the Nivrtti Portion proper.

- Proof Portion: Y_t zi $2b2-4a4 = Y_c$ 579a14-c22 = Chüehting <math>1o18c9-1o19a24; quoted at ASBh 11,16-13,2o; ed. in H 1978, 7ff. (with Jap. transl.; cp. also Griffiths 1986, 13off.: with English transl.).
- Pravrtti Portion: Y_t zi $4a5-8a4 = Y_c$ 579c23-581a24 = Chüeh-ting 1019a25-1020a13; ed. in H 1979, 25-37 (§§ I.1-4; with Jap. transl.).
- Nivṛtti Portion: Y_t zi 8a4-10a6 and $10a8-b6 = Y_c$ 581a25-c27 and 582a4-12 = Chüeh-ting 1020a13-b28 and c3-13; ed. in H 1979, 37-44 (§§ $\underline{I.5}$ and \underline{II} ; with Jap. trans1.).
 - (A synoptic ed. of the Chin. versions of the whole VinSg ālay. Treatise is found in Ui 1965, 543ff.)
- 227. Y_t zi 3b8ff. (ASBh 13,12-15; H 1978, 14 [§ vii]; Griffiths 1986, 136f.): kena kāraņenāsaty ālayavijnāne 'cittā samā-pattir na sambhavati / tathāhy asaṃjñi-samāpannasya vā niro-dha-samāpannasya vā vijnānam eva kāyād apakrāntaṃ syāt , nānapakrāntaṃ; tataḥ kālakriyaîva bhavet / yathôktaṃ bhaga-vatā vijnānaṃ câsya kāyād anapakrāntaṃ bhavatîti //.
- 228. See § 9.
- 229. SacAcBh \S 5 (see App. I); cp. also Y_t zi 10b2f. (Y_c 582a6f.; H 1979, 43f. [\S II.2.a]); Tr 16.
- 230. Shu-chi 364a15f. (+ 13) states that according to the Sar-vastivadins swoon and deep sleep are not entirely unsconscious. Cp., perhaps, also Y 12,6f. (+ 14,1ff.) where falling asleep or into a swoon and waking from it are listed among what one will have to understand as specific functions of manovijñāna (see §§ 6.2.4.a and 6.3.2).
- 231. AKBh 156,3: na ca paṭuvijnāne cyutyupapattī yujyete; cp. also 151,21 (sāvasthā (sc. upapattibhavaḥ) mandikā) and 156,6 (no rebirth or death in an un conscious state: nāpy acittasya sā (sc. cyutir upapattir vā)); Si 16c25ff.; death: Y 16,3 (sūkṣme punaḥ saṃjnāpracāre) and 18,1 (mriyamāṇasya

- avispaṣṭasamjñāvasthām asamprāptasya $[Y_m]$); ASBh 21,18 (aparisphuṭāyām maraṇāvasthāyām). According to the Vibhāṣā (Vi 625a9+13; 667b17f. + 24 and 29) mind is faint throughout the embryonic state.
- 232. Vi 31ob15f.; AKBh 155,19ff.; cp. VGPVy 376b3-5. Similarly the Theravadins: cp., e.g., Vibh 414 (manoviñāṇa-dhātu as the only viññāṇa-dhātu at the moment of upapatti); as for death, see Kv XV.9, presupposing that death does not occur in unconscious states nor when one has sense-perception; cp. also Kv-a 155,18 (pañcahi pi ñānehi na cavati na upapajjati) and Shu-chi 365a19ff. According to VGPVy 416b1-4 the Sautrāntikas, too, regarded mind at the moment of Linking up (pratisandhi) to be a manovijnāna (cp. also PSVy 2ob7; PSkBh 195b8f.: mDo sde pas smras pa yid kyi rnam par šes pa ma'i mial du mtshams sbyor ba ...; cp. also 194b7).
- 233. Y 12,8: cyavate upapadyate $[Y_m]$ (in a list which ought to be taken as referring to the specific function of $ma-novij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ (see §§ 6.2.4.a and 6.3.2); cp. also Yoko-yama 1979a, 17,14f.
- 234. Cp. Shu-chi 364a2off.
- 235. At the moment of death: VisM XIV.123. At the moment of Linking up: XIV.111-114; though the text does not, as in the case of the cuti-citta, expressly proclaim the paṭisandhivin-nāṇa to be nothing but a special case of bhavaṅga-vinnāṇa, the subsequent bhavaṅga-vinnāṇa is nevertheless qualified as being entirely similar to the paṭisandhi-citta, having the same object and béing vipāka of the same karman (VisM XIV.114). Cp. Cousins 1981, 25; Collins 1982, 244.
- 236. "Only" is, however, not to be taken to exclude *kliṣṭa-manas*: cp. Shu-chi 364a21f.; 366b18f.
- 237. Si 16c24ff.; Shu-chi 364a17ff.; 366b19.
- 238. DN II 63: viññāṇaṃ ca hi Ananda mātu kucchi(smi)ṃ na okkamissatha, api nu kho nāmarūpaṃ mātu kucchismiṃ sa(m)muc-

c(h)issatha; DhSk_D 34,19f.: vijñānam ced Ānanda mātuḥ kukṣau (AKVy 669,2 and AD 46,4: kukṣiṃ) nâvakramiṣyad (AKVy and AD: -krāmed), api nu nāmarūpam kalalatvam hi (AKVy: kalalatvāya) sammūrchiṣyat (AKVy: sammūrchet); cp. also NA 485b27f.; MSgU_C 392c3f.; PSVy 2ob5f.; MĀ_C 579c17f.; etc. (cp. n. 64). - The Pāli version may perhaps be taken to mean "... would then nāmarūpa (animated matter, i.e. the embryo) ... arise by coagulation [of semen and blood] (or: by coalescence [of pre-embryonic matter and mind])?".

As for the meaning of sammurch-, cp. MSg_T , vol. II, p. 239. 13*f.; J. May, Prasannapadā Madhyamakavṛtti (Paris 1959), 259 n. 935 (1. l'engourdissement du vijñāna; 2. la coagulation de la semence et du sang pour former un embryon); Nagao (1982, 194; 198 note 3), Y. Sasaki (1982, 192) and Takasaki (1985, 44,17) prefer (附着 ,) 凝結する ("to coagulate"), even when vijnana is the subject of sam-murch-(see end of \S 3.3.1.1 + ns. 242-244), whereas Yokoyama (1979, 162) suggests 凝結して増大する ("to coagulate and increase"); cp. also MW s.v.: "to congeal into a fixed form, coagulate; to acquire consistency"; 1 "to increase"; "to become senseless". The Tibetan equivalents vary; if vijnāna is the subject, either brgyal ba ("to faint") or 'jug pa ("to enter") is used, the latter interpretation being obviously deduced from the ordinary wording of the canonical source (see n. 238) where $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ is said to enter (ava-kram-) the womb (cp. also Pr 552,4 where sammurcchite represents sanniviste of MK XXVI.2c). In Y 230,8 (= Y_+ dzi 135b7), where sukrasonita is the subject, Tibetan uses 'dres par 'gyur ("to get mixed", cp. Sv II 502,9f.: samuccitam (sic!) m is s \hat{i} b h \hat{u} t a m). meaning is also supported by Hsüan-tsang's usual rendering of sam-murch- by 和合(依託) "to unite with (and become dependent on)" and can be connected with the statement of Y 24,14-16 that embryonic matter has coalesced with mind and mental factors [and vice versa] in the sense that

they share each other's destiny (tat punaḥ kalalarūpaṃ tais cittacaitasikair dharmair anyonyayogakṣematayā saṃmūrechitam ity ucyate); cp. also MSgBh_t 159b2; MSgU_t 257b7: khu chu dan khrag dan lhan cig grub pa dan bde ba gcig pas 'dres par 'gyur te ("[vijnāna] becomes fused with blood and semen by sharing (or: so as to share ?) their destiny (ekayogakṣema)"); SaṃdhVy co 81a6f.; PSVy 21b1 + PSVyŢ 144b4f.

1. Cp. Y 37,17: saṃmūrcchayanti kaṭhinīkurvanti [but text uncertain]; Saṅghabh I,7,2off: tadyathā payasaḥ pakvasya sītībhūtasya upari vāyunā saraḥ (or śaraḥ: "film") saṅgacchati saṃmūrcchati santanoti).

239a. See n. 244.

- 240. Y_t 'i 313a6f. (Y_c 839b25ff.): "This vijñāna ... is the condition [of ṣaḍāyatana] also at the moment of Linking up [a new existence] (pratisandhi); for when vijñāna has entered the mother's womb, nāmarūpa arises, (and in dependence upon nāmarūpa, ṣaḍā-yatana arises)" (rnam par šes pa de ni ... ñin mtshams sbyor ba'i tshe yan rkyen yin te / 'di ltar ma'i mnal du rnam par šes pa bžugs pa las ni min dan gzugs 'byun no //...).
- 241. Y 230,7f.: vijnānapratyayam (Y_m) mātuh kukṣau śukraśoṇitarūpam nāma-parigṛhītam kalalatvāya saṃmūrcchate; Y 24, 14-16 (see n. 239). Cp. also PSVy 21b1.
- 242. Y 24,4f. (see § 6.3.1); 24,18ff. (yatra ca kalaladese tud vijnānam sammūrcchitam ...).
- 243. Saṃdh V.2 (see n. 508); MSg I.34 (rnam par ses pa yaṅ ma'i mial du nur nur po ñid du brgyal lo); MAVŢ 40,1 (sukrasoṇite vijñānasaṇmūrcchanāt; cp. SAVBh tsi 170a4f.). Cp. also Pr 552,4 (mātuḥ kukṣau vijñāne saṃmūrcchite).

SAVBh tsi 170a5f.: "If, Ānanda, $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ did 244. 'faint' (sammurch-, i.e. merge) into the mother's womb, the unclean substance of father and mother would not become (saṃvarteta (?), cp. Pr 552,7) kalala, etc." (mdo las kyan/ dGa' bogal te ma'i mial du rnam par **s**es pa brgyal bar magyur na pha ma'i migtsan balas nur nur po la sogs par mi 'gyur ro źes bsad do //). Yet, I wonder if Sthiramati's quotation is one literally and not rather a somewhat imprecise quotation from memory of a version like that of Samathadeva (AKTU tu 157a1: Kun dga' bo gal te rnam par šes pa ma'i mnal du žugs par ma gyur na / khu ba dan khrag gi nur nur po'i nan du mnon par brgyal bar 'gyur ram /). Samathadeva's version, if correctly translated into Tibetan, would even make vijnāna the subject of both entering the womb and "fainting" or merging into protoembryonic matter: "If, Ananda, vijnana had not entered the mother's womb, would it then 'faint'/merge (*abhi-sammurch-: cp. DhSk_p 33,21) into kalala [consisting of?] semen and blood (*sukrašonita-kalal(atv)e(?))?" But *sukrašonitakalal(atv)e looks extremely suspect and may simply be a

 $m \ a \ r \ \bar{u} \ p \ a$). Or khu ba dan khrag gi may be a mistake for khu ba dan khrag $n \ i$. This would mean that in this version too it is not $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ but proto- (or, more precisely, pre-)embryonic matter that coagulates to the state of kalala.

living or "animated" matter, hence not yet $n\bar{a}$ -

not

corruption of *sukrasonitam kalalatve (sukrasonitam being substituted for the original nāmarūpam (DhSk, etc.: see n. 238) probably for the dogmatic reason that before having coalesced with vijāāna, i.e. before the state of kala-

la, corporeal matter is, strictly speaking,

^{1.} Note also the fact that the quotation has the form of a negative statement and not, as in the Sūtra, of a rhetorical question.

^{245.} Y 24,4f. (see 6.3.1).

- 246. Y 24,4f. (original wording: see § 6.3.3); 24,7f. (see § 6.3.1 b); Y_t 'i 288a8f. (see n. 788); cp. also Y 25,1 and 4,11f. (see § 6.1.1 d) γ).
- In later Yogacara texts, passages can be found which express-247. ly stress that the merging of (alaya)vijnana into semen-cumblood and the formation of the first sense-faculty are simultaneous. Cp., e.g., SamdhVy co 8ob1-3, stating that the mixture of blood and semen over which a film (sara) has formed (i.e. which has started to coagulate) and into which alayavijnana merges (sammurch-) (Y 24,3-5) is called 'sādhiṣṭhānaṃ rūpīndriyam'. Shortly afterwards, the text confirms that this mixture of blood and semen called 'sādhisthānam rūpindriyam' and the merging of ālayavijnāna into it are strictly simultaneous (SamdhVy co 80b4), i.e. that precisely at the moment when blood-andsemen have started to coagulate and intermediate existence ceases (Y 24,6f.), vijnāna containing all Seeds (i.e. ālayavijnana) merges into blood-and-semen, and the latter is, due to its being appropriated by alayavijñana, called 'sādhiṣṭhānam kāya-rūpindriyam' (SamdhVy co 80b4-6). This means that the (coagulating) mixture of blood-and-semen on the one hand and alayavijnana moving away [from the previous corporeal basis] and entering [the new one] on the other occur simultaneously in one single moment (SamdhVy co 8ob6f.: khu ba dań khrag 'dus pa de dan kun gźi rnam par šes pa 'pho źin 'jug pa de ni skad cig gcig gi gnas skabs kho nar lhan cig 'byun bas ...). Cp. also VGPVy 376a4f. (see n. 348). On the other hand, some Yogacarabhumi passages would seem to admit of being taken as regarding vijnana to precede, by one moment, the formation of namarūpa, i.e. proto-embryonic matter including the sense of touch (cp. \S 7.3.4.1.3.c + n. 1130). In $MSgU_{+}$ 240a7f. (H 1975, (18)), the second kind of upādāna of MSg I.5 (cp. § 3.9.2.5 and n. 1477(G)) is referred to rebirth in the ārūpyadhātu and to the (or: a?) state when the material sense-faculties have not, or not yet,

- arisen (dban po gzugs can rnams ma byun ba; 若色等根土已 生起); this may be taken to imply that at the very moment of pratisandhi there is only semen-cum-blood (cp. ib. 240a8) but not yet any material sense-faculty, but it may, perhaps, also be understood as referring to the state of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$, when the material sense-faculties are not yet complete complete (cp. n. 1477(F.a)). Anyway, the matter requires more detailed investigation.
- 248. Y 24,2ff. (see § 6.3.1) and 24,10-12 (tāni ca tasya kala-lasyêndriya-mahābhūtāni kāyendriyeṇaîva sahôtpadyante ...). As for the idea that at the moment when "reincarnation" has just happened, the only material sense-faculty that is already present is that of touch, cp. also MAVŢ 38,7f; <*nāma-rūpāvasthāyāṃ ... cakṣurādy-āyatanâbhāvāt / asti> ca tasyām avasthāyāṃ kāyāyatanam mana-āyatanaṃ ca ...; cp. also Vibh 415ff. and Kv XIV.2 (+ Kv-a 147f.) confirming that for the Theravādins, too, womb-born living beings at the moment of reincarnation have only the faculty of touch.
- 249. See n. 184.
- 250. Cp. Y 24,16: cittavasena ca tan (= kalalarūpaṃ) na pariklidyate. According to MW, klid- has also the meaning "to rot, to putrefy", as is confirmed by the way it is rendered in Tibetan (rul ba) and Chinese (関坡); cp. also AKBh-I s.v. klid-.
- 251. Cp. ns. 189 and 196; see also n. 348.
- 252. Cp. the Tib. rendering brgyal ba (see n. 239).
- 253. Cp., besides the context of rebirth (Samdh V.2), the use of the present/active mode of expression (sbyor bar byed pa) in the Tibetan translation, and the fact that at Y 24,14ff. (see n. 239) it is in the context of pratisandhi that we meet with the same idea of the ekayogakṣematā of mind and corporeal matter as in Samdh V.3. Cp. also YVy 98blf. (see n. 817(c)).

- 254. See n. 374.
- 255. Y 199,4-7 (see ns. 374 and 1125) = Y_t 'i 285a7ff.; the latter passage reads rnam par smin pa las byun ba'i rnam par ses pa (Y_c 827c15f.: 異熟生識) = *vipākajaṃ vijñānam ("mind resulting from [the process of karmic] Maturation": see n. 399) instead of vipāka-vijñānam ("mind which is [the result of karmic] Maturation") in Y 199,7.
- 256. Cp. also ASBh 40,2f. (in a passage closely related to Y 18,1ff.): upapattipratisandhiḥ punar nityam anivṛtāvyākṛta evēti veditavyam; similarly for the Intermediate State (ASBh 39,2of.): antarābhava-pratisandhi-kṣaṇaḥ punar nityam anivṛtāvyākṛta eva, v i p ā k a t v ā t .
- According to VGPVy 416a8f., the Sautrantikas, too, considered mind at the moment of Linking up as resulting from (karmic) Maturation (vipākaja, see ns. 399 and 255): "The Sautrāntikas... say that the pratisandhi-citta, because it belongs to the moment of Linking up [a new existence], results from [karmic] Maturation, as does the sense of touch (kāyendriya: cp. n. 248)" (... mDo sde parnams kho nas "ñin mtshams sbyor ba'i sems ni ñin mtshams sbyor ba sbrel ba'i skad cig mas bsdus pa yin pa'i phyir lus kyi dban po bžin te rnam par smin pa las skyes pa yin no" žes bya ba...).
- 258. AKBh 151,16ff.: upapattibhavaḥ (= pratisandhikṣaṇaḥ: AKBh 124,20) kliṣṭaḥ; cp. VGPVy 416a7f.
- 259. a) Y_t zi 224b3f. and 8f., expressly excepting the first citta of [a new existence at the moment of] Linking up (nin mtshams sbyor ba'i sems dan po) from what is [Result-of-]Maturation (vipāka) (cp. n. 402). In contradistinction to the description of death and rebirth in the Manobhūmi of the Basic Section, where the Intermediate State (antarābhava) is described in detail (Y 18,21ff.), this passage, like the Pratītyasamutpāda Analysis (see § 7.3.1; cp. n. 255) of the

Vastusamgrahani and the Savitarkadibhumi (see n. 1158), does n o t mention antarabhava.

b) Another Yogācārabhūmi passage according to which the pratisandhi-citta is not vipāka is found in the Śrāvakabhūmi-viniścaya (Y_t zi 274b8ff. = Y_c 684b1off.). This passage would rather seem to advocate a view similar to that of the Vaibhāṣikas:

"When someone dies in this [world-sphere, viz. the $k\bar{a}ma$ dhātu,] (*ita\$ cyutasya) and is reborn (*upapadyamānasya) 欲界没生上地時), viz. in the on a higher [level] (Y_C: first dhyana, [or on a still higher level] up to the Summit of [Mundane] Existence (bhavāgra), then [his maraṇacitta (?) which is] a good or neutral state-of-mind belonging to the kāmadhātu is followed (*kāmadhātv-avacara-kušalāvyākṛta-cit-欲界善心無記心無間) by a defiled tasy \hat{a} nantaram, cp. Y_c (kliṣṭa) state-of-mind of the [respective] higher sphere (cp. AKBh 103,19f. + AKVy 240,25f., and AKBh 104,12f.); for everywhere (i.e. in the case of all levels of rebirth) Linking up (pratisandhi) is carried out by a defiled state-ofmind".

('dod pa na spyod pa'i sems dge ba dan / lun du ma bstan pa dan ldan pa 'di nas ŝi 'phos pa'i mjug thogs su gon (D) du (D) bsam gtan dan por skye ba nas srid pa'i rtse mo'i bar du skye ba la ni gon ma'i sa pa'i ñon mons pa can gyi sems 'byun ste / 'di ltar thams cad du yan ñon mons pa can gyi sems kyis ñin mtshams sbyor bar byed pa'i phyir ro //. My translation partly follows the Chinese version; cp. also $Y_{\rm t}$ zi 275a4f.: sa gon ma dag nas ŝi 'phos nas sa 'og ma dag tu skye ba la (D) ni sa gon ma pa'i dge ba dan / ñon mons pa can dan / lun du ma bstan pa'i sems thams cad kyi mjug thogs su sa 'og ma pa'i ñon mons pa can gyi sems kho na 'byun ste /.)

- c) Occasionally, however, the term 'pratisandhi-citta' appears to be used for the state-of-mind by which Linking up (in the sense of the beginning of a new existence) is caus-(e.g. $MSgU_{+}$ 257a6 [ad MSg I.33]; cp. $MSgU_{+}$ 257b4f. [ad MSg I.34]), i.e., according to MSg I.34, the last citta of the antarābhava which is a defiled one. From this point of view, the above-quoted passage Y_t zi 274b8ff. would seem to admit of being interpreted in a different way, viz. provided that antarābhava is left out of account - as relast two states-of mind of the ferring to the prior existence only, in the sense of a combination of Y 16,1ff. (see § 3.3.2.1 + n. 261) and Y 18,1-3 (see ib. + n. 265) as proposed at ASBh 54,13f. (see n. 269; cp. also ASBh 39,12f. confirming that the maranacitta of a person to be reborn in the rūpa- or ārūpya-dhātu is rūpa- and ārūpyāvacara, respectively). Nevertheless, I consider the interpretation proposed in b to be the most natural one.
- 260. Y 24,4f. (see § 6.3.1); for further references, see n. 33.
- 261. Y 16,1ff.; cp. Hsien-yang 574a26ff.; AS 42,14ff.; cp. also Y_t zi 274b8 (see n. 259 (b and c)) and 275a4f., the latter passage if interpreted in line with n. 259(b) implying that the maranacitta of a person who is reborn from a higher into a lower level of existence may be good, defiled (klista) or neutral.
- 262. Cp. AKBh 151,24: anye trayo bhavāḥ (Sc. pūrvakāla-, antarā-and maraṇa-bhava (= carama-kṣaṇa: AKBh 124, 21)) ... kuśalâkuśalâvyākṛtāḥ; 48,23f.; Vi 961b15ff.
- 263. As for Āryas, see Y 18,5ff. and ASBh 39,16ff.
- 264. Or ātmabhāva-sneha (Y 18,21; Ch. om. -bhāva-), ātmabhāvābhilāṣa (Y 19,6f.; Ch. om. -bhāva-).
- 265. Y 18,1-3: sarvasya ca mriyamāṇasya avispaṣṭasaṇjñāvasthām asaṇprāptasya (Y_m) dīrghakālābhyasta ātmasnehaḥ samudācarati / tatas tadvaŝād ahaṇ na bhavāmīty ("[under the influence

- of the fear] 'I am going to cease to exist!'") ātmabhāvā-bhinandanā bhavati. Cp. ASBh 39,11f.: ... pratisandhim badh-natah ... ātmabhāvatṛṣṇā-saṃprayuktam maraṇacittam veditavyam.
- 266. Cp. ASBh 39,14f.: $s\bar{a}$ punar $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va-trsn\bar{a}$ $sahaj\bar{a}$ 'nir \bar{u} -pit $\bar{a}lamban\bar{a}$ (or: < 'na>bhinir \bar{u} pit \bar{a} '?) n i v r t \bar{a} v y \bar{a} k r t \bar{a} (Tib., Ch.) ca; 40,1f.: $antar\bar{a}bhava-cyuti-cittam$ tu n i t y a m k l i s t a m, m a r a n a b h a v a v a t.
- 267. See n. 231.
- 268. Y 16,3f.
- 269. Thus Tun-lun (T 1828, 321c5: 此自體愛既是隨沒); cp. also ASBh 39,14 (see n. 266).
 - According to ASBh 54,13f., the statement that the mind of a dying person may be good or bad (see n. 261) does not refer to mind at the moment of death (cyuticitta) proper which is associated with ātmasneha (sic, cp. n. 265) and is thus always defiled but to the preceding state: "kusalādicitta-sya maraṇam" (cp. AS_G 31,19) ity ātmasneha-saṃprayuktāc cyuticittād arvāg-avasthām (ed. cittāpavargā° but ms. clearly cittād arvvāg a°; cp. Tib. sha rol gyi) adhikṛtya veditavyam. According to Tun-lun (T 1828, 321b1off., quoted T 2266, 393b13ff.), at the time of death one has to distinguish between three phases:
 - 1. mind at the very moment of death, which has to be determined, with Si 16c24ff., as consisting in the "eighth vijnāna" (i.e. in ālayavijnāna);
 - 2. a state of mind of reduced consciousness (cp. T 1828, 321b15f. and c5) immediately preceding the very last moment, viz. a manovijnāna which is associated with Self-love and thus morally neutral but obscured [by Defilements] (nivṛtâvyākṛta), and which "moistens" (i.e. causes to sprout) the new existence;
 - 3. the fully conscious (利) state of mind preceding this

- dim defiled state of mind; this is the state in which the dying person's mind can be good, bad or neutral (see n. 261).
- 270. One may argue that Y 18,21ff. (anantara-samutpannatvāc ca tasyātmabhāva-snehasya¹... antarābhavasya ... prādurbhāvo bhavati) implies that the ātmabhāvābhinandanā of Y 18,2, occurring immediately before the beginning of the Intermediate State, i.e. at the very moment of death, must fall within the range of indistinct consciousness taught to follow upon the arising of ātmasneha at Y 18,1f. (cp. n. 265). But it may equally well be that Y 18,21ff. simply did not envisage the possibility of a dying person lapsing, at the end, into a state of faint consciousness (for actually neither Y 18,1 nor 16,3f. explicitly says that this happens of necessity).
 - 1. I.e.: "immediately after this attachment to [the (or: a) basis of] personal existence has arisen". For this idiom, cp. also SrBh 432,20: tasyānantarotpādāt ("immediately after the arising of this [sign]").
- 271. VisM XIV.123.
- 272. Y₊ zi 224b3 (see n. 402).
- 273. Y_t 'i 138a5ff. (S 1969a, 46f. [§ <u>4</u>.B.1] + p. 128f.; cp. n. 281[end]).
- 274. Y_t zi 4a3 (= ASBh 13,18): na ca manovij \tilde{n} anam kadacin na pravartate (see n. 281).
- 275. E.g. Y 202,20: āyuṣaḥ kṣayād vijñānam upāttaṃ kāyaṃ vijahāti; cp. 18,16: ... cyutikāle ... vijñānam āšrayaṃ muñcati; 211,9-11: cyutiḥ katamā? ... yā vijñānasyāšrayād apakrāntiḥ.
- 276. AKBh 156.14f.
- 277. See ns. 278 and 279.
- 278. Y 18,16ff.: tataš cyutikāle akušalakarmakāriņām (Y_m) tāvad ūrdhvabhāgād v i j \bar{n} ā n a m āšrayam muncati, ūrdhvabhāgaš cāsya $(Y_m$ -h cāsya) šītībhavati / sa (read: tam?) punas

tāvan muncati yāvad dhṛdayapradesam // sukṛtakāriṇāṃ punar adhobhāgād v i j ñ ā n a m āsrayaṃ muncati, adhobhāgas câsya sītībhavati tāvad yāvad dhṛdayapradesaṃ / hṛdayapradesāc ca v i j n ā n a s y a cyutir veditavyā / tataḥ kṛtsna evâsrayaḥ sītībhavati //

- 279. AK(Bh) 156,14ff.; Vi 359b8ff.; Vi $_2$ 266a12ff.; cp. also the Sūtra quoted in T 1833, 885c2ff.
- 280. AKBh 156,20f. Cp. the refutation, by later Yogācāras, of the possibility that tactile perception (kāyavijñāna) is the vijñāna which keeps the body appropriated throughout life (PSVyT 155a2-4) and gradually withdraws from it in the process of dying (VGPVy 426b4-6), by pointing out that even during life tactile perception does not always pervade the body or the sense of touch (kāyendriya, co-extensive with the body).
- 281. Y_t zi 4a2-4 (= ASBh 13,16ff.; H 1978, 15 [§ viii]; Griffiths 1986, 137): kena kāraṇenāsaty ālayavijnāne cyutir api na yujyate / tathāhi cyavamānasya vijnānam ūrdhva-dehaṃ vā śīt´î kurvad¹ vijahāti, adho-dehaṃ vā / na ca manovijnānaṃ kadācin na pravartate / ato 'py ālayavijnānasyaîva dehôpādāyakasya² vigamād deha-šītatā upa<la>bhyate dehâpratisaṃvedanā ca, na tu manovijnānasya / ...
 - 1. ed. śitikurvan; ms. śiti- but indistinct at the end.
 - 2. ed. -dānakasya but ms. -dāyakasya (cp. also Y 168,2), upādāyaka being formed in analogy to dāyaka (for which cp. Wackernagel I p. 208).

I have to admit difficulties in understanding the purport of the argument na ca manovijnānam kadācin na pravartate. Griffiths (1986, 137f.) understands it to mean that manovijnāna never functions without an intention of the intention of the seems to take 'pravartate' in the sense of "coming forth", "manifesting itself in a [cognitive] act", i.e. in the same sense as 'pravrtti' in

'pravṛtti-vijnāna'; and he presupposes that in the process of dying no conscious experience occurs (ib., 104); and this implies that the continuation of life until the very moment of death cannot be attributed to manovijnana but only to ālayavijñāna which is not intentional (ib., 138; 104). However, in this interpretation, the gradualness of the body's growing cold would not be material to the argument. Besides, I do not know whether the verb 'pravartate' is in fact ever used in such a pregnant sense. At any rate, later exegetical tradition points in another direction: According to *Asvabhava (MSgU₊ 261a2f. = MSgU_c 394a6f.; cp. 1828, 596a16ff.), the gradual growing cold of the body cannot be explained as being due to manovijnana because this vijnāna, in contrast to ālayavijnāna, [can]not [be imagined to] be, at that time, absent i n [part of the body and nevertheless present in another] (de'i tshe gan na yan yid kyirnam par ses pa med pas (P, D; Ch. and Y_{+} zi 4a3 suggest pa) ni ma yin 爾時意識無處無有 , (阿賴耶識 有處無有)).

Later sources, too, take the argument to mean that manovijnāna functions throughout the process of dying, until the very last moment of life (VGPVy 427a1: srog tha ma chad pa tshun chad rtag tu yid kyi rnam par šes pa yod pas; YidKun 73,2 [Kelsang/Odani 1986, 95]: 'chi ba'i skad cig ma tshun chad du yid ses mi 'byun ba mi srid pa'i phyir ro). But as Tsoń-kha-pa (YidKun 73,2) aptly remarks, ālayavijñāna too is not interrupted before life ceases. Yet, due to its somatic, spatial nature alayavijnana can be conceived of as present in one part of the body while at the same time absent in another, whereas (as had already been pointed out by *Asvabhava) manovijnana cannot; for unlike alayavijnana, manovijñāna is not based on the body but only on manas (VGPVy 426b6f.) or, at best, on the heart (snin kha = *hrdaya: PSVyT 155a4f.); nor is the body based on manovijnana (VGPVy 426b7); nor does manovijnāna pervade the body (YidKun

73,5 and $8)^3$ or have parts (yan lag, *avayava) so that it could be taken to gradually shrink (zum pa, *sam-kuc-?), or cease part by part (cha sas kyis [D] 'gag pa), and thus abandon that area (phyogs, *prade\$a?) of the body from which its parts have vanished (VGPVy 427a2f.). Hence, if manovijnana is taken to be the vijnana that keeps the body alive, it can do so only by its plain existence such (yod pa tsam gyis: VGPVy 426b8; YidKun 73,8); but since manovijnana exists throughout the process of dying (see above) and since its plain existence does not admit of any gradation or distribution, the gradualness of the growing cold of the body in the process of dying tannot be explained as being due to manovijnāna but necessarily calls for the assumption of a "somatic" form of mind like alayavijnana.

3. Si 17a17 seems to express a different view, but the exegetes had difficulties with the passage: cp. Shu-chi 365c14-16 and especially T 1833, 885c15-26.

As for another, more general reference, in the Viniścaya-saṃgrahaṇi, to ā l a y a vijñāna as the principle which abandons the body at the moment of death, see Y_t 'i 138a7f. (S 1969a, 46f. [§ 4.B.1] and 128f.: *tadanantaram ālaya-vijñānam āsrayaṃ vijāhāti (or muñcati)).

- 282. See Collins 1982, 24off.: bhavangaviññāṇa as a "gap bridger" in deep sleep, etc. (cp. VisM XIV.114), between conscious processes of perception and cognition (cp. VisM XIV.115ff.), and at death and rebirth (cp. n. 235).
- 283. Cp., e.g., VisM XIV.115f.
- 284. See § 1.3.5 + n.76 and § 7.3.6.3.
- 285. Y 4,7 etc. (see § 6.1.1 + 6.1.3) and, for manovijnāna, Y 11,9f. (+ § 6.2.4). As for the meaning of the term 'bi-jāšraya', see n. 775.
- 286. As for the non-occurrence of the term 'pravṛtti-vijnāna', see § 6.8.

- 287. Y 24,2ff. (see \S 6.3.1 + 6.3.3).
- 288. Cp. Y 61,8: sarvatra vijnānasantāne sarvo bījasantānaḥ saha-caro (?) vyavasthāpyate.
- 289. Y 25,20.
- 290. Y 25,3 and 12; 26,10 and 11f.
- 291. I.e. Y 4,7 etc. (see § 6.1.1), 24,4f. (§ 6.3.1), 109,15 (§ 6.4.1) and 192,8 (§ 6.5.1). The passage Y 11,4f. (see § 6.2.1), being a later addition (see § 6.2.3-4), has to be set apart and will be discussed later (§ 3.10.3).
- 292. Viz. good, bad and neutral: Y 109,13-15 (see § 6.4).
- 293 See § 9.2.
- 294. Or: experiences of the body as the body? But, if I understand the purport of the present passage correctly, this should not point to a discursive or conceptual act but on the contrary to the purely somatic character of this experience.
- 295. Y_t zi 3b6-8 (= ASBh 13,8ff.; H 1978, 13 [§ vi]; Griffiths 1986, 136): kena kāraṇenāsaty ālayavijnāne kāyiko 'nubhavo na yujyate / tathāhy ekatyasya yonišo vā 'yonišo vā cintayato vā 'nuvitarkayato vā samāhitacetaso vā 'samāhitacetaso vā ye kāye kāyānubhavā utpadyante 'nekavidhā bahunānāprakārās, te na bhaveyur; upalabhyante ca / tasmād apy asty ālayavijnānam. Cp. n. 43.
- 296. Somewhat differently interpreted in Griffiths 1986, 102f.
- 297. Hsien-yang 487a3-6 (+ 1of.) quoted at ASBh 61,1ff.: prītiḥ katamā / yā parivṛttāśrayasya pra¹vṛttivijñānāśritā cittatuṣṭiḥ cittaudbilyaṃ cittaharṣaḥ cittakalyatā² sātaṃ veditaṃ vedanā-gatam / sukhaṃ katamat / yat (read: yaḥ?) parivṛttāśrayasyâlayavijñānāśrita āśrayānugraha³ āśrayahlādaḥ sātaṃ veditaṃ vedanāgatam.
 - 1. ed., ms.: pari-, but Tib.: 'jug pa'i rnam par šes pa, Ch. = Hsien-yang: 轉識.

- 2. ed.: -kalpatā.
- 3. ed.: -grahata, but -ta deleted in ms.
- 298. According to the explanation of the ASBh (61,5ff.: see n. 365), the ā s r a y a is not the body but ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a; but this would seem to be a reinterpretation in terms of later developments (see § 3.10), after the idea of ālayavijñāna sticking in the body (= ā\$raya) had become obsolete.
- 299. Cp. n. 47.
- 300. Cp. ŚrBh 450,12-14 (corrected with the help of ŚrBh_m, Tib. and Ch.): (ipsitābhilaṣitārthasaṃprāpteḥ prītau câdoṣadarśanāt) sarvadauṣṭhulyāpagamāc ca vipula-praśrabdhi-citta-kāyakarmaṇyatayā prītisukham ("[the first dhyāna is] joy and well-being because it is amply characterized by smoothness of mind and body, i.e. Ease, on account of ... the disappearance of all Badness (i.e. uneasiness)"; cp. also 451,19f.:
 ... -sarvadauṣṭhulyāpagamāt tatprātipakṣika-praśrabdhi-citta-kāya-karmaṇyatā-sukhānuga¹-tvāt prītisukham /); 283,6-8 (cp. n. 1330): tatra ... yo dauṣṭhulyasahagata āśrayaḥ, so 'nupūrveṇa nirudhyate, praśrabdhisahagataś câśrayaḥ parivartate ("... [gradually] comes to take its place"); Yt 'i 312b3f. (Yc 839a27ff.; see n. 475).
 - 1. See CPD s.v. anuga (b): "followed by".
- 301. The alayavijñana portions of the Viniścayasamgrahani apart, I for one have not, so far, noted any contrary statement.
- 302. Especially Vaibhāṣikas (explicit statement: Vi 47b29ff.), Sautrāntikas (cp. Si_p 184, note 2), and Theravādins (cp. VisM XIV. 110-124) (cp. Mizuno 1932, 1074), the only exception pointed out by the sources being the (or some) Mahāsānghikas (see n. 314).
- 303. Explicit statement: Y_t 'i 312b8f. (Y_c 839b14): "... and because in one and the same series(-of-personality), there is no simultaneous occurrence of two vijāānas" (rgyud gcig

la rnam par ses pa gñis cig car gnas pa yan med pa'i phyir ro). Cp. also Y 58,13f. (see S 1967, 124f. + note 56); $Y_{\rm m}$ 135blf. ($Y_{\rm t}$ dzi 299a3; $Y_{\rm c}$ 386a25f.): tat (= cittam) punar ... ekaikasah pravarttate, dvitīya-citta-sahāya-virahitatvāt, sahaiva (ms. -heva ?) sarvacittāpravartanāc ca.

- 304. Y 25,20.
- 305. Y 61,8 (See n. 288).
- 306. Comm. ad PG 33-34 (see App. II): ... $duhkha < m > ... \bar{a}laya vijna \bar{a}namayam / ... tac ca duhkham sarvak \bar{a}la nu$ sak tat vāt kṣaṇamātram apy anupašantam.
- 307. See § 6.7.4.
- 308. Y_t zi 3a1f. (ASBh 12,1of.; H 1978, 8f.[§ i(e)]); 3b4 (ASBh 13,2f.; H 1978, 12 [§ iv, end]). The term 'pravṛttivijñāna' is, however, not used but in Y_t zi 2b5 (ASBh 12,2; H 1978, 8 [§ i(a)]).
- 309. Cp. Y_t zi 3b6-8 (see n. 295) and 4a2-4 (see n. 281).
- 310. Y_t zi 3a3-5 (ASBh 12,14-16; H 1978, 10 [§ ii]; Griffiths 1986, 133): sacet kaścid vaded "yady ālayavijnānam asti, tena dvayor vijnānayor yugapat pravṛttir bhaviṣyati", sa idam syād vacanīyaḥ adoṣa eva bhavān doṣa-samjnī; tathāhi bhavaty eva dvayor vijnānayor yugapat pravṛttiḥ.
- 311. Y_t zi 3a4-8 (ASBh 12,16ff.; H 1978, 1of. [§§ ii (2nd half) and iii]; Griffiths 1986,133f.).
- 312. Samdh V.4-5.
- 313. See § 1.6.4.
- 314. Vi 47b1f. (cp. also 719c2f.); Vi₂ 35b4f.; cp. TSi 28ob11f.; cp. also, e.g., Si_p 184 note 2; 186; 411 note 1; Bareau 1955, 73; Yūki 1935, 85; Mizuno 1957, 447; 1978, 132ff.
- 315. It would, in this connection, be interesting to investigate systematically whether the Samdhinirmocanasutra contains any further clues with regard to its canonical substratum or

doctrinal affiliation. I do not know whether the issue of six (instead of five) gatis (see n. 326; Weinstein 1958, 52f.) is of any significance in this context. On the other hand, it should also be noted that Samdh does not use, or mention, the term ' $m \bar{u} l a v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ ' ascribed to the Mahāsāṅghikas in MSg I.11.2, etc. On the other hand, the view that mind (citta) per vades the body, ascribed to certain Mahāsāṅghikas in doxographical sources (Bareau 1955, 74), shows a striking coincidence with a characteristic and fairly archaic feature of ālayavijñāna/ $\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ (see §§ 2.13.2; 2.7-8; 3.3.1.2-3; 1.3.1(7) + n. 38).

- 316. Y_t 3a6f. (ASBh 12,2of.; H 1978, 11 [§ iii]); Samdh p. 56,6ff. and 12ff.
- 317. Samdh V.6.
- 318. Ib. V.1.
- 319. Ib. V.2 (see n. 508) and V.7 (see n. 321).
- 320. Cp. § 2.13.3.
- 321. Saṃdh V.7 (cp. TrBh 34,3f.; Si_p 173):
 ādānavijnāna gabhīrasūksmo ogho yathā vartati sarvabījo /
 bālāna eso mayi na prakāsito mā haiva ātmā parikalpayeyuḥ //
- 322. See $\S\S$ 2.1 (+ n. 146), 3.2.1 (+ n. 227) and 6.6; Y_t zi 39a3ff. (see n. 131); 76b2ff. (see ib.); 10b3 (see n. 229); 10b6 (see n. 588).
- 323. See \S 3.4.2 + ns. 285 and 287.
- 324. That the two texts are related is clear not only from the close similarity of their subject-matter and their common emphasis on the idea of "basis" but also from common details of phraseology (see ns. 326 and 327; cp. also n. 354). As § 3.9 tries to show, this connection can be explained consistently and plausibly as dependence of Samdh on the Basic Section of Y, whereas an attempt to take it the other way

round would entail serious difficulties since it would, apart from the problem mentioned in § 1.6.4, presuppose a retrogression of doctrinal development and a secondary dissection of the treatment of the functions of subliminal mind.

325. Samdh V.2.

version (also?).

327.

326. Saṃdh p. 55,4-8: ... 'gro ba drug gi 'khor ba 'di na sems can gaṅ daṅ gaṅ dag sems can gyi ris gaṅ daṅ gaṅ du'aṅ ... lus mhon par 'grub ciṅ 'byuṅ bar 'gyur ba ...; cp. Y 30,6f.: yeṣāṃ ca sattvānāṃ yasmin sattvanikāya ātmabhāvasya prādurbhāvo bhavati, ...; there is no difficulty in regarding the Saṃdh phrase as an enlarged borrowing from Y.

Cp. Y 24,4f.: yatra tat sarvabijakam vipākasamgrhītam ...

- -vijnānam saṃmūrcchati.

 Saṃdh V.2 (see n. 508), speaking of *sarvabījakam cittam only, gives the impression of presupposing the earlier version of Y 24,1ff. (see § 6.3.3) which in view of the twofold upādāna and of *vipacyate at Saṃdh V.2 should in this case have included 'vipākasaṃgṛhīta' and 'āṣrayopādātṛ' as attributes already of 'sarvabījakaṃ vijnānam' -. Yet, V.3, referring to the designation of this Mind[-containing-all-Seeds] (now vijānāna', shows that Saṃdh V knew the final
- 328. Cp. Y 25,8f.: tasmims ca punah kalale vardhamāne samasamam nāmarūpayor vṛddhis, tadubhayor vistīrnataratôpagamāt, i.e. both corporeal matter and mind can be said to "grow" or "increase"; cp. also Y 25,17: vijnānam upacīyate. This may be explained as an increase of distinctness and diversity, but in the case of the "somatic" vijnāna pervading the body one may perhaps take it even literally, in the sense of a kind of spatial enlargement corresponding to the growth of the body. But one should, of course, also consider the possibility that in Samdh, as in Y 25,8f., "growing and thriving" is to

be taken, ad sensum, as referring to the whole organism formed by the coalescence of mind with proto-embryonic matter.

- 329. Samdh V.2 (p. 55,5-7).
- 330. Samdh V.2 (p. 55,14f.: see n. 520).
- 331. Y 200,1-3 = Y_{t} 'i 285b7 286a1 (see n. 1135).
- 332. Y 25,3ff.; cp. also 20,14.
- 333. Y 24,1ff. (see \S 6.3.1).
- 334. Perhaps because the (Basic Section of the) Yogacarabhūmi, though extensively made use of by the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra, may have belonged to a somewhat different ambience (e.g. another Vinaya school) (cp. § 1.6.4 and n. 315), or simply because the Yogacarabhūmi, as a Śastra, could not too openly be followed by a Sūtra.
- 335. Sasaki 1982, 190; 192.
- 336. Cp. ASBh 11,12f.: punah punah pratisandhibandhe ātmabhā-vopādānād ādānavijnānam; KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,26f.): de yan yan srid par ñin mtshams sbyor bar byed pa dag na lus ñe bar len pa'i phyir len pa'i rnam par ses pa zes bya'o.
- 337. ātmabhāva-parigraha: see n. 1477; vipāka- or vipākaphala-parigraha: see n. 1477(D.b); abhinirvṛtti-vijāna-phala-parigraha: Y 200,15; upapatty-āyatana-parigraha: LAS 111,15.
- 338. E.g. AS 97,19 = ASBh 129,6: yathākāmam āśrayasyôpādāna-sthā-na-parityāgānām; AS 48,7f. (unreliable retranslation) = AS_t 97a6f.: yan srid pa ñe bar len te; MSg I.5: lus (*ātmabhāva, cp. Hts. 自體) thams cad ñe bar len pa; ASBh 11,12f. (see n. 336); AKBh 468,17: skandhāntarôpādāna; 472,1: skandhāntara-tyāgôpādāna. Cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 180 and 184ff.; Takeuchi 1985, 267ff., especially 269,16ff.
- 339. Cp., e.g., SN II 94; NidSa 7.2: kāyasya ... < ādānam a>pi nikṣepaṇam api; Thg 575d: ādiyanti punabbhavaṃ.

- 340. BoBh_D 265,6f.: sve ... ātmabhāve yathākāmâdāna-sthāna-cyu-ti-vašavartitā; MSABh 186,1; AS 27,9: punarbhavādāna. Cp. also VisM XX.47: ādānan ti paṭisandhi.
- There seems to be a similar situation in Y_m 84b5 (Y_t dzi 341. 186a8; Y 346a13) where $\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ is used as a kind of code for the skandha vijnāna (ādānam katamat / yo vijnānaskandhaḥ /). In view of the preceding items ($desin = r\bar{u}pa$, $avasth\bar{a} =$ vedanā, kalpa = samjnā, cestā = samskāra), ādāna in this passage seems to aim at the typical function(s) of vijnāna in general (not, it should be noted, of a peculiar kind of vijnāna!). It may therefore express taking possession of a new existence but at the same time allude to biological appropriation as well as to "seizing", i.e. cognitive grasping (grahana, upalabdhi), of objects. In the case of the Samdhinirmocanasutra, one might also, in view of the second upādāna on which the reincarnation of ādānavijnāna is based (see § 4.4.2), consider the nuances of spiritually negative Clinging and of receivcontaining Impressions or Seeds to be alluded to; but it should be noted that the Sūtra's own explanation of the term 'ādānavijnāna' does not supply any confirmation of such an assumption.
- 342. Perhaps because upādāna in the sense of biological appropriation was derived from the Abhidharma term 'upātta' (see n. 196). Takasaki (1985, 38,7f.) seems to take 'ādāna' as an equivalent of 'upādāna' in the sense of biological appropriation but does not give any example, nor does Takeuchi, who (1985, 267,5f.) asserts that, from the point of view of meaning and use, 'ādāna' and 'upādāna' are largely indistinguishable.
- 343. 'Adāna' does not seem to be current in the sense of spiritually negative clinging, although there are exceptions in the Pāli tradition: cp. Nidd II 86,21: ādānam vuccati tanhā; but (in contrast to CPD) in most of the Sn verses concerned

ādāna is, especially if used in the pl., in my opinion better taken in an objective sense, i.e. as "possessions": cp. Sn 364 where ādānesu is used beside upadhīsu; cp. Pj II 363f.: ādānan ti ādātabbaṭṭhena te yeva (sc. upadhī) vuccanti; similarly Sn 1103 ādānataṇhā "greed for possessions", 1104 ādānasatte "attached to possessions": cp. Pj II 599: ādātabbaṭṭhena ādānesu rūpādisu satte (= sakta; cp. 599,19 ādānasatte = ādānābhiniviṭṭhe, and 599,20 ādānasaṅga-). Cp. also Sn 620 = Dhp 396 (UV XXXIII.15) akiācanaṃ anādānaṃ.

- MSg I.5 (see Sasaki 1982, 179f.; Takeuchi 1985, 267ff.; cp. 344. also the different Tibetan rendering of this passage in PSkViv 95b3-5 [see n. 1477(G.c, footn. 8)]; PSVy 24b2f. (Muroji p. 40,3-5): len pa'i rnam par ses pa yan de yin te / ris mthun pa gźan du ñin mtshams sbyor ba nas na m ma ši'i (P) bar du des lus bzun ba'i phyir ro; PSkVai 54a2f. (on PSk_t 17a4 len pa'i rnam par šes pa yan de yin te / lus (Ch. 身) len pa'i phyir ro, which is ambiguous): "[alayavijñana is called 'ādānavijñana' because it is] this [alayavijñana] that, arising from previous saṃskāras, h o l d of a [new] existence (bhava) when merging (saṃ-mūrch-) into semen-cum-blood (i.e. at the moment of conception), and [because it is] this same [alayavijñana] that is regarded as appropriating the body until death" (de yan snon gyi 'du byed kyi rgyu las 'byun ba ste / khu ba dan khrag gi nan du brgyal ba'i dus na srid pa len te / de ñid ŝi ba la thug gi bar lus len par byed par 'dod ...).
- 345. See n. 352. At any rate, the second element (blans pa) is, by SamdhVy co 83a6f., referred to biological appropriation: "Being appropriated by this [vijnāna], this material body is made one's own (*ātmasātkṛta) with the effect (?) that it becomes [something] to be included among entities [constituting] living beings (sattva-dravya)" (des zin na gzugs kyi lus 'di sems can gyi dnos po<r?> bgran ba nid du 'gyur bar bdag gir byas pa ste).

- 346. Cp. n. 336.
- 347. Cp., however, § 5.15.2.
- 348. Cp., besides Samdh V.3 (see n. 352), Y_t zi 2b4ff. (ASBh 12,1ff.; H 1978, 8f. [§ i, especially (a)]; 4b7 (H 1979, 27 [§ B.2]): dan po pa'i len pa'i skad cig; KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,26f.: see n. 336), where at any rate Hts.'s (784c27) rendering \$\mathbb{g}\$ (and not \$\mathbb{e}\mathbb{m}\mathbb{e}\$) suggests that lus \$\bar{n}e\$ bar len pa either represents * \$k \bar{a} y \hat{o} p \bar{a} d \bar{a} n a \text{ or, in case it represents * \$\alpha \text{a} t mabh\bar{a} v \hat{o} p \bar{a} d \bar{a} n a \text{ or, in case of starting its biological a new body in the sense of starting its biological appropriate throughout life.
 - 1. As e.g. in AS_c 670a6 = AS 24,12; cp. also 諸根等 for $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ in ASV_C 701b2 = ASBh 11,12f. (see n. 336).

Cp. also PSkBh 200a6: "... When alayavijñana has merged, in the mother's womb, into semen-cum-blood, [it means that] the body has been taken hold of (i.e. biologically appropriated) by that citta (sc. ālayavijñāna)" (... ma'i mhal du khu ba dan khrag gi nan du kun gźi rnam par šes pa brgyal ba'i dus na sems des lus blans te /; VGPVy 376a4 f.: ñin mtshams sbyor ba sbrel ba'i skad cig ma la ... lus kyi dban po ran gi no bo'i sgo nas ne bar len pa ..., i.e. something like *pratisandhibandhakṣaṇe ... kāyendriyasya svarūpata upādānam (whereas the corporeal basis of the whole existence, includall sense-faculties, is, at that moment, [only taken hold of in so far as its virtually Seeds [are already there]); cp. also ib. 376b3ff. where the distinction of two kinds of upadana in MSg I.5 (one referring to the moment of Linking up, the other to biological appropriation throughout life) is accounted for by the fact that the Sarvāstivādins attribute the latter to all the six kinds of vijñāna but the former to manovijāāna exclusively; yet the function of upādāna as such is obviously presupposed to be, in both cases, essentially the same.

With regard to upādāna in the sense of taking hold, i.e. starting the biological appropriation, of corporeal matter, cp. also the Sūtra passage quoted in AKBh 127,7f., viz.: dīrgharātraṃ yuṣmābhir, bhikṣavaḥ, kaṭasiḥ (v. l. kaṭasī) saṃvardhitā r u d h i r a b i n d u r u pā t t a ḥ,² which obviously refers to death and to the moment of conception, respectively.

- 2. AKŢU tu 153a7; S $\bar{\text{A}}_{\text{C}}$ 24oc8f. (?) (cp. Hon $\bar{\text{Jo}}$ 1984, 34f.).
- 349. MSg I.5; cp. I.34-35 (see Sasaki 1982, 179f.; Takeuchi 1985, 168-171); cp. also Si 14c7f. (see Yokoyama 1979a, 1).
- 350. $MSgU_t$ 240a7f. (H 1975, (18)); cp. also Samdh V.2 (end) (see n. 520) and Y_t zi 4b2f. (see n. 521).
- 351. As is explicitly stated in Samdh V.2 (end) and Y_t zi 4b2f. (see n. 350).
- 352. Saṃdh V.3: ... des lus 'di bzuṅ źiṅ blaṅs pa'i phyir ro //, which I should, though with considerable hesitation in view of the disparity of the Chinese versions, trace back to something like *(tad ādānavijānānam ity apy ucyate,) anenāsya kāyasyāttopāttatām upādāya (??). Anyway, lus = *kāya is rendered probable by the fact that all Chinese versions, including that by Hts., have 身 (but cp. n. 348).
- 353. One possibility (but nothing more than that) is that the specification with reference to ārūpyadhātu at the end of Saṃdh V.2 was added (perhaps by the author himself) only after the rest of V.2-3 had already been composed.
- 354. It is worth noting that, just as in the (corresponding portions of the) first two chapters of the Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi (cp. § 6.8), so also in the Vth chapter of the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra (as well as, according to Lamotte's index and Taishō index vol. 9, in the other chapters) the term 'pravṛtti-vijñāna' is not used.

- 354a. The Seed aspect of ādānavijnāna is indicated only by the attribute 'sarvabija(ka)' at Samdh V.2 and V.7.
- 355. Saṃdh V.4-5; cp. Y_t zi 189b2f. $(Y_c$ 651b15-17; see n. 357) and Y_t zi 7b1-3 (H 1979, 35 [§ 2, 5th line ff.]); in the latter passage, the similes are, however, merely used to illustrate the simultaneity $(saha-bh\bar{a}va)$ of $\bar{a}la-yavij\bar{n}a\bar{n}a$ and pravṛttivij $\bar{n}a\bar{n}a$ s.
- 356. Y_t zi 5b3ff. (Y_c 58ob9ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019b23f.; H 1979, 30 [§ 3.b.A]): 'di la kun gźi rnam par śes pa ni rnam pa gñis kyis 'jug pa'i rnam par śes pa'i rkyen gyi bya ba byed de / sa bon gyi dńos po dań rten byed pas so (*bijabhāvata āśrayadānataś ca; cp. YVy 98a4f. gnas sbyin par byed pa...r ro); cp. also Y_t zi 6a1f. (H 1979, 31 [§ 3.b.B.1]): ji lta ji ltar kun gźi rnam par śes pa la brten pa 'jug pa'i rnam par śes pa ... 'byun bar 'gyur ba, de lta de ltar ran gi rten la rten de dan lhan cig skye ba dan 'gag pas bag chags sgo bar byed do.
- The omission of manas in Paramartha's version (Chüeh-ting 357. 1019b27: 有阿賴耶識時、意識得生) may not warrant the conclusion that it is a later interpolation; but in view of the conspicuously "intrusive" character of two of the three other references to the new manas in the Pravrtti Portion (cp. § 9.1 and n. 1351) (and its complete absence in the Nivṛtti Portion) it may be difficult to exclude the poss i b i l i t y that manas was - during or even before the process of compilation - inserted into a version (or at least into raw materials) of this passage (viz. Y_{+} zi 5b5-7: see n. 358) which did not originally contain it and was hence closer to the situation presented by Samdh V.4-5. Such a possibility is, moreover, perhaps supported by a parallel passage in the Sacittikabhumiviniścaya, where, by the way, the connection with Samdh V.4-5 is still more palpable, viz. Y_{+} zi 189b2f.: de la kun g \sharp i rnam par ses pa ni gnas yin

no // 'jug pa'i rnam par ses pa ni gnas pa yin te / de yan rnam pa bdun te / mig gi rnam par šes pa nas / yid dan yid kyi rnam par šes pa'i bar te / chu'i chu bo dan rlabs lta bu'am / me lon dan gzugs brñan lta bu yin no //, corresponding to something like *tatrālayavijnānam āšrayah, pravṛttivijnānam āšritam - tac ca saptavidham: cakṣurvijnānam yāvan mano manovijnānam (ca ?) -, udakaugha-taranga-vad ādarša-pratibimba-vad $v\bar{a}$. Here, the omission of manas by Hts. (Y_c 651b16) is, to be sure, secondary (cp. H 1979a, 301f.) since he, too, says that pravrttivijnana is of seven kinds. But if the original version of the material had contained seven pravṛttivijnānas including manas, would one not expect their enumeration to be abbreviated as caksurvimanah? The present, quite unusual form jāānam yāvan of abbreviated enumeration would, however, become understandable if one assumes that it started from a sequence caksurvijnānam yāvan manovijnānam, to which manas was added only afterwards, though, in view of its significance in the Sacittikabhūmiviniścaya, probably at (if not even before) the compilation of this chapter.

- 1. Cp. also Hsien-yang 48oc1f., which seems to have taken over the sequence of Y 651b15f. while corroborating manas before manovijāna.
- 358. Y_t zi 5b5-7 (H 1979, 30 [§ <u>3.b</u>.A.2]): ... kun gźi rnam par ses pas zin pa'i dbań po gzugs can rnams la brten nas / rnam par ses pa'i tshogs lna po dag 'byun bar 'gyur gyi, ma zin pa dag la[s] ni ma yin no // rnam par ses pa'i tshogs lna po dag gi gnas mig la sogs pa dan 'dra ba yid dan yid kyi rnam par ses pa'i gnas kun gźi rnam par ses pa yod na / yid dan yid kyi rnam par ses pa yan 'byun bar 'gyur gyi, med na ni ma yin no //

As is well-known, in MSg I.7A.2 (as well as in Hts.'s version of the above-quoted passage, viz. $Y_{\rm C}$ 58ob13-17) it is not alayavijñana but the new $m\ a\ n\ a\ s$ that, perhaps by mediation of Y_{+} zi 6b2 (see n. 1298), comes to function as

- the specific sahabhū-āśraya of manovijñāna.

 As for later developments of the theory of sahabhū-āśraya, see Si 19c12ff. (Si_p 23off.).
- 359. Y_t zi 4b2f. (see n. 521); 5a1f. (H 1979, 27f. [\\$ C.3-5]; see \\$ 5.6.3.5.b); 7a2 (H 1979, 34 [\\$ 4.b.A.3, end]).
- 360. Y_t zi 5b5f. (see n. 358): kun gźi rnam par šes pas zin pa'i dbań po gzugs can rnams; cp. also 4b2 (see § 4.5 + n. 531): ... upādānam ... sādhiṣṭhānam indriyarūpam.
- 361. Although the way in which the cognitive function of ālayavijñāna is conceived of in the Pravṛtti Portion (as well as in the Proof Portion) would seem to derive, at least partly, from its original feature of sticking in the body (see §§ 5.8.4 and 5.4.2), in the Pravṛtti Portion this feature will no longer have been felt to be essential to ālayavijñāna, for this text expressly considers, in this connection, also the case of an existence in the ārūpyadhātu (see § 5.6.3.5). It should, however, be noted in this connection that the precise purport of Y_t zi 5alfis uncertain (see § 5.6.3.5.b).
- 362. As for the *Nivṛtti Portion*, it seems that in one of its layers ālayavijñāna is conceived of as Badness (dauṣṭhulya, cp. § 4.7) sticking in the body (see n. 1337).
- 363. Or, as one may also put it, ālayavijñāna has taken the place of unspecified "mind" included in the definition of the basis-of-personal-existence (ātmabhāva) as ṣaḍāyatana (see § 3.11.2 + n. 376) or savijñānakaḥ kāyaḥ (see n. 375). If one starts from the theory of Seeds presupposed by my Initial Passage (see § 2.5), one might say that ālayavijñāna was first conceived of as a hypostasis of the Seeds of mind in corporeal matter but has become at this stage the fundamental stratum of minditself.
- 364. Y 11,4f. (see § 6.2.1-2).

- 365. E.g. ASBh 61,7: āśrayam ... ālayavijñānasvabhāvam; Si 20b 17f.; cp. also the equation of the dauṣṭhuly ā ś r a y a (originally: the body, or body-and-mind) with ālayavijñāna (see n. 1330). Yet, the old idea of ālayavijñāna as sticking in, or pervading, the body and being dependent on it is not entirely absent from later texts: cp. the passages collected in n. 38, especially Si 20b27f. and c1 (ālayavijñāna b a s e d o n the material sense-faculties).
- 366. AKBh 40,13.
- 367. MSgU_t 251a2f.:

"ālayavijñāna [which is] the fundamental element of a living being (maulam sattva-dravyam), consisting in [the Result-of]-Maturation (*vipākātmakam), produced by the Impression of previous good and bad deeds and by Clinging to the concepts of object and subject (*pūrvakušalākušala-karma-vāsanā-grāhya-grāhaka-vikalpābhiniveša¹-nirvartitam(?); cp. Tr 19 [see n. 419]) ..."

- (... snon gyi dge ba dan mi dge ba'i las kyi bag chags dan gzun ba dan 'dzin par rnam par rtog pa la mnon par źen pa rnams kyis rnam par smin pa'i bdag ñid sems can gyi rdzas kyi dnos gźi kun gźi rnam par śes pa bsgrubs (D: bsgrub) pa ...; cp. MSgU_c 388c29ff.: ... 業氣習 ... 執著程子所生有情本事 ...).
- 1. Ch add. -bija-.
- 368. Y 25,15f.: tatra cātmabhāve bālānām aham iti vā mameti vāsmīti vā bhavati / āryāṇāṃ punar duḥkham ity eva bhavati /; cp. also Y 212,18: ātmabhāve ātmagrāha-. Cp. AKBh 337,4: ahaṃkāravastv ātmabhāvaḥ.
- 369. On the various shades of meaning of this term see n. 1477(E).
- 370. Cp. also Y 26,16f.; PG 34 (see App. II).
- 371. Cp. especially passages like MN III 18f. (SN II 252; cp. $S\bar{A}_{c}$ 118c26ff.): katham ... passato imasmim ... s a v i \bar{n} \bar{n} \bar{a} -

- n a k e $k\bar{a}ye$... ahamkāra-mamamkāra-mānânusayā (Skt.: a h a m kāra m a m a kār \hat{a} s m i mānābhinivesānusayā \hat{h} : S \hat{A}_{C} 245c23f., quoted at AKBh 142,10) na honti? yam kinci rūpam ... "n' etam m a m a , n' eso ' h a m a s m i , na m' eso attā" ti ... passati; cp. also MN III 19f. (etc.): rūpam (etc.) ... aniccam ... / ... yam panāniccam dukkham viparināmadhammam, kallam nu tam samanupassitum "etam m a m a , eso ' h a m a s m i , eso me attā" ti?
- 372. PSkBh 199b4 (on PSk_t 17a4 lus kyi kun gźi daṅ rgyu ñid): tshor ba daṅ / 'du śes daṅ / 'du byed daṅ / rnam par śes pa ste / miṅ gi phuṅ po bźi daṅ / gzugs kyi phuṅ po ste phuṅ po lṅa la lus (= *ātmabhāva, cp. n. 14o) źes bya ba'o //; cp. PSkVai 53b3: lus ni miṅ daṅ gzugs so // TrBh 19,16f.: āśraya = ātmabhāva = sādhiṣṭhānam indriyarūpaṃ nāma ca = nāmarūpa (TrBh 19,18) = the five skandhas (TrṬ 18b4). Cp. also AKVy 168,14 explaining āśraya by santāna; AKBh 63,18-20 along with 64,1 and 5f.; 93,22 along with 92,25.
- 373. In spite of the heterogeneity of the materials of this part of the text (see § 7.1B.2.1.2), the passages using ātmabhāva as a key term would appear to be so closely related that it seems admissible to elucidate them by one another.
- 374. Primarily, the term 'vipāka' refers to the result of karman, and in this sense ālayavijñāna or the vipākavijñāna at the moment of Linking up (pratisandhi) are frequently taught to be the result of previous karman; cp., e.g., Y 199,5-7: saha (Ym) pratisandhibandhāc ca tasya vijñānasya (= pratisandhiphala-vijñānasya [199,4] = vipāka-vijñānasya [199,7]) yat tad-upapatti-saṃvartaniyam (Ym) karma, tad dattaphalaṃ bhavati vipāka tah; Y 192,8: ālayavijñāna-vaipākyaṃ karma (see § 6.5); ASBh 11,11f.: pūrva-karma-nirmitatvād (ed. and ms.: -tvāt) vipākavijñānam; KSi § 33 (Muroji p. 39,29-31); PSVy 24b3f. (Muroji p. 40,5-7). However, the term 'vipāka' is sometimes also used to denote the result of karman and Defilements (kleša, āsrava);

cp., e.g., Y_t zi 226a2 (Y_c 665b6f.): snon byas pa'i las dan ñon mons parnams kyirnam par smin pa; Y_{t} zi 224a4 (Y_{c} 664c6): rnam par smin pa dań beas pa'i chos rnams (= *savipākā dharmāḥ) ni mdor bsdu na zag pa rnams dan / zag pa dan beas pa rnams (= *āsra vā h And it is in accordance with sāsravāš ca) so //. this occasional use that in the Basic Section of Y the [basis-of-]personal-existence (ātmabhāva) or [Result-of-]-Maturation (vipāka) containing all Seeds - in Y 4,11f. identified with Mind-containing-all-Seeds (see § 3.11.4.1), which in its turn came to be superseded by alayavijñana (see \S 6.1.3) - is conceded to be the result also of good and bad karman, but is taught to be primarily (prādhānyena) the result of delight in wordly existence (prapañca-(abhi)rati, cp. n. 1405) (Y 25,12f. (see n. 1408); 4,11f. (see ib.); 17,2f.; 18,21f.; PG 28 (see App. II)).

- 1. Y dzi 116a2: skyes nas myon bar 'gyur ba = *upapa-dya-(saṃ)vedanīyam.
- 375. Cp. Y_t 'i 314b8 (Y_c 840a25f.): rnam par ses pa dan beas pa'i lus (= *savijnānakaḥ kāyaḥ) skye mehed drug gis bsdus pa.
- 376. ŚrBh 384,8f.: tatrātmabhāvaphalam (= the result consisting in ā.) yad etad vipākajam ṣaḍāyatanam; cp. S 1969a, 43 and 89.
- 377. Cp. Y 25,12: sarvabījakāyām ātmabhāvābhinirvṛttau. Cp., in this connection, also the Vastusaṃgrahaṇī passage (Y_t 'i 252a4f.: see n. 172) according to which the Six Senses (ṣaḍāyatana) are the Seed-basis of the six vijāanas.
- 378. Y 26,10.
- 379. Y 25,3.
- 38o. PG 29f. (see App. II).
- 381. Cp. Comm. ad PG 29 (see App. II).

- 382. Y 11,11-13: ālambanam katamat / sa<rva>dharmā <ā>lambanam / n i ṣ k e v a l a m tu vedanāskandhaḥ samjīnāskandhaḥ sam-skāraskandho 'samskṛtam cânidaršanam apratigham ca rūpam ṣ a ḍ ā y a t a n a m s a r v a b ī j ā n i ca /
- 383. Y 4,11f. (see § 6.1.1(d) γ).
- 384. Cp. Vi 96a28 ([certain] Mahāsānghikas; cp. Bareau 1955, 69 (thèse 54); Theravādins: Kv XVI.8; cp. XII.4; Dhs 18o; Bareau 1955, 232 (thèse 161). Cp. also Y_t zi 224b3ff. (see § 3.12.2.1).
- 385. Especially in Mahāyānasūtras: cp., e.g., KP § 98; Vimalakīrtinirdeśa (trsl. E. Lamotte, Louvain 1962), 197; Suvarņaprabhāsasūtra (Die tibetischen Übersetzungen ..., hrsg. v. J. Nobel, Leiden 1944), 238,14 and 21f. Cp. also UV 33.57 arūpiṇam ... cittam ... anidaršanam (but the Mūlasarvāstivāda version lacks citta!). In the Pāli canon, arūpi and anidassana seem to occur to gether only once, and as qualification of ākāša at that (MN I 127); but anidassana qualifies viññāṇa at MN I 329 and DN I 223.
- 386. SN II 94; NidSa 7.3-4; AKBh 27,6: ahamkārasannišrayatvāc cittam ātmety upacaryate; cp. 27,10: ātmabhūtasya cittasya; cp. also AS 71,17f. (citta = ātmavastu); 2,1 + ASBh 1,18 (-ātma[sva]vastu vijnānam); MAVBh 50,12 (ātmābhinivešavastu cittam); SAT 66b6 (bdag ni med kyi / sems kho na la bdag tu 'dogs par zad do //). Cp. ASBh 1,19: loke prāyeṇa vi-jāāne ātmagrāhaḥ. Cp. also the fact that citta is sometimes called the king or lord among the skandhas, e.g. Vi 141b27ff.; cp. also Dharmasamuccaya XI.1; 28; 34; 74; (cp. G. Roth in: IIJ 14/1972, 100). Cp. also the "etymology" of manas at Y 70,6-8: dīrgharātram etad bālaiḥ ... mamāyitam "etan mama, eṣo 'ham asmi, eṣa ma ātmā" iti ... iti "mana" iti /.
- 387. PG 33-35 (see App. II).

- 388. Cp. the confirmation of this assumption by the list of equivalents for $b\bar{i}ja$ (in the sense of the $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ containing all Seeds: cp. § 3.11.4.2) in Y 26,18f. (see n. 391), where both duhkha and $satk\bar{a}yadrsty-adhisth\bar{a}na$ and $asmim\bar{a}n\bar{a}dhisth\bar{a}na$ (see § 7.18.2.1.3.c) figure.
- 389. Comm. ad PG 33-34 (see App. II).
- 390. Comm. ad PG 33-34 (... duḥkha<m> (see n. 1472) ... ālayavijnānamayam) and ad PG 37 (ālayavijnānasamgṛhītasya duḥkhasya) (see App. II).
- 391. Y 26,18f.: bijaparyāyāḥ punar dhātur gotraṃ prakṛtir hetuḥ satkāyaḥ prapañca ālaya upādānaṃ duḥkhaṃ satkāyadṛṣṭy-adhiṣṭhānam asmimānādhiṣṭhānaṃ cēty evaṃbhāgīyāḥ paryāyā veditavyāḥ //. For a detailed discussion of this passage, see § 7.1B.2.1.3.
- 392. See § 7.1B.2.1.3.b + ns. 1014-1016.
- 393. See § 7.1B.2.1.3.a.
- 394. Samdh V.7 (see n. 321).
- To be sure, the fact that ordinary people do not, 395. themselves, develop a speculative view of ālayavijñana as Self (because they have no conceptual knowledge of it) does n o t eo ipso exclude that they have a spontaneous, non-conceptual feeling of Ego or of identity towards it. Yet, if the Sutra was familiar with such a view, it is strange that it does not refer to it anywhere. Besides, it appears that the explicit formulation of the idea of alayavijñana as the specific object of a spontaneous feeling of Ego or of identity is closely connected with the introduction of manas as another kind of $vijn\bar{a}na$ (see \S 7.1A.2.2.c) which is, however, not yet found in the Samdhinirmocanasutra (cp. n. 942).
- 396. See § 7.1A.2.2.c, α + ns. 944 and 945.
- 397. MSg I.3 (see n. 137).

- 398. See n. 374.
- 399. In the older materials (like the ŚrBh passage quoted in n. 376), the term vipākaja may still be used in the sense of "arisen from [the process of] Maturation", i.e. as equivalent to vipāka as the result of Maturation (cp. AKBh 25,1off.: tatra vipākahetor jātāḥ vipākajāḥ ...), whereas later on (and already in the Yogācārabhūmi passages referred to in § 3.12.2.1-2) vipākaja is distinguished from vipāka and taken to designate what (secondari-ly) results from vipāka (as the primary result).
- 400. Y 4,7 (see § 6.1.1), 11,5 (§ 6.2.1) and 24,4f. (§ 6.3.1). Cp. also Y_+ zi 2b7 (see n. 415).
- 401. Cp. Suguro 1982a, 105,15ff.; cp. also the use of vipāka-saṃ-gṛhīta at Y_t zi 225a1 (see n. 402 (2a)), qualifying s e n s a t i o n s (vedanā) falling under the category of vipāka, which, however, also includes, according to the same text (see n. 402 (1a)), states-of-mind (citta) and is even used to characterize the Seeds [of pleasant and painful sensations] they contain (Y_t zi 225a2: de'i sa bon rnam par smin pas bsdus pa, i.e. *tad-bījaṃ vipākasaṃgṛhītam). Cp. also Y 25,17 (vedanā vipāka-saṃgṛhītā: see n. 412).
- 402. Y_t zi 224b3ff. $(Y_c$ 664c19ff.):
 - (1a) de la 'chi ba'i (D: ka'i) sems tha ma ni rnam par smin pa yin no // ñin mtshams sbyar ma thag pa'i sems kyan rnam par smin pa yin no // de phan chad ran bźin du gnas pa'i sems thams cad kyan rnam par smin pa yin no // de la dge ba dan ñon mons pa can ma yin pa'i sems dan / lun du ma bstan pa'i sems mnon par 'du byed pa dan bcas pa med pa gan yin pa de ni ran bźin du gnas pa źes bya'o // ...
 - (1b) (224b6) rnam par smin pa de ni thams cad du yan ma bsgribs la lun du ma bstan pa kho na yin par brjod par bya'o //

- (1c) (224b6-8) rnam par smin pa sa bon thams cad pa de las spans pa dan / ma thob pa ma gtogs pa dge ba'am / mi dge ba'am / lun du ma bstan pa'i chos gan dag ran gi sa bon dan ldan par skye ba de dag thams cad ni rnam par smin pa las skyes pa yin par brjod par bya'o //
- (2a) (224b8f.) khams gsum thams cad na yaṅ 'chi ba'i (D: ka'i) sems tha ma daṅ / ñiṅ mtshams sbyor ba'i sems daṅ po'i 'og ma gñis pa ni sdug bshal yaṅ ma yin bde ba yaṅ ma yin pa'i tshor ba daṅ ldan pa yin par blta bar bya ste / ñiṅ mtshams sbyor ba'i sems daṅ po ma gtogs pa de dag ni thams cad du yaṅ rnam par smin par bsdus pa yin par blta bar bya'o //
- (2b) (225a1f.) đe las gźan pa bde ba dań / sdug bsňal gyi tshor ba dag ni rnam par smin pa las skyes pa yin par rig par bya'o //.
- (1a) The last citta [of an existence, viz. the one] at the moment of death, is vipāka. The citta immediately following upon [the moment of] Linking up (pratisandhi) is also vipāka. Thereafter, all 'unaltered' (*prakṛtistha) cittas are also vipāka. 'Unaltered' are called those cittas which are neither good (kušala) nor defiled (kliṣṭa), [i.e. those which are neutral, but] with the [additional] exception (*anyatra, *-varjya?) of those which are neutral (avyākṛta) but active (*sābhisaṃskāra) (Ch.: 及除加行無記之心).

. . .

- (1b) This [primary result of] Maturation (*vipāka*) is, in all cases, to be designated as exclusively neutral and non-obscured [by Defilements] (*anivṛtāvyākṛta*).
- (1c) All [other] good, bad and neutral dharmas except for those which have been abandoned or have not [yet] been obtained which, conforming to (?) their respective Seed (*svabījānugatāḥ?; cp. Ch. 自種子為因, and ns. 172, 438 and 570), arise from this [primary result of] Maturation containing all Seeds (sarvabīj(ak)o vipākaḥ),

are to be designated as vipākaja.

- (2a) In all [kinds of existences in] the three world-spheres (*triṣu dhātuṣu sarvatrāpi), the last citta[, viz. the one] at the moment of death, and the second [citta] which follows upon the first citta which links up [a new existence], are to be regarded as associated with neither-agreeable-nor-disagreeable sensation (vedanā), and these [sensations], except for [that of] the first citta which links up [a new existence], are, in all kinds of existences], to be regarded as comprised in [the category of] vipāka.
- (2b) [All] other sensations[, especially those which are] pleasant (sukha) or painful (duḥkha), are to be regarded as vipākaja.
- 403. I.e. those which remain in the "natural", inactive state represented by mind in the second moment of an existence.
- 404. Y_t zi 224b3-5 (see n. 402 (1a)). Cp. the division of $avy\bar{a}$ -kata into kiriya and $vip\bar{a}ka$ in Theravada Abhidharma.
- 405. Y_{t} zi 224b6-8 (see n. 402 (1c)).
- 406. As for emphasis on *vedanā* in connection with *vipāka*, cp. also the (more radical) position of the Dārṣṭāntikas according to whom only *vedanā* is *vipāka* (Vi 96a25f.).
- 407. Y_t zi 224b8f. (see n. 402 (2a)).
- 408. Y_{+} zi 225a1f. (see n. 402 (2b)); cp. also 225a3ff.
- 409. This fact will, in view of the well-documented opinion of other schools like the Theravadins (see n. 384), hardly be explicable as a mere omission.
- 410. Y 4,11f. (see \S 6.1.1).
- 411. Y 24,3-5 (see § 6.3.1).
- 412. Y 25,16ff.: prakṛtyā ca garbhāvasthāyām aduḥkhāsukhavedanā-pratiṣṭhitaṃ vijñānam upacīyate / saiva ca tatra vedanā vipākasaṃgṛhītā / tadanyat tu sarvaṃ veditaṃ vipākajaṃ vā viṣayapratyayaṃ vā.

- 413. Though presumably differing in detail, especially with regard to the first moment of a new existence, for at least in other passages of the Basic Section (Y 24,4f.; 199,4+7) this moment too is taken to be vipāka.
- 414. Cp., in this connection, VGPVy 420a8: rnam par smin pa'i rnam par ses pa dban po dan lhan cig / las gcig gis 'phans pa'i phyir ...
- 415. Y_t zi 2b7 (ASBh 12,5f.; H 1978, 8f. [\S i(c)]): api ca ṣaṇṇāṃ vijnāna-kāyānāṃ sā jātir nôpalabhyate yā 'vyākṛtā (ms.) vipāka-saṃgṛhītā syāt /
- 416. Y 192,8 (see § 6.5, especially 6.5.4) and comm. ad PG 33-34 and 37 (see § 6.7.4.2).
- 417. Y_t zi 6a3f. (Y_c 58ob24-26; Chüeh-ting 1019c3f.; H 1979, 31f. [§ 3.b.B.2]): de'i bag chags kyi rigs gźan ni phyi ma la kun gźi rnam par ses pa de (sic P and SaṃdhVy co 76b8; D: de dag) ñid kyi rnam par smin pa yons su 'dzin pa'i phyir 'jug par 'gyur ro //; Hts.: ... 被熏習種類、能引播當來異熟 無記阿賴耶識; Pa.: ... 未來世、今阿賴耶識受果報 . The original may have been something like this: *anyā tad-vāsanā-jātir āyatyāṃ tasyaîvâlayavijñānasya vipākasya (or -ka-?) parigrahāya vartate. Cp. Y 25,2off.: sa ca bīja-santāna-prabandho 'nādikālikaḥ ... subhāsubha-karma-viseṣa-paribhāvana-yā punaḥ punar v i pā k a phala- p a r i g r a hā n(?)² navībhavati /.
 - 1. If the original had *vipākasya, one may also follow Hts.'s version and take the passage to mean that the other kind of Impression leads to future taking possession of [a new arrangement of] ālayavijñāna itself a s the Result-of-Maturation.
 - 2. Y_m -haṃ ni<...?>; Tib. -'i phyir; Ch. 琞 ...
- 418. Y_t zi 5b7f. $(Y_c$ 58ob17ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019b27-29; H 1979, 31 [§ $3 \cdot b \cdot B$]): de la 'jug pa'i rnam par ses pa ni rnam pa gñis kyis kun gźi rnam par ses pa'i rkyen gyi bya ba byed de / ... / (2) tshe (om. P) phyi ma la de mion par 'grub pa'i sa

bon yons su 'dzin pa skyed par byed pas so (≈ *āyatyāṃ tad-abhinirvṛtti-bīja-parigraha-janana(?)tah).

- 419. Tr 19: "When [the present ālayavijñāna which is the result of] the Maturation of previous [karman] is exhausted, the Impression of [not yet retributed] karman along with the Impression of the two false conceptions (cp. n. 367) generate it (= ālayavijñāna) [anew] as another [result of] Maturation" (karmaṇo vāsanā grāha-dvaya-vāsanayā saha / kṣīṇe pūrvavipāke 'nyaṃ¹ vipākaṃ janayanti tat //).
 - 1. Lévi's ed. has 'nyad, but in a leaf from a TrBh ms., hidden between the photos of the Ratnagotravibhaga manuscripts kept in Patna, the reading is, in both the pertinent passages it contains (corresponding to TrBh 37,7 and 9), 'nyam' 'nyam.
- 420. TrBh 37,7f.: ālayavijnāna-vyatirekeņānyasya vipākasyābhāvāt.
- 421. Y_t zi 5a5f. $(Y_c$ 58oa29-b2; H 1979, 28f. [§ 2.b.A+B.1]).
- 422. Y_t zi 190a3ff. (Y_c 651c7ff.):

"The sensation which is associated with ālayavijñāna is always neither-painful-nor-pleasant (aduḥkhāsukha) and vipā-ka, and it arises (*(pra-)vṛt-) continuously, without interruption ..., as long as life lasts. The other sensations - [all] the three kinds (viz. pleasant, painful and neither-pleasant-nor-painful sensations associated with the pravṛtti-vijñānas) - must be regarded as brought about [by additional factors] (*abhinirhṛta?), not innate (or spontaneous) (*a-sa-haja), and [therefore] arising only occasionally (*kādācit-ka)."

(kun gźi rnam par śes pa dań mtshuńs par ldan pa'i tshor ba gań yin pa de ni rtag tu sdug bsňal yaň ma yin bde ba yaň ma yin pa dań / rnam par smin pa'o // de yaň ma śi'i bar du ... rgyun mi 'chad par rgyun gyis 'jug pa yin no // de la de las gźan pa'i tshor ba rnam pa gsum gaň yin pa de ni bsgrubs pa daň (D) / lhan cig skyes pa ma yin pa daň / res 'ga' 'byuň ba yin par rig par bya'o //).

- 423. Hsien-yang 504b12f. (context: vedanā-skandhaḥ): 異熟相者、謂、阿賴耶識相應受。非異熟相者、謂、轉識相應受。
- 424. AS 30,20f.: vipākaḥ punar ālayavijnānaṃ sasaṃprayogaṃ draṣṭa-vyam / tadanyat tu vipākajam /
- 425. ASBh 44, 18-20: ālayavijāānād <u>anyat tu</u>¹ cakṣurādikaṃ vā² sukhaduḥkhādikaṃ vā²; tad³ <u>vipākajam</u> ity ākhyāṃ labhate, tato jātam iti kṛtvā / (underlined: words of AS [see n. 424]).
 - 1. ms. -nādattu (or -tta or -tu), but when repeating the sentence in the bottom margin it seems to read, like Tatia's edition, -nāt tadanyat tu. ASBh_t (41a2: kun gźi rnam par šes pa las gźan pa) supports my text, but ASVy_t (206b5: de las gźan pa ni 'dir) seems to be based on *tadanyad atra, omitting ālayavijnānāt.
 - 2. Tatia: ca, but ms. consistently $v\bar{a}$.
 - 3. Thus with Chin. (ASVye 716c5); Tib. (rnam par smin pa de las) has understood tad-vipāka-jam and construes the whole passage as one sentence.
- 426. MSg I.21; I.34ff.; I.62; II.32.
- 427. But cp. comm. on PG 37 (see App. II + n. 1483 and, for chronology, § 6.7.4).
- 428. In somewhat later sources (cp. § 3.13.6-7), there would be no difficulty in taking 's arvabijaka' to mean "containing the Seeds of all [dharmas]", but, in view of the fact that the Seed function of ālayavijñāna appears to obtain, in the Basic Section, only with reference to the other forms of mind (and their mental associates), this interpretation is hardly applicable to the occurrences of the term in this text. Now it is equally possible to understand 'sarvabijaka' as "containing all Seeds" in the sense of containing the Seeds of all those dharmas which arise from Seeds and as not necessarily implying that all dharmas without exception (e.g. even the external world) arise from Seeds. But even so the term would not entirely fit ālayavijñāna as

long as the latter comprises only the Seeds of mind but not of (at least corporeal) matter, just as the bahuvrihi character (anyapadārthe: Pāṇ 2,2,24) of the term would seem to be problematic as long as ālayavijñāna is hardly anything but the Seeds them selves hypostatized into a special form of mind (see §§ 2.13.1 and 3.8.1).

To be sure, it would not appear impossible to regard the parts (viz. the Seeds) to be, in a sense, different from the whole (viz. alayavijñana); cp. the use of bahuvrihis like bhūta-bhautika- "consisting of the elements and what is formed of, or derives from, them" (Wackernagel II.1, p. 280). Or one may understand the use of the bahuvrihi 'sarvabījaka' as the expression of a first, still somewhat vague tendency towards conceiving alayavijñana as something more than the mere sum of Seeds. And it would not seem impossible either to take 'sarva' in the sense of a limited, relative totality (cp. the explicit distinction between an absolute and a limited or relative use of 'sarva' at Vi 775a14f. or TSi 364c29f.) and 'sarvabijaka' to mean "consisting of, or comprising, all Seeds [under consideration, i.e. of mind and mental factors]".

Yet, it is rather in terms of textual history that the problem has to be explained. For, as will be shown in §§ 6.1 and 6.3, in the pertinent passages of the Basic Section ālayavijnāna has, by way of an addition, superseded, or been Mind-containing-allengrafted on. Seeds (sarvabījakam vijnānam). This term, however, would seem to refer to the (ordinary, "one-layered") series (taken as a whole) in so far as it contains Seeds (see § 7.3.6.3.3). Since the (ordinary) mind series is a well-defined entity by itself (and not, as alayavijnana originally appears to have been, a mere hypostasis of Seeds), there is no difficulty in taking it to contain Seeds (at least as long as one regards

the Seeds as quasi-entities and does not emphasize their being mere denominations of the capacities of dharmas (cp. § 7.1B.2.1.3.b). And since the sarvabījakam vijnānam - provided that I am right in taking it as the homogeneous successor of the vijnāna of the Pratītyasamutpāda Analysis of Savitarkādibhūmi and Vastusamgrahaņī (see § 7.3, especially 7.3.6.3.1-3) - will have to contain not only the Seeds of the mental elements but also the Seeds of the poreal factors of the next existence (cp. § 3.13.2 + n. 441) and is even stated to contain the Seeds of liberating insight (bodhi: Y 25,1f.), it will also deserve (much more than the original alayavijnana) to be called "containing a 1 1 Seeds". When, afterwards (see \S 6.1.3-4, 6.3.3-4 and 6.8), alayavijñana was engrafted on this Mind-containing-all-Seeds, it automatically inherited the attribute 'sarvabījaka' though this qualification did not entirely fit in with the original character of alayavijñana. But the inheritance of this qualification will certainly have contributed to developments taking alayavijnana as (not merely the sum of Seeds but rather) a veritable entity by itself (cp. § 3.10 and § 5) to be regarded as the container or support o f Seeds, and as containing the Seeds not only of mind and mental factors but also of corporeal matter or even of all dharmas (§ 3.13.4ff.).

- 429. See § 2.1.
- 430. See n. 285.
- 431. Y 5,15: sarve ca (sc. caitasā dharmāḥ) svabījan nirjātāḥ ...
- 432. Y 109,14f. (see § 6.4) is not unambiguous, but will, in view of the related paragraph of the *Proof Portion* (see n. 434), more probably have to be referred to *vijāānas* (and mental factors) only.
- 433. Cp. § 6.1.2.1 + n. 775.
- 434. Y_{t} zi 3a8ff. (ASBh 12,25ff.; H 1978, 12 [§ iv]).

- 435. I.e. if we disregard, in this context, the Mahāyānist elements, i.e. the final surmounting of all diversity (Saṃdh V.6) and the *nimitta-nāma-vikalpa-vyavahāra-prapaāca-vāsanā (see § 4.4.2).
- Yet, the etymology of 'citta' in Samdh V.3 (sems zes kyan 436. bya ste / 'di ltar de ni gzugs dan sgra dan dri dan ro dan reg bya dan chos rnams kyis (cp. Y_{+} 'i 58a8) kun tu bsags pa dan ne bar bsags pa yin pa'i phyir ro //, pprox *cittam ity apy ucyate, ... tasya rūpa-šabda-gandha-rasa-sprastavya-dhar*mācitôpacitatām upādāya*) may not fit in with this statement, provided that the passage has in fact, as Yuki (1935, 170) suggests, to be taken to mean, in the sense of slightly later sources (especially Y, zi 189b4; MSg I.9; AS 12,1f.), that alayavijnana is an accumulation of or filled/covered with the Impressions (vāsanā) or (bija) of the objects of perception and cognition. Yet, strikingly enough the wording of the passage does not include a word for Impressions or Seeds (cp. Yūki 1935, 169,17), which means that this interpretation may need reconsideration.
- 437. Cp. Samdh V.4-5 (see § 3.9.3), though in these paragraphs no mention is made of Seeds (cp. Suguro 1983, 15,16; but cp. sarvabīja(ka) at V.2 and V.7). As for the body and the material sense-faculties, they are only taught to be taken possession of and a p p r o p r i a t e d by ādānavijnāna (Samdh V.2 [see § 4.4.2 + n. 508] and V.3 [see n. 352]) and to be the place where it sticks or hides (Samdh V.3 [see § 2.8]).
- 438. Y_t zi 5b4f. (Y_c 58ob11f.; Chüeh-ting 1019b24f.; H 1979, 30 [§ 3.b.A.1]): de la sa bon gyi dňos po ni 'jug pa'i rnam par šes pa dge ba daň mi dge ba daň luň du ma bstan pa 'byuň bar 'gyur ba gaň ci yaň ruň ste / de dag thams cad ni kun gźi rnam par šes pa'i sa bon daň ldan pa yin no (*ālayavijňā-na-bījānugata(??); Hts.: ... 用阿賴耶識為種子; Pa.: ... 因阿羅耶識以為種本; cp. n. 402 (1c)).

- 439. Hsien-yang 48oc16 (眼識者、謂、從阿賴耶識種子所生 ...); c23; c28; c29f. (心所有法者、謂、若法從阿賴耶識種子所生 ...); 481a13; a26f.; 483a16.
- 44o. Hsien-yang 483c9f. (眼、謂、一切種子阿賴耶識之所執受).
- 441. Cp. passages like ŚrBh 384,14f. (vijnāna-parigṛhītaṃ paunarbhavika-nāma r ū p a -bījaṃ ṣ a ḍ ā y a t a n a -bījaṃ ···; cp. n. 147(b)) and Y 200,16f. (tac ca vijnānam āyati-paunarbhavika-nāma r ū p a -bījōpagataṃ (Ym); cp. 207, 9), implying that the vijnāna which has come under the sway of karman (ŚrBh 384,12f.; Y 200,13 and 198,22) contains the Seeds of future mental a n d c o r p o r e a l factors.
- 442. Y 52,15f.: sarveṣām ādhyātmika bāh yānāṃ bhūtānām upādāya-rūpāṇāṃ câdhyātmaṃ citta-santatau bījāni sanniviṣṭāni; cp. 55,14 (citta-sanniviṣṭasya ca rūpa-samudāya-bījasya). Cp. also Odani 1976, 169, who, however, equates
 citta-santati with ālayavijñāna, which is inadmissible if we
 are to understand the materials of the Yogācārabhūmi in
 their original sense, and not from the point of view of
 later systematization (see § 7.1B.2.1.2).
- 443. Y_{t.} zi 15b7f. (see n. 172).
- 444. Y_t zi 8a5f. (Y_c 581a26-29; Chüeh-ting 1o2oa14-16; H 1979, 37f. [§ 5.b.A.1-2]):

(kun gźi rnam par šes pa ni ...// 'di ltar)

- 1. de ni sems can gyi 'jig rten 'grub pa'i rtsa ba yin te / dban po rten dan bcas pa rnams dan / 'jug pa'i rnam par ses pa rnams skyed par byed pa yin pa'i phyir ro //
- 2. snod kyi 'jig rten 'grub pa'i rtsa ba yan yin te / snod kyi 'jig rten skyed par byed pa yin pa'i phyir ro //.

The original may have been something like this:

*(tathā hi) 1. tat sattva-loka-nirvṛtti-mūlam / sādhiṣṭhā-nêndriya-pravṛttivijnāna-janakatvāt / 2. bhājanaloka-nirvṛt-ti-mūlaṃ ca / bhājanaloka-janakatvāt //.

- 445. AS 3,3f. and 8f.: yac ca tasya (sc. cakṣuṣo/cakṣurvijñān-asya) bîjam upacitam vaipākyam câlayavijñānam (text revised in accordance with ASBh 2,22f. and AS.).
- 446. ASBh 2,22f.: yata āyatyām cakşur nirvartişyate.
- 447. ASBh 2,23: yato nirvṛttam. In the case of cakṣur v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a , etc., one would perhaps have to say: from which cakṣurvijnāna, etc., have arisen or are going to arise in the present existence.
- The two categories do not seem to take into account the reproduction of mental factors by way of self-intensification (i.e. by way of what is later called niṣyanda-vāsanā but clearly distinguished from karmic Impressions already in the Pravṛtti Portion (Yt zi 5b7ff.; H 1979, 31 [§ 3.b.B, more precisely B.1])), except if one regards them to be included in the category 'upacita', only that this term suggests rather accumulation of karman. Accordingly, ASBh 35,22ff., in order to include the Seeds of [mental states] produced by effort (prāyogika), replaces 'upacita' by 'ābhisaṃskārika'. My impression is that in the early Yogācāra sources a clear-cut distinction between the different categories of vāsanās or bījas is often missing or at least not easily recognizable (cp. also n. 831).
- 449. AS 3,5f. (cp. ASBh 2,24): yac cakṣurdhātos *tatra (ASBh: rūpe) ādhipatyam; cp. ASBh 2,24f.: rūpindriyādhipatyena bāhyaviṣayanirvartanāt.
- 450. AS 12,1: skandha-dhātv-āyatana-vāsanā-paribhāvitaṃ sarvabīja-kam ālayavijnānam; 32,8f.: *skandha-dhātv-āyatanānāṃ yad bī-jam ālayavijnānam [retranslation changed by me in accordance with Tib. (AS_t 82b8: phun po dan / khams dan / skye mched rnams kyi (ASVy_t 209a3; AS_t: kyis) sa bon kun gźi rnam par šes pa gan yin pa)].
- 451. See n. 131. If more passages could be found which like the present one (see n. 452) are unless added by a later

- redactor obviously due to the compiler himself and which at the same time show remarkable agreements with undisputed works of Asanga, this would no doubt considerably enhance the possibility that it was indeed by Asanga that at least VinSg was compiled.
- 452. Y_t zi 17b6 (Y_c 584a27ff.): sa bon rnam par gźag (D; P: bźag) pa'i tshul 'di ni kun gźi rnam par ses pa rnam par ma bźag (D; P: gźag) pa la rig par bya'o // rnam par bźag pa la ni mdor bsdu na de la chos thams cad kyi sa bon yod par rig par bya ste / ...
- 453. Cp. MSg I.1-2; I.14; II.2 (dharmas replaced by *abhūtapari-kalpa-saṃgṛhītā vijnāptayaḥ); II.32 (dharmas explicitly equated with pravṛttivijnāna(s)).
- 454. MSg I.3 (see n. 137) and I.2+27 (see n. 136).
- This is what the canonical explanation of the Noble Truth of Suffering (SN V 421, etc.) obviously intends to point out. Cp. also passages like MN I 91 (etc.): app'-assādā kā-mā bāhu-dukkhā bāh'-upāyāsā; AKBh 329,2of.: ... duḥkham evāryāsatyam ucyate / sukhasyālpatvāt; similarly Vi 402c2f. + 13-16; cp. also *Catuḥsatyaśāstra (T 1647), 386a19ff.: The Sūtra declares the [five] upādānaskandhas to be unsatisfactory/painful (duḥkha) (see n. 458) because pain torments more [than pleasure makes happy] (苦惑逼故) and because there is much more pain [than pleasure] (苦歌多故).
- 456. Cp., e.g., MN I 232f.; SN IV 216f. Cp. S 1977, 918f.
- 457. S 1977, 919 + 928 notes 10 and 11.
- 458. SN V 421 (etc.): sankhittena pañc' upādānakkhandhā dukkhā (Skt. e.g. ŚrBh 251,16f. and 255,3: saṃkṣepataḥ pañcôpā-dānaskandhāḥ duḥkham).
- 459. Cp., e.g., Y_t zi 7ob7ff. (Y_c 6o5a2o-22): skye ba'i sdug bsňal daň / mdor na ñe bar len pa'i phuň po lňa sdug bsňal ba žes bya ba'i bar du gaň gsuňs pa'i rnam pa, de dag las

... du źig 'du byed kyi sdug bsňal ñid kyis bsdus (D) śe na / smras pa / tha ma gcig go /; Y_t zi 22ob6f. (Y_c 663b 12f.): 'du byed kyi sdug bsňal ñid de'i dbaň du mdzad nas bcom ldan 'das kyis "mdor na ñe bar len pa'i phuň po lňa po dag sdug bsňal ba" źes gsuňs te; Y_t zi 246a5 (Y_c 672c2): tha ma mdor na ñe bar len pa'i phuň po lňa sdug bsňal ba źes (D) bya ba gcig pus ni 'du byed kyi sdug bsňal ñid yoňs su bstan to //; AS 38,5f. (which I should, with the help of AS $_t$ 88b5f. and ASBh 49,18f., restore to *yad uktaṃ "saṃkṣepataḥ (see n. 458) pañcôpādānaskandhā duḥkham" iti, anena saṃskāraduḥkhatā paridîpitā bhavati). Cp. also ŚrBh 257,6f.: saṃskāraduḥkhatā punaḥ sarvatragā upādānaskandheṣu.

According to SrBh 255,3ff., however, the statement "samkṣepataḥ pañcôpādānaskandhā duḥkham" refers to saṃskāra-duḥkhatā a s w e 1 1 a s viparināma-duḥkhatā, and even points out the five upādāna-skandhas as the recipient (bhājana) of $duhkha-duhkhat\bar{a}$. Cp. also Y₊ zi 169a3-5 (Y_c 642b17-19) where the unsatisfactoriness of the five upādānaskandhas is declared to be based on the fact that they are characterized (*-svabhāva) by saṃskārasentially $duhkhat\bar{a}$ and on the fact that they are the recipie n t (-bhājana) of duḥkha-duḥkhatā and vipariṇāma-duḥkha $t\bar{a}$ (which usually are taken to be characteristic of painful and pleasant sensations, respectively: see S 1977, 920).

- 460. E.g. Y_t zi 246a5ff. (Y_c 672c3ff.); Hsien-yang 548c1off., especially 15f.; cp. S 1977, 923f.
- 461. E.g. $\$rBh 257,12ff.: ye skandhā anityā udaya-vyaya-yuk-tā < h > (\$rBh_m) sopādānāh ... d a u ṣ ṭ h u l y o p a g a t ā ayogakṣemapatitā avinirmuktā duḥkha-duḥkhatāyā vipari-ṇāma-duḥkhatayā <ca?> asvava$avartina$ ca, iyam ucyate s a ṃ s k ā r a d u ḥ k h a t a y ā duḥkhatā /; <math>\$rBh 490, 5-9 + 14-16$ (see n. 490);

 Y_t zi 22ob5f. (Y_c 663b9ff.):

"What is saṃskāra-duḥkhatā? This disposition (*sanniveša?),

of conditioned entities (saṃskāra) produced by Actions and Defilements entailing rebirth (*paunarbhavika-karma-kleša), which is favourable to the arising, in any [basis-of-]person-al-existence (*teṣu teṣv ātmabhāveṣu), of all [kinds of] Defilements and Suffering (*sarva-kleša-duḥkhôtpatty-anukū-la), and which falls under [the category of] Omnipresent Badness (sarvatraga-dauṣṭhulya) - it is [therefore also] called 'Badness' -: this is saṃskāra-duḥkhatā."

(... 'du byed kyi sdug bsnal nid gan ze na / yan 'byun ba'i las dan / non mons pas bskyed pa'i 'du byed rnams kyi lus de dan de dag la non mons pa dan / sdug bsnal thams cad 'byun ba dan mthun pa kun tu 'gro ba'i gnas nan len du gtogs pa gnas pa gan yin pa de ni gnas nan len zes bya ste / de ni 'du byed kyi sdug bsnal nid yin no //);

 Y_t zi 71a6f. (Y_c 6o5b1f.): rnam pa tha ma (sc. saṃkṣepataḥ pañcôpādānaskandhā duḥkham: Y_t zi 7ob7f. (see n. 459)) daṅ sdug bsṅal tha ma (sc. dauṣṭhulya-duḥkha: Y_t zi 71a4) yaṅ gcig gis gcig bsdus so //;

AS 37, 21f.: *saṃkṣepataḥ (see n. 458) pañcôpādānaskandhāḥ (i.e. saṃskāra-duḥkhatā [AS 38,5f.: see n. 459] = paramārtha-satyena duḥkham [AS 38,9]) kim upādāya duḥkham? dauṣṭhulya-duḥkhatām upādāya /; MAVBh 50,9f.: dauṣṭhulyaṃ hi saṃskāra-duḥkhatā; ASBh 86,8: saṃskāra-duḥkhatā-lakṣaṇena dauṣṭhulye-na. Cp. S 1977, 925.

- 462. kleśa-pakṣ(y)aṃ dauṣṭhulyam, e.g. ŚrBh 272,4; 451,19; 500, 18f.; 507,7f.; BoBh_D 18,16; 196,17; etc.; Y_t 'i (VaSg) 222a1f.; 261b4.
- 463. dauṣṭhulya = a k a r m a ṇ y a t ā: e.g. Y_t zi 202b2 (see S 1969a, 154f.); cp. also ŚrBh 451,19f. (karmaṇyatā as the opposite of dauṣṭhulya). Cp. also the specific aspect of *gurutva (Y_t zi 202b3: lei ba ñid; cp. VisM XX.116: gārava, and ASFh 92,14f.: āhāra-dauṣṭhulya = aty-alpa-bahu-bhojane-na prayogāyogyatā).

- 464. Cp. ASBh 92,16: svapna-dauṣṭhulyaṃ = middhakṛtam āśraya-jā ḍ y a m; ASBh 92,19: pariśrama-dauṣṭhulyam = atigamanā-di-kṛto 'nga-mardaḥ (EDS: "stiffness of the limbs", but also "pain in the limbs", thus clearly involving the nuance of uneasiness); cp. ŚrBh 271,16f. and Yt zi 202b3 (sra ba nīd; cp. Vism XX.116 kakkhalatā).
- 465. Cp. ASBh 92,17f.: jarā-dauṣṭhulyam = bhūta-vipariṇāmakṛtā ' v i d h e y a t ā ; cp. also Y_t zi 2o2b3 dban (b)sgyur ba med pas mi bzod pa ñid (Y_c (657a22) 不自在轉無堪能 , suggesting "incapability (akṣamatā) due to lack of control") and ŚrBh 257,14+16 (dauṣṭhulyopagatāḥ ... asvavašavartinaš ca: see n. 461); Y 90,18f.: dauṣṭhulya-duḥkhena ... duḥkhi-tāḥ, ... asvatantratvāt.
- 466. Cp., apart from the notion of dauṣṭhulya- d u ḥ k h a (e.g. BoBh_D 169,15; Y 90,18f. and 20; Y_t zi 71a4; AS 37,22 [see n. 461]), ASBh 92,17: vyādhi-dauṣṭhulyam = dhātu-vaiṣamya-kṛtā 's v a s t h a t ā (ms.: aprasva°); Y_t zi 202b3: sgyid lug pa (*avasāda?); cp. also VisM XX.116 (passaddhi (i.e. pra-śrabdhi, = antonym of dauṣṭhulya!) characterized by lack of d a r a t h a ("affliction"); SAVBh mi 30ob1f.: dauṣṭhulya-kāya (MSA XIV.20) = kāyâkarmaṇyatā (= lus lci ba (see n. 463) daṅ m i b d e b a 'o) + cittâkarmaṇyatā (= sems m i b d e ź i n dge ba la mi 'jog pa'o).
- 467. Cp. ŚrBh 257,14 (dauṣṭhulyopagatā a y o g a k ṣ e m a -patitā avinirmuktāḥ duḥkhaduḥkhatāyā vipariṇāmaduḥkhatayā <ca?>); 490,5ff. (see n. 490).
- 468. The term 'dauṣṭhulya', especially its use in the Yogācāra-bhūmi, requires more detailed investigation. Preliminary attempts: Wogihara 1908, 29f.; S 1969a, 154ff.; S 1977, 925; cp. also BDJT 1603-1605.
- 469. Y 26,16f.: ataš ca sakalam āšrayam dausthulyopagatatvād dauṣṭhulyasvabhāvatvāt tathāgatā duḥkhataḥ prajnāpayanti yaduta saṃskāraduḥkhatayā /. Cp. also Y 99.5f.: ... katham traidhā-

tukāvacarāṇāṃ sattvānām āśrayo draṣṭavyaḥ / tadyathā saparidāho gaṇḍo, dauṣṭhulyānugatatvāt /.

- 470. Even in later texts, dauṣṭhulya is sometimes only in part or alternatively defined as Seeds (bija), Impressions (vāsanā) or evil propensities (anuṣaya). Cp., e.g., ASBh 92,5ff. (several kinds of d., of which only some are defined as Impressions, etc., whereas the majority is specified otherwise (for examples see ns. 464-466)); TrBh 27,15f. (d. = akarmaṇyatā and [TrT] 480,39: or] bijas); MAVŢ 167,9ff. (d. = duḥsthitatā or akarmaṇyatā or bijas); 215,19ff. (d. = vāsanā-paripuṣṭi or satkāya-dṛṣṭi); Si 52c7f. (d. = bijas or akarmaṇyatā).
- 471. ŚrBh 490,7f.: skandhāḥ ... d a u ṣ ṭ h u l y a -sahagatāḥ ∠sukha>-duḥkha- b ī j ā nugatāḥ (see n. 490) ...;

 Yt 'i 2b4-7 (Yc 696c18ff.): "Just as ... Badness (dauṣṭhu-lya) and Ease (praṣrabdhi), though in fact existing in body and mind, cannot be stated to be either something else (*anya) or nothing else (*ananya) than body and mind; and just as Seeds (bīja), though in fact existing in (!) good, evil and neutral dharmas, cannot be stated to be something else or nothing else than these [dharmas]; ..."
 - ('di lta ste dper na ... lus dan sems la g n a s n a n l e n dan sin tu sbyans pa dag yod kyan / lus dan sems las de dag gźan pa'am / gźan ma yin par brjod par mi bya ba dan / dge ba dan mi dge ba dan lun du ma bstan pa'i chos rnams la s a b o n yod kyan / de dag las gźan pa'am / gźan ma yin par brjod par mi bya ste / ...); cp. also BoBh $_{\rm D}$ 265,5 (BoBh $_{\rm W}$ 384,4ff.): s a v ā s a n ā n ā m sarva-kle-sa-pakṣyāṇām dauṣṭhulyānām, though in this passage vāsanā should perhaps be understood not as Seed but as the klesavā-sanā of the Arhat (cp. S 1969a, 55 and 157).
- 472. Y_m 66b4 (Y_t dzi 145a3f.; Y_c 331b7f.): tatra laukikena dhyānena tat-pakṣyaṃ (i.e. daurmanasyêndriya-pakṣyaṃ, etc.) dau-ṣṭhulyaṃ prajahāti, na tu bījasthānam asyôddharati.

- 473. BoBh $_{\rm D}$ 18,16f. (BoBh $_{\rm W}$ 27,4f.) sarva-kleša-pakṣasya dauṣṭhu-lyasyāšrayagatasya; 253,23 (BoBh $_{\rm W}$ 368,5) dauṣṭhulyam āšrayād apakarṣati; cp. 265,5f. and 280,1f.; ŚrBh 283,7 dauṣṭhulya-sahagata āšrayah; 500,19 dauṣṭhulyasannišraya- (read -lyam āšraya-?) -sanniviṣṭaṃ; Y 26,16 and 99,5f. (see n. 469); Y $_{\rm m}$ 69a4 (Y $_{\rm t}$ dzi 151a2) apagata-sarva-dauṣṭhulye āšraye; Y $_{\rm t}$ 'i 222a2 (Y $_{\rm C}$ 802b9; see n. 480).
- 474. Y_t 'i 261b4 (Y_c 818a16f.): rgyud (but Y_c 所依) la gnas pa'i ñon mons pa'i phyogs su gtogs pa'i gnas nan len.
- 475. Cp. Y_t 'i 312b3f. (Y_c 839a27ff.; cp. n. 300):

 "Due to the fact that the Basis (āśraya) has been transmuted (*pari-vṛt), [i.e. due to the fact that] the Badness (dauṣṭhulya) in it has been removed (*prati-pra-śrabh-), in this [very] life one's Six Senses (ṣaḍ-āyatana, = āśraya) which are stricken with avidyā-dhātu cease to exist, and another [set], which is furnished with vidyā-dhātu, arises."

(gnas yons su gyur cin de'i na n gi gnas na n len sin tu sbyans pa'i phyir tshe 'di la de'i (D; Pom.) ma rig pa'i khams dan ldan pa'i skye mched drug gan yin pa de ni 'gag la rig pa'i khams dan ldan pa ni skye'o //).

- 1. Ch. differs: "When in this life ..., this is called 'Transmutation of Basis', because the Badness affiliated to it (sc. to avidyā?) is removed."
- 476. E.g. ŚrBh 271,17ff. kāya-dauṣṭhulyaṃ citta-dauṣṭhulyam or kāya-citta-dauṣṭhulyam; 432,21f. + 433,3f.; Y_t 'i 2b5 (see n. 471); cp. also Y_t 'i 134a5 (Y_c 746b24f.): 'jig tshogs la lta ba'i phyogs dan mthun pa'i gnas nan len dan ldan pa'i m i n d a n g z u g s (\approx *satkāyadṛṣṭi-pakṣya-dauṣṭhulyā-nugataṃ nāmarūpam).
- 477. E.g. Y_t yi 35b6-8 (Y_c 763c11ff.): 'rajas' (cp. AS 67,14)
 = the asmimāna which prevents the first satyābhisamaya (cp. ŚrBh 497,7ff.) (and the other darsanaheyāḥ klesāḥ); 'mala'
 = the dauṣṭhulya which is affiliated to them.

- 478. Y_t 'i 335a8f. (see n. 480: de skye bar 'gyurba'i phyir).
- 479. Y_t yi 46b5 (Y_c 768b9): "... because he (i.e. the Arhat) has got rid of (*-prahāṇāt) Badness which is the cause of unhappiness (duḥkha) in the present [existence]" (da ltar gyi sdug bsnal gyi rgyu gnas nan len spon ba'i phyir, Ch. 現在苦因麁重斷故); cp. also Y_t 'i 271a2 gnas nan len l a s g y u r p a ...'i sdug bsnal (Y_c 821c24: 詹重所作苦).
- 480. Y_t 'i (= Vastusaṃgrahaṇī) 222a1f. (Y_c 802b9ff):

 "The Badness affiliated to Defilements which sticks in the basis[of-personal-existence] is called 'evil propensity', for it is like a seed (bija) from which the actual outbursts (paryavasthāna) [of Defilements] originate."

 (non mons pa'i phyogs su gtogs pa'i gnas nan len gyi (sic

P and D) rten du gnas pa ni bag la ñal źes bya ste / kun nas dkris pa skye ba'i s a b o n l t a b u yin no //; the first part is, in view of Ch. ... 血酸的体身, probably based on a misreading of a presumable original *kleśapakṣyaṃ dauṣṭhulyam āśraya-sanniviṣṭam anuśaya ity ucyate);

 Y_{+} 'i 335a8f. (Y_C 849a3-5):

"The Badness (dauṣṭhulya) affiliated to [kāmacchanda, vyāpā-da and vihiṃsā], which is present in the basis[-of-personal-existence] because kāmacchanda, etc., have not yet been abandoned, and which is l i k e s e e d s , is called 'disposition (dhātu) to kāma[cchanda]', etc., respectively, because it leads to the arising of these [Defilements] (Ch.: because like seeds it engenders these [Defilements])."

- (... 'dod pa'i 'dod chags dan' / gnod sems dan' / rnam par 'tshe ba ma spans pa'i phyir gnas la yod pa de'i phyogs dan mthun pa gnas nan len s a b o n l t a b u gan yin pa de skye bar 'gyur ba'i phyir ni ci rigs par 'dod pa dan' / gnod sems dan' / rnam par 'tshe ba'i khams źes bya ste /);
- Y_t yi (Paryāya-saṃgrahaṇī) 5ob2 (Y_c 77oa6): ñon mońs pa'i phyogs kyi gnas nan len sa bon dan mthun par gyur pa ni bag la ñal te.

- Cp. also ŚrBh 490,7f. (see n. 471) where < sukha->duḥkha-bi-jānugata may (but of course need not) be taken as an explication of dauṣṭhulya-sahagata (cp. ASBh 44,9: duḥkha-vipa-riṇāma-duḥkhatayor dauṣṭhulyenānugatatvāt tena duḥkhatādvaye-nāvinirmuktatvāt...), and ŚrBh 507,7f. sarva-kleśapakṣya-(ms. -kṣyaṃ) -dauṣṭhulya-bijā-samudghātāt ("Badness which is [like] a seed", as in the above-quoted passages? Or "Badness and Seeds", as in ns. 471 and 472?).
- 481. Y 26,11ff.: ... yāni bījāni klešapakṣyāṇi, tatra dauṣṭhu-lyānušayasaṃjñā / yāni ca punar vipākapakṣyāṇi tadanyāvyā-kṛtapakṣyāṇi ca, teṣu dauṣṭhulyasaṃjñaiva, nānušayasaṃjñā.
- 482. Cp. also Y_t zi 118b2 (Y_c 623a22f.): de (sc. ñon mońs pa) ñid kyi sa bon ma spańs śiń yań dag par ma beom pa ni bag la ñal źes bya ste / g n a s 'n a n le n kyań de yin no //; 215a5f. (see n. 495).
- 483. Y 26,11-14 (see n. 481) + 16f. (see n. 469).
- 484. Comm. ad PG 33-34: duḥkha<m> saṃskāra-duḥkhatā-saṃgṛhītaṃ ālayavijāāna-mayam (see App. + n. 1472); cp. also comm. ad PG 37: ālayavijāāna-saṃgṛhītasya duḥkhasya. Cp. also ASBh 44,8ff. and 55,13-15 (see n. 489).
- 485. See § 6.7.
- 486. See n. 226.
- 487. See n. 548.
- 488. Cp. AKBh 329,10f.: sarve tu saṃskārāḥ saṃskāraduḥkhatayā duḥkhāḥ / tāṃ tv āryā eva pasyanti /; cp. also PG 33-34 (tad ā r y ā duḥkhato viduḥ) + comm. (duḥkha<ṃ> saṃskāraduḥkhatā- saṃgṛhītam); Y_m 88a2 (Y_t dzi 194a5ff.; Y_c 349a9ff.): tribhiḥ sthānaiḥ sattvānāṃ duḥkhasya duḥkha-saṃgraho bhavati / saṃyogajaṃ, viyogajaṃ, sā ta ta ñ ca / ... tṛtīyaṃ sarvā¹sv avasthāsu varttamānasya da u ṣ ṭ h u l y a s a ṃ g ṛ h ī t a m , tathā-sambhūtatvāt saṃskārāṇāṃ / yatr ā r y ā < ṇ ā > m e v a d u ḥ k h a b u d d h i r bhavati, na pṛthagjanānām /; Y_+ zi 61a8f. (see n. 602).

- 1. Y_m : -tvā-; Y_t (gnas skabs) thams cad (du).
- 489. ASBh 44,8ff.: aduḥkhāsukhā vedanā ''layavijnāna-saṃpra-yuktā tadvedanīyās ca saṃskārā duḥkha-vipariṇāma-duḥkhatayor dauṣṭhulyenānugatatvāt tena duḥkhatādvayenānirmuktatvād eka-dā duḥkhāvasthāṃ bhajante ekadā sukhāvasthāṃ, na nityakālam aduḥkhāsukhāvasthā eva bhavanti; tasmād ... ayogakṣematvāt saṃskāraduḥkhatā veditavyā /; 55,13-15: klešadauṣṭhulya-pra-bhāvitatvād aduḥkhāsukha-vedanā-prabhāvitatvāc ca ālayavijnānaṃ višeṣṣṇa saṃskāra-duḥkhatāvasthaṃ.
- - 1. = ms.
 - 2. Thus Tib. and Ch., but missing in ms.
 - 3. Thus Tib. and Ch.; ms. aduḥkha-.
- 491. See comm. on PG 37 (... ālayavijāānasaṃgṛhītasya duḥkha-sya ... višoṣaṇaṃ daršayati: see App. II; cp. also § 7.1B.2. 1.4.2.b).

- 493. See n. 462.
- 494. Y 26,11f. (see n. 481).
- 495. Y_t zi 215a5-7 (Y_c 661b26ff.):

 "The Seeds of Defilements (kleśabija)...

 in pellucid matter (rūpaprasāda, i.e. indriyarūpa) and in mind (citta) and mental factors (caitasikā dharmāḥ)... are called 'anuśayas', and they are also [called (Y_c)]

 'Badness' (dauṣṭhulya). Therefore as long as these [Seeds of Defilements = Badness] are not altogether eliminated, those [dharmas] are under the sway (and favourable to the arising) of Cankers by way of latent tendency (*anuśayatah sāsrava)."

(dan ba'i gzugs dan / sems dan sems las byun ba'i chos ... la non mons pa'i sa bon ... gan yin pa de ni bag la nal zes bya ste / gnas nan len kyan de yin no // de'i phyir ji skad (P, D; read srid?) du de ma lus par ma spans pa'i bar du des na de dag bag la nal las zag pa dan bcas pa zes bya'o //).

Y_t zi 78a6f. (= Y_c 608a13f.; cp. Hsien-yang 506b1f.): zag pa dań bcas pa rnams don gań gis yin /(D) ... gnas nan len dań rjes su 'brel ba'i (D: pa'i) don ... gyis so // (*kenâr-thena ... sāsravāḥ ... / dauṣṭhulyānubandhārthena ...); ASBh 23,18f. (see n. 545); Y_c 880a12-14 (no Tib.): **言有漏者、** 精滿飯重之所隨轉 .

- 496. E.g. BoBh_D 35,24 (see n. 540); SĀ_c 92b11 quoted AKBh 197,13; DBhS 48,10; Vi 543a28; 544c13 (quoted from the Vijñānakāya); (sāsrava +) sopādāna· + dauṣṭhulyasahagata: ŚrBh 490,7 (see n. 490); cp. ŚrBh 257,13f. (see n. 461).
- 497. SĀ_C Nos. 39 (9a6f.; cp. AKBh 333,6f.; PSVy 5ob8; JP_H 1o31c13) and 1175 (316a3; cp. Hsien-yang 549a7; Vi 9o3a11f.), corresponding to SN Nos. 22.54 (*viññāṇaṃ sāhāraṃ*) and 35.204 (IV 195; *viññāṇaṃ* only), Cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 187 and 196 note 36ff.
- 498. On $vij ilde{n}$ ana compared to a seed see MPPU $_{
 m L}$ 1151ff.

- 499. Y_t 'i 201a2 = Y_c 794b6 (ad SA_c No. 39 [see n. 497]; cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 188): yan srid par skye ba'i myu gu 'byun ba la ni... / ñon mons pa'i (D) sa bon dan ldan pa'i rnam par ses pa ni rgyu'o //; cp. also Y_t 'i 311b6; 312a6; 314b4 (see n. 504).
- 500. Y, 'i 311a5ff. (Y_c 838c6ff.); cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 183.
- 501. Cp. SN II 11; $S\overline{A}_{C}$ 101c26f. (not quite precise); AKBh 153,7; Y 109,16f.
- Y_{+} 'i 311b2f. (Y_c 838c18-2o): 502. "The vijnāna which appropriates the gross elements of the sense-faculties is the cause of the continuance (sthiti) of the gross elements of the sense-faculties endowed with lifeforce (āyus) and [bodily] heat (uṣman), and this continuance [of the gross elements of the sense-faculties] is[, in its turn,] the cause of [the continuance of life which is characterized by the fact] that vijñāna does not withdraw from the body (*vijnānasya kāyād anapakrāntiḥ)." (dban po'i 'byun ba chen po len par byed pa'i rnam par ses pa ni dban po'i 'byun ba chen po tshe dan bcas pa dan drod dan bcas pa de rnams kyi gnas pa'i rgyu ste / gnas pa de ni rnam par šes pa lus dan mi 'bral ba'i rgyu yin no //). On the mutual support $(\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra = sthiti-hetu)$ of $\bar{a}yus$, usmanand vijnāna see AKBh 73,21ff.
- 503. See n. 501.
- 504. Y_t 'i 311b5f. (Y_c 838c27-29):

 "Through these three (viz. searching after the other three kinds of "nourishment", i.e. [real] food, pleasant touch and hope for pleasant things) one actualizes karman and klešas leading to rebirth (*paunarbhavika), and [thereby] causes one's vijnāna to be subject to (-upaga) karman and klešas and [to remain] under the sway of Clinging (sopādāna) in this life (dṛṣṭe dharme), and to be favourable to rebirth in the future (āyatyām punarbhava)."

(des gsum po de dag gi sgo nas yan srid par skye ba'i las dan non mons pa bsgrubs (D) nas tshe 'di la rnam par ses pa ni (D: de) las dan non mons par ne bar 'gro ba dan len pa dan beas pa dan phyi ma la yan srid par skye ba la phan 'dogs par byed do //);

cp. Y_t 'i 312a5f. (Y_c 839a14ff.); 313a3f. (Y_c 839b2of.);
314b4f. (Y_c 84oa17ff.; cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 188f.):

"By these two Defilements (samkle\$a; Ch. 煩惱 = kle\$a), [viz. nandī and rāga (cp. Sā No. 374 = SN No. 12.64),] $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ becomes polluted (samklista) in this life (drste dharme), and ... thrives (*vardhate, cp. Ch. 增長) as a Seed of rebirth in the future."

(kun nas ñon mons pa 'di gñis kyi<s> tshe 'di la rnam par ses pa kun nas ñon mons pa can du 'gyur te / ... phyi ma la yan srid par skye ba'i sa bon gyi tshul gyis [bźin: om. D] skye bar 'gyur ro //).

Cp. also Y_t 'i 167b3f. $(Y_c$ 781c12f.):

"Vijnāna is understood to be similar to an object for magic $(m\bar{a}y\bar{a}, \text{ cp. MSABh} 59,5: m\bar{a}y\bar{a}^1 = ma^2ntra-parigṛhītaṃ bhrānti-nimittaṃ kāṣṭha-loṣṭādikam) [which under the influence of an incantation successively appears as various other things], in so far as <math>vijn\bar{a}na$, being under the sway of Clinging $(sop\bar{a}d\bar{a}na)$, [successively] imitates $(*anu-vṛtti \text{ or } -vartana, i.e. unites itself with) various personalities <math>(\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va)$ [based on, or consisting of,] the four entities attached to which $vijn\bar{a}na$ persists [in saṃsāra] $(vijn\bar{a}na-sthiti, i.e.$ the remaining four skandhas)."

(rnam par šes pa la ni len pa dan beas pa'i rnam par šes pa dan / rnam par šes pa'i gnas bźi dan / lus sna tshogs kyi rjes su 'jug pa'i sgo nas sgyu ma lta bur rtogs pa; my rendering is, however, closer to Chin. which presents a more plausible analysis of the presumable Sanskrit compound *sopā-dānavijnāna-caturvijnānasthiti-vicitrātmabhāvānuvṛttitaḥ (or the like)).

1. Word repeated from MSA! Therefore against Tib. not to be read as $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -.

- 2. Thus S. Lévi in his translation of MSA(Bh) (109 note) and Jñanaśrimitranibandhavali (ed. Thakur, Patna 1959) 484,6.
- 505. See n. 499.
- 506. Cp. Y_t zi 5b8 + 6a3f. (see § 3.12.5 + ns. 417 and 418) and 8b1f. (H 1979, 38 [§ 5.b.A.4(b)]; see § 4.7.1 + n. 548).
- 507. See n. 226.
- 508. Saṃdh V.2: ... der dan por 'di ltar len pa rnam pa gnis po r t e n d a n b c a s p a 'i d b a n p o g z u g s c a n l e n p a dan / mtshan ma dan min dan rnam par rtog pa la tha snad 'dogs pa'i spros pa'i bag chags len pa la rten nas ' / sa bon thams cad pa'i sems rnam par smin cin 'jug la rgyas śin 'phel ba dan yans par 'gyur ro (* ... vipacyate saṃmūrcchati vṛddhiṃ virūḍhiṃ vipulatām āpadyate) //.
 - 1. The old Tib. translation in Stein Tib. No. 194 (see H 1984), fol. 44b4f. (breaking off in the middle of the sentence) has blans pa instead of la brten nas, which suggests *(dvividham upādānam) u pā dā ya for the original Sanskrit.
- 509. See n. 172.
- 'Prapañca' is used both in the sense of the process 510. of proliferation, especially conceptual proliferation, or even of (emotionally involved) proliferating or diversifying activity, as also in that of what is conceptual result of such a process ("diversity") or the o b j e c t of such an activity (cp. also ns. 514, 532(b), 1405 and 1425). The rephrasing of the present Samdh expression at Si 10a15 (相名分別習氣), omitting 'prapañca', would seem to support an interpretation in the "resultative" or "objective" sense of "diversity" (which of course includes, though only as an element of diversity, the subjective entity vikalpa), for taken in this sense prapañca would consist of nimitta, etc., so that the word 'prapañca' is virtually redundant. On the other hand, VinSg (see § 4.5), replacing 'prapañca' by 'abhinivesa', gives relief to

- and corroborates the aspect of an emotionally involved mental activity or attitude.
- 511. In this context: objective phenomena as they are experienced or imagined, admitting of being associated with names, and being (co-)conditioned by subjective conceptual activity (vi-kalpa), which has become habitual so that it permeates all (ordinary) perceptions and cognitions.
- 512. According to Takasaki (1982, 28) the twofold upādāna corresponds to nāma-rūpa (as the pratyaya of vijnāna = sarvabī-jakam cittam in the sense of the ten-membered pratītyasamut-pāda; cp. also n. 1143). This would be quite natural in the context of rebirth but is not explicitly stated, and hence does not seem to be focussed upon by the text.
- 513. Cp. Pr 212,18: upādīyata ity upādānam. Cp. also the interpretation of the Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇī (see § 4.5) and Takasaki (1982, 27; 1982a, 50) who even renders 'upādāna', in the case of both upādānas of the present passage, as "material" (素材).
- 514. As for quasi-synonymity of 'prapañca' and 'upādāna', cp. AN IV 68f. and Y 26,18 (prapañca' a a a laya and upā-dāna: see n. 391). Cp. also the fact that the Viniścaya-samgrahaṇī replaces 'prapañca' by 'abhiniveśa' (see § 4.5 + n. 532).
- 515. As would, primarily at least, hold good for the prapañca—
 (abhi)rati of the Basic Section of the Yogacarabhūmi (PG
 28, etc.: see App. II + ns. 1408 and 1405(C-H)).
- 516. Cp. the Vastusamgrahaṇi passage quoted in § 4.3.1 + n. 499 according to which sopādāna na -vijñāna means vijñāna containing the Seeds of the klešas (≈ upādāna in the sense of spiritually negative Clinging). The use of 'x' in the sense of 'x-vāsanā' is explicitly vindicated in PSVy 20a8: yid kyi bag chags la yan yid ces lan 'ga' brjod de / ji ltar las kyi bag chags la yan las žes bya ba ... bžin no //.

517. According to SamdhVy co 81a4f., parikalpitasvabhāvābhini-

- vešavāsanā is the apekṣāhetu of ālayavijnāna's tion. In BoBh_D 69,5f. (= BoBh_U 97,16f.), the apekṣāhetu is defined as that with an eye to which or motivated by which one desires and takes hold of something (yadapekṣam yaddhetukam yasmin vastuni arthitvam u pādāna m ayam asyocyate 'pekṣāhetuḥ; cp. Y 107,13f.). However, the illustrations added by BoBh do not fit this definition but imply a concept according to which apekṣāhetu is the cause or instrument required for some action, as e.g. the hands are required for seizing or the feet for walking. In the present case, it would seem reasonable to understand prapañcavāsanā as the apekṣāhetu of Linking up (pratisandhi) in the sense of its being the latent drive due to which a new existence is taken hold of. Cp. also TrBh 19,11ff. where upādāna in the sense of (ātmādi- and rūpādi-dharma-vikalpa-)vāsanā seems to be understood as "that due to the existence of which (something, viz., in the given case, the actual vikalpa) is "appropriated" (by ālayavijnāna as a result)" (t a t s a d b h ā v ā d ālayavijnānenātmādivikalpo rūpādivikalpas ca kāryatvenopāttah; cp. TrT 18a7f.: de las tshig gi don 'di 'byun ste / de yod na ji skad brjod pa'i 'bras bu len par byed de / de bas na bag chags "len pa" zes bya ba'o //). I cannot follow Takasaki (1985, 37,17) interpreting upādāna, in this TrBh passage, not only as "material" (素材: cp. n. 513) arousing the vikalpas but also as "what is apprehended" (upādeya) in the sense of the object (所縁).
- 518. The same would seem to hold good also for Takasaki's interpretation (see n. 513).
- 519. Cp. SamdhVy co 81a4 (minon par źen pa'i bag chags kyi<s>phyi mar ñin mtshams sbyor bar byed pas ...).
- 520. Saṃdh V.2 (end): de la gzugs can gyi khams na ni len pa gñi ga yod la / gzugs can ma yin pa'i khams na ni len pa gñis su

- med do //. Takeuchi (1985, 277,6) takes the last sentence to mean that in ārūpyadhātu b o t h upādānas are lacking; but this would presuppose len pa gāis med do, whereas the text as it stands, viz. len pa gāis s u med do, means that in ārūpyadhātu the upādāna does not exist a s t w o, i.e. is not twofold (*advaya?) but only one (cp. also n. 521).
- 521. Cp. Y_t zi 4b2f. (Y_c 58oa6f.; Chüeh-ting 1019b3f.; H 1979, 26 [§ 1.b.A.1]): gzugs can ma yin pa na ni bag chags len pa kho nar zad do (≈ *arūpiṇi (sc. dhātau) vāsanôpādānam eva); SaṃdhVy co 81b2: de na gzugs med pa'i phyir rten dan bcas pa'i dban po gzugs can ni med kyi / bag chags 'ba' źig la bltos nas skye'o //.
- 522. Cp. Yokoyama 1979a, 13ff.; Suguro 1982, 63f.
- 523. See n. 147.
- 524. See \S 3.9.2.5 + ns. 337-340.
- 525. The grammatical analysis of the compound would in this case be analogous to that of '-rūpīndriya-upādāna' (for which see § 4.4.2.1).
- 526. Cp., e.g., Nagao 1978, 384; Yokoyama 1979a, 8f.; Takasaki 1985, 41.
- 527. PSkVai 46a8: sa bon ñe bar len pa'i kun gźi rnam par śes pa (*bijopādāyakam(?) ālayavijñānam); Si 10a14-16; 13c10; 14c7f. (以能執持諸法種子、 ... 故說此識名阿陀那).
- 528. The reliability of the corresponding expression in Hsien-yang 48oc5f. (此識能執受 ... 熏習: see n. 536) is difficult to assess (see n. 538).
- 529. See n. 517.
- 530. See n. 226.
- 531. Y_t zi 4b2 (Y_c 580a5f.; Chüeh-ting 1019b1f.; H 1979, 26 [§ 1.b.A.1]); Skt. preserved in TrBh 19,7f.: tatrādhyātmam upā-

dānam parikalpitasvabhāvābhinivešavāsanā sādhiṣṭhānam indriyarūpam ... ca.

- a) This looks like an adaptation to the terminology of Samdh 532. VI-VII (and Y_{+} 'i 19b6ff.). Moreover, the terminology of the VinSg passage, though, to be sure, of Mahayana provenience, would a l s o - more easily than the expression used in Samdh V.2 - admit a traditional, Śrāvakayānist interpretation in the sense that parikalpita-svabhāva may be taken as the fictive atman (and atmiya) and other "wholes" and permanent things (see § 10.3.2). From this point of view, the fact that Hsien-yang 48oc6 (*prapañcavāsanā, see n. 536) and especially Si 10a15 (*nimitta-nāma-vikalpa-prapaāca-vāsanā, see n. 510) return to the terminology of the Samdhinirmocanasūtra may indicate an intention to stress the Mahayana position (if I may, in spite of problems of origin, use this label for the ontology of dharmanairātmya). It may however also have been motivated by the fact that - especially in the system ascribed to Dharmapala, according to which not all kinds of mind and mental factors but only manas and manovijnāna and their associates were regarded as parikalpaka (Si 45c25ff.) - the parikalpitasvabhāvābhinivešavāsanā is, in spite of Y_{+} zi 30a5ff. (see n. 553), not easily interpreted as comprising all Seeds that alayavijnana contains (see § 4.5.2) and makes its object (see §§ 5.6.3.2; 5.6.4.2; 5.16).
 - b) The equivalence of the -abhiniveśavāsanā of VinSg with the -prapañcavāsanā of Saṃdh V.2 is explicitly stated at SaṃdhVy co 81a3. Cp. also ASBh 92,5f. (sarvadharmanāmābhiniveśavāsanā = prapañcavāsanā). 'Abhiniveśa' is used as a synonym of 'prapañca' at Akutobhayā tsa 82a1; cp. also MAVT 146,3f. beside 144,6f. (cp. n. 729); Hsien-yang 579c4 (quasisynonymity of concepts including 執著 (*abhiniveśa), 為作 (*abhisaṃskāra), 戲論 (*prapañca) and 取 (*upādāna).

Cp. also the not infrequent equation of prapañca with trsna or klesa, both in non-Yogacara (see Nanananda 1971, 11f.;

108ff.; AN IV 68f.; Vibh 392f.; Vi 344a12f.; 42oc15f.) and Yogācāra texts, e.g. Y_m 84b2 (= Y_t dzi 185b8): prapañca h^1 katamah / klešā<h> saklešās ca skandhāh; Y_m 128b2 (= Y_t dzi 288b3f.; cp. ŚrBh 233,16f.): sarvēnjita-manyita- p r a - p a ñ c i t âbhisaṃskṛta- t ṛ ṣ ṇ ā -gataih. This material would seem to show that prapañca (as a subjective act) is a wrong attitude that has both an intellectual and an appetitive or emotional aspect (cp. also n. 14o5(A)), and that in this sense prapañca is, though focussing on the somewhat different aspect of conceptual proliferation (\tilde{N} a-nananda 1971, 4), closely related to abhinivesa and even upādāna (in the sense of spiritually negative Clinging).

- 1. Y_{m} pañcamaḥ, but prapañcaḥ in the uddāna (Y_{m} 84a1).
- 533. E.g. Samdh VII.10.
- 534. E.g. Y, 'i 137b6f. (cp. S 1969a, 44f.).
- 535. 'abhinivesa' and 'upādāna' may, of course, also be used as quasi-synonyms (c.p., e.g., SN No. 12.15, or Hsien-yang 579c4 (see n. 532(b)).
- 536. Hsien-yang 48oc3ff.:

"ālayavijñāna is produced by *klešas* and predominant karma committed at a former time (i.e. in a former life) as its conditions (pratyaya), and by the beginningless Impressions of [saṃsāric] diversity/diversification (anādikālikaprapañcavāsanā) as its [homogeneous] cause (hetu) ...; it a p-propriates/contains and perceives the material sense-faculties along with their bases and the pra-pañcava anava anava anava anava anava anava anava

〔阿賴耶識者、謂、先世所作增長業煩惱為縁、無始時來戲論熏習為因所生、…。此識能執受了別色根根所依處及戲論熏習。〕

537. This term indicates that in the Hsien-yang prapañcavāsanā is clearly distinguished, namely as the fundamental cause of diversity as such, from (the Impression of) spiritually negative Clinging (represent-

- ed, in this passage, by the kle sas) as a specific condition of rebirth. Cp. n. 831.
- 538. In view of the absence of another version it is, however, difficult to decide to what extent Hts.'s rendering of this passage is faithful to the original.
- 539. Cp. definitions of sāsrava(tva) in terms of being the object of āsravas = klešas (e.g. Vism XIV.10; Y_t zi 215a8ff. [Y_c 661c2ff.]: zag pa'i dmigs pa las zag pa dan beas pa = *āsravālambanataḥ sāsrava; Y_c 880a12-14 [no Tib.]: 言有漏者、... 諸漏所說; rejected in VGPVy 386b2-4), or in terms of being the objective basis (*vastu?) of satkāya-or ātma-dṛṣṭi (Vi 392b26f.: 若法是有身見事 ..., 是有漏義; cp. also Vi 92b2o).
- Cp. BoBh_D 35,24ff. (= BoBh_W 52,10ff.): 540. "When an object which is under the sway of Cankers and Clinging has for a long time habitually, obstinately and intimately been conceived of as one's Self or one's own, [and when], due to this habit of wrong apprehension, the [corresponding] wrong idea arises in dependence upon the [occurrence of] its object [because the latter is] liable to give rise to [such] a wrong view (cp. CPD s.v. āsavaṭṭhāniya), then this is called the idea of 'I' and 'Mine'" (yadsopādān î y am dirghakālam sāsravaņ ātmato vā ātmīyato vā saṃstutam abhiniviṣṭaṃ paricitaṃ, tasmād asadgrāhasamstavāt svam dṛṣṭisthānīyam vastu pratītyôtpadyate vitatho vikalpah, ayam ucyate aham iti mamêti ca vikalpah /).
- 541. On sāsrava see, besides ns. 539 and 542-547, also n. 495 and, e.g., de la Vallée Poussin 1932, 114ff.; AK_p I, 6f.; J. Katō 1973; Odani 1977. Sāsravatva based on the ahaṃkāra of kliṣṭa-manas: MSg I.7A.6; Si 25c2off. (Si_p 285 ff.). On the use of sāsrava with reference to the skandhas of an Arhat (not relevant to the present context), see ASBh 24,1f. and S 1969a, 157.

- 542. Cp. ASBh 23,15f.: \bar{a} s r a v a \bar{a} t a d \bar{a} t m a t a h (= AS 18,4) \bar{a} sravanam sasravatvam, \bar{a} srava-svabhavena yuktatvat.
- 543. Cp. ASBh 23,16f.: ā s r a v a s a m b a n d h a t a s tat-sahabhuvām citta-caittānām cakṣurādīnām ca (sāsravatvam), āsrava-samprayuktatvād āśrayatvāc (Tib.; ms. āsravatvāc) ca yathākramam.
- 544. Cp. ASBh 23,18: \bar{a} s r a v a b a n d h a t a h kuśala-sāsravāṇāṃ (sāsravatvam), tad-vašena (i.e. \bar{a} srava-vašena) punarbhava-nirvartanāt.
- 545. Cp. ASBh 23,18f.: \bar{a} s r a v \hat{a} n u b a n d h a t o ... $(s\bar{a}sravatvam)$, ...- $\bar{a}srava$ -dauşthuly \hat{a} nuga 1 < ta $>^2$ $tv\bar{a}t$. Cp. also n. 495.
 - 1. Thus the ms.; cp. Tib. dań ldan pa and Ch. 所隨逐; ed.:
 -\$raya-.
 - 2. Cp. ASBh 23,20.
- 546. As an exception, ASBh 23,20f. mentions the nirvedhabhāgiyas.
- 547. Cp. AS 18,5: āsravānukūlyataḥ (sāsravam); Y_c 880a12-14 (no Tib.): **富有漏者、... 能生諸漏**.
- 548. Y_t zi 8b1f. (Y_c 581b4-6; Chüeh-ting 1o2oa18-2o; H 1979, 38 [\S 5.b.A.4]);
 - ... kun gźi rnam par šes pa de ñid ni sa bon thams cad pa yin pa'i phyir (a) da ltar gyi dus na sdug bsňal gyi bden pa'i raň bźin daň / (b) ma 'oňs pa'i dus na sdug bsňal gyi bden pa skyed par byed pa daň / (c) da ltar gyi dus ñid na kun 'byuň ba'i bden pa skyed par byed pa'aň yin no //
 - (≈ *tad evâlayavijñānaṃ sarvabîja(ka)tvād (a) varttamāne 'dhvani duḥkhasatya-svabhāvam, (b) ānāgate 'dhvani duḥkhasa-tya-janakaṃ, (c) varttamāne 'dhvani samudayasatya-janakaṃ ca).
- 549. Y_t zi 8a4f. $(Y_c$ 581a25f.; Chüeh-ting 1020a13f.; H 1979, 37f. [§ $5 \cdot b \cdot A$]): kun gźi rnam par ses pa ni mdor na kun nas ñon mons pa thams cad kyi rtsa ba yin no //; cp. also Y_t zi 9a3f. (see § 10.1 \bigcirc and § 11.2.4).

- 550. See n. 568.
- 551. Y_t zi 9b5 (Y_c 581c11f.; Chüeh-ting 102ob14f.; H 1979, 41f. [§ C.2(b)]), were being permeated by Badness is presented as one of the characteristics of ālayavijñāna in contrast to *āśrayaparivṛtti: kun gźi rnam par śes pa ni gnas nan len dan ldan pa yin la gnas gyur pa ni gnas nan len thams cad dan gtan bral ba yin no //.
- 552. See n. 568 and the fact that alayavijñana is entirely absent in Arhats, etc. (see § 4.9).
- 553. Y_t zi 30a5-8 (Y_c 589a9ff.; Chüeh-ting 1025c9ff.):

 "What is the brief characterization of Seeds (bija)? [They are] the Impression of sticking to the Imagined Character of all dharmas (*sarva-dharma-parikalpita-svabhāvābhiniveša-vāsanā) [- an Impression] which exists in ālayavijñāna. ...

 This [Impression] is also called 'Omnipresent Badness' (*sar-vatraga-dauṣṭhulya). (Question:) If this Impression (vāsanā) comprises all Seeds and is also called 'Omnipresent Badness', ..."

(sa bon mdor bsdus pa'i rnam par bźag pa gań źe na / chos thams cad kyi (D) kun brtags pa'i no bo ñid la mnon par źen pa'i bag chags kun gźi'i rnam par śes pa la yod pa gan yin pa ste / ... de yan kun tu 'gro ba'i gnas nan len yin par brjod par bya'o // gal te bag chags des sa bon thams cad bsdus la (D: pa) / de yan kun tu 'gro ba'i gnas nan len ces bya bar gyur na / ...).

- Cp. also ASBh 92,5-7: sarvatragam abhilāpadauṣṭhulyaṃ yā cakṣurādi-sarvadharma-nāmābhinive\$a-vāsanā ''layavijñāne san-niviṣṭā 'nādikālānusṛtā, yā 'sāv ucyate prapañcavāsanēti, yata\$ cakṣurādayo dharmāḥ sanāmābhinive\$āḥ punaḥ punaḥ pravartanta iti /.
- 554. Y_t zi 9b4 (Y_c 581c9f.; Chüeh-ting 1020b12f.; H 1979, 41f. [§ C.2(a)]), characterizing ālayavijñāna as sopādāna in contrast to *āśrayaparivṛtti which is nirupādāna: kun gźi rnam

par \$es pa ni ... len pa dan bcas pa yin la / gnas gyur pa ni ... len pa med pa yin te /.

555. Cp. Y_t zi 9b3ff., especially 9b8ff. (Y_c 581c17ff.; Chüeh-ting 1o2ob19ff.; H 1979, 42f. [§ <u>5.b.</u>C.3]), where the state of the Arhat who has got rid of all Pollution (saṃkleśa) due to having eliminated its principle, viz. ālayavijñāna, is characterized as follows:

"The characteristic of alayavijñana eliminated is that as soon as it is eliminated (*samanantara-prahine tasmin or *saha(-?) tat-prahāṇāt (?): cp. n. 1248) a twofold upādāna $(\rightarrow$ 1.a, 1.b) is abandoned and the body [is no longer fraught with Badness but only] continues [a while], similar to a nirmāṇa $(\rightarrow 2)$. [I.e.:] (1.a) Because [ālayavijñāna, as] the cause which gives rise to rebirth (punarbhava), i.e. Suffering, in future, has been abandoned, taking possession (upādāna) of rebirth in the future is abandoned. (1.b) Because [alayavijñana comprising] all causes of pollution (samklesa) in this life (drste dharme) has been abandoned, Clinging $(up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na)^3$ to the basis $(\bar{a}sraya)$ of pollution 4 (i.e. to the animated, sensible body consisting of or furnished with the Six Senses, in so far as it is conceived of and felt as one's self or own) in this life is abandoned; (2) and, being free from all Badness (dausthulya), [this basis, i.e. the body furnished with or consisting of the Six Senses⁵] continues [for some time], being merely (-mātra) the condition of physical life (jīvitapratyaya)." (kun gźi rnam par śes pa de'i spańs pa'i mtshan ñid ni de spans ma thag tu len pa rnam pa gñis spon ba dan / sprul pa lta bu'i lus kun tu gnas pa ste / phyi ma la sdug bsnal yan 'byun bar byed pa'i rgyu spans pa'i phyir / phyi ma la yan 'byun bar byed pa'i len pa spon ba dan / tshe 'di la kun nas non mons pa'i rgyu thams cad spans pa'i phyir / tshe 'di'i kun nas ñon mońs pa'i gnas len pa³ thams cad spoń ba dań / gnas nan len thams cad dan bral źin srog gi rkyen du gyur pa tsam kun tu gnas so //).

- 1. Cp. Y₊ zi 8b1f. (H 1979, 38 [§ 4(b)]); see n. 548.
- 2. Cp. Y_{+} zi 8b2 (H 1979, 38 [§ 4(c)]); see n. 548.
- 3. So to be read in accordance with Hsüan-tsang (一切雜染所依之取) and because two kinds of len pa had been announced. Y_t and SaṃdhVy co 78b2: gnas nan len; SaṃdhVy co 105b7: gnas na len pa.
- 4. Or: Clinging to the basis (i.e. the animated body ...) [which (viz. Clinging) constitutes] Pollution.
- 5. To be supplied from the preceding sentence (which has to be interpreted accordingly), on the lines of the introductory sprul pa lta bu'i lus () kun tu gnas pa ste of which the present passage is obviously the explanation. Cp. MN III 107f. (imam eva kāyam ... saļāyatanikam jīvitapaccayā) and AS 42,12 (yad asaikṣāṇām jīvitendriyapratyayam ṣaḍāyatanam).
- 556. Hsien-yang 48oc12-14; cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 189; 1982a, 133.
- 557. The passage translated in n. 555 would even seem to pressuppose that it is still the polluted basis-of-personal-existence as a whole, especially the body, that is considered to be clung to (as Ego or as Mine).
- 558. Y 25,1f.: tat punaḥ sarvabījakaṃ vijñānaṃ parinirvāṇadhar-makāṇāṃ paripūrṇabījam, aparinirvāṇadharmakāṇāṃ punas trividhabodhibījavikalam.
- 559. Y_t zi 3b2f. = ASBh 12,28f. (H 1978, 12 [\S iv]; Griffiths 1986, 134f.).
- 560. See §§ 10.3.1.1 and 10.3.2.
- 561. Y, zi 9a3ff., especially b2f. (see § 10.1).
- 562. See § 11.2.
- 563. Y_t zi 8b4-6 (Y_c 581b1o-12; Chüeh-ting 1020a2off.; H 1979, 39 [§ B.1]): kun gźi rnam par ses pa thar pa'i cha dań mthun pa dań / nes par 'byed pa'i cha dań mthun pa'i dge ba'i rtsa ba rnams kyi sa bon yons su 'dzin pa gań yin pa (*yad ālayavijnānam mokṣabhāgīya-nirvedhabhāgīya-kusalamūla-bīja-parigṛhītam)... (continuation see n. 566).

The $nirvedhabh\bar{a}giya$ -kusalamūlas, which immediately precede and introduce darsanamārga, consist of

- 564. Cp. Y_t zi 9a4 (so so'i skye bo!), and perhaps 8b6-8 speaking, probably with reference to the punyabhāgīya-kuŝala-mūlas (see n. 1344), of "the other mundane kuŝalamūlas" (though the expression is, to be sure, not unambiguous since an interpretation as "the other, viz. the mundane kuŝalamūlas" does not seem to be entirely impossible. For according to ASBh 23,19-24,1 the nirvedhabhāgīyas may, in a sense, even be established as anāsrava because they are opposed to [the āsravas not because of actually eradicating them but] in so far as they are averse to all [mundane] existence (sarva-bhava-vaimukhyena tatpratipakṣatvāt).
- 565. See § 4.8.2.
- 566. Y_t zi 8b4-6 (H 1979, 39; as for the initial portion of the text, see n. 563): ... de ni kun 'byun ba'i bden pa'i rgyu (samudayasatya-hetu) ma yin te / thar pa la sogs pa'i cha dan mthun pa'i dge ba'i rtsa ba rnams ni 'jug pa dan 'gal ba nid yin pa'i phyir ro (*pravṛttivairodhikatvān mokṣādibhāgī-yānām kusalamūlānām) //.
- 567. Cp. the express statement, to this effect, of MSg I.45 (see n. 574).
- 568. Y_t zi 9b6 (Y_c 581c12f.; Chüeh-ting 1o2ob15-17; H 1979, 41f. [§ C.2(c)]): kun gźi rnam par šes pa ni non mońs pa rnams

kyi 'jug pa'i rgyu dan lam gyi 'jug pa'i rgyu ma yin la / ≈ *ālayavijnānaṃ kleśa-pravṛtti-hetur mārgāpravṛtti-hetuś (cp. Y_C 聖道不轉因) ca. Cp. also Hsien-yang 48oc9f.: "The function (karman) [of ālayavijnāna] is to increase the defiled (*kliṣṭa) pravṛttivijnānas, etc., and to diminish (or: to prevent the arising and growth of?) the pure pravṛttivijnānas, etc." (能增長有染轉識等為業、及能損減清淨轉識等為業).

- 569. See § 4.7.2 (+ n. 553).
- 570. Y_t zi 30a8f. (Y_c 589a14ff.; Chüeh-ting 1025c14ff.; cp. S 1969a, 166):

"[Objection (continued from n. 553):] ... In this case, what is then the Seed from which the supramundane dharmas arise? [A Seed incorporated in ālayavijñāna is out of the question, for it is included in Badness, and] what has the nature of Badness cannot be the Seed from which the [supramundane dharmas] arise!

Answer: Supramundane dharmas arise from $(-anugata?)^2$ [their] $\bar{a}lambana-pratyaya$, viz. $tathat\bar{a}$, as their "Seed"; they do not arise from a Seed accumulated [by way of] Impression $(*v\bar{a}san\bar{a}cita-b\bar{i}ja)$."

(de ltar na 'jig rten las 'das pa'i chos rnams skye ba'i sa bon gañ yin / de dag skye ba'i sa bon gyi dños po gnas ñan len gyi rañ bźin can yin par ni mi ruñ ño źe na / smras pa / 'jig rten las 'das pa'i chos rnams ni de bźin ñid la dmigs pa'i rkyen gyi sa bon dañ ldan par skye'i³ </> bag chags bsags pa'i sa bon dañ ldan pa ni ma yin no //).

- 1. In the Tib. version, dňos po is cdd. In view of Y (... **龜重自性種子為種子生、不應道理**) it would seem that Tib. has misconstrued a presumable original like *dauṣṭhulya-svabhāvasya tadutpattibijabhāv(asy)āyogāt.
- 2. Cp. ns. 172, 402 and 438. Y_c here: 從 ...
- 3. D wrongly skye ba'i.

Strictly speaking, such a use of the term 'Seed' (bija) in the sense of $\bar{a}lambanapratyaya$ means that a Seed proper, in the sense of hetupratyaya, is denied to exist in the case

of supramundane dharmas (or at least of the first of them), as is made explicit at VGPVy 43ob6ff.

According to Y_t zi 9b6f. (Y_c 581c13-15; Chüeh-ting 1o2ob17-19; H 1979, 41f. [§ C.2(c)]), *āšrayaparivṛtti (in this paragraph of the text probably to be identified with tathatā (see n. 1337) freed, or partly freed, from Impurities) is the cause of the coming forth (pravrtti) of the [supramundane] Path (marga), yet not in the sense of being the cause which generates the latter [for the first time] (janana-hetu: cp. AKBh 102,24; ASBh 3,4) but only in the sense of the cause which supports [its continuance] (pratistha-hetu: cp. Y 217,4 and, though in a somewhat different sense, AKBh 102,25 and ASBh 3,4 (cp. Y 53,1ff.); H 1979, 23 note 49). Cp. also Y_{+} zi 3ob6f. (Y_{c} 589a28ff.; Chüeh-ting 1o25c23f.): 'jig rten las 'das pa'i chos skyes pa rnams kyi (D) rjes su 'jug pa ni gnas gyur pa'i stobs bskyed pa las rig par bya ste / (≈ *lokottarāṇāṃ dharmāṇām utpannānām anuvṛttir ā\$rayaparivṛtti-balādhānād veditavyā).

- 571. Y_t zi 3ob3ff. $(Y_c$ 589a21ff.).
- 572. Cp., e.g., Yūki 1935a; Takeuchi 1950-51; Frauwallner 1969, 332; Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 490f.

Since MSg I.45-49 is a kind of excursus, which may (but of course need not) reflect a slightly later stage in the development of the author's thought (cp. § 5.12.4 + ns. 710 and 711), the theory of supramundane purification in MSg may have started from a simpler view (MSg I.44) in which ālayavijāna's receiving Impressions or Seeds leading to supramundane insight was not yet felt to involve difficulties, as may also be true of the Abhidharmasūtra (for which see Keenan 1982, 11ff., though in view of the Abhidharmasūtra verses quoted at MSg I.2 and I.27 [see n. 136] I should prefer to understand the anādikāliko dhātuḥ of the famous verse quoted at MSg I.1 as virtually referring to ālayavijāna).

- 573. Cp. MSg I.45: bag chags de med na sa bon gan las 'byun ba brjod dgos so .../.
- 574. MSg I.45: sa bon thams cad pa rnam par smin pa'i rnam par ses pa ni kun nas ñon mons pa'i rgyu yin na de'i gñen po 'jig rten las 'das pa'i sems kyi sa bon du ji ltar run /, ≈ *katham punaḥ sarvabîjakam vipākavijnānam samklešahetubhūtam tat-pratipakṣa-lokottara-citta-bījatvena yujyate (cp. S 1985, 156 note 29).
- 575. Cp. MSg I.45: 'jig rten las 'das pa'i sems ni ma 'dris pa ste / de bas na de'i bag chags ni med pa ñid do //.
- 576. MSg I.46: sans rgyas kyi byan chub la brten nas (*buddhānām bodhim āgamya, cp. Y 30,15).
- 577. Cp. MAVŢ 101,11: dharmadhātu-prativedha-prabhāvitatvād bud-dhavacanasya.
- 578. MSg II.26(3.d): ... they pa chen po'i dam pa'i chos bstan pa ste / ... de ni ... chos kyi dbyins rnam par dag pa'i rgyu mthun pa yin pas ...; cp. RGV I.145; MAVBh 35,14f.; MAVT 101,13f.; 229,5f.
- 579. MSg I.45: chos kyi dbyińs śin tu rnam par dag pa'i rgyu mthun pa thos pa'i bag chags; I.48 (see n. 582); MAVŢ 186,6f.
- 580. MSg I.46:

 "When **sruta-vāsanā* arises in a basis[-of-personal-existence]

 (ā*sraya, i.e. in the stream-of-personality (*santāna: MSgU*t 262a2) or mental series (*citta-santāna: VGPVy 432a4) of a living being), it abides in the *vipākavijnāna* [of this living being] by way of existing together with it [without being a part of it], like milk and water [when they are mixed]."
 - (... thos pa'i bag chags ... gan yin pa gnas gan la 'jug pa de lhan cig 'dug pa'i tshul gyis rnam par smin pa'i rnam par ses pa la 'jug ste / 'o ma dan chu bźin no //);
 - MSg I.49: ... kun gźi rnam par śes pa dań / kun gźi rnam par śes pa ma yin pa chu dań 'o ma bźin du lhan cig gnas pa ...

In view of the fact that the latter passage (I.49) uses 'ālayavijñāna' instead of the 'vipākavijñāna' of the former (I.46), I hesitate to follow Nagao (1982, 223f.) who with VGPVy¹ and the later system² concludes from MSg I.46 that in MSg 'vipākavijñāna' is used in a wider sense than 'ālaya-vijñāna', and who seems to find it odd that the Bhāṣya takes the salvific śrutavāsanā to subsist in or on ā l a y a vijñāna (MSgBh to 3a1f.: thos pa'i bag chags ... kun gźi rnam par šes pa la gnas pa).

- 1. 432a5f: kun gźi'i rnam par śes pa <ma> smos pa ni ma khyab pa'i phyir te </> 'di ltar de ni sa brgyad pa la sogs pa la gnas pa'i byan chub sems dpa'i rgyud dan / dgra bcom pa dan / ran sans rgyas rnams kyi rgyud la med kyi </> rnam par smin pa'i rnam par śes pa ni yod do //.
- 2. See § 4.10.2 + n. 608.

A wider extension of the term 'vipakavijnana' could only be proved if one could point out a passage expressing or at least implying that in the case of Arhats, etc., subliminal mind continues to exist but is no longer called 'alayavijnana' but only 'vipakavijnana'. Yet, I for one have found no such passage in MSg. MSg I.46 is not concerned with Arhats, etc., but with the process of salvation, especially with its preparatory phase preceding even the first supramundane citta, i.e. preceding the attainment of the state of Arya, let alone of Arhat, etc. Actually, MSg does not seem to be interested in the theory of the Arhat except that it points out the superiority of Tathagatas over (ordinary) Arhats. In this sense, MSg I.61D³ states that in the case of Arhats and Pratyekabuddhas (before death) ā l a y a vijnāna is free from the whole klešāvaraņa but not, as in the case of Tathagatas, also from jneyavarana, and this might seem to imply that even in the Arhat ā l a y a vijñāna (!) somehow continues to exist. On the other hand, at MSg I.48 (MSg $_{I}$ 20,12-14) the v i p \bar{a} k a vijñana (!) containing all Seeds is stated to have become free from [all] Seeds and [hence] to be completely eliminated

(*prahīṇa) when the Transmutation of Basis is accomplished (gnas rnam pa thams cad du gyur na), and there is no reason to restrict this statement to Tathāgatas only since a few lines earlier (MSg_L 20,5ff.) both Bodhisattvas and Śrāvakas/Pratyekabuddhas had been mentioned. And since the immediately preceding sentence had spoken of a gradual decrease of vipākavijñāna itself (and not merely of Seeds contained in it), the resulting complete "evacuation" and elimination of vipākavijñāna should be regarded as coinciding of vipākavijñāna should be regarded as coinciding or (with MSgBh [Pa.] 175a4-6) as two successive steps.

- 3. = MSg_L I.61.4. The paragraph, though contained in all Chinese versions, is not commented upon in MSgBh nor in MSgU (cp. Nagao 1982, 269)!
- 4. Cp. also the equivalence of expressions characterizing alayavijnana /vipakavijnana as c o n t a i n i n g or as b e i n g Seed(s); see § 5.12.2 + n. 703.

Thus, I for one fail to perceive, in MSg, a clear-cut difference of the extension of the terms 'alayavijñana' and 'vipakavijñana', and it seems to me that they are better taken to refer to precisely the same entity, and that the choice of one term or the other is rather a matter of a spects to be emphasized in a given case:

'Ālayavijñāna' is, of course, used when subliminal mind is envisaged as "what is clung to" as Self (MSg I.3; 13C; 58+59.3). This term is also preferred when the causal function of subliminal mind is prominent, i.e. when it is primarily viewed as the cause or Seed of Pollutive dharmas (I.3; 8-9; 19.1; 32; 58) or of false representations (II.2; III.12), a preference found even in connection with the mutual causality of subliminal mind and Pollutive dharmas in the sense of hetupratyaya causality (I.14; 17).

On the other hand, the term 'vipākavijñā-na' is preferably used with reference to subliminal mind as the result of karmic Maturation (I.62) merging with

embryonic matter at the moment of conception (I.34), as the principle constitutive of affiliation to a specific (level of) existence (I.40-41; cp. I.21), or as the principle of biological appropriation (I.35; 50-51), and also when subliminal mind is primarily viewed as a neutral recipient or support of Impressions including *śrutavāsanā* (I.43-44; <u>I.46</u>).

Yet, since these aspects are not mutually exclusive and characterize one and the same entity, one will not be surprised to meet with occasional exceptions to the usage described above. Thus, 'ālayavijñāna' is once used in the biological context, viz. with reference to mind withdrawing from the body in the process of dying (I.42); and at I.49 'alayavijñana' denotes subliminal mind as that with which the srutavāsanā coexists (though this time with additional emphasis on the heterogeneity of the two elements). On the other hand, 'vipākavijñāna' once occurs in the context of the mutual dependence of subliminal mind (/abhilāpavāsanā, i.e. hetupratyaya causality!) and pravṛttivijnanas/dharmas (II.32B; MSg_I: II.32.1), and even in the context of subliminal mind as the cause of Pollution (I.45) which is gradually reduced and finally eliminated by the salvific srutavāsanā (1.48, discussed above). Besides, in situations covering aspects of both sets, both terms are used indiscriminately (e.g. I.29 beside I.56).

- 581. MSg I.46: de ni kun gźi rnam par ses pa ma yin te /; I.48: thos pa'i bag chags ... kun gźi rnam par ses pa'i gñen po yin pas kun gźi rnam par ses pa'i no bo nid ma yin pa ...; III.1 (see n. 582).
- 582. MSg I.45: thos pa'i bag chags kyi (MSgBh_t; om. MSg_t) sa bon las de (= lokottaraṃ cittam) 'byun no //; I.46: thos pa'i bag chags ... de'i gñen po'i (i.e. ālayavijnānapratipakṣa-sya = lokottarasya cittasya) sa bon nid yin pa'i phyir ro //; I.48: thos pa'i bag chags ... 'jig rten pa yin yan

'jig rten las 'das pa chos kyi dbyins sin tu rnam par dag pa'i rgyu mthun pa yin pas (*lokottara-suvisuddha-dharmadhā-tu-niṣyandatvena) 'jig rten las 'das pa'i sems kyi sa bon du gyur pa'o //; cp. also III.1: man du thos pas bsgos pa'i gnas / kun gźi rnam par ses pas bsdus pa ma yin la / kun gźi rnam par ses pa ltar / tshul bźin yid la byed pas bsdus pa...'i yid kyi brjod pa rnams kyi sa bon yin pa'o // (*bāhusrutya-bhāvita āsraya¹ ālayavijnānāsaṃgṛhīta ālayavijnānavad bījabhūto yoniso-manasikāra-saṃgṛhītānāṃ ... mano-jalpānām /). Cp. also AS 28,9f. (hetupratyayaḥ kata-maḥ / ālayavijnānaṃ kusalavāsanā ca) + ASBh 35,21f.; Si 8b13-15.

- 1. MSgBh 192a1: bdag ñid kyi no bo (= *ātmabhāva, cp. Hts. 自體); MSgU_t 295a8: sems dan sems las byun ba'i rgyud (= *citta-caitta-santāna).
- 583. Si 8b4f. (Si_p 1o2ff.: Candrapāla); 9a7ff. (Si_p 1o7ff.: Dhar-mapāla); cp. also Si 11a6-8; 45b29ff. VGPVy 431a2; YVy 112b5ff.; YidKun 38,5ff.
- 584. Especially LAS VI (pp. 22off.).

 Cp., e.g., Brown 1981, 271ff.; Y. Shimizu in: IBK 25.1/
 1976, 162f., and in: ŌsG 128/1976, 111ff.; E. Kan in: IBK
 25.2/1977, (21) ff.
- 585. Cp. also the stanza from the Abhidharmasutra quoted at MSg I.1, RGVV 72,13f. and TrBh 37,12f.; see Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 494f.
- 586. Y_t 10b3f. (Y_c 582a8f.; Chüeh-ting 102oc7-9; H 1979, 44 [§ b]: "One has pravṛttivijñāna(s) but no ālayavijñāna: an Arhat, Pratyekabuddha, Bodhisattva not liable to turn back, or Tathāgata, [as far as they are] in a conscious state (sacittikāyām avasthāyām)" ('jug pa'i rnam par ses pa dan ldan la / kun gźi rnam par ses pa dan mi ldan pa ni sems yod pa'i gnas skabs na dgra beom pa dan / ran sans rgyas dan / phyir mi ldog pa'i byan chub sems dpa' dan / de bźin gsegs pa'o //).

- 587. Cp. Y_t 'i 201a4f. (Y_c 794b12f.) where it is said that by attaining Arhatship one "decomposes" (*pūtīkaroti (?)) and destroys this vijnāna (i.e. vijnāna furnished with the Seeds of Defilements = sopādāna-vijnāna, see § 4.3.1 + n. 499) with its Seeds (de yan de'i 'og tu dgra beom pa thob nas rnam par šes pa sa bon dan beas pa de rul bar byed cin med par byed de /).
- 588. Y_t zi 10b5f. (Y_c 582a10-12; Chüeh-ting 102oc11-13; H 1979, 44 [§ d]): "One is devoid of both [pravṛttivijñāna(s) and ālayavijñāna]: an Arhat, Pratyekabuddha, Bodhisattva not liable to turning back, or Tathāgata, when they have entered nirodhasamāpatti, or in the state of Extinction where no Possessions (i.e. skandhas) remain (nirupadhisese nirvāṇadhātau)" (gñi ga dan mi ldan pa ni dgra beom pa dan / ran sans rgyas dan / phyir mi ldog pa'i byan chub sems dpa' dan / de bźin gšegs pa 'gog pa la sñoms par źugs pa dan / phun po'i lhag ma med pa'i mya nan las 'das pa'i dbyins na'o //).
- Paramārtha's rendering of *āśrayaparivṛtti by 阿摩羅識 (ama-la-vijnāna or -jnāna; cp. Iwata 1971, especially 50) is obviously an interpretation, which, interesting though it is in itself, is better left aside in ascertaining the original import of the text.
- 590. Y_t zi 9b7f. (Y_c 581c16f.; Chüeh-ting om.; H 1979, 41f. [§ C.2(d)]): gnas gyur pa ni dge ba dan lun du ma bstan pa'i chos thams cad la dban byed pa'o //
 - 1. SamdhVy co 105b5: chos rnams
 - 2. SaṃdhVy: dban du byed pa yin no

The older layer of the *Nivṛtti Portion* material (see n. 1337) appears to characterize the state of Transmutation of Basis only in a negative way by stating that the āśraya (i.e. the body furnished with or consisting of ṣaḍāyatana: see ib.) is freed from all Badness (dauṣṭhulya).

- 591. Cp. Y 27,1-3: parinirvāṇakāle (i.e. when attaining Arhatship?) punar visuddhānāṃ yogināṃ parivṛttāsrayāṇāṃ sarvakliṣṭa-dharma-nirbīja āsrayaḥ parivartate (i.e. a Basis which is free from all Seeds of defiled dharmas replaces the old one which contained such Seeds) / sarva-kusalāvyākṛta-dharma-bījeṣu ca pratyayān vikalīkaroti, adhyātmapratyaya-vasitāṃ (Ym) ca pratilabhate /.
 - 1. Tib. and Ch.: "the Basis containing all Seeds of defiled dharmas is Transmuted" (*-dharma-bijāśrayaḥ ...?), but probably a lectio facilior.
- 592. SacAcBh (see App. I) § 5.
- 593. Y_t zi 189a7ff., especially 190a6ff. (Y_c 651b6ff., especially c15ff.).
- 594. Y_t zi 189b5 (see n. 946).
- 595. Y_t zi 19ob5: mi slob pa la ni rnam pa thams cad du kun tu mi 'byun no //, \approx *aśaikṣasya sarvathā na samudācaranti (sc. the Defilements of the new manas).
- 596. Y_t zi 190a6f.: kun gźi rnam par ses pa ni non mons pa gan dan yan mtshuns par ldan pa ma yin no //. Direct association (saṃprayoga) of ālayavijnāna with actual Defilements is, of course, not asserted by any Yogācāra source, including the Nivṛtti Portion, but the fact that the matter is explicitly stated in the present context appears to be significant.
- 597. See § 4.8.5.
- 598. See ns. 556 and 568.
- 599. Tr 5a: $tasya (= \bar{a}layavij\bar{n}\bar{a}nasya)$ v y \bar{a} v r t t i r a r h a t t v e .
- 600. Y_t 'i 138a5ff.(Y_c 748a14ff.; S 1969a, 46ff. [§ 4]): dgra bcom pa ... sems gan la gnas nas phun po'i lhag ma med pa'i mya nan las 'das pa'i dbyins su yons su mya nan las 'da' źe na / smras pa / ... 'gog pa la snoms par 'jug la / 'jug pa'i rnam par ses pa 'gog par byed cin / d e ' i ' o g t u kun

gźi rnam par ses pa'i gnas spoń bar byed de (\approx *ālayavijñā-nam āśrayaṃ muñcati: see S 1969a, 128f.) / kun gźi rnam par ses pa dań / 'jug pa'i rnam par ses pa rgyu med pa mi skye bas / ...

- 601. Cp. also Y_t 'i 207b8f. (Y_c 796c29f.): "All Paths (pratipad) of the Arhats are easy (sukha) and quickly realized (kṣiprā-bhijnā) because [Arhats] have destroyed all Badness (dauṣṭhulya)" (dgra bcom pa'i lam thams cad ni mnon par ses pa sla ba dan myur bar rig par bya ste / gnas nan len thams cad bcom pa...'i phyir ro //).
 - 1. Tib.: easily, but cp. Y, dzi 197a6; AKBh 382,7.
- 602. E.g. $BoBh_D$ 169,4f. ($BoBh_W$ 246,25f.): traidhātukāvacara-k lesa pakṣya -dauṣṭhulya-duḥkham; Y_t zi 168a4f. (Y_C 642a12ff.):
 - "... All conditioned factors (saṃskāra) belonging to the three world-spheres (traidhātuka) are, because of being permeated by (or stricken with) B a d n e s s a f f i l i a t e d t o D e f i l e m e n t s (*kleśa-pakṣya-dauṣṭhu-lyānugatatvāt or -ōpagatatvāt), unwieldy (akarmaṇya) and not under one's control (asvavašavartin, cp. ŚrBh 257,16). ... Therefore, they are called 'u n s a t i s f a c t o r y on account of being permeated by Badness' (*dauṣṭhulyānu- (or -ōpa-) -gatatvād duḥkha)."
 - (... khams gsum pa'i 'du byed thams cad ni ñon mons pa'i phyogs dan mthun pa'i gnas nan len dan ldan pa'i phyir las su mi run ba dan dban sgyur ba ma yin la / ... de'i phyir gnas nan len dan ldan pa'i phyir sdug bsnal yin źes bya'o //);

Y_{t.} zi 61a8ff. (Y_{c.} 601b22ff.):

"What is 'latent tendency' (anuśaya)? [Answer:] Bad-ness affiliated to Defilements (*yat kleśapakṣyaṃ dauṣṭhulyam), [which means] the fact that conditioned factors (saṃskāra) are in a bad state or condition (cp. MAVŢ 167,9f.: duḥ-

 $sthitat\bar{a}$). On account of this [bad state] (cp. Y_c) the Noble Ones $(\bar{a}rya)$, having comprehended (abhisametya) them to be characterized by Suffering (duhka) in the sense of $samsk\bar{a}raduhkaata$, continue to regard all $samsk\bar{a}ras$ as unsatisfactory (*duhkhatah)."

(de la bag la ñal gañ źe na / ñon mons pa'i phyogs dan mthun pa'i gnas nan len gan yin pa ste / 'du byed rnams kyi ñes par kun tu gnas pa ñid dan / ñes par źugs pa ñid do (Yc:... 不安隱性、 又持諸行令成苦性) // 'phags pa rnams kyis gan 'du byed kyi sdug bsnal ñid kyis sdug bsnal bar mnon par rtogs nas / 'du byed thams cad la (om. P) sdug bsnal bar gzigs śin gnas pa ...);

Cp. also passages deriving happiness (sukha) from the disappearance of Badness affiliated to Defilements, e.g.: BoBh 18,15-17 (BoBh 27,2ff.): sambodhisukham... dṛṣṭe... dharme sarva-kleša-pakṣyasya dauṣṭhulyasyāśrayagatasyātyantoparamāt sukham; ŚrBh 451,19f. (cp. n. 300): -kleša-pakṣasarva-dauṣṭhulyāpagamāt... prītisukham. Cp. also BoBh 10,4 (BoBh 14,26:... duḥkha-bahulān dauṣṭhulya-bahulān kleša-bahulān) where dauṣṭhulya figures as a kind of intermediate notion or connecting link between Suffering and Defilements.

Cp., finally, the fact that the Defilements (kleśa) themselves are sometimes (e.g. ŚrBh 256,17ff.; cp. S 1977, 921) expressly declared to be acutely painful (paryavasthānata eva duḥkhāḥ) because involving mental uneasiness or displeasure (caitasikaṃ duḥkha-daurmanasyam).

- 603. See n. 481.
- 604. S 1969a, 156-158.
- 605. Y_t 'i 139b6f. (Y_c 748c6f.; S 1969a, 54f.): dgra bcom pa phun po'i lhag ma dan bcas pa'i mya nan las 'das pa'i dbyins la gnas pa'i ... rnam par smin pa dan / gnas nan len gyi sgrib pa (*vipāka-dauṣṭhulyāvaraṇa, the first part of which has to

- be taken not as a dvandva but as a tatpuruṣa: S 1969a, 156) ma 'gags pa ...
- 606. In the case of a Tathāgata, however, this solution would not work because he has even eliminated vipākadauṣṭhulya (Yt zi 107b5f.: see S 1969a, 156f.). In the later school, however, this problem becomes irrelevant due to the introduction of an altogether different theory of the Nirvāṇa of the Tathāgata (infinite apratiṣṭhita-nirvāṇa (starting with the attainment of Enlightenment) instead of the old dichotomy of sopadhiseṣa-nirvāṇa (attained with Enlightenment/Arhatship and ceasing at death) and nirupadhiseṣa-nirvāṇa (after death).
- 607. Cp., e.g., S 1969a, 129f.
- 608. Si 13c13ff.; cp. also VGPVy 432a5f. (see n. 580, footn. 1); YidKun 48,6ff., especially 8f. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 74).
- 609. Cp., e.g., MN I 292: $vij\bar{a}n\bar{a}ti$ $vij\bar{a}n\bar{a}t\bar{i}$ ti kho ..., $tasm\bar{a}$ $vi\bar{n}n\bar{a}nam$ ti vuccati; cp. also SN III.87; $S\bar{A}_{c}$ 11c9; YVy 86b6; ŚrBh 143,11 = Pañcav 197,11 (cp. AS 3,1; VisM XIV.82): $vij\bar{a}nan\bar{a}lak$ sanam $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$.
- 610. AKBh 11,7: viṣayaṃ viṣayaṃ prati vijñaptir upalabdhir vijñānaskandha ity ucyate; Y 11,8: vijñānaṃ katamat? yad ālambanavijñaptau pratyupasthitaṃ; cp. Y 4,5 etc. (cp. Prak 693a5
 ff.; AS 12,7ff.): cakṣurvijñāna etc. = ... rūpaprativijñapti etc., and Y 5,16 and 12,1f.: cakṣurvijñāna (/manovijñāna)
 = sva- (/sva-para-) -viṣay â l a m b a n a v i j ñ a p t i ;
 MAV I.8c: arthadṛṣṭir vijñānam; PSkt 16b8 (PSkD § 25): rnam
 par šes pa gaṅ źe na / dmigs pa rnam par rig pa'o (=
 *ālambanavijñaptiḥ); PSkVai 53a8f.; Tr 2b + 8bc: (cakṣurādivijñānam [TrBh 18,18] =) vijñāptir viṣayasya = viṣayasyōpalabdhiḥ (= grahaṇam, pratipattiḥ: TrBh 25,2f.). Cp. M. Takemura 1976, 75ff.; Suguro 1983, 13 and 15; Griffiths 1986,
 95f.
- 611. The view that the mental factors (caitta) are not entities on their own but only conceived on the basis of specific

states (*avasthāvišeṣa) of the citta is expressly rejected in the Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇī (Y_t zi 8ob2ff.; see S 1969, 82of. [note 46]).

- 612. Y 57,10f. and 59,16-22.
- 613. According to Y 59,17f., sparša is the apprehension of the agreeable (subha), disagreeable (asubha) or neutral (ubhaya-viparīta) character of the object; cp. Y 207,16f.: cakṣuḥsaṃ-sparšaḥ katamaḥ / trikasamavāyajā viṣayaṃ subhādyarthataḥ udgrahaṇātā </> evam avasiṣṭānaṃ sparšānāṃ prativiṣayaṃ la-kṣaṇanirdeso 'vagantavyaḥ (corr. acc. to Y_m). According to AS 6,3 and ASBh 5,3f., sparša is the distinct apprehension (pariccheda) of such modifications of the sense-organs as are suitable to the arising of pleasant, unpleasant or neutral feelings (vedanā); cp. TrBh 20,2ff.; Si 11b16ff. (Sip 143ff.).
- 614. I.e. the recognition or identification of the typical feature(s) of the object, which is the basis of, or even includes, the conceptual and verbal determination of the latter (cp. S 1981, 215 note 51).
- 615. Cp. TrBh 19,2-4: yadi pravṛttivijñānavyatiriktam ālayavijñānam asti, tato 'syālambanam ākāro vā vaktavyaḥ / na hi nirālambanam nirākāram vā vijñānam yujyate (cp. also KSiT 105b1-2; PSkBh 181b7-182a1) and TrBh 19,26f.: tatrālayākhyam vijñānam ity uktam (see Tr 2a); vijñānam cāvasyam caittaiḥ samprayuktam: ity ato vaktavyam katamaiḥ katibhis ca tac caittaiḥ ... samprayujyate. Cp. also Mizuno 1932, 1086; Nagao 1978, 373; Ui 1958, 170 and 181; Griffiths 1986, 95f.; cp. also Suguro 1983, 21,3-5.
- 616. See n. 683.
- 617. Cp. also $M\bar{A}_{c}$ 789a15f. and 791c24; Y_{t} dzi 272a4-6 (Y_{c} 376b16-18).
- 618. Y 192,8 (see § 6.5.1).

- 619. See § 1.3.5 + n. 76 and § 7.3.6.3.3; cp. Y 24,4f. and 7f. (see § 6.3.1 and 3); cp. also Y 24,18f. + 25,1f.
- 620. Cp. the fact that *vedanā* is one of the four entities attached to which mind persists [in saṃsāra] (*vijnāna-sthiti*: cp. n. 1112).
- 621. Y 25,16f. (see n. 412).
- 622. See n. 294.
- 623. Y_t 'i 271a2f. (Y_c 821c23ff.) and 4: gnas nan len las gyur pa...'i sdug bsnal ... nams su myon bar 'gyur ro // ... lus dan sems sin tu sbyans par mi 'gyur te / des na gnas nan len gyi sdug bsnal nams su myon bar 'gyur ro //.
- 624. Y_t zi 22ob8ff. (Y_c 663b15ff.): "And this Badness (dausthulya) is clear and manifest only in [states of] neither-painful-nor-pleasant sensation (aduḥkhāsukhā vedanā). ... In [states of] pleasant and painful [sensation], this Suffering [constituted by] Badness (dausthulya-duḥkha) is not easily ascertained because one's mind is agitated by attachment (anunaya) and aversion (pratigha) [respectively]" (gnas nan len de yan sdug bsnal yan ma yin bde ba yan ma yin pa'i tshor ba la ni gsal ba dan mnon pa yin pas ... // bde ba dan sdug bsnal la rjes su chags pa dan khon khro ba dag gis rnam par dkrugs pa'i sems kyis (D: kyi) gnas nan len gyi sdug bsnal de ni yons su bcad (P, D; read gcad?) par sla (P: pas bla) ba ma yin te /).
 - 1. The Skt. original may have contained a bahuvrihi (-citte-na or -cittasya) construed as the agent but including a causal nuance (cp. Y_c: 愛悲 ... 擾亂心故).
- 625. Cp. S 1984, especially 439ff. Unfortunately, this article contains a number of misprints. I take the opportunity to correct the most disturbing ones:

436,23: word order of

436, note 24: n. 1+m

438,15: <u>in</u> the sentence

439,25: definition of

439, note 30, 4th line: of the 1st person sg. is rendered almost certain by the fact that in the Samdhinirmocana

444,19 and note 43a 2nd line: $\mathrm{Bh}_{\mathrm{Pa}}$

447,4: the fact that

448,11: Msg II.7

449,16: or,

449 note 49: U

Apart from this, I have to admit that the article was written in all too great a haste and that I have overlooked several pertinent contributions, like Kawasaki 1976, 158, and, especially, M. Takemura 1976, 78ff, and Hotori 1980, 76. Another important discussion of Saṃdh VIII.7 to which the interested reader is referred is found in Suguro 1982c, 17off. (cp. also Suguro 1983, 16f.).

It was only after the publication of the article that, thanks to the kindness of Prof. N. Hakamaya, I could get the wording of the crucial passage in the Tunhuang version of the Samdhinirmocanasūtra (Stein Tib. No. 194: see H 1984; now also H 1986a and 1987). It runs like this (fol. 31b,4-5; see H 1987, 336):

rnam par rig pa tsam gyi phyir te / gzugs brñan de la dmyigs pa rnam par rig pa tsam du rab du bsgoms pa ste / byams pa nas rnam par rig pa źes bśado //

Provided that this translation keeps more or less close to the word order of the original, this would seem to correspond in Skt. to something like

*vijñaptimātratvāt [/] tasya pratibimbasya </> ālamba-na(m?)vijñaptimātraprabhāvitam Maitreya mayā vijñānam uktam /,

though uktam and $may\bar{a}$ (and the position of the latter) as also the absence or presence of particles (hi, iti) are questionable. The Tun-huang translation seems to punctuate be for e *tasya pratibimbasya, but according to all other versions (except perhaps, Pa.'s rendering at MSg II.7) punctuation should follow upon these words.

As for $\bar{a}lambana-/\bar{a}lambanam$, I find it difficult to decide on which of these readings the Tun-huang translation is based. But if the vocative byams pa (Maitreya) has maintained its original position in the sentence, I feel that the reading $\bar{a}lambanam$ would be odd from a stylistic point of view. For, though admitting that more systematic investigation of this matter is required, as far as I can see a pattern "subj.—pred.noun—voc. ..." does not occur, whereas a pattern "pred.noun—voc.—subj." is quite common. And I doubt that it is possible to take the passage to mean "I have taught $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ to be an object constituted by mere cognition/representation".

- 626. See Y_t zi 10a6-8 (Y_c 581c28ff.; Chüeh-ting 1020b28ff.; H 1979, 43 [§ 6]).
- 626a. Cp. also Suguro 1983, 21,3-5.
- 627. The *Pravṛtti Portion* seems to have made copious use of both Saṃdh V and Saṃdh VIII.37.1 (cp. also Suguro 1983, 19ff.)
 The following passages appear to be more or less closely related:

Y_t zi	Н 1979	Saṃdh
4b2f.	§ <u>1.b</u> .A.1	V.2
4b3f.	$\S \underline{1} \cdot \underline{b} \cdot A \cdot 2$	VIII.37.1.1
4b8ff.	§ <u>1.b</u> .C	VIII.37.1.3-7
6a5ff.	§ <u>4.b.</u> A.1	V.4
6b3f.	$\S \underline{4.b.} A.2 (2nd part)$	VIII.37.1.2
6b6ff.	§ <u>4.b</u> .A.3	VIII.37.1.9-13
7a3f.	$\frac{4 \cdot b \cdot A \cdot 4}{}$	VIII.37.1.14-16
7a7ff.	§ <u>4.b</u> .B.2	V. 5

In addition, there seems to be a certain (though not very concrete) relationship between parts of the *Nivṛtti Portion* and Saṃdh VIII.37.2-5:

Y_t zi	§ 10.1	Saṃdh
9a4	(la)	VIII.37.2
(sems	gnas par bya ba'i phyir)	(gnas pa)
9 a 8	<u>2b</u>)	VIII.37.3
9b2	(3b)	VIII.37.4-5

- 628. Cp. Y_t zi 4b4 (\underline{r} tag tu \underline{r} gyun \underline{m} i 'chad \underline{p} ar ... \underline{snod} ... \underline{rnam} \underline{par} \underline{rig} \underline{pa} \underline{ste}); 4b7 (see n. 1308). However, *sthira may just as well be construed with *bhājana: cp. Y_t zi 4b6 (\underline{d} \underline{m} igs \underline{p} a de ni \underline{r} tag tu \underline{y} od \underline{p} a yin te); 4b4 (\underline{snod} kyi \underline{r} \underline{g} yun); cp. also *eka(?) as an attribute of *bhājana</code> at Y_t zi 189b5 (see n. 653).
- 628a. Taking Samdh VIII.37.1 in isolation, one will hardly hesitate to take vijñapti in its traditional meaning of "making known" or "[function of] perception/cognition", i.e. in its surface value, so to speak; but I admit that VIII.37.2, mentioning vijnaptitathatā (i.e. vijnaptimātratā: VIII.20.2.3), suggests that VIII.37.1 too should, with "hindsight", be understood in an "idealist" sense. According to Suguro (1983, 17f.) this implies that *vijñapti* (and even vijñāna) at VIII.37.1 acquires the meaning of "representation", particularly of "objectified representation" (**) 象化された表象). Even if such an assumption may be discussible in the case of Samdh VIII.37.1, 2 it is not justified to extend it to the VinSg ālay. Treatise, as Suguro seems to do (1983, 19 [Proof Portion: see § 5.6.2] and - as one aspect - 2of. [Pravṛtti Portion: see § 5.6.3]): cp. n. 631a and §§ 5.13.2 and 10.3.1.4.
 - 1. In using the term 'representation' ('Vorstellung'), I do n o t of course want to suggest reference to an external object (cp. B. C. Hall in: JIABSt 9.1/1986, 14).
 - 2. At least in the early sources of the vijñaptimātra doctrine, the "objective" meaning of vijñapti (cp. § 10.3.1.4) does not appear to be as dominant and as neatly distinguished from the traditional "subjective" one as

Suguro's remarks may suggest. Cp., e.g., the "idealist" rephrasing (?) of § v of the Proof Portion (see n. 630) at MAV I.3 (artha-sattvātma-vijnapti-pratibhāsam prajāyate / vijnānam ... //: cp. Suguro 1983, 19,14f.; H 1978, 23), where the expression for "objectified representation" or "mental image" is $'p\;r\;a\;t\;i\;b\;h\;\bar{a}\;s\;a'$ (cp. Suguro 1983, 13,15ff.), not 'vijñapti', the latter being used rather to denote the cognitive act (which is, in this passage, envisaged in so far as it too may be a content of consciousness). Cp. also DhDhV 15,13 (= 49,6): -indriya-vişaya-vijñapti-bhājanalokāsamprak hyānāt * v i j ñ a p t i mātr(asy)â(py a)nupalambha at DhDhV 17,9 is equivalent to upalambh â nupalambha (DhDhVV: vijñaptimātrānupalambha!) at 15,9 = 49,1, a fact which indicates that even in the context of the spiritual practice of vijnaptimātratā 'vijnapti' has not lost its "subjective" aspect of "perception/cognition" (cp. also MAVT 248,1 [same context!]: arthopalabdhir vijñaptir iti kṛtvā). This is, however, not the right place for entering into a detailed treatment of the meaning(s) of 'vijñapti' in the Yogacara school. (Most recent contribution, with detailed discussion of earlier attempts: H. Yoshimura 1987 [received only after the completion of the present study]).

629. Saṃdh VIII.37.1.1: brtan pa dan snod (Y_t 'i 86a6: snod kyi) rnam par rig pa <mi rig pa 'i ni 'di lta ste / len pa'i rnam par ses pa'i'o //. This would seem to go back to something like *asaṃvidita-sthira-bhājana-vijnapter yadutādā-navijnānasya.

As for mi rig pa (= asamvidita according to Tr 3a; cp. also ASBh $21,9^1$), cp. the quotation of the passage at Y_t 'i 86a6, Jñānagarbha 96,4 (96,3: ma rig pa), SaṃdhVy co 253b8 and 254a1 and 3, and the Chinese versions cf Hsüantsang (T 676, p. 702b25: 不可覺知堅住器識) and Bodhiruci (T 675, p. 679a26: 不覺不知不動器世間識). The Chinese versions also show that brtan pa is not āšraya (Lamotte) but *sthira or the like (cp. also Nozawa 1957, 408, and Yokoyama 1979, 176, preferring *dṛḍha). This is also confirmed by Jñānagarbha, who (95,18) gives g-yo ba "fickle" as its antonym. The Tibetan rendering of the compound *sthira-bhāja-na-vijñapti by brtan pa dan snod rnam par rig pa seems to be due to commentatorial exegesis referring brtan pa rnam

par rig pa to ālayavijñāna in so far as it is able to receive and support Impressions $(v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$ (Jñanagarbha 95, 14ff.; SaṃdhVy co 254a2).

- 1. ASBh_t 20a7 has ma rig pa. Since 'asamvidita' means "which is not (fully) recognized, or recogniza ble" (cp. n. 732), mi rig pa would seem to be the more adequate rendering.
- 630. Y_t zi 3b4ff. = ASBh 13,4ff. (H 1978, 13 [§ v]; Griffiths 1986, 135f. and 101f.): kena kāraṇenāsatyāṃ yugapad vijnā-na-pravṛttau karma na saṃbhavati / tathāhi samasataš caturvidhaṃ karma bhājana-vijnāptir āšraya-vijnāptir aham iti vijnāptir viṣaya-vijnāptiš cēti / etā vijnāptayaḥ kṣaṇe kṣaṇe yugapat pravartamānā upalabhyante / na caîkasya vijnāna-syaîkasmin kṣaṇe idam evaṃ-rūpaṃ vyatibhinnaṃ karma yujyate //
- 631. Cp. § 5.6.3.2 and ns. 187 and 796.
- 631a. According to Suguro (1983, 19,8ff.), the four vijñaptis of this passage should not be referred to the eight $vijar{n}anas$ but, being similar to the fourfold contents of mind at MAV 1.3 (see n. 628a, footn. 2), belong rather to the tradition of vijnaptimātratā2 (which establishes sets of vijnaptis independent of the eight vijnāna pattern: cp., e.g., MSg II. 2; see also § 5.13.2); and he concludes that therefore 'vijñapti' should, in this passage, be understood in the "idealist" sense of "objectified representation" (cp. n. 628a). This assumption would presuppose that 'karman' here means "object", as it in fact may in standard Sanskrit. But I do not know whether it is used in Y with this meaning. I therefore, and in view of the non-occurrence of 'vijnaptimatra(tā)' in Y, prefer to understand both 'karman' and 'vijnapti' in the sense they have at Y 5,16 and 12,1ff., viz. in the sense of "function" and "[act of] perception/cognition [of an object]" (cp. n. 610), respectively. Besides, the context clearly shows that the passage is concerned with

the $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ theory, for it expressly aims at proving the simultaneous occurrence of $v~i~j~\tilde{n}~\bar{a}~n~a~s~$ (which is the subject-matter of Samdh V(.4-5), rightly classified by Suguro [1983, 15] as a text of the $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ theory not mentioning or presupposing vijñaptimātratā). Hence, the purport of the argument is to show that in view of the fact that several cognitive functions - too many to be ascribed to one and the same vijnana - are simultaneously experienced, one has to accept a simulseveral $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}nas$ to taneous occurrence of which they belong. To be sure, the text does not specify these vijnānas (for in the context of proofs of the existence of alayavijñana this would, if the first two cognitive functions in fact appertain to the latter, involve a petitio principii! 3); but the experiential facts - real or alleged on which the argument is based can easily be derived from the fact that historically the argument already supposes the existence of the full-fledged vijnāna theory including alayavijnana and manas (cp. § 9.1), and I for one do not perceive any need for assuming an external stimulus (except, of course, from Samdh V and VIII.37.1, only that the aspect of vijñaptimātratā appears to have been deliberately suppressed or at any rate left inexplicit, as in the case of the Pravṛtti Portion: see § 10.3.1).

1. It should, however, be noted that the four vijñaptis of the present passage (viz. Y zi 3b4ff.) do not include an element corresponding to the vijnapti of MAV I.3, i.e. do not refer to the cognitive act itself as a content of consciousness; for viṣaya-vijñapti would rather be equivalent to the artha-pratibhāsam vijnānam of MAV I.3, and it is only on a different level (viz. in so far as all the four vijnaptis are declared to be experienced (upalabhyante)) that in the Proof Portion the cognitive act itself is presented as a content of consciousness. On the other hand, the bhajanavijnapti of the Proof Portion has no proper equivalent at MAV 1.3. To be sure, it too may be taken to be, in a sense, represented by the arthapratibhāsam vijnānam (cp. MAVT 17,13f.: see n. 763) in so far as the "objects" (artha) may be regarded as being, strictly speaking,

- nothing but those elements of the surrounding world which are actually perceived. But primarily, arthapratibhāsam vijnānam will correspond to viṣaya-, not to bhājana-vijnā-pti, as seems to be confirmed by MSA XI.44 (cp. Takasaki 1976, 15) containing artha- and pada-nirbhāsa side by side (cp. also MSA XIX.49, MAVBh 48,9, DhDhV 15,13 = 49,6 and the other materials collected in Takasaki 1976: bhoga beside pratiṣṭhā, viṣaya beside bhājana).
- 2. Suguro (1983, 20) even envisages a dependence on the appearances of deha, bhoga and pratisthā current in LAS (cp. Takasaki 1976), but such a dependence is not probable, not only for general considerations (see n. 102) but also for the special reason that the present passage of the Proof Portion does not at all reflect the specific terminology which, to my mind, was more probably taken over by LAS from the early Yogācāra treatises [cp. MAV I.17 and, especially, MAVBh 48,9: see § 5.13.1]).
- 3. In this connection it is interesting that Tson kha pa, apart from one interpretation distributing the four $vij\bar{n}a-ptis$ of Y_t zi 3b4ff. to alayavijñana, manas and the ordinary $vij\bar{n}a\bar{n}as$ (YidKun 70,9ff. and 71,4-6), also proposes another one which explains them as aspects of a concrete everyday experience, viz. the elements of experience involved in walking on a dangerous mountain footpath, bhājanavijnapti referring to the path (to which in such a situation special attention must be paid), āŝrayavijnapti to the lifting and putting down of the feet (which has to be done carefully and consciously), aham iti vijnapti to the notion of 'I' (involved in the constant preoccupation "may I not stumble"?), and viṣayavijnapti to earth, stones, etc., on the side of the path (YidKun 70,7-9; Kelsang/Odani 1986, 93).
- 632. From the chronological point of view, § v of the Proof Portion (layer B.2 in § 9) may, but need not necessarily, be slightly earlier than § I.1 (H 1979, 26f.) of the Pravitti Portion. In view of its having integrated the new manas (see § 9.1), most occurrences of which in the Pravitti Portion look somehow intercalated (see ib.), the former passage may even be slightly later than the latter. In this case, it should have replaced the upādāna-vijānapti of the Pravitti Portion (see § 5.6.3.2) by āsraya-vijānapti probably because only the perception of one's corporeal basis-of-existence but not the perception of Impressions can, somehow, be understood as being actually experience of cee § 5.7).

- 633. Y_t zi 4b1f. (Y_c 580a3f.; Chüeh-ting 1019a29f.; H 1979, 26 [§ 1.b.A]: kun gźi rnam par ses pa ni dmigs pa rnam pa gñis kyis 'jug ste (see n. 1307) / adhyātmam upādānavijnāptito bahirdhā 'pariechinnākārabhājanavijnāptitas ca (= TrBh 19, 5f.).
- 634. On the - both syntactically and semantically - ambiguous term aparicchinnākāra see Yokoyama 1979, 176ff.; cp. also H 1979, 71. passage in the Sacitti-Unfortunately, the kā Bhūmiḥ of VinSg (see n. 653) is also doubtful. But there can hardly be any doubt that the text itself, explaining aparicchinnākārabhājanavijnāpti as r t a g tu rgyun 'chad par (*sadāvicchedena) 'jig rten dan snod (lokabhājana) kyi rgyun rnam par rig pa ste (\mathbf{Y}_{t} 4b3f. = H 1979, 26 (§ 1.b.A.2)), takes aparicchinnākāra to mean "in an uninterrupted manner". For this meaning of aparicchinna cp. MW s.v. and SAVBh mi 300b7 where - in another context - aparicchinna is explained as "it is not the case that (he) sometimes (knows them) and sometimes (does) not (know them); rather (he) always (knows them)" (bar 'ga' šes bar 'ga' mi šes pa ni ma yin gyi / dus thams cad du ... ses pa). Interpreted in this way, aparicchinnākāra would perfectly correspond to *sthira (brtan pa) in Samdh VIII.37.1 (see n. 629) which may have been felt to be liable to be misunderstood as "permanent".

Yet, such an interpretation of aparicchinnākāra would not seem to be corroborated by the parallel in the Sacitti-kabhūmiviniścaya (see n. 653) except if, disregarding Chinese, bye brag med pa in that passage were taken to represent Skt. abhinna. Besides, one might ask why, in reformulating the wording of Saṃdh VIII.37, our passage has ignored *asaṃvidita. Perhaps because this qualification was realized to be valid for upādānavijnapti as well, and is therefore dealt with in the next paragraph of the text (H 1979, 27 [sentence B.1]: dmigs pa de ni ... yons su gcad par dka' ba'i phyir phra ba yin no \approx *tad ālambanam sūksmam ...

dusparicchedatvāt), which from the point of view of compositional structure one would expect to concern vijnaptis (thus in fact Sthiramati, PSkVai 46b6f.)? But if is true, one cannot but state that in this same paragraph (sentence B.2) the object of alayavijñana is said to be always present (rtag tu yod pa) and uniformly perceived throughout life (see § 5.6.3.4). If this refers to both vijnaptis, the qualification of bhajanavijnapti alone taking place in an uninterrupted form does not seem to be more justified than its qualification as not clearly discerned or discernible would have been. If, however, paragraph B is understood as referring to $bhar{a}janavijar{n}apti$ only (or at least primarily), it would suggest an interpretation of aparicchinnākāra as "in an uninterrupted and not clearly discerned (or discernible: see n. 732) form", i.e. as an attempt to combine the two attributes of $bh\bar{a}janavij\bar{n}apti$ at Samdh VIII.37, viz. *sthira and *asamvidita, into one single expression (see in fact ASBh 21,9f. for an equation of asamvidita and aparicchinnākāra with reference to bhājanavijnapti). Such an interpretation would fit a stage of development - to be placed, perhaps, between Samdh and the final redaction of our VinSg chapter, the history of the composition of which seems to be more complicated than what one might expect in view of its apparent formal consistency (cp. § 11) - at which the applicability of those attributes to upādānavijnapti, if not upādānavijnapti itself, had not yet been recognized.

If, however, aparicchinnākāra were to be interpreted in a sense not applicable to upādānavijānapti, the lamp simile (see § 5.6.3.3) would suggest to take it to mean (also) something like "in such a way that it is not clearly or sharply delimited": As the flame of a lamp would illumine the surrounding space in such a way that the light it emits will gradually vanish the farther it spreads, without any clear limit between light and darkness being discernible, so

too the perception of the external world - which does not seem to be taken in an idealist way in this text (cp. § 10.3.1.3-4) - would be conceived of as gradually vanishing with increasing distance. Cp. the explanation of PSkBh 182b5: "It is a perception of the surrounding world in such a way that its extent is not delimited [in the form that one could say:] 'So much is perceived; beyond that there is no perception'" (... 'di tsam tshun chad ni dmigs kyi de phan chad mi dmigs zes tshad chad pa med par snod kyi 'jig rten rnam par rig pa'o //), although I admit that this explanation may just as well refer to the later view that ālayavijñāna perceives the whole bhājanaloka (Si 10c16-18), an interpretation which to my mind would not, however, easily fit the lamp simile of the Pravṛtti Portion.

- 635. See n. 633.
- 636. Y_t zi 4b2 (see n. 531).
- 637. Y_t zi 4b3f. (Y_c 580a7-9: Chüeh-ting 1019b4f.; H 1979, 26 [§ 1.b.A.2]): de la phyi rol gyi snod rnam pa yons su ma bead pa rnam par rig pa ni kun gźi rnam par śes pa nan gi len pa'i dmigs pa gan yin pa de ñid la brten nas / rtag tu rgyun mi 'chad par 'jig rten dan snod kyi rgyun rnam par rig pa ste / ("The perception, in an uninterrupted (and/or indistinct, not clearly delimited) form, of the Receptacle outside (*bahirdhā aparicchinnākāra-bhājana-vijñapti) is the continuous, uninterrupted perception of the continuous flow (*prabandha?)¹ of the Receptacle [consisting in]² the [external] world (loka-bhājana: cp. ŚrBh 486,9) [- a perception arising] on the basis of ālayavijñāna [in so far as it] has the upādāna within as its object").
 - 1. Not represented in the Chin. versions (see H 1979, 72 note 16).
 - 2. Tib. has wrongly taken the cpd. as a dvandva.
- 638. Cp. SN II 86f.; $S\overline{A}_c$ 80a12f.

- 639. Y_t zi 4b4f. (Y_c 580a9ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019b5-7; H 1979, 26f. [§ 1.b.A.3]): 'di lta ste / dper na mar me 'bar ba (*pradipa-jvālā) ni sñin po dan snum gyi rgyus ni nan du 'jug par 'gyur la / phyi rol du ni 'od 'byun bar byed pa bźin du nan gi len pa'i dmigs pa dan / phyi rol gyi dmigs pa 'di la yan kun gźi rnam par ses pa'i tshul de dan 'dra bar
bar bya'o (*tadvad atrāpy adhyātmam upādānālambane bahirdhā-lambane cālayavijnānasya nayo draṣṭavyaḥ) //.
- 640. Y_t zi 4b6 (Y_c 580a15; Chüeh-ting 1019b8f.; H 1979, 27 [§ B.2]): dmigs pa de ni rtag tu yod pa yin te / lan 'ga' gźan du 'gyur la / lan 'ga' gźan du 'gyur ba ma yin no ("it is not now this, now that") //.
- 641. Y_t zi 4b7f. (H 1979, 27 [§ B.3]): kun gźi rnam par śes pa de ni dmigs pa la skad cig pa (*ālambane kṣaṇikaṃ) yin par blta bar bya ste / skad cig pa'i rgyun gyi rgyud kyis 'jug pa yin gyi / gcig pa ñid ni ma yin no //.
- 642. See § 10.3.1.4 + n. 1308.
- 643. Y_t zi 4b7 (see n. 1308).
- 644. See § 4.4.3 + n. 520.
- 645. Y_{+} 4b2f. (see n. 521).
- 646. Y_t zi 4b8ff. (Y_c 580a18ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019b10ff.; H 1979, 27f. [§ C.1-5]): kun gźi rnam par śes pa de yań 'dod pa'i khams na ni rgyu (see n. 647) chuń ńu'i dmigs pa can du brjod par bya'o, etc. This passage is moulded upon Saṃdh VIII.37.1.3-7 which, however, is not specifically concerned with ālaya- (or ādāna-)-vijñāna and has nimitta instead of upādāna, i.e. specifies the objective or objectified content in general which is experienced at the various stages.
- 647. Hakamaya's alteration of rgyu to rgya (H 1979, 27f. and 47 note 19) has to be rejected in view of Hts. 執受 and Pa. 取 unambiguously confirming upādāna (which is occasion—

- ally rendered as rgyu [MSg I.5; cp. MSg_N 89 and PSkViv 95b3] or $len\ rgyu$ [see n. 653]); cp. also SaṃdhVy co 75b4ff.
- 648. Cp. Y 76,11ff.
- 649. Cp. Y 76,19ff.
- 650. Y 77,7.
- 651. Cp. AKBh 434,11ff.; Bareau 1955, 62 (thèse 25); 94 (thèse 37); 96 (thèse 53); 174 (thèse 20); 195 (thèse 13). Cp. Si 10c26f. (Sip 137), and perhaps also Yt zi 41b8f. (Yt 594a 11ff.), pointing out that even in the ārūpyadhātu there is subtle matter proceeding not from karman but from samādhi.
- 652. Cp. T 1828, 598b29ff., especially cloff.; T 1829, 174c28ff.; Shu-chi 327c16ff.; T 2266, 341b25. Mind in ākiācanyāyatana is stated to be subtle (微細) at TSi 344a25f.
- foss. Y_t zi 189b4f.: de (= kun gźi rnam par šes pa) yaṅ dus rtag par len rgyu¹ la dmigs pa daṅ / bye brag med par ram (sic!) gcig tu snod la dmigs pa yin no (= Y_c 651b21f.: 於一切時緣 執受境緣不可知一類器境), which may go back to something like *tac ca nityakālam upādānālambanam aviviktaikabhājanālambanam ca. But *avivikta- is admittedly quite doubtful since bye brag (= viveka at PV III.146) may also correspond to višeṣa or bheda which, however, would hardly fit the Chinese. If *avivikta (or *aviveka) is correct, the passage would mean that ālayavijñāna perceives the surrounding world without clearly discerning (or: delimiting?) it, or without making distinctions (i.e. as an undifferentiated whole).
 - 1. len rgyu seems to be an attempt to combine both meanings of upādāna, viz. "appropriation" and "cause", the latter meaning probably referring to the Impression (vāsanā) of Sticking to the Imagined Character [of reality], since this Impression is the cause of taking possession of a new existence (see § 4.4.2.2 + n. 517); cp. also n. 647.
- 654. Hsien-yang 480c5-7: 此識 能執受了別 色根根所依處 及戲論熏習。 於一切時 一類生滅。 不可了知。又能執持了別 外器世界。

- 655. In view of the corresponding passages in Saṃdh VIII.37 (see n. 629), in the Pravṛtti Portion (see n. 633) and in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (see n. 653), one may consider the possibility that what is, in Chin., represented by 於一切時一類生滅 不可了知 ("it arises-and-ceases all the time [as a series of] uniform [moments], and it cannot be clearly ascertained") originally belonged to what f ollows, viz. to the perception of the surrounding world.
- 656. See n. 630.
- 657. Cp. § 4.9. Cp. also the fact that nirodhasamāpatti is not mentioned in Saṃdh V (see § 3.9.1) nor in the Pravṛtti Portion nor in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (note that the latter texts in contexts where one may expect them to do so [viz. Y zi 6a5ff., 7b7f. and 19ob1ff.] do not yet point out the (systematically inevitable) absence of the new manas in nirodhasamāpatti, which probably means nothing else but that they did not pay special attention to this state).
- 658. TrBh 19,10 and 22; Si 11b3f.
- 659. Y, zi 4b5f. (see n. 1171); Si 11b4f.
- 660. Saṃdh VIII.37.1.1 (see n. 629); Tr 3ab (see n. 737).
- 661. Y_t zi 4b1 and 3 (see ns. 633 and 637); KSi § 36, etc. (see § 5.14.1 + n. 733; cp. also n. 732); Jñānagarbha 96,1-3; SaṃdhVy co 254a1-3. Cp. Y_t 189b5 (see n. 653); cp. also ASBh 21,9f. (but see n. 755).
- 662. Y_t zi 4b5f. (see n. 659); cp. ASBh 21,9 (durvijñāna).
- 663. Y_t zi 4b5f. (see n. 659); Si 11b4f.
- 664. PSkBh 182b5ff: dper na ñin par srin bu me khyer 'phur ba ni de la 'od med pa ma yin gyi ñi ma la sogs pa'i 'od bźin du de'i 'od gsal bar snan ba ma yin pa dan 'dra bar kun gźi rnam par ses pa yan nan gi ñe bar len pa... la dmigs pa'i tshe na yan mi gsal bar dmigs pa'am / phra bar dmigs pa'am / źan par dmigs pa dan / phyi'i snod kyi 'jig rten la dmigs pa

na yan mi gsal bar dmigs pa dan / phra bar dmigs pa dan / źan par dmigs pas ...

665. For a different attempt, see Nagao (1935 =) 1978, 373ff., trying to explain the threefold object of ālayavijñāna by recurring to Dignāga's Ālambanaparīkṣā and MAV I.3, and presupposing a substantial agreement of the sources concerned. Against this, my own attempt starts from what I consider to be the earliest sources and takes the differences between the pertinent statements of the various sources seriously.

Though not categorically denying the possibility that there is some (direct or indirect) historical connection between ālayavijnāna and bhavangavinnāņa, I find it difficult for the moment - and not only for the general reason indicated in n. 68 - to derive the specification of the object of alayavijnana from, or by the same principle as, the determination of the object of bhavangaviññana. To be sure, both kinds of vijñana are taken to apprehend, in a sense, the existence to which they belong and the cause of this existence, and they are taken to do so in a uniform manner throughout a given existence. But by and large ālayavijnāna apprehends these objects together, whereas for bhavangaviññāṇa they are alternatives. 1 Moreover, ālayavijñāna apprehends the existence to which it concreto, viz. in the form of i n corporeal matter and of the surrounding world, while bhavangaviññāṇa does so only by way of a symbol (or prognostic), i.e. some characteristic visible feature of this existence; and as for the cause, ālayavijnāna apprehends the Impression of Clinging (or of samsāric diversity/diversification) which persists in the new existence, whereas bhavangavinnana apprehends previous or some characteristic object or ideational karman image symbolizing it. Accordingly, the object of bhavangaviññāna is determined by, and entirely similar to the object of, the last conscious mind process before

death in the preceding existence, while in the Yogācāra sources such a relation is, as far as I can see, nowhere indicated, the choice of the object of ālayavijāna appearing, instead, to be derived from its functions in the present existence. Yet, I admit that the matter requires more careful investigation.

- 1. Cp. VisM XIV.111-114 (kamma-kammanimitta-gatinimittānam a \tilde{n} \tilde{n} a t a r a m \tilde{a} rammanam katvā); XVII.136ff.
- 2. Cp. VisM XIV.111-114 (cp. footn. 1) + Paramatthamañjūsā (cp. S 1986, 206 + 222 notes 22-24); XVII.136ff.; Collins 1982, 245; Nārada 1975, 182 + 190f.; Paramārtha, T 1618 (Hsien-shih-lun, *Khyātivijñāna-śāstra), 881b1ff. (= Ui 1965, 371,14ff.).
- 3. Cp. ib., except for the Paramartha passage.
- 666. Cp. TrBh 18,24 explaining 'ālaya' (in 'ālaya-vijnāna') as sthāna, and Tr 3b using 'sthāna' in the sense of bhāja-na(loka) (TrBh 19,21).
- 667. Cp. Y 26,18 (see n. 391) + \S 7.1B.2.1.3.a-b.
- 668. Cp. Si 19b9f., expressly stating that the cpd. 'ālaya-vi-jñāna' is a karmadhāraya and not a tatpuruṣa.
- 669. See § 4.4.2-3. If in connection with vāsanā, 'upādāna' could be taken to mean "what is received by or contained in [ālayavijñāna]" (cp. § 4.4.3), one may consider the possibility that ālayavijñāna was understood to cognize not only what it appropriates but also what it contains (cp. Nagao 1978, 384).
- 670. Saṃdh V.2 (see § 4.4.3 + n. 520) and Y_t zi 4b2f. (see n. 521).
- 671. There is, of course, no surrounding world (which is material!) in the ārūpyadhātu (cp. T 2266, 341b25); and even according to the dominant current of the later school, the ālayavijñāna of a living being reborn in the ārūpyadhātu does not develop an image of the surrounding world of the material world-spheres (Si 1oc2of. and 25ff.; cp. YidKun 14,1ff. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 44-46); divergent opinion: Si 1oc16-18).

- 672. Cp. the parallel situation in the case of karman, which produces a new (basis of) personal existence (ātma-bhāva) as its main result (vipāka-phala) but at the same time is also responsible for the origination of the surrounding world (bhājanaloka) as a kind of by-effect (adhipati-phala) (see § 10.3.1.3).
- 673. See § 5.6.3.3 + n. 639.
- 674. See n. 627.
- 675. Cp. Ui 1965a, 341,13ff.
- 676. The additional inclusion of the Impression (vāsanā) would seem to have been stimulated by Saṃdh V.2 and made necessary by the special case of rebirth in the ārūpyadhātu where a corporeal basis of personal existence as well as a surrounding world are lacking (see § 5.8.3 + n. 671). But cp. also n. 669.
- 677. Cp. the simile of the radiating jewel in Y_m 75a7 (Y_t dzi 164b6): tadyathā maṇer bahir ābhā bhavati, maṇis tu nābhā. Cp., perhaps, also Śaṅkara, Pātañjalayogaśāstravivaraṇa (Madras 1952), 6o,1off., illustrating the outward functioning of the luminous substance of mind (citta-sattva) through the sense-organs with the simile of a lamp covered by a screen(?) and emitting light (bahiḥ prakāšayati) through holes in that screen.
- 678. Cp. Y_m 75a7 (Y_t dzi 164b6f.): yathā tu pradīpasya bahir ābhā, sa ca pradīpa ābhā (ms.: ābhāh), ...
- 678a. See n. 631a, footn. 1.
- 679. Y_t zi 5a7f. (H 1979, 29 [§ B.3-4]): kun gźi rnam par ses pa dań mtshuńs par ldan pa'i tshor ba gań yin pa, de ni gcig tu sdug bsňal yaň ma yin bde ba yaň ma yin pa ...
- 680. Y_t zi 5a5f. (H 1979, 28 [§ <u>2.b</u>.A]): 'di la kun gźi rnam par śes pa ... sems dań mtshuńs par ldan pa kun tu 'gro ba lńa po yid la byed pa dań / reg pa dań / tshor ba dań / 'du śes

- dan / sems pa rnams dan mtshuns par ldan no // (\approx *... āla-yavijnānam ... pancabhiḥ sarvatragais cittasamprayuktaiḥ samprayuktam manasikāreṇa, sparsena, vedanayā, samjnayā, cetanayā ca (or: manasikāra-...-cetanābhiḥ)/).
- 681. MN I 301.
- 682. $S\overline{A}_C$ 150a25; AKȚU tu 9a5; Vi 127a17; Vi 100c6f.; PSVyŢ 122b7f.; MSgBh, 167a7f.
- 683. MN I 296 (= SN IV 294): yo ... sañnāvedayitanirodham samā-panno, tassa ... c i t t a s a n k h ā r ā n i r u d d h ā paṭippassaddhā; cp. AKṬU tu 9b5; SĀ 15ob13.
- 684. Y_t zi 5a7 (Y_c 58ob2f.; Chüeh-ting 1019b18; H 1979, 29 [§ B.2]): (chos de dag kyan) ... 'jig rten gyi mkhas pa rnams kyis kyan rtogs par dka' ba'i phyir phra ba ... (yin no //).

 Cp. Y₊ zi 190a6 (Y_c 651c12).
- 685. Y_t zi 190a3f. (Y_c 651c7f.): kun gźi rnam par śes pa dań mtshuńs par ldan pa'i tshor ba gań yin pa, de ni rtag tu sdug bsňal yaň ma yin bde ba yaň ma yin pa...'o //.
- 686. Hsien-yang 48oc7f.: 與不苦不樂受等 ¹ 相應 ; cp. also 5o4b12f.

 1. 等 may be Hts.'s addition.
- 687. Cp. \S 1.6.1 (and the reserves in n. 98).
- 688. Cp., e.g., Frauwallner 1951, 156 (= 1982, 645); Nagao 1982, 17.
- 689. Cp. especially the pratyayavijāāna of MAV I.9 (see n. 693), the bijas as a special layer of personality (MSA XI.44), or the dauṣṭhulyakāya in MSA XIX.51 (all of them identified by the Bhāṣya with ālayavijāāna; cp. Nozawa 1957, 76f.). Cp. also expressions like padārthadehanirbhāsa (MSA XI.44; see Takasaki 1976), which are, however, not usually connected with ālayavijāāna by the Bhāṣya (for an exception see § 5.13.1 + n. 720) but only by (*Asvabhāva and) Sthiramati (cp. Aramaki 1967, (43)ff.; Suguro 1983, 24f.; for details see § 5.15.3.2-3).

- 690. This discrepancy does not favour Suguro's (1985, 365) view that in the case of these texts the commentary by Vasubandhu and the verses may have originated simultaneously (同時に成立した).
- 691. DhDhV 17,1ff. (cp. DhDhVV 39,17ff.):

 de bźin ñid mi ses pa thog ma med pa'i dus can las te / yań

 dag pa ma yin pa'i kun tu rtog pa¹ sa bon thams cad pa

 ste / (² mi bden pa²) gñis su snań ba'i rgyu dań / de la

 brten (³ pa tha dad pa³) yań yin te / ...
 - 1. Thus D; P and DhDhVV 39,18: pas.
 - (2 ... 2) DhDhVV 40,1: med pa.
 - (3 ... 3) DhDhVV 40,2 f.: pa'i rgyud gźan.
- 692. DhDhVV 40,1.
- Cp. also the dichotomy, at MAV I.9ab, of vijnana (comprised 693. in abhūtaparikalpa according to MAV I.3-4 and I.8) into a causal one (pratyaya-vijnāna; MAVBh: = ālayavijnāna) and an experiencing one (aupabhogikam, sc. vijnānam; MAVBh: = pravrttivijnana). Likewise remarkable in this connection is the structural parallelism, to DhDhV 17,1ff. (see n. 691), of MAV V.16 where the position of sarvabijakah abhūtaparikalpah is occupied by jalpamanaskāra taught to be "perfumed by [the Impression of verbal or conceptual] speech in terms of the [dichotomy of grāhya and grāhaka]" (taj-jalpa-paribhāvita) and thus to be "the basis of this" (tad-asraya), i.e. the "cause of the appearance of the dichotomy [of grāhya and grāhaka]" (dvayaprakhyānakāraṇa, as in the DhDhV passage quoted in n. 691). In this case, it is only Sthiramati (MAVT 218,14) who points out the functional identity of this jalpamanaskāra with ālayavijñāna. Cp. also MSg II.2 (beginning).
- 694. See n. 693.
- 695. Cp. S 1969, 819f. (note 45) and 821 (note 48, b-c). I do not feel convinced by Okada's (1981, 636f.) view that the

- Bodhisattvabhūmi and the Mahāyānasūtrālankāra made independent use of a pre-existing pattern of arrangement.
- 696. There may be a reference to $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$ at DhDhV 16,9 (cp. the parallel passages at AS 102,12 + ASBh 139,17 and MSg VIII.2.3, but otherwise DhDhVV 37,13ff.; for details see H 1985), but this passage, apart from being based on the Viniścayasamgrahanî (Y_t 'i 29a5ff., especially 8f.; H 1985, 48f.), is not concerned with $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$ itself but merely wants to distinguish $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$.
- 697. Cp., e.g., MAV I.3-4; DhDhV 11,1off. (§ IV); MSA XIV.32.
- 698. MSg II.13 (details obscure: cp. Suguro 1982a, 98f.; for discussions of the passage see, e.g., Ueda 1982, 139ff., and Nagao 1982, 313ff.).
- 699. MSg II.13: gan du yan kun gźi rnam par ses pa'i rnam par rig pa ni don gyi rnam par rig par 'jog pa, der yan ...
- 700. AS 30,21 (see n. 424).
- 701. MSg I.2; 8-9; 11.3; 14ff.; 21; etc., etc.; II.2; 32; III.1; 12.
- 7o2. AS 3,3f. and 8f. (see n. 445); 12,1 (see n. 450); 32,9 (see ib.); cp. also 28,9 (see n. 582).
- 703. On the alternation of qualifying something as c o n t a i n-i n g or as being the Seed of something else see § 7.1B.2.1.3.b and, for MSg and AS, S 1985, 142 + 156f. (notes 29-30).
- 704. MSg I.5; I.35.
- 705. MSg I.7A(4) and (implicitly) I.7B(1); cp. VIII.2.3; AS 22, 21; 58,19 (reconstr.; probably: *... nirodhasamāpatter ... vyutthitasya ca); 69,16ff.; 95,16ff. (see n. 220).

- 706. As 11,1 (see n. 220 + footn.); 13,9ff. (reconstr.; read bhavā°, °saṃjñāpūrvakeṇa manasikāreṇa: AS 10,22ff.); especially: MSg I.5off.
- 707. MSg I.52.2: (gan yan 'gog pa'i sñoms par 'jug pa la yid kyi rnam par ses pa yod pas sems dan bcas pa sñam du sems pa de'i ltar (D) na yan sems de mi run ste /) ... dmigs pa dan rnam pa (D) mi dmigs pa'i phyir ...
- 708. MSg I.52.1-6 and 53 are missing in the oldest Chinese translation (Buddhaśānta: T 1592, 100b5) as well as in one of the two quite obviously juxtaposed (and variously re- and misarranged) versions of the Bhāṣya, viz. ${\rm MSgBh_t}~166{\rm b5}^1-167a6^2+166a3^3-{\rm b5}^4+167a6^5~(+167a6-{\rm b5}^6);~{\rm MSgBh_c}~[{\rm Dharmagupta}]~283$ a1-21 $^7+282{\rm c7-11}^8~(+282{\rm c11-22}^9);~{\rm MSgBh_c}~[{\rm Pa.}]~177a16-{\rm b10}.$
 - 1. rnam par šes pa dan bcas pa ... (ad I.49; Bhāṣya ad I.50 begins in 166b8: 'gog pa la snoms par ...).
 - 2. (gan yan [167a5]) ... yin no snam du sems pa (= beginning of the Bhāṣya on the introductory phrase of I.52 which in this version was the introduction to I.54!).
 - 3. gań yań gań dag ... (= repetition of the Bhāṣya on the introductory sentence of I.52, followed by the Bhāṣya on I.54 (see 2!)).
 - 4. ... ñid du 'gyur /.
 - 5. -s de bas na de ltar ni mi 'thad do // (= end of $Bh\bar{a}$ sya ad I.54).
 - 6. 'di ltar na ... 'dod do // (additional argument!).
 - 7. Bhāṣya ad I.50+51, followed (283a7ff.) by Bhāṣya on the introductory phrase of I.52 which in this version introduces I.54, and (283a9ff.) by Bhāṣya on I.54.
 - 8. Repetition of the last part of Bhāṣya ad I.54.
 - 9. Additional argument (= $MSgBh_{+}$ 167a6-b5).

By the way, the second version of the Bhāṣya ad I.5off. (MSgBh_t 163b7-166a3), which includes a commentary on I.52-53, seems not to know I.54; for MSgBh_t 166a2f., for MSgBh_t of following upon the explanation of MSg I.53, marks the end of the discussion of the view that nirodha-samāpatti is $sacittik\bar{a}$ [by way of a $manovij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$], and the subsequent sentence ("now the second position will have to

be explained")¹¹ obviously introduces the commentary on I.55. It would thus seem that first I.54 was, in a revised version of the basic text, replaced by I.52.1-6 + I.53 (as is also supported by the fact that I.54 is substantially represented by I.52.3), and that only afterwards, perhaps due to the juxtaposition of explanations of both versions in the Bhāṣya, the basic text, too, was reorganized in terms of a juxtaposition of both versions.

- 10. sems dan bcas pa'i sñoms par 'jug pa brtag pa rdzogs so //.
- 11. da ni gan yan phyogs gnis pa de'i don brjod par bya ste /.
- 709. MSgBh_t 164b2f. (MSgBh_c [Dharmagupta] 282b3f. and MSgBh_c [Hts.] 335a5f.): kun gźi rnam par ses pa yod par 'dod pa la (D: las) ni skyon (P, D: rkyen but Ch. 過 and 妨難) 'di dag yod par mi 'gyur te / de'i rten ñe bar gzun bas rab tu phye ba'i phyir ro (*tasyâśrayopādānaprabhāvitatvāt).
- MSg I.7A(4) is part of a later addition (H 1978a, 229f.; 248 note 57). As for MSg I.7B (verse 1c), see S 1985, 148f. What is, however, most important is that even the crucial passage MSg I.50-55 (proving the existence of ālayavijñāna by showing that it is indispensable in nirodhasamāpatti) does not (apart from the fact that a part of it is of later origin: see n. 708) form an organic part of the systematical scheme (stated in advance at MSg I.29) in accordance with which the proofs for the existence of alayavijnana are arranged in the Mahāyānasamgraha, i.e. it does not form part of the demonstration that samklesa and vyavadana would be impossible without ālayavijñāna; for this demonstration is complete with MSg I.44 (I.45-49 being a kind of supplement to the proof from the viewpoint of lokottara-vyavadāna), and summed up only at MSg I.56, i.e. vet it is a f t e r the nirodhasamāpatti argument.
- 711. I.e. by way of a revision of his first draft; cp. S 1985, 148.

- 712. One can, of course, not exclude the possibility that the nirodhasamāpatti argument was familiar to the author from the outset and that he made use of it already in his first draft but did not succeed in integrating it organically into his own pattern of demonstration.
- 713. I.e. provided that AS was, as I tend to suppose, composed after MSg.
- 714. See MSg I.1-2 and I.27.
- 715. Cp. MSA XI.44.
- 716. See \S 5.11.1-2 + ns. 689, 691 and 693.
- 717. But cp., at any rate, § 5.12.3 + n. 709. Cp. also MsgBh_t 159b6f. proving that the *vijñāna* which coalesces with (/ "faints into") proto-embryonic matter at the moment of Linking up (*pratisandhi*) cannot be a *manovijñāna* [but only ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a] by pointing out that it is without an object (*brgyal bar gyur pa de la ni dmigs pa med de / de'i phyir 'di ni yid kyi rnam par ses pa ñid du mi run no //).*

On the other hand, MSgBh seems to take ālayavijñāna as an actual cognition of an object not only when commenting upon MSg II.13 (see n. 698) (MSgBh_t 174b2ff.; Iwata 1981, 106f. [§ 15]) but also when explaining MSg III.12 where the Bhāṣya seems to state that the fact that the word 'nimitta' is used a second time¹ has the purpose of showing that the Seeds (bija) - mentioned by the next word of the text - are the o b j e c t (ālambana-nimitta) [of ālayavijñāna] (MSgBh_t 195b6f.: yaṅ rgyu mtshan smos pa ni de'i sa bon de dag gi dmigs pa'i rgyu mtshan bstan pa'i don to //; MSgBh_c [Hts.] 352b25f.: 復學相者、為欲顯示 即彼種子 是所縁相 ; similarly MSgBh [Dharmagupta] 297b11f.); ≈ *punar nimittagrahaṇaṃ tasya² teṣāṃ bījānām ālambananimittatva³ -udbhāvanārtham).⁴

It is, however, noteworthy that the sentence under discussion (viz. $MSgBh_{+}$ 195b6f.) is found in yet one more (see n.

- 708) passage in which a piece of MSg is commented upon twice; for the explanation of MSg III.1ff. to which our sentence belongs is preceded, in MSgBh_t (189a6-191b8), by another explanation of MSg III.2-13 (which, by the way, does not comment upon III.12!). Our sentence may therefore, like the commentary on MSg I.52-53 (see n. 708), form part not of the original version of MSgBh but of a later commentary or of a revised or supplementary version of MSgBh. But I have to admit that this point (which may be relevant also to the "Vasubandhu problem") needs more careful investigation into the whole piece of text involved.
- 1. This second occurrence of 'nimitta' is not found in the Tibetan version of the basic text (kun gżi rnam par šes pa'i sa bon rgyu mtshan dan beas pa thams ead), but it is confirmed by MSgBh, 195b5 and by the Chinese versions including Buddhaśānta (T 1592, 105a21f.) who has 同相一切阿梨耶識因種子 which would seem to correspond to *sa-ni-mitta-sarvālayavijnāna- n i m i t t a -bīja°, i.e. "all Seeds, in ālayavijnāna, of the phenomena (i.e. actual vijnāptis), along with the phenomena [themselves]", but appears to be understood by the Bhāṣya as "all Seeds in ālayavijnāna which are [at the same time] the object (of ālayavijnāna), along with ...".
- 2. Not represented in Hts. and Dharmagupta.
- 3. -tva- is not represented in Tib.
- 4. Paramārtha (MSgBh [Pa] 207a18f.) seems to take the sentence to mean that the *nimittas* (= sense-objects) are the objective condition (*ālambanapratyaya*) of the Seeds, which is however - as far as I can see - an unusual idea.
- 5. In MSgBh [Dharmagupta, Hts., and also Pa.] the two sets of explanations do not appear *en bloc* but stand distributed to the individual paragraphs of MSg III, the relevant portions being variously combined or juxtaposed.
- 718. See n. 101.
- 719. a) Cp. MSABh XI.32 (63,5): svadhātuta iti sva b î j ā d ālayavijnānataḥ; XI.44 (66,5): b î j a -parāvṛtter ity āla-yavijnāna-parāvṛttitaḥ; XIX.49 (169,6): bījanimittam (=) yat teṣāṃ b î j a m ālayavijnānam; XIX.51 (169,23): dau-ṣṭhulyakāyasya (=) ālayavijnānasya; cp. also I.18 (7,20). At XIX.76 (174,16), ālayavijnāna is equated with citta and

stated to be the object of manas in the sense of continuous feeling of Ego, but nowhere is it itself taken to cognize an object.

- 1. Note that in all these passages ālayavijñāna is the Seed. Cp. n. 703.
- b) MAVBh I.9 (21,3f.): ālayavijnānam anyeṣām vijnānānām pratyayatvāt (MAVŢ 32,21: h e t u pratyayabhāvena; cp. ib. 33,12) pratyaya-vijnānam; III.22 (48,8f.): see n. 72o.
- c) DhDhVV 26,16: gnas ni kun gźi rnam par ses pa ste / ... rnam par ses pa'i b a g c h a g s rnams ni de la gnas pa'i phyir ro //; 40,1, equating the *sarva b î j a k o 'abhūtaparikalpaḥ (see $\S 5.11.2 + n.691$) with ālayavijñāna.
- d) TSN 6-9: citta is divided into ālaya- and pravṛttivij $n\bar{a}$ na; the former is the cause (hetu) and is called 'citta' because it is an accumulation of (or filled, covered, "set" with) the Seeds [into which] the Impressions of Pollution (i.e. pollutive factors) [turn] (samkleša-vāsanā-bijais citatvāt); ālayavijnāna, also called 'mūlavijnāna', is, by nature, [the result of karmic] Maturation (vipākātmaka) and thus called 'vaipākiko 'bhūtakalpaḥ', in contrast to pravṛtti-vijñāna which is abhūtakalpa in the sense of arising (vrtti) as the representation or appearance (vitti) of either an object (dršya) or a perception/cognition (drs) [of an object]. The text thus takes alayavijnana as the result of Maturation containing all Seeds but not as an actual cognition of an object. In TSN 29f., mūlavijnāna (i.e. ālayavijñāna) is stated to be the cause due to which False Imagination (asat-kalpa) appears as the two (viz. object and subject), and it is compared with an incantation (mantra) due to which a piece of wood falsely appears as an elephant.

- 720. MAVBh 48,8-10 (ad MAV III.22bc): "hetur" bijasaṃgṛhītam āla-yavijnānam / "nimittaṃ" pratiṣṭhā-deha-bhoga-saṃgṛhītaṃ /.

 Cp. Nozawa 1957, 77f.; Yeh 1975, 669f.; Takasaki 1976, 18; H
 1979a, (73).
- 721. I.e. if, as is probable, in the second sentence of the passage quoted in n. 720 the word 'ālayavijñānam' is to be supplied. Thus expressly MAVŢ 161,13. Cp. also some of the parallels from the Lańkāvatārasūtra collected in Takasaki 1976, 3ff., especially Nos. A.2 (LAS II.125 = X.435), B.1 (LAS 42,4f.), B.3 (LAS 56,7f.), and B.4 (LAS 62,14f.). If, however, Suguro (1983, 25,3f.) is right in desisting from supplying 'ālayavijñāna', even in this passage ālayavijñāna would be limited to its Seed aspect.
- 722. Cp. ASBh 137,9-11; H 1979a, (73)f. On the difficulty of establishing bhoga as a category on its own besides pratistha and deha see MAVT 161,18ff. (MAVT_t 112b3-5 [D: bi 268a4f.]): bhoga is nothing else but the surrounding world and the body in so far as they are experience defined by the other perceptions/cognitions, though not as their object proper but only as an influencing factor (adhipati: cp. MAVT 146,6-9 [see n. 769]), hence indirectly, i.e. by way of corresponding mental images; or bhoga means objects enjoyed or consumed, like food and drink.
- 723. Cp. § 10.3.1.4.
- 724. Cp. MAVBh I.3 (18,26f.): "nāsti cāsyārtha" (= MAV I.3c) iti artha-sattva-pratibhāsasyānākāratvāt, i.e.: "'It has no object', because [the vijnāna which] appears as object(s) or as [corporeal] living being(s) (MAVBh 18,23f.: as the five sense-faculties) has no mode-of-apprehension", i.e. no intentional structure: cp. MAVŢ 18,23 (anākāratvād: agrāhakatvād ity arthaḥ) and 18,18 (grāhyarūpeṇa prakhyānāt). In this passage, it is not in the Bhāṣya but only in the Ṭīkā (17,13f.: cp. § 5.15.3.3 + n. 763) that what appears as object(s) and corporeal matter is expressly identified with ā l a y a vijñāna.

- 725. Cp. MSA XI.44-45; 40; 24; MAV I.3; MSg II.1ff., especially 5 and 9.
- 726. E.g. TSN 8-9 (see n. 719(d)); MSg II.32: $vip\bar{a}kavij\bar{n}\bar{a}na = v\bar{a}san\bar{a}$, contrasted with $pravrttivij\bar{n}\bar{a}na = dharmas$ having the nature of $vij\bar{n}aptis$ comprising an objective and a subjective element (*sa-nimitta-daršana, cp. MSg II.11).
- 727. Cp. also MAVI 17,13f. (ad MAV I.3): see n. 763; perhaps also MSg II.13 (see n. 698) and MSgBh on this passage (cp. n. 717).
- 728. Otherwise, however, YidKun 14,2ff. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 44), taking the object of (= objective image in) ālayavijñāna to be also the object of the sense-perceptions.
- This holds good for the external objects and the body. As 729. for the subtle and imperceptible (material) sense-faculties, their representation (caksur-vijnapti, etc.) creates the impression of having been transformed into a kind of supporting substance or centre of the corresponding representations of object (rūpa-vijnapti, etc.: nimitta) and perception (cakṣurvijñāna-vijñapti, etc.: *daršana) at MSg II.11. On the other hand, the sense-faculties are objects ā l a y a vijnāna in the Pravṛtti Portion (see § 5.6.3.2) and at Hsien-yang 48 oc 5 (see § 5.6.4.2), and i mages in ālayavijñāna according to passages like Si 10a17f. and 11a8f. In the mind-only system of the Vimsatika which presupposes a "one-layered" series of mind (S 1967, 112f.), the sense-faculties are reduced to the Seeds from which the respective perceptions arise (Vs verse 9 [see n. 761]; cp. also § 5.15.3.2 and S 1967, 116ff.; cp. also ib., 126). Madhyāntavibhāgaţīkā, mati seems to accept the view that ālayavijñān a appears as corporeal matter including the sensefaculties (see n. 763), but at the same time he seems to follow the V i m \acute{s} a t i k \bar{a} in taking the sense-faculties proper to be Seeds, more precisely to be ālayavijñāna

in so far as it has been "pre-disposed" (ākṣipta) by karman imbued with (paribhāvita) [emotionally involved] proliferous conceptual activity (prapañca) [concerned with] sense-faculties - or in so far as it has been perfumed (vāsita) by karman [qualified by] Sticking to (abhinivesa) sense-faculties (MAVŢ 144,6f. [anye!]) -, and has thereby developed in such a way that it is the Seed of such (images of) sense-faculties (MAVṬ 146,3-5).

- 730. See n. 101.
- 731. KSi § 36 (Muroji p. 45,6): 'o na de'i dmigs pa dan / rnam pa ci yin ze na /; PSVy 24b6 (Muroji p. 46,1).
- 732. Cp. PSkVai 46b4, explaining aparicchinnālambanākāra as 'di'i dmigs pa dan rnam pa yons su gcad (D; P: bcad) pa r minus so. Cp. Wackernagel II,2, p. 578.
- 733. KSi § 36 (Muroji p. 45,7): dmigs pa dań / rnam pa ma chad pa yin no //; PSVy 24b6 (Muroji p. 46,2; cp. Matsuda 1982, (65)): dmigs pa dań rnam pa yońs su ma chad pa'o //; PSk 17a1 (Muroji p. 44,7f.; PSk § 26, but mistranslated): de yań dmigs pa dań rnam pa yońs su ma chad pa'o //; Skt.: TrBh 19,4f. Cp. also Griffiths 1986, 181 note 45.

The characterization of the actual cognitive aspect of ālaya-vijñāna in Vasubandhu's KSi, PSk and PSVy is, in spite of Sthiramati's attempt to combine them (TrBh 19,4-8), essentially different from that of the *Pravṛtti Portion*. For while in the *Pravṛtti Portion* the term 'aparicchinnākāra' is used to qualify - in whatever manner (see n. 634) - the perception of an object which, in its turn, is unambiguously specified (viz. as the surrounding world), Vasubandhu's term 'aparicchinnālambanākāra' means that no such specification of the object can be given at all, not to speak of the mode of its apprehension.

734. KSi § 36 (Muroji p. 45,8ff.); PSVy 19a1f.; TrBh 19,22ff.; Si 11b5ff. See also de la Vallée Poussin 1934-5, 159 + note 1.

- 735. KSi § 25ff. (Muroji p. 27,14ff.).
- 736. In the Viṃśatikā, ālayavijñāna is ignored (cp., e.g., S 1967, 112ff.; Suguro 1983, 6.
- 737. Tr 3ab: asamviditakopādisthānavijňaptikam ca tat; the word asamviditaka reminds of Samdh VIII.37 (see n. 629).
- 738. Tr 3cd: sadā sparša-manaskāra-vit-saṃjñā-cetanânvitam. As in the Pravṛtti Portion, the sensation of ālayavijñāna is stated to be neutral (Tr 4a: upekṣā vedanā tatra), i.e. neither-painful-nor-pleasant.
- 739. Cp. also Takasaki 1985, 39ff.
- 740. See § 5.6.3.1-2 + ns. 633 and 531.
- 741. TrŢ (see n. 1305) interprets: are representations or images in ...; but cp. § 10.3.1.4 and Takasaki 1985, 36,13-16. Of course, in Tr "cognizing" should, even though the wording of Tr 3ab in itself is ambiguous in this regard, certainly be understood in line with the mind-only theory, i.e. as an intra-mental act of manifestation not based on or referring to an external object. To be sure, the notion of "act" is, strictly speaking, incompatible with momentariness (cp. PG 5 [+ n. 1394] and n. 221) - and the act should thus, strictly speaking, be reduced to tadākārotpatti (cp. n. 764; Saṃdh VIII.71) -; but this would also hold good for the use of $vijar{n}apti$ in the Abhidharmic definition of $vijar{n}ana$ (n. 610) where its use as an action noun designating the function (karman in the sense of kriyā: see n. 631a; cp. Y 5,7) of vijnāna can hardly be doubted; cp. also Dignāga's justification, of the use of the action noun 'pramāṇa' with reference to cognition/perception, by pointing out that cognition, though in fact merely arising in the form of its object, appears as if performing a cognitive function (Pramānasamuccaya(vṛtti) I.8cd; cp. PV III.307-309).
 - Quoted in Jñānaśrimitranibandhāvalī (ed. A. Thakur, Patna 1959) 478,3f.: na hi, Maitreya, tatra kaścid < dharmo kamcid> dharmam pratyavekṣate, api tu tathā samutpannam tac cittam yat tathā khyāti.

- 742. TrBh 19,1of.: asamviditaka upādir yasmin asamviditakā ca sthānavijnaptir yasmin, tad ālayavijnānam asamviditakopādisthānavijnaptikam /
 - 1. Cp. Ui 1979a, 55 (from behind).
- 743. Sthiramati (TrBh 19,22: see n. 744) uses, in this context, Vasubandhu's term 'aparicchinnālambanākāra' (see § 5.14.1), but in Sthiramati the term does not involve that the object cannot be specified at all.
- 744. TrBh 19,21f.: sthānavijñaptir bhājanalokasaṃmivešavijñaptiḥ / sâpy aparicchinnālambanākārapravṛttatvād asaṃviditêty ucyate /.
- 745. TrBh 19,10 (see n. 742) and 19,16ff. (upādiḥ = āšrayopādānam = tasya (sc. āšrayasya: objective genitive) upagamanam ekayogakṣematvena), implying that in this context Sthiramati takes upādi = upādāna as an a c t i o n belonging to, or performed by, ālayavijñāna, and n o t as an o b j e c t c o g n i z e d by it.
- 746. Cp. TrBh 19,14 (see n. 748): so (sc. upādiḥ = vāsanā)
 's m i n n (sc. ālayavijnāne) ...
- 747. TrBh 19,2of.: tat punar upādānam idamtayā pratisamvedayitum asakyam ity ato 'samvidita (sc. upādiḥ) ity ucyate.
- 748. TrBh 19,14f.: so 'sminn (cp. n. 746) "idam tad" iti pratisam-vedanākārenāsamviditah ...
- 749. Viz. Saṃdh VIII.37.1.1 (see § 5.6.1) + Saṃdh V.2 (see § 4.4.2). I for one do not perceive anything indicating that Sthiramati himself was aware of the incoherence between his introductory remarks and his own explanation of the line Tr 3ab, let alone that he attempted to resolve it. Therefore, in contrast to Takasaki (1985) who attempts a fairly speculative harmonization, I prefer to leave the incoherence as it stands and to take it as the expression of a juxtaposition of two different exegetical traditions, one of which is based on the *Pravṛtti Portion* of the *VinSg ālay*.

Treatise, whereas the other may be based on the Samdhinirmo-canasūtra and perhaps also be motivated by the intention to harmonize the present line with Vimsatikā verse 9 (see § 5.15.3.2).

- 750. Cp. n. 222.
- 751. TrBh 19,7f.; 19,16f.: āśrayopādānaṃ côpādiḥ / ... āśraya ātmabhāvaḥ sādhiṣṭhānam indriyarūpaṃ nā ma ca /; cp. H 1979, 71 (note 10); Takasaki 1985, 4o. When, at TrBh 19,7f., Sthiramati supplements the definition of upādāna which is taken from the Pravṛtti Portion by adding nāman, this would, if the text is taken literally, imply that naman, too, is the object of ālayavijñāna's cognitive e function, but in view of his subsequent explanation of Tr 3ab this is of course not what Sthiramati intends.
- 752. See n. 517; otherwise Takasaki 1985, 41, but not supported by the wording of the text.
- 753. TrBh 19,18f.: ārūpyadhātau tu rūpavītarāgatvād rūpavipākāna-bhinirvṛtter nā mopā dā na meva/. Cp. Takasaki 1985, 40,15, who however tries to devaluate the statement by pointing out that vāsanā is available as another object; but see n. 752. As for Takasaki's own explanation of the addition of nāman, see Takasaki 1985, 47ff.; cp. also ib., p. 53 note 32.
- 754. See § 3.9.2.5 + ns. 337 and 338.
- 755. I have so far not come across any reference to ālayavijñāna as an actual cognition of an object in the A b h i d h a r m a s a m u c c a y a b h ā ṣ y a (of which, in my opinion, Sthiramati is n o t the author: see S 1969a, 101 note y 1). Even in a passage like ASBh 21,9f. which, clearly alluding to Saṃdh VIII.37.1.1, speaks of an un- or subconscious perception of the surrounding world, ālayavijñāna is, curiously enough, not mentioned. And at ASBh 137,8ff. (cp. also 21,5f.) it is (as at MSg II.2o) only equated with the

mūlavikalpa (in the sense of being the Seed of all other vikalpas) but not with the nimittavikalpa, i.e. representations or images appearing as (or: perceptions in so far as they appear as) body, foundation (i.e. surrounding world) and (objects-of-)enjoyment (deha-bhoga-pratiṣṭhā-pratibhāsā vijāaptayaḥ; cp. § 5.13 + n. 720!).

- 1. Cp., on this problem, also de Jong 1973, 34of. (= 1979, 602f.), with further references; Yoshimoto 1977, 89; H 1977a, 256f.
- 756. Because Sthiramati himself refers the reader to it at TrBh 39,4.
- 757. PSkVai 46b4-6: 'di ltar kun gźi rnam par śes pa ni dmigs pa gñis la 'jug pa ste / naṅ gi ñe bar len pa'i rnam par rig pa daṅ / phyi rol gyi snod la rnam par rig pa rnam pa yoṅs su ma chad pa'o // de la naṅ gi ñe bar len pa ni kun brtags pa'i no bo ñid la mnon par źen pa'i bag chags daṅ / gnas daṅ bcas pa'i dbaṅ po'i gzugs so // (Skt. must have been more or less the same as TrBh 19,5-8, but without nāma).
- 758. Cp., e.g., SAVBh mi 207b5f. = Hayashima 1978, 106,5f. (ad MSA XI.40): gnas su snan ba (padābhāsa: MSABh 65,2) ni kun gži rnam par šes pa snod lta bur snan ba ste / sa gži chen por snan ba'o // (in contrast to padābhāsa, dehābhāsa and arthābhāsa are not referred to ālayavijnāna!); tsi 242a 2f. (ad MSA XIX.49): snod kyi 'jig rten ni kun gźi rnam par šes pas dmigs par bya ba'i yul yin pa ...; tsi 248a3f. (ad MSA XIX.55): dus thams cad skad cig ma re re la yan kun gźi rnam par šes pa las sa gźi chen po lta bur snan bar 'gyur na ... ("continuously in every moment ālayavijñāna comes to produce an appearance of (or, if las [P,D] is a misrendering: comes to appear as) the Wide Earth (mahāpṛthivī)"); mi 143b5 = BShK 2, 87,13f. (ad MSA IX.45): rten (pratișthā) gyi sgras ni kun gźi rnam par šes pa dań / de'i dmigs par (P.D: pa'i) bya ba'i yul snod kyi 'jig rten sa gźi chen po la bya ste / (cp. also 143b7f.). Cp. also Suguro 1983, 24,13f.

I wonder if SAVBh mi 143b6 (BShK 2, 87,16f.: lus dan lons spyod dan / gnas lta bur yan kun gźi nid de ltar snan bas na kun gźi la rten źes bya'o //) is an exception; but since the passage is intended to give another reason for the fact that, according to Sthiramati, besides its object (viz. the surrounding world) ālayavijñāna also is itself called 'foundation' (pratiṣṭhā), I suppose it should mean something like: "ālaya[vijñāna] is called 'foundation' (because it is the support of Impressions (vāsanā) ..., and) because it is ālaya[vijñāna] that appears as the [surrounding world which is the] foundation of the body and of the [objects-of-] enjoyment (*deha-bhoga-pratiṣṭhā-)." But I have to admit that this is doubtful.

759. Cp. SAT 80a4f. (ad MSA IX.45): rten (pratisthā) du gyur pa ni sa (*pṛthivī) ste (D) / de gźan du gyur na (*asyāḥ parāvrttau).../ 'dini kun gźi rnam par šes pa'i dmigs pa gzan du gyur pa yin te (*iyam ālayavijnānālambanaparāvṛttiḥ); 101a5 (ad MSABh 65,2): "'Appearing as the Foundation' (padābhāsah) means appearing as the earth, etc.; [by this the text] shows that [it is] ālayavijñāna [in so far as it is] the perception (or: a representation, image) of the Foundation (*pada-vijñapti) [that] appears as the [earth, etc., i.e. as the surrounding world]" (gnas su snan ba źes bya ba ni sa la sogs par snan ba ste / kun gźi rnam par ses pa gnas kyi rnam par rig pa la der snan ba nid du ston to //; like the corresponding passage of Sthiramati's commentary (see n. 758), *Asvabhāva, too, does not mention alayavijnana in the case of arthaand dehâbhāsa); 180a2 (ad MSABh 169,5: pratiṣṭhā-nimittam bhājanalokaḥ): "The [Foundation = surrounding world] is the nimitta, i.e. object, of ālayavijnāna (*sā ālayavijnānasya nimittam, ālambanam ity arthaḥ); for ālayavijñāna is called (cp. SAT 80a5) a perception (or representation) of the Foundation (sthāna-vijnapti) [at Tr 3b]" (de ni kun gźi (D) rnam par šes pa'i rgyu mtshan dmigs pa yin no žes bya ba'i

- tha tshig ste (D)/ 'di ltar kun gźi rnam par šes pa ni gnas rnam par rig pa 'byun ba yin no //). Cp. also Suguro 1983, 24,13f.
- 760. SAT 80a5; 180a2 (see n. 759); SAVBh mi 143b7f. (BShK 2, 88,3ff.); tsi 242a3f.
- 761. Vš 5,25ff., especially 27ff.: rūpapratibhāsā vijňaptir yatah svabījāt pariņamavišeṣaprāptād utpadyate, tad ... bījam ... tasyā vijňapteš cakṣur-...-āyatanatvena ... bhagavān abravīt.
- 762. SAŢ 79a6ff. and SAVBh mi 141b5ff. (BShK 2, 81,8ff.), replying to the objection that it is difficult to see how there can be a renewal (parāvṛṭṭi) of the (material) sensefaculties (MSA IX.41) in a system for which matter (rūpa) does not exist (gzugs med na).
- 763. MAVŢ 17,13f.: a r t h a - s a t t v a - pratibhāsam ālayavijnānam sasamprayogam (18,5: artha = rūpa, etc. [here obviously viewed as constituting the surrounding world, in contrast to SAVBh mi 207b6 (cp. n. 758) where arthabhasa would seem to refer to the images of sense-objects in ordinary perception; cp. also n. 769, but also n. 631a, footn. 1]; 18,6ff.: sattva = the five material sense-faculties [probably comprising, in this context, all corporeal matter]); 33,9f.: "Since [ālayavijñāna] makes known the (world of?) living being(s) (i.e. corporeal matter) and the surrounding world by way of appearing as these, it is [actually] a $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na$ " (sattva - bh \tilde{a} jana - loka - $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}pa$ nāt tannirbhāsatayā vijnānam); 146,6f. < *ya ālayavijnānasya sādhāraṇārtha - prati>bhāso yaš ca sattvapratibhāsaḥ ...; 161,14ff.: <... pratiṣṭhā (= MAVBh 48,9) * b h ā j a n a l o k a ḥ; tad-ā>bhāsam ālayavijnānam / ... dehah sendriyam šarīram; tad-ā<u>bhā</u>sam ālayavijñānam. Cp. also Suguro 1983, 24,1off. As for the sense-faculties, cp., however, also n. 729.

- 764. See n. 763, underlined words, especially MAVT 33,9f.; 18,9: tadākārotpattitām upādāya vijnānam tad-ābhāsam. Cp. also SAVBh mi 207b5f. and tsi 248a3f. (see n. 758).
- 765. Cp. also the view of Tsoń-kha-pa (YidKun 13,8ff.; Kelsang/Odani 1986, 43f.) for whom the Seeds are not really an object of ālayavijñāna's cognitive function. The consequence that then in the ārūpyadhātu ālayavijñāna will have no object proper is not regarded by Tsoń-kha-pa as a serious defect because the arising-and-continuance of ālayavijñāna depends on karmic impulse but not on the presence of an object proper or content (snań ba'i dmigs pa) (YidKun 15,5 ff.; Kelsang/Odani 1986, 45). In other words: According to Tsoń-kha-pa, the specific nature of ālayavijñāna exempts it from being rigidly subject et ed to the Abhidharmic definition of vijñāna.
- 765a. Nagao (1978, 382,18ff.) notes two passages where the Seeds are missing, but at least in one of these cases they are lacking because of the nature of the context.
- 766. Si 10a14ff.; 11a3-5; Yokoyama 1979a, 14f.
- 767. Si 19c12ff.
- 768. Sip 230: Nanda. Cp. also de La Vallée Poussin 1934-35, 167. It should however be noted that Nanda differs from the Viṃśatikā (and the Ālambanaparīkṣā) by advocating the simultaneity of several vijnānas and by taking the sense-faculties to be Seeds in vipākavijnāna, i.e. ā la ya vijnāna.
- 769. Cp. especially Si 4oc14-21 and 27ff. (Sip 445f. and 447f.): distinction between a "near"(親) object, i.e. the "image (相, nimitta) part" of a given perception or cognition, and a "remote"(疎) object, i.e. the prototype (質) on which this image is based, preferably the corresponding element in the "image part" of ālayavijñāna (Shu-chi 501c16ff.).

Cp. also MAVT 146,5ff.:

"The appearance of the visible, etc., in Forthcoming Mind is the 'external' Basis [of the latter]. The appearance of the object(s) common [to all people] (i.e. of the surrounding world) in ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a ... is also called the 'external' Basis [of Forthcoming Mind], because [this appearance in ālayavijñāna] is an influencing condition for the arising of this Forthcoming Mind [in so far as the latter] appears as an object..., but not because it is - as one may [falsely] think - its ob-ject."

(... pra<*vṛttivijāānasya rūpādi-pratibhāsaḥ¹ bāhyam āyatanam² / ya ālayavijānasya sādhāraṇārtha-prati>bhāso ..., so
'pi grāhya-...pratibhāsasya pravṛttivijānasyôtpattāv
a d h i p a t i pratyayatvād bāhyam āyatanam ucyate, na tu
tadvisayatvād iti /).

- 1. Ed.: osam
- 2. Ed.: bāhyāya°.

770. Cp. VGPVy 427b4ff.:

"So far the interpretation [of MSg I.42] in terms of admitting external things. [But] for [one who advocates] the view that [all] objects of cognition $(j\bar{n}eya)$ are internal, [it is as follows:] When alayavijñana arises in such a way that the whole body appears as one self, then the whole body is [biologically] appropriated $(up\bar{a}tta)$. When a part of the body appears as oneself and another part as external, then the part which is characterized (*- $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$) as appearing as oneself is appropriated, the one which is characterized as [appearing as] external is not appropriated..."

('di ni re źig phyi rol gyi don khas blań ba'i (D: blańs pa'i) tshul yin (D: can) no // śes bya nań gi yin par lta ba la ni gań gi tshe kun gźi'i rnam par śes pa lus mtha' dag

Sbdag> tu snań bar skye ba de'i tshe lus thams cad zin pa

yin la / gan gi tshe lus kyi phyogs la la ni bdag tu / la la ni phyi rol gyi rnam par snan ba de'i tshe gan źig bdag tu snan ba'i rnam pa can de zin pa yin la / phyi rol gyi rnam pa can ni ma zin pa...).

Cp. also VGPVy 376a6ff., where "[biologically] appropriated" (upātta) is stated to mean "made one's own (*ātmasātkṛta) so as to prevent decay" ('jig pa'i gags su bdag gir byas so) or, more precisely, "that which, if benefited or injured, a p p e a r s a s the basis of pleasure and pain, etc." (phan pa dan gnod pa dag gis bde ba dan sdug bsnal la sogs pa'i rten nid du snan ba), whereas that which a p - p e a r s a s not made one's own (bdag gir ma byas par snan ba) is unappropriated (anupātta).

I am not sure whether this is identical with what Takasaki (1985, 46f.) wants to suggest. But I think that the "appearing as oneself", of the living body, in the first VGPVy passage can not be equated with the ātma-vikalpa (of TrBh 19,12ff.) because biological appropriation continues even in Arhats, who, however, have completely eradicated ātmavikalpa.

- 771. Si loc16ff. (Si_p 136ff.). Cp. also YidKun 14,1ff. and especially 16,2ff. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 44ff.).
- 772. Si 11a11ff. (Si_p 138f.). Cp. also YidKun 18,7ff. (Kelsang/0-dani 1986, 48).
- 773. Si 4oc21ff. (Si_p 446f.).
- 774. Cp. AS 12,7f.; Prak 693a5.
- 775. Cp. the fact that at Y 6,8 etc. the sarvabijakam vijnānam of Y 4,11 (d) Y in § 6.1.1), i.e. the bijāšraya of (1)/(1A), is represented by b i j a on l y (mano b i j a yoḥ pūrvavad vibhāgaḥ). Cp. also Y 105,6f. (kiṃpūrvā, kiṃ pratiṣṭhāya ... / svabījapūrvā, bijāšrayam sthāpayitvā tadanyam āšrayam pratiṣṭhāya (Ym) ...) where bijāšraya resumes the preceding svabija. Cp. also Suguro 1982, 64.

- 776. See n. 428.
- 777. See § 3.11.4.2 + n. 392.
- 778. I.e. not at all, except for Y 11,4, to be treated in \S 6.2, and perhaps (viz. in case that 蔵 represents *ā-lī-/ ālaya and hence implies an etymological nuance) Hsien-yang 505b2lf. (see n. 159).
- 779. See ns. 138-140.
- 78o. See § 3.11.2-3; cp. also n. 172.
- 781. E.g. Y 52,16; 61,8; cp. 55,14.
- 782. MSg I.23; 3off.; 43f.
- 783. Y_t zi 3a8-b4 = ASBh 12,25ff. (H 1978, 12 [§ iv]; Griffiths 1986, 134f.).
- 784. Let alone the central argument of the Mahāyānasaṃgraha, viz. that Impressions $(v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$, turning into Seeds $(b\bar{i}ja)$ or reinforcing them, can only be received by a simultaneous neous recipient (e.g. MSg I.15; 23.1; 30; 32; 43f.).
- 785. As for the first problem, the Vaibhāṣikas do not seem to have felt any difficulty in an immediate sequence of opposite (e.g. good and bad) states of mind (cp. AKBh 103,18ff.), whereas the Theravādins appear to have precluded the possibility of such a sequence by intercalating a neutral state of mind (cp. Paṭṭhāna [Nālandā-ed.] I 5,1ff. and 6,4ff.).
- 786. PG 28-29 (see App. II).
- 787. See § 3.11.3.
- 788. Y_t 'i 288a8f. (Y_c 829a5f.): "Mind-containing-all-Seeds (*sar-vabijakaṃ vijnānam) which is ... under the sway of (*-upaga) those Deeds (karman) and Defilements (kleša) comes, at the moment of Linking up (pratisandhi) [a new existence] in future, to settle down (*pratisthām labh- [Y 230,9], cp. Ch.: 一切種子識 ... 住於名色) in nāmarūpa" (... las dan non mons pa de dan ldan pa sa bon thams cad dan ldan pa'i rnam par ses pas ni phyi ma la nin mtshams sbyor ba'i tshe

- min dan gzugs la gnas thob (P,D: thod; but cp. Y_t dzi 135b7) par 'gyur ro //).
- 789. Cp. Y 198,22 (read karmopagam [Y_m]); cp. also my preliminary remarks on apunyopaga, etc., in my review of the 2nd fascicle of SWTF (in: ZDMG 137/1987, 152f.).
- 790. See § 7.3.6.3.3.
- 791. Y 25,15f. (see § 3.11.2 + n. 368); PG 29-30 (see § 3.11.3 and App. II).
- 792. Y 26,18 (see \S 3.11.4.2 + n. 391).
- 793. See n. 137.
- 794. One should also consider the possibility that we may have to presuppose a situation in which Mind-containing-all-Seeds, arising, in the beginning of a new existence, as the result of previous karman and delight in wordly existence (see n. 374), was regarded to be the basis-in-the-sense-of-Seed (bi-jāŝraya) of all perceptions and cognitions in an indirect two individuals. In the sense, which contains, in nuce, all elements of the new existence, which contains, in nuce, all elements of the latter and from which they evolve one out of the other, as seems to be the case, with nāmarūpa etc., in the Pratītyasamutpāda Analysis of the Savitarkādi-bhūmi (see § 7.3.6.3.1).
- 795. Cp. also § 7.3.6.3.4.
- 796. 'Āśraya' may be equivalent to 'ātmabhāva' (see n. 1009), both as "corpore al basis-of-personal-existence" (see ns. 187 and 1477(E.d)) and as "basis-of-personal-existence" in a more comprehensive sense (cp. n. 1477(E.c.)); and 'upādāna' may be used not only as "biological appropriation" but also as "taking possession of" (= parigraha, ādāna: see § 3.9.2.5). This means that 'āśrayopādātṛ' may also mean "taking possession of a [new] basis[-of-personal-existence]". In fact, 'āśrayopādāna' seems to be used in this sense at AS 97,19 (see n. 338 and the parallel and

presumably earlier - formulation at $BoBh_{D}$ 265,6f. [see n. 340]). Yet, even in this AS passage, āŝraya, in view of its being distinguished from citta (as the "seat" of samādhis) and $j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$, is obviously the (animated, sensible) body ((savijāānakaḥ) kāyaḥ) or the Six Senses (ṣaḍāyatana; cp. § 3.11. 2 + ns. 375 and 376). Since in the passage under discussion (viz. Y 4,7) the subject of the act of upadana is in contrast to what is implied at AS 97,19, etc. - not a "person" (AS 97,19: Buddhas and advanced Bodhisattvas, cp. ASBh 129,5f.) but (ālaya) vijñāna, mental component of the complex designated as savijānakah kāyaḥ or ṣaḍāyatana (cp. n. 363), the object of upādāna should, at Y 4,7, comprise corporeal matter only. This is not contradicted by the fact that we find, at ASBh 11,12f. (see n. 336), ādānavijnāna = ālayavijnāna as the subject of atmabhavopadana in the sense of taking possession of a new ātmabhāva at the moment of pratisandhi. For either in such a passage too the prominent aspect of 'ātmabhāva' is the corporeal basis-of-existence, or 'ātmabhāva' will have to be understood in the less concrete sense of a [new] personal existence (cp. n. 1477(E.a-b)). In the case of 'āśraya', however, the latter possibility does not exist since this term is restricted to the crete meaning of "basis -of-personal-existence". Thus, in 'āśrayopādātṛ' at Y 4,7, 'āśraya' should be taken in the sense of "corporeal basis[-of-personalexistence]", and this means that 'upādātr' will refer to, or at least include, biological appropriat i o n , as begun at the moment of conception but probably also as continued throughout life. This seems to be corroborated by the Proof Portion of the VinSg ālay. Treatise (Y, zi 2b4ff. = ASBh 12,1ff.; H 1978, 8f. [§ i]; Griffiths 1986, 13off.; cp. also Y_+ zi 4a3f. [see n. 281]: $\bar{a}layavij\bar{n}\bar{a}nasya$ $d\ e\ h\ o\ padayakasya)$. Cp. also the fact that Tib. renders, at Y 4,7, asraya by lus (cp. Suguro 1976, 39), and

- YVy 88a2: "That [ālayavijñāna] is the cause of subsistence is what is expressed by the word 'āśrayopādātṛ'; for when (i.e. as long as) the body is appropriated by [ālayavijñā-na], it will not putrefy" (gnas pa'i rgyu nid ni "lus len par byed pa" źes gan smos pa ste / 'di ltar des zin na lus rul bar mi 'gyur ba'i phyir ro //).
- 797. As for the fact that 'alaya' is, in spite of YVy 98b2 (see n. 817(d)), hardly current in the sense of biological appropriation, see § 2.9.
- 798. Of course, the fact that the reference to ālayavijñāna is an accretion to the context does not *eo ipso* exclude the possibility that it was in this very accretion that ālayavijñāna was introduced for the first time. But, apart from the preceding considerations, this possibility is, in the present case, improbable also against the background of the picture emerging from a comprehensive evaluation of all the occurrences of ālayavijñāna in the *Basic Section* (see § 6.8).
- 799. See n. 788.
- 800. Y 25,1 and 24,7 (see § 6.3.1); cp. also the expression *'sarvabijakam cittam' in Samdh V.2 (see § 3.9.2.1; cp. also Suguro 1983, 15,11-13).
- 801. In this case, (1A) and (1B) would have preserved an older stage of (1). Of course, the absence of 'āšrayopādātr vipāka-saṃgṛhītam' in (1A) and (1B) would, without additional evidence, not be sufficient to prove that these attributes were added only later; for it would be equally reasonable to assume that it was considered superfluous to repeat, in the further occurrences of ālayavijñāna, its qualifi-cations also (apart, of course, from 'sarvabījaka' which, according to my view (see § 6.1.3), was there already be for e 'ālaya-').
- 8o2. Cp. also Suguro 1976, 38f.; 1977, 129 below.
- 803. Y_t zi 2a3f: sa bon gyi gnas kun gźi rnam par ses pa źes gań smos pa [o] ...

- 804. See §§ 2.13.4-5; 3.3.1.4; 3.5; 3.12.1.
- 805. Y 11,4 -niṣṭham, but Y_m -sanniṣṭam, to be emended to -sanni-viṣṭam (-vi- having dropped out at the beginning of a new line) with Tib. -r gnas pa and Ch. 依附 ... (cp. Y 52,16 beside Y_t dzi 29a7 and Y_c 29oa5).
- 806. This appears to be corroborated by at least most of the explanations of (2) in YVy (98a1ff.) and its parallel in SamdhVy (co 83b4ff.), especially YVy 98a6f. \approx SamdhVy co 84a1: "[It is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}tr$] because even matter becomes something included among [entities constituting] living beings: (sattvasankhyāta) when it is appropriated by it (sc. ālayavijnāna)" (des zin na gzugs kyan sems can du bgran ba nid du 'gyur ba'i phyir ro //); YVy 98b2 \approx SamdhVy co 84a4f. (see n. 817(d)); cp. also SamdhVy co 83a6-8.
- 807. The body may be called 'āśraya' or 'ātmabhāva' but not, as far as I know, 'āśrayabhāva'.
- 808. Y, dzi 6b1.
- 809. Y 280b7.
- 81o. In my opinion, -upagata is here (against Ch.) better taken in an active sense (cp. § 6.2.2.c), but cp. n. 816.
- YVy, in its interpretations of the four attributes 'sarva-811. bijopagata', etc., does not supply unambiguous support for this interpretation; the third interpretation (YVy 98a7f. = SamdhVy co 84a1f.) may point in this direction but it is too concise to be clear. The other explanations differ. In the second explanation (YVy 98a6; cp. SaṃdhVy co 83b8f.), 'āśrayabhāvasanniviṣṭa' is refer to the mutual support of vijnāna and nāmarūpa (the latter comprising, according to SamdhVy co 83a5f., rūpa and the three immaterial skandhas except vijnāna!), whereas 'āśrayabhāvopagata' is, if I understand the text correctly, taken to point out that - on the basis of Y_{+} zi 5b6f. (see n. 358) – ālayavijñāna becomes (?) the support (*gnas kyi*

dios po ñe bar len pa [YVy], ~ po ñid len pa [SamdhVy]: *āśrayabhāvopādāna(?)) of the material sense-faculties it has appropriated (YVy 98a3-5). At SamdhVy co 83b8, however, the latter function is misreferred to 'asrayabhavasannivistam upādātr', probably under the influence of the explanation (YVy 98a2f.; SamdhVy co 83b4f.), first which takes 'āśrayabhāvopagata' to substantiate 'sarvabījopagata' (which probably means that ālayavijñāna is equipped with all Seeds because it is their support: SamdhVy co 83b6), and 'āśrayabhāvasanniviṣṭa' to be substantiated by 'upādātṛ' (which may mean that ālayavijñāna has become the support of corporeal matter because appropriates the latter biologically: see SamdhVy co it 83a6f.). As for the fourth explanation, see n. 817 (b and c).

- 812. The expression '-bijopagata' is already found in "pre-āla-yavijñānic" materials: cp., e.g., Y 200,17f.; 25,3.
- 813. Cp. G. Schopen in: IIJ 17/1975, 177f.
- 814. YVy 98b1 (see n. 817(b)).
- 815. See CPD s.v. upagata 1.d and upagacchati 1.d; Pr 312,8: hetubhāvam upagamya; BCAP 172,24; 177,9; 244,13.
- 816. Cp., e.g., AKBh 97,7 bījabhāvopagama; 215,6 upāsakatvopagama (SN IV 301: upāsakattam upagatassa!); Pr 65,5 aṅgībhāvopagamāt; PW s.v. gam + upa (5).

It should, however, be pointed out that among the examples noted by me there is no instance of the ppp. '-upa-gata' as second member of an accusative tatpuruṣa compound with an abstract noun as prior member. If this is of evidential value, the interpretation of the Chinese translation, viz. "furnished with the nature of basis", may, from the grammatical or idiomatic point of view, be the most probable one. In this case, $'\bar{a}$ \$rayabhā-va-sanniviṣṭa' would be a grammatical or a1 vari-

ant to 'āśrayabhāvôpagata', the latter implying a passive/locative analysis of 'alaya' ("that which is stuck to by, i.e. furnished with ..."), in contrast to the active analysis ("sticking to ...") presupposed by the former. On the other hand, 'upagata' is well documented as second member of accusative tatpurusas with a concrete prior member (cp. CPD s.v. upagata: āsan'ūpagata; vās'ūpagata and vass'ūpagata beside vāsam u. and vassam u.; PW s.v. qam + upa (1) and (5)). It may therefore be difficult to exclude the possibility that 'upagata' was, in a text like Y, and in an etymologizing explanation at that, by way of exception also used with an abstract member. In this case, 'āśrayabhāva-sanniviṣṭa' would nothing but a grammatically unambiguous synonym of 'āśrayabhāvôpagata'.

- 817. YVy 98a8-b2 (SaṃdhVy co 84a2ff.): yan na don gʻzan du na $^{(1}$ kun gʻzi'i don rnam pa bʻzi ste $^{(1)}$
 - a) 'byuñ ba dañ ldan pa'i 'du byed rnams de la 'bras bu'i dños por sbyor bar byed pa'am / de dag la de² rgyu'i dños por sbyor bar byed pa'i phyir kun gźi źes bya ba dañ /
 - b) sems can rnams de^3 la bdag tu sbyor ba'i 4 phyir kun gźi źes bya ba dań /
 - c) de^5 dan po kho nar mer mer por źugs pa na grub pa dan bde ba gcig pa'i phyir kun gźi źes bya ba dan /
 - d) des lus zin pa'i phyir ⁽⁶kun gźi źes bya ste /⁶⁾ len par byed pa'i don ni kun gźi źes ⁽⁷ bya'o // don bźi po de dag ni tshig bźi po rnams dan go rims⁷⁾ bźin du sbyar ro //
 - (1 ... 1) SaṃdhVy: tshig bźi po de dag gis ni kun gźi rnam pa bźi bstan te /
 - 2. SaṃdhVy: de'i
 - 3. SamdhVy om.
 - 4. SamdhVy: bar byed pa'i
 - 5. SaṃdhVy: de la
 - (6 ...6) SamdhVy om.
 - (7 ... 7) SamdhVy: bya ba ste / go rim

Translation:

"Or, in another sense, ['sarvabījopagata', etc., refer to the fact that] the meaning of 'ālaya' [in 'ālayavijñāna'] is fourfold:

- a) It is called 'ālaya[vijñāna]' because conditioned factors (saṃskāra), which originate, stick (ālīyante) to it as its effects, and because it sticks to them as their cause.
- b) It is called 'ālaya[vijñāna]' because living beings stick(= cling) to it as to their Self.
- c) It is called 'ālaya[vijñāna]' because, having, at the very beginning [of a new existence], merged into (*saṃ-mūrch- or *san-ni-viś-?) proto-embryonic matter, it shares the destiny [of the latter] (*ekayogakṣema).
- d) It is called 'ālaya[vijñāna]' because the body is appropriated (*upātta) by it; for 'ālaya' has the meaning of upādāna¹ (*upādānārtho hy ālaya iti (?)).
- 1. *'len par byed pa' may render 'upādātṛ' (Yt dzi 6b1 = Y 11,4f.) as well as the action noun 'upādāna' (Takasaki 1985, 50 note 7).

These four meanings [of 'ālaya'] have to be referred to the four qualifications ['sarvabījopagata', 'āśrayabhāvopaga-ta', 'āśrayabhāvasanniviṣṭa', and 'upādātṛ'], respectively."

To be sure, it does appear that none of these etymological explanations coincides with the original intention of the basic text; a and b are obviously taken from MSg I.3 (cp. n. 137), c would seem to correspond, substantially, to the explanation of Saṃdh V.3 (see §§ 2.8 and 3.3.1.3). Yet, its over-extension to 'upādātṛ' apart, where it meets with basic semantical difficulties (see § 2.9), the application of the principle of "etymological" interpretation as such is in accordance with the original purport of the passage.

818. Cp. YVy 98a3 (SaṃdhVy co 83b6): phuń po linas bsdus pa'i chos rnams kyi bag chags kyi rten yin pa'i phyir...

- 819. For the explanations of the commentary, see n. 811.
- 820. Cp., e.g., NidSa §§ 7.3 and 7.7; Katsumata 1974, 321ff.; Kumoi 1980, 47f.; VisM XIV.82; Prak 692b24f. (Imanishi 1969, 7; cp. T 1555, 993b1ff.) AK II.34ab; Vi 371a17ff. (Vi 281b11ff.); ŚrBh 242,4-6: tatra vijñānaskandhaḥ katamaḥ / yac cittaṃ mano vijñānaṃ / te punaḥ ṣaḍ vijñāna-kāyāḥ cakṣur-vijñānaṃ śrotra-ghrāṇa-jihvā-kāya-mano-vijñānaṃ /; Y_m 135b1 (Y_t dzi 299a1; Y_c 386a22): yad idam ucyate cittam iti vā mana iti vā vijñānam iti vā ...; MSg I.13A (cp. also MSg_L vol. II, 4*); VGPVy 377a6f. and b4 (yan na sgra tsam źig tha dad par zad do); Vś 3,3; quasi-synonyms denoting all the e i g h t vijñānas of the Yogācāra system: Y_t zi 189b3f.; Hsien-yang 48ob26-c2.
- 821. Cp. especially Vi 371b3ff. (Vi₂ 281b18ff.); T 1555, 993b5ff.; VGPVy 377a7ff. (cp. H 1978a, 283f.); cp. Katsumata 1974, 325.
- 822. See n. 1433.
- 823. Samdh V.3 (see n. 436).
- 824. Y_t zi 189b4f. (Y_c 651b19ff.): kun gźi rnam par śes pa ni dńos su na sems yin te / 'di ltar de ni sa bon thams cad kyis kun tu bsags śiń ñe bar bsags pa yin no // ... // yid ni dus rtag tu na dan bdag gi źes rlom sems kyi bdag ñid can yin no // rnam par śes pa ni yul so sor rnam par rig pa'i mtshan nid gan yin pa'o //, corresponding to something like *mukhyatas tv(?) ālayavijnānam cittam; tathāhi tat sarvabīja—(or: -jair) ācitopacitam (cp. Samdh V.3)/.../ mano nityakālam aham mameti manyanātmakam / vijnānam yad viṣayaprativijnāptilakṣaṇam /.
- 825. I.e. if we disregard problems of exegetical detail (see n. 436).
- 826. See n. 821.
- 827. See n. 824.
- 828. Thus, e.g., the functions of *cyuti* and *upapatti* (see § 3.3.0 + n. 233). As for the fact that, among the exclusive

objects, the Seeds (bija) are mentioned (see n. 382): since the preceding items (including sadayatana, for it is only the material sense-faculties that are, later on, regarded as the object of alayavijnana) can hardly be understood but as exclusive objects of mano-vi $j \tilde{n} \tilde{a} n a$, it would be quite arbitrary to take this item, without the slightest indication, in the text, of a difference, to refer to the (later) view that the Seeds are the object of ālayavijñāna (see § 5.16; cp. also §§ 5.6.3.2, 5.6.4.2, and n. 717); the more so since even at Y 11.4-8ālayavij \tilde{n} āna (= citta) is not characterized as a cognia n y object, the function of being actual cognition of objects being reserved rather for, and characteristic of, $v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a$ (Y 11,8). Therefore, if Seeds are mentioned at Y 11,11-13, it is probably only as another item imperceptible entities, to be cognized exclusively by $m \ a \ n \ o \ v \ i \ j \ \bar{n} \ \bar{a} \ n \ a$ (and not by sense-perception).

- 829. Cp., e.g., AKBh 11,25f.; 34,5ff.
- 830. Cp., e.g., MSg I.7A.2.

At MSg I.6, the new manas is determined as the *saṃkle-śāśraya of vijñāna (in general); a similar function with regard to manovijñāna seems to be indicated at Y_t zi 6b2 (see § 10.3.1.2.b + n. 1298; cp. also Y_t zi 190a2 [see n. 1297]), a passage which is, however, intrusive to the main subject matter from the compositional point of view (see n. 1351). At Y_t zi 5b6f. (see n. 358), the basis of manovijñāna comparable to the sense-faculties is not manas but ālayavijñāna (thus Tib. and Pa. [see n. 357], whereas Hts.'s rendering [see n. 358] has modified the text in the sense of the later system).

831. This would seem to be implied at Si 19c11f. stating that all the eight $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}nas$ necessarily have a $b\bar{i}j\bar{a}\bar{s}raya$. Cp. also Hsien-yang 48oc3f. (see n. 536) stating that \bar{a} layavij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ -

na is produced from previous karman and klešas as conditions (pratyaya) and from the *anādikālikā prapañca $v\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ as its [homogeneous] cause (hetu). Cp. also the attempts of YVy to interpret the statement of Y 4,11f., viz. that Mind-containing-all-Seeds has arisen on the basis of delight in wordly existence (cp. n. 1405) as its cause (prapañcaratihetum upādāya), in such a way that this statement is taken to include the Seeds, e.g. by artificially analyzing 'prapañcarati' as a dvandva in which 'prapañca' means the Seeds (YVy 89a6f., adducing Y 26,18 where 'prapañca' is listed among the quasi-synonyms of 'bija'; but \S 3.11.4.2). However, in the first two chapters of the Basic Section and in related materials, the sarvabijako vipākah or ātmabhāvah (identified, at Y 4,11f., with the sarvabījakam vijnānam which in its turn resumes, in the text as it stands, ālayavijnāna) is only taught to be caused by previous delight in wordly existence (prapañca-(abhi)rati) and by good and bad karman (Y 25,12f., etc.; PG 28 [see App. II + n. 1408]; cp. also n. 374). To be sure, the (present) ātmabhāva is also taught to contain the Seeds of all (possible, i.e. future) $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vas$ (Y 25,3ff.; cp. § 3.11.2), which means that a given ātmabhāva originates from its Seed [in the preceding $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$] (Y 108,8f.; cp. also 26,4f.: svabījataš ca teṣām ātmabhāvānām paripūrir bhavati; for antarābhava: 19,1); but these Seeds can, in that material, hardly be dissociated from the Impressions of karman (see n. 448). This would seem to hold good also for materials like SrBh 384,12ff. or Y 200,13ff. and 206,14f. (see n. 1154), etc., where the Seed which vijnana contains or is (cp. \S 7.1B.2.1.3.b) does not appear to be anything else but the effect-oriented, productive aspect of the karmic Impressions.

^{832.} Cp. AS 12,11f.

^{833.} Y_t zi 1ob6ff. (H 1979, 45f. [§ III]).

- 834. The text is reproduced not as it stands in Y but in accordance with Y_m . A *de facto* quotation in Tibetan, interpersed with glosses, is found in SamdhVy co 80a8ff. Cp. also SamdhT ti 266a1ff.
- 835. Cp. Y_t (dzi 13a8) mjug kho nar khu ba ska ba (so to be read also at SamdhVy co 8ob1 instead of skye bar) ...; cp. also Y_c (283a2) R_c .
- 836. Y_m -amte
- 838 Y_m tam /
- 839. Y_m tṛ
- 840. Y_m -lambanah / a-
- 841. Y_m -n
- 842. Y_m -te / sendriyah
- 843. Y_m -ddhah /
- 844. Cp. Y 23,2: tasya mātāpitṛsambhūte sukrasonite viparyastam $dar san < am > tad\bar{a}$ pravartate, confirmed by Y 282c15-17 and also by Y_{t} D (tshi 11b4: pha ma las byun ba'i khu ba dan / khrag de yan / de'i tshe phyin ci log tu mthon bar 'gyur ro // [presupposing perhaps tasmin instead of tasya]). But P (dzi 12b8f.) differs, reading '... khu ba dan $m e d k y a \dot{n} \dots$ (cp. Y 23, note 1), i.e. "although there is not [yet] semen and blood". This would, however, seem to be a deliberate change stimulated by the fact that the present sentence, as it stands in Skt., does not tally well with the following statements of the text which stress that in reality the sexual union of father and mother (and thus the ejaculation of semen) has not yet taken place but is only wrongly perceived by the antarābhava-sattva. To be sure, it may not be impossible to interpret Y 23,2, somehow

or other, in the sense that the being of the intermediate state has a hallucination with regard to the parents' blood and semen by perceiving it to be ejaculated though this is not yet the case. But this is hardly the natural way of understanding the sentence. Rather, the natural way is to take it, with Y_c , to mean that the being of the intermediate state forms some wrong idea with regard to the blood and semen that has already been produced (i.e. ejaculated) by father and mother. But in this case, as was stated above, the sentence hardly tallies with the following sentence (Y 23,3-5). Accordingly, Y_c presents an altogether different version of the latter, taking it to mean that the being of the intermediate state fancies the sexual act to be performed not by the parents (with each other) but by himself (with one of the parents). This, however, hardly fits in with the fact that the misconception described at Y 23,3-5 has only the purpose of arousing sexual desire in the being of the intermediate state and of making him (or her) approach the place where the copulation of his (or her) prospective parents will take place. Thus, the sentence under discussion (viz. Y 23,2) is likely to be a heterogeneous or misplaced element, as is also supported by the Vaibhāṣika sources, according to which the being of the intermediate state, perceiving his/her prospective parents' sexual union, feels sexual desire for the parent of the other sex (cp. Y 23,5-7) and hatred against the parent of the same sex (not in Y), approaches their place (cp. Y 23,7f.) and, desirous to usurp his/her rôle in copulation, wants the parent of the same sex to move away (cp. Y 23,8f.). The antarābhavasattva then actually has the (erroneous) experience that the parent of the same sex moves away (cp. Y 23,9) and that he himself (or she herself) copulates with the other parent, and when semen and blood are ejaculated, he/she wrongly thinks that it his own semen or her own blood, i s

whereupon, infatuated with pleasure, he/she sinks into the mother's womb (Vi 363b2off.; Vi₂ 268b2off.; AKBh 126,19ff.; NA 477c1off.).

- 845. I.e. the antarābhava(sattva)'s.
- 846. Which probably correspond, from the phase of kalala onward, to the gross elements of the supports of the sense-faculties (indriyâdhiṣṭhāna-mahābhūta: Y 24,11f.).
- 847. Viz. the sense of touch (kāyendriya: Y 24,11).
- 848. I.e. apart from the fact that the sequence of 'vipākasaṃ-gṛhīta' and 'āśrayopādātṛ' is reversed.
- 849. Cp. SamdhVy co 80a7; see also Y 199,17.
- 850. Ui 1958, 17of.
- 851. See § 6.1.2.
- 852. See § 3.3.1.2 + n. 246.
- 853. Cp. Y 25,3ff. and 26,10 (though in these passages it is the whole $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ that is stated to be equipped with all Seeds).
- 854. See n. 374.
- 855. See § 3.3.1.4.
- 856. See § 3.3.1.2.
- 857. See § 3.3.1.4.
- 858. MSg I.34.
- 859. Y_t zi 2b5f. (≡ ASBh 12,2ff.; H 1978, 8f. [§ i(a)]; Griffiths 1986, 130): ālayavijñānam pūrvasaṃskārahetukaṃ / cakṣurādi-pravṛtti-vijñānam punar vartamānapratyayahetukam / yathoktam: indriya-viṣaya-manaskāra-vašād vijñānānāṃ pravṛttir bha-vatīti vistarena /.
- 860. Y_{t.} zi 2b7 (see n. 415).
- 861. Y 12,8; cp. \S 6.2.4.a and \S 3.3.0 + n.233.

- 862. Y 198,17ff. (see \S 7.3.1 + ns. 1083-1084).
- 863. See §§ 7.3.2 and 7.3.6.3.1-2.
- 864. As far as I can see, there is, from the point of view of textual history, no reason for separating (a) and (b) in the text quoted in § 6.3.1. But the question katham punah sammūrcchati is perhaps intrusive, for as far as I can see, it does not receive a proper answer in what follows (for at Y 24,14ff. the subject of sammūrch— is kalala r ū p a, and mind is called 'cittacaitasikā dharmāḥ', and not '(ālaya)vi-jñāna').
- 864a. Cp. n. 327.
- 865. See § 6.3.2 (+ n. 861).
- 866. Y 25,3, etc.
- 867. Y 24,10; 24,18f.; cp. 18,16ff.
- 868. Y 25,1.
- 869. This fact will, in combination with the somewhat erratic train of thought, suggest that this portion of the text was probably pieced together out of rather small bits, some of which may be interrelated while obviously others are not.
- 870. *sādhiṣṭhāna-rūpindriyôpādāna (see n. 508).
- 871. *... sarvabijakam cittam v i p a c y a t e ... (see ib.).
- 872. Incorporated, along with its context, in Hsien-yang 571c1f.
- 873. See \S 6.1.2.1 + n. 783.
- 874. At Y 109,3 there is only an introductory evam.
- 875. Y 192,4-6: puṇyaṃ karma yat sugati-vaipākyaṃ pañca-gati-ve-danīyaṃ ca kuśalaṃ / apuṇyaṃ karma yad apāya-vaipākyaṃ pañ-ca-gati-vedanīyaṃ câkuśalaṃ / āninjyaṃ karma yad rūpârūpya-vaipākyaṃ rūpârūpya-vedanīyaṃ ca kuśalaṃ [ca] /.
- 876. AS 58,10-14 (merely reconstructed from Chin. and partly unreliable) \equiv AS₊ 105a1-3.

- 877. AKBh 228,3ff.; Vi 596b12ff.
- 878. Which means that the distinction between punya and āninjya is not made, both of them being comprised in kušala.
- 879. AKBh 258,12f., technically defining janman as nikāyasabhāga; cp. 230,16f.; cp. also the expression 'nikāyasabhāga-parigra-ha' (AKBh 122,15f.), reminding one of 'ātmabhāva-parigraha' (see n. 1477).
- 880. AS 54,14 (ASBh 66,6).
- 881. AKBh 258,2off.; AS 54,11-13 (unreliable reconstruction) = AS_{+} 102a2-4.
- 882. AS 54,12f. (see n. 881) = AS_t 102a3f. (AS_c 679b3f.): 'phen pa ni gan gis rnam par smin pa 'phen pa'o (*yena vi-pāk am ākṣipati) / yons su rdzogs par byed pa ni gan gis skyes pa na (D)¹ 'dod pa dan mi 'dod pa myon ba'o //; probably also AS 54,3ff. (AS_t 101b7ff.; ASBh 65,1ff.; cp. MN No. 135).
 - 1. I.e. "after having been reborn"; P: skyes pa; ASVy 242b1: skyes pa ni; ASC: 生已.
- 883. See n. 875.
- 884. AKBh 227,13f. + 228,1; AS 54,19-21 (AS $_{\rm t}$ 102a7f.): puṇyam = kāma-pratisaṃyuktaṃ (AKBh: -avacaraṃ) kuśalam, apuṇyam = aku-śalam; āninjyam = rūpārūpyapratisaṃyuktaṃ kuśalam (cp. ASBh 66,11).
- 885. Y 25,16ff. (see \S 3.12.2.2 + n. 412).
- 886. See § 6.1.1 (d) γ ; cp. also §§ 3.12.2.2 and 6.1.2.2.a.
- 887. See n. 428.
- 888. See §§ 2.10 and 3.11.8.
- 889. The (less probable) "active" use of 'ālayavijñāna' as "vi- $jn\bar{a}na$ that comes to stick to [a new (basis of) personal existence]" would still be fairly close to the use of the term in 3 (see § 3.3.1.3), but the (more probable) "pas-

- sive" use as "vijñāna that is stuck to [as one's basis-of-personal-existence]" would constitute a decisive modification.
- 890. Cp. especially PG 28 + n. 1408; PG 31 + n. 1416; PG 34ab + n. 1428 (Y 25,15f.).
- 891. See \S 4.1.2-3 and 3.11.4.2, and ns. 469, 481 and 391.
- It should, however, be noted that (7A) would always under 892. the proviso that its 'nivesana' is used in a non-causative sense - imply the view that alayavijnana is (in an "existential" sense) stuck to by people as the basis of their personal existence (see n. 1477(I.b.a)) whereas in (2) the "de-personalized" idea is expressed that ālayavijñāna itself sticks (in an ontological sense) to basis(-of-"personal"-existence). If it is state of possible to understand, in $(7A)\beta$, 'nivesana' in a tive sense, the idea would be that people ālayavijñāna enter, or (in the "existential" sense) stick to, a new atmabhava, either in the sense of a new basis-of-existence (in the traditional meaning, i.e., primarily, corporeal matter), or in the less concrete sense of a new existence, of which alayavijnana itself may be the basis (see n. $1477(I.b.\beta)$).
- 893. The Taishō Index notes only one passage in the Śrutamayī Bhūmiḥ (Y_C 354c6) where **MB** (i.e. Hsüan-tsang's rendering of 'pravṛttivijñāna') is used without 'ālayavijñāna'. But Tib. (Y_t dzi 211a1) lacks an equivalent for 'pravṛtti-', which is in fact missing in the original (Y_m 94b2: ekāntena tatra v i j ñ ā n a s y â samudācārān nāsaṃjñisattvāḥ (sc. vijñānasthitih)).
- 894. Suguro 1977, 131 (above, 2f.); cp. § 1.8 + ns. 142 and 143; § 2.9 + ns. 193 and 195.
- 895. Suguro 1977, 130 (below, 18ff.); see also Suguro 1982, 66 note 1; 1982a, 111 note 13; cp. § 4.10.2 + n. 607.

- 896. Suguro 1977, 130 (below, 25f.); 1982a, 111 note 13; as one aspect of the meaning of 'ālayavijñāna': 1982a, 105,4; 1976, 38, 5-7; 1963, 566,10f.
- 897. Suguro 1977, 131 (above, 5-7); 133 (below, 22f.).
- 898. Ibid., 132ff.; 137 (below, 23) 138 (above, 4); 138 (below, 1ff.). Similarly Ösaki 1976, 262ff. (see n. 942).
- 899. Suguro 1977, 131 (below, especially 17ff.); 133 (above, 5ff.); cp. also Suguro 1963, 566, 12-14.
- Suguro 1977, 131 (above 20-22). 900. It appears to be due to his view that the different vijñānas were, originally, nothing but modes of one and the same mind (a view which seems to be influenced by Ui¹) that Suguro can also speak of a splitting off (分離独立せしめる) of manas from ālayavijnāna (Suguro 1977, 137 [above, 3f.]; cp. 1963, 564, 3f.). Cp. also Funahashi's opinion, 2 to my mind rightly rejected by Hakamaya, 3 that even in the pertinent passages of the Viniścayasamgrahanī the new manas is not yet clearly conceived of as a vijñāna on its own. Manas is unambiguously classified as a pravṛtti- v i j \tilde{n} \tilde{a} n a already in the Pravrtti Portion (Y, zi 6a5f. = H 1979, 32 [§ 4.b.A.1]: kun gźi rnam par ses pa ni res 'ga' ni ' j u g pa'i rnam par šes pa gcig kho na dan lhan cig tu 'jug ste / 'di lta ste y i d dan no //) and in the Sacittikabhūmivini \acute{s} caya (Y $_{+}$ zi 189b2 [see n. 357]).
 - 1. E.g. Ui 1965, 728. Contrary view in S. Katō 1935, and Yūki 1935, 329ff.
 - 2. Funahashi 1967, 185f.; 1976, 115ff.; cp. also Kelsang/Odani 1986, 142; Hattori 1986, 80.
 - 3. H 1978a, 302.
- 901. Suguro 1977, 133 (below, 14-16); cp. 1976, 38,7ff.
- 902. Suguro 1977, 129ff.; 133 (below, 1off.); 1976, 38f.
- 903. Y 11,1ff. (see § 6.2).
- 904. Thus the paraphrase of the passage at SamdhVy co 71b6f.

- 905. Thus expressly YVy 102a8f. Taken by itself, Y 11,8 (vijnānaṃ katamat? yad ālambanavijñaptau pratyupasthitam) would
 seem to give a general definition of all the
 traditional forms of mind (i.e. both manovijñāna and senseperception; cp. Y 65,[1+]6), but in the context of
 manobhūmi as opposed to the level of senseperceptions (treated in the preceding chapter) it
 appears reasonable to take it as being, implicit
 ly, intended to refer to manovijnāna only. The
 ambiguity is, of course, due to the fact that the differentiating interpretation of citta, manas and vijnāna is a
 heterogeneous element in the present context (see § 6.2.3).
- 906. Cp. Y_t zi 189b3f. as an example for a statement of principle that all (the eight) *vijnānas* may be called *'citta'* or 'manas' or 'vijnāna'.
- 907. Suguro 1977, 132 (above, 12).
- 908. Ibid., 133 (above, 7ff.).
- 909. Y 12,8; cp. \S 6.3.2 + n. 861.
- 910. Y 24,4f.
- 911. Suguro 1977, 132 (below, 2off.).
- 912. See also n. 943.
- 913. Viz. in the VinSg ālay. Treatise and in the Sacittika-bhūmi-viniścaya. As for Y 11,6f., see § 6.2.3 and n. 943. The fact that 'kliṣṭa' as an attribute of the new manas is, apart from Y 11,6f., used only in somewhat later sources (from MSg I.6 onward) but missing in the earliest pertinent documents does not, of course, necessarily signalize a change in the concept itself, since it may (probably under the influence of the phraseology of PG 39: see App. II) just as well have been introduced afterwards merely for the sake of clarification. Viz. as a convenient means for expressly and at the same time briefly distinguishing the new manas from the traditional one. This possibility

becomes almost a certainty in view of the fact that - apart from Hts.'s version of the problematic passage Y 11,6f. (see n. 943) - even the earliest pertinent documents, though not using the attribute 'kliṣṭa', yet clearly define manas as consisting of, or being associated with, Defilements (kleśa) like the notion of Ego (see § 7.1A.2.2.c.a and ζ).

- 914. S 1979. Unfortunately, I have not so far found the time to finalize the elaborate German version of that paper which I had submitted to Japanese colleagues in connection with a lecture organized by the International Institute for Buddhist Studies (the then Reiyukai Library) in January 1979.
- 915. The older view (documented in texts like Vin I 14 or SN III 82f.) was obviously that by understanding that none of the five skandhas is one's Self or one's own, one becomes (more or less immediately) detached from them and, consequently, liberated (the passage ends with an "Arhat formula"). This view is, even in the Kṣemakasūtra (see n. 916), clearly presupposed, as a matter of course, by Kṣemaka's interlocutors (SN III 128: no ce kir' āyasmā Khemako imesu pañcasu upādānakkhandhesu kiñci attaṃ vā attaniyaṃ vā samanupassati, tena hi (PTS: tena) āyasmā Khemako arahaṃ khīṇāsavo).
- 916. SN III 126ff. (No. 22.89); $S\overline{A}_{C}$ No. 103; cp. SHT IV (1980), 80ff.; ASBh 62,5ff.; TSi 361b(3-)5; 333b14ff.; AD 237,12-14; Y_{t} (VaSg) 'i 210a1ff. = Y_{C} 797c9f.
- 917. Cp. G. Sasaki 1957 and 1980.
- 918. SN III 128: api ca me ... pancasu upādānakkhandhesu "asmī" ti avigatam¹, "ayam aham asmī" ti (more or less equivalent to satkāyadṛṣṭi: cp. n. 920) ca na samanupassāmi; 130: kincāpi ... ariyasāvakassa panc' orambhāgiyāni saṃyojanāni (which include satkāyadṛṣṭi!) pahīnāni bhavanti, atha khv assa hoti yeva² pancasu upādānakkhandhesu anusahagato (CPD: aṇu°) asmī ti māno asmī ti chando asmī ti anusayo asamūhato; ASBh 62,5ff: nāham ... imān pancopādānaskandhān ātmato

- <vâ>tmīyato vā samanupasyāmi (i.e. there is no satkāyadṛṣṭi:
 cp. AS 7,8f.), api tv asti me eṣu pañcasūpādānaskandheṣv
 asmīti māno 'smīti chando 'smīty anusayo 'prahīṇo 'parijnāto
 'nirodhito 'vāntīkṛtaḥ (or: 'vyantī-).
- 1. Text: adhigatam, as in DhSk_D 55,18 (astīti vācādhigatam, which is certainly a corruption of asmīti cādhigatam, cp. 55,26); but DhSk 511c18 不離我慢, SN III 46 (Nāl.-ed.; cp. SĀ 16b19: 不 離 ...) and DN III 249 (Nāl.-ed.: asmīti... vigatam, confirmed by T 1536, 431a7 遠離我慢) support avigatam.
- 2. So to read with v.l. (Nāl.-ed. II 350 note 6) instead of yo ca; cp. also Spk II 316,29.
- 919. Cp., e.g., TrBh 29,10f.: pañcasûpādānaskandheṣu ... ātmāt-miyābhinivešād yā cittasyônnatiḥ, so 'smimānaḥ; AS_t 94b3f.; Y_t 'i 222b4f.; DhSk_c 495c2o-22; Vibh 356; ŚA 654a14; no, at least no explicit, reference to the aspect of conceit: AKBh 285,2; TSi 314b1o. With special reference to the asmimāna of kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ: MSgBh_t 15ob3 (na'o snām pa'i na rgyal te / bdag nid mtho'o źes pa); MSgU_t 24ob3f. (na'o snām pa'i na rgyal te / na'o bdag go snām du khens so //); VGPVy 379a3; cp. TrBh 23,15f. (see n. 92o).
- 920. Cp., e.g., AKBh 286,11 (satkāyadṛṣṭipuṣṭā hi mānavidhā asmimānas ca); NA 609c22-24; 610a23; 617c15f.; BoBh_W 51,9f.: satkāyadṛṣṭim ... mānamūlam (BoBhVy 86b8: 'jig tshogs la lta ba de ñid kyis na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal yan rgyas par byed pa yin la /...); AS 7,4: mānaḥ katamaḥ? satkāyadṛṣṭi-sannisrayena cittasyônnatiḥ; Y_t 'i 209b4 (Y_c 797b28f.): ... 'jig tshogs la lta ba la brten nas 'du byed rnams la na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal ... gan yin pa'o; 21oa1ff.; TrBh 28,28f.: māno hi nāma sarva eva satkāyadṛṣṭisamāsrayeṇa pravartate; MAVŢ 71,26f.: satkāyadṛṣṭibalenaîvāsmimānapravṛttitaḥ; 215,23 + 216,1: dauṣṭhulyavasenāsmimānasamudācārād iti ... </ tadvasenâsmimāna-samudācārāt¹ satkāyadṛṣṭir dauṣṭhulyam ity anye. With special reference to the asmimāna of kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ: MSgBh_t 15ob3; MSgU_t 24ob3 (... 'jig tshogs la lta ba ... // de 'i db an gis na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal te /);

VGPVy 379a3; TrBh 23,15f. (ātmadaršanād yā cittasyônnatiḥ, so 'smimānaḥ); similarly PSkBh 203a1 (with *ātmamāna for asmimāna: see Tr 6cd + TrBh 23,13).

Originally, the unspecified feeling of identity ("asmî"ti (māno)) would seem to have been the basic element, compared with which all specified notions of identity - e.g. "ayam aham asmī" ti, which seems to correspond, in the Khemakasutta, to pañcasu upādānakkhandhesu kiñci attaṃ vā attaniyaṃ vā samanupassati, i.e. sakkā-yadiṭṭhi; or "itth' asmī" ti, etc., in AN II 212, etc. - are derivatory, being occasionally presented as following upon the former or even as presuppos-ing it.

- 1. Ed. (with MAVT, 143a7): -rat /, but taken with the preceding sentence the argument would be redundant.
- 2. E.g. SN III 46; IV 202f.
- 3. AN II 212: "asmī" ti sati ... "itth' asmī" ti hoti ...; for parallels see n. 1425(D), footn. 2).
- 921. Vi 226a4f. and 6f.; NA 61ob4f.
- 922. NA 61ob6-8.
- 923. Vi 226a8ff.; AKBh 285,15-17; 286,4f.; NA 61ob5f.
- 924. AKBh 286,6-11; cp. AD 239,4ff.; NA 61oc12ff.
- 925. For the identity of Sanghabhadra's "Sthavira" with Śrīlāta, see AK $_{\rm p}$, Index, 140; Mimaki 1972, 87 note 22; J. Katō 1976, 56; 1977, 116f.; 1978, 117 and 130.
- 926. NA 609a14ff.; cp. AKBh 284,14ff. Cp. also n. 1445.
- 927. Y, 'i 21oa1ff. (Y, 797c9ff.).
- 928. Y_t zi 112b6ff. (Y_c 621b6ff.) and 115b2; Y_t 'i 162a5ff. (Y_c 779c1off.); cp. AKBh 29o,19f. and NA 618a17-19.
- 929. Y_{+} zi 115b2f.; cp. AKBh and NA, loc. cit.

930. Cp. Y 162,1ff. (especially 5ff.) counting — as what is obviously equivalent to bhāvanāheya — two more klešas than the Vaibhāṣika system, these two being, according to what can be inferred from the similar system at AS 52,1ff. (especially 8ff.), in nate satkāya adṛṣṭi and (innate) antagrāhadṛṣṭi. Actually, Y 162,11f. says that satkāya— and antagrāhadṛṣṭi may also arise from natu—ral or spontaneous (naisargika) inadvertancy (smṛtisampra—moṣa) and be either explicit(?) or inexplicit(?) (nirdhā-ritā vā anirdhāritā vā: Ym) — a distinction which appears to be more or less equivalent to the dichotomy of "speculative" (vi— or parikalpita) and "innate" (sahaja), as is corroborated by the related passage Hsien—yang 482a13ff.

The principle that spontaneously arising Defilements are $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}heya$ is expressly stated at Hsien-yang 485b13f.

Cp. also the "very subtle ($sus\bar{u}ksm\bar{a}$) $satk\bar{a}yadrsti$ ", liable to disappear through $bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$, of $BoBh_D$ 232,6 (= $BoBh_W$ 339, 16f.; cp. DBhS 39,21ff.), belonging, however, to a different frame of reference.

- 931. ASBh 62,3ff.: s a h a j ā s a t k ā y a d ṛ ṣ ṭ i ḥ bhā-vanāprahātavyā: yām adhiṣṭhāyôtpannadarsanamārgasyâpy ārya-srāvakasyāsmimānaḥ samudācarati / yathôktam: "... (= quotation from the Kṣemakasūtra, see n. 918) ..." iti /...
 - 1. Ms. and ed. add $k\bar{a}$ which is, however, not represented in Tib.
- 932. The text (ASBh 62,9ff.) rather says that the objective basis to which the conception of Self of this innate satkāyadṛṣṭi refers is not clearly defined or delimited: darśanamārgeṇa prahīṇa-parikalpita-satkāyadṛṣṭi-malasyāpy āryaśrāvakasya pūrvābhiniveśābhyāsa-kṛtam aparicchinnavastukam ātmadarśanam anuvartate ... /. Since 'aparicchinnavastuka' is obviously used to point out a specific aspect of the innate satkāyadṛṣṭi, its meaning must be quite different from that of the term 'anirūpita-vastuka'; for this

- latter term is, at AS 8,11f., applied to satkāyadṛṣṭi as a whole, i.e. to all its varieties, and according to ASBh 7,10ff. it means that satkāyadṛṣṭi arises only on condition that its objective basis has not (yet) been ascertained correctly (as mere dharmas which are not Self).
- 933. Cp. the allusion to the term 'vāsanā' in the paraphrase of the simile of the Kṣemakasūtra at ASBh 62,7ff.: yathā kliṣṭa-sya dhātrīcailasyôṣādibhiḥ sudhautasya nirmalasyāpi satas t a d a d h i v ā s a n ā k ṛ t a ṃ gandhamātram anuvarta-te /...
- 934. E.g. on account of the fact that the notion of 'I' can be observed to occur even in good (kuśala) states of mind (MSg I.7.6; AKBh 290,16) but, as a form of the cardinal error, does not itself, of course, admit of being qualified as good and hence cannot be directly associated with such states of mind.
- 935. Being conceived of as [Result-of-]Maturation (vipāka), ālaya-vijñāna cannot be directly associated (saṃprayukta) with a n y Defilement (cp. n. 596).
- 936. Cp. the passage quoted in n. 944.
- 937. See § 7.1A.2.2.c.ε.
- 938. Katsumata 1974, 327ff., especially 33of.; Ōsaki 1976, 254f. (+ 258). I must admit that I did not pay due attention to this set in the paper mentioned in n. 914.
- 939. See n. 820.
- 940. See n. 821.
- 941. Samdh V.3; cp. n. 436.
- 942. Ōsaki's (1976, 254,6f., 262ff. and 267; cp. 1975, 202f.) attempt to show that the new manas can already be found in Saṃdh is not based on philologically acceptable evidence: There is no mention or indication of manas as sahabhū-āšraya in Saṃdh V (let alone at AKBh 11,25f., misinterpreted by

Ōsaki [1976, 263]); Saṃdh V.7 does not imply a spontaneous conception of ādānavijāāna as Self (see § 3.11.6); and Saṃdh VIII.37.1.14 refers, of course, to all kinds of (i.e. ordinary) defiled mind, not to kliṣṭam manah in the specific Yogācāra sense.

I agree with Suguro (1977, 132; 1976, 40) to the extent that 943. at Y 11,6f. the specification of (the second) manas as Defiled (klista) and as associated (samprayukta) with four Defilements (kleśa), viz. avidyā, ātmadṛṣṭi, asmimāna and tṛṣṇā, is, since it is missing in Hts.'s version, probably a later addition (to a passage which is, however, itself an intrusive and obviously comparatively late element: see § 6.2.3-4). This view receives additional support from the fact that in this passage, in the list of the Defilements associated with manas, $a v i d y \bar{a}$ is placed first and satkāyadṛṣṭi replaced by ātmadṛṣṭi - two tendencies to be observed in somewhat later sources, which moreover tend to substitute ātmamoha for avidyā: cp. AS 12,3f. (ātmadṛṣṭi, but still avidyā in final position); Hsien-yang 48oc 23f. (我我所執 , which would correspond to *ātmātmīyagrāha, though the reliability of at least some elements of this initial portion of the Hsien-yang should, in the absence of any other testimony but Hts.'s version, perhaps be considered with caution; cp. n. 538); MAVBh 18,24; PSk_{+} 17a5 (PSk_{D} § 27; Muroji p. 44,2of.); verse quoted at TrBh 23,18ff. (exactly the same sequence and terminology as at Y 11,7!); cp. Tr 6cd (ātmamoha in second position after ātmadṛṣṭi). The tendency to replace avidyā by ātmamoha would seem to be due to a wish for greater precision or/and formal unity (cp. also ātmamāna for asmimāna at Tr 6cd and PSk, 17a5); the trend to place it in front may be motivated by the dogmatic reason that $avidy\bar{a}$ is the basis of the other klesas (see n. 963). The oldest sources, on the other hand, starting with satkayadrști and asmim $ar{a}$ na and placing $avidyar{a}$ at the end [see § $7.1A.2.2.c.\zeta + ns. 958$ and 959] and MSg I.6), follow what I would call the "historical" sequence.

As for the text presupposed by Hts.'s version (Y_c 28ob8: 恒行意及 ..., i.e. *yac ca nityam (manaḥ)²), Suguro's view that the nityam manah is, as a kind of simultaneous samanantarapratyaya, nothing but another facet of the anantaraniruddham manas (Suguro 1977, 132 below) appears to me rather speculative; for why should the author have used the word 'nitya' if he merely intended to express the idea "simultaneous" or "present"? And against Suguro I find that 'yat ... yac ca ...' should, in this passage, almost certainly refer to two different entities, as it actually does in the closely related syntactical structure of AS 12,2ff. (manah katamat / yan nitya kālam manyanātmakam ālayavijnānālambanam $[ms., AS_C]$..., y a c c a ņām vijñānānām samanantaranirud $d h a m vij \bar{n} \bar{a} nam$). I for one have to admit that the text as it stands in Hts.'s version would not, by itself, enable me to draw any concrete conclusion as to the function of the "continuous manas" or the motive for its introduction. I rather think that in this form the text presupposes that the new manas was already known (cp. the slightly more informative but, it too, by itself insufficient reference to the new manas at MAVBh 48,12: mano y a n n i t y a m manyanākāram). Consequently I suppose that if not the whole passage defining citta, manas and vijnāna (viz. Y 11,3-8), then at least the short reference to the new manas (enigmatic by itself and therefore expanded later on) was inserted only a f t e r the introduction of this concept, and this most probably means: after the composition of VinSg (cp. § 6.2.4), or at least of the material on which the Pravrtti Portion of the VinSg ālay. Treatise and the Sacittikabhūmiviniścaya are based.

1. The addition 依止性 in some sources seems to have intruded from the preceding definition of citta; and yet, although it is corrupt (and may therefore have been omitted afterwards), it may be an old (mechanical) blunder which had supplanted an expression, formally similar, like 思量性 (manyanātmakam), which

- would render the characterization of the new manas in the present passage substantially less vague and would also be in agreement with the close parallels in the Sacittika-bhūmi-viniścaya (see n. 946) and at AS 12,2f. (see above).
- 2. In Hts., this phrase stands in the beginning of the sentence, but this may be a secondary change motivated by the predominance of the new manas as against the old one (cp. also AS 12,2ff.).
- 944. Y_t zi 6a6(f.) (Y_c 58oc2ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019c7f.; H 1979, 32f. [§4.b.A.1]):

"That manas which has the form of conceiving (1 consisting in 1) the notion of 'I' and the feeling of identity (lit:: conception/conceit 'I am [this]') (*yan mano 'haṃkārâsmimā-na-manyanākāraṃ, tat ...), (arises continuously ... together with ālayavijñāna)."

(nar 'dzin pa dan na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal dan / rlom pa'i rnam pa can gyi yid gan yin pa de ni (... dus rtag tu kun gźi rnam par šes pa dan lhan cig 'byun źin 'jug ste /))

- (1 ... 1) Tib. dan "and", but I prefer to take manyanā as an etymologizing generic term to be specified by ahamkāra and asmimāna, in analogy to the subsequent sentence quoted in the following note.
- 945. Y_t zi 6a7f. (Y_c 58oc4f.; Chüeh-ting 1019c8f.; H 1979, 32f. [\S $4 \cdot b \cdot A \cdot 1$]):

 "[This manas is characterized by 'conceiving' (manyanā),]

for(?) (1) it has the form of 1) conceiving its object, viz. ālayavijñāna, (2) as 'I am [this]', '[this is my] self'2)." (de ni kun gźi rnam par śes pa la na'o sñam pa dan / bdag go sñam du dmigs śin rlom pa'i rnam pa can yin no //, corresponding, perhaps, to something like *tad dhy(?) asmīty aham ity (or: ātmety) ālayavijñānālambana-manyanākāram).

- (1 ... 1) Or: its mode [of apprehension] consists in ...
- (2 ... 2) Construed with *ālambana by Tib. but taken by me with manyanā in accordance with Hts. and Pa.
- 3. For $bdag\ go=aham\ iti$, see Y 25,15 = Y_t dzi 14b1; for the pair $na'o\ s\~nam\ pa$ and $bdag\ go\ s\~nam\ pa$, see AKȚU tu 109b7 + 11ob3 (corresponding to SA No. 984 \equiv AN II 211-213), but I have nowhere found the Skt. original for the second part ($bdag\ go\ ...$) of this Sūtra.

- 946. Y_t zi 189b5 (Y_c 651b22f.): yid ni dus rtag tu na dan bdag gi źes rlom sems kyi bdag nid can yin no //, probably going back to *mano nityakālam aham mamēti manyanātmakam. Cp. also Y_t 190a7 (Y_c 651c15-17) where manas is taught to be associated with (see § 7.1A.2.2.c.;) satkāyadṛṣṭi in the form of the notion of 'I' (ahamkāra) and the notion of of 'mine' (mamakāra) (nar 'dzin pa dan na yir 'dzin pa'i rnam par 'jig tshogs la lta ba).
- 947. At least according to what is expressly stated at Y $_{\rm t}$ 'i 210a6-8 (Y $_{\rm c}$ 797c2off.):

"As for the Arya, even when he contemplates (manasi-kṛ-) conditioned factors (saṃskāra) under the aspect of their specific character (svalakṣaṇataḥ), the feeling of identity (asmimāna) does not arise (samudācarati), let alone [when he contemplates them] under the aspect of their common character (sāmānyalakṣaṇataḥ, viz. impermanence, etc.). Even when he contemplates (or: views) them in their conventional form (prajnāptitaḥ), the feeling of identity does not arise as long as Mindfulness (smṛti) is present (upa-sthā-). [But] when he just views [them in] their conventional form, without Mindfulness being present, the feeling of identity may (or: will) arise."

(de la 'phags pa ni ran gi mtshan ñid kyi sgo nas 'du byed rnams yid la byed pa na yan na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal kun tu spyod par mi 'gyur na spyi'i mtshan ñid kyi sgo nas lta ci smos / gdags pa'i sgo nas yid la byed pa na yan dran pa ñe bar gnas na ni na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal kun tu mi spyod do // gdags pa ñid yid la byed pa na dran pa ñe bar gnas pa med na ni na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal kun tu spyod par 'gyur ro //).

948. I.e. provided that the definition/explanation of the new manas in the VinSg ālay. Treatise (see § 7.1A.2.2.c.a + n. 945) is not by a later hand. However, note that this definition/explanation too uses the notion of Ego and the feeling of identity for characterizing the nature of manas

i t s e 1 f (i.e. does not, explicitly or implicitly, establish them as *caittas*), and hence looks as archaic as the "statement of identity" (n. 944).

To be sure, the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya does not tain any reference to alayavijnana as the object of manas, but this too need not be of evidential value; for, the general problem of the precise textual relation between the Pravrtti/Nivrtti Portion and the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (see add. ad p. 82) apart, it would be difficult even in the specific case of the characterization of manas to derive that found in the Pravṛtti Portion (n. 944) from that in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (n. 946), or vice versa (for I for one cannot imagine a convincing reason why the Pravṛtti Portion should have added asmimana, or the Sacittikabhūmi-vimameti). It would seem to be more probable that both characterizations, as also the explanation/definition of the Prayrtti Portion (n. 945), are different "redactions" of a common (possibly oral) source the formulation of which may have been close to that of Y 25,15f. (see n. 368).

949. Such a thing one would n o t necessarily expect when starting from the asmimāna and innate satkāyadṛṣṭi of Śaikṣas: cp. ASBh 62,1of. (see n. 932) where the object of the innate notion of Self in Saiksas is not identified as ālayavijñāna but merely specified as something "not clearly ascertained, or definable" (aparicchinna). Of course, one would have to consider the possibility that, before the question of the association of this satkayadṛṣṭi with a suitable citta arose, a need for concretizing o b j e c t may have been felt. This, however, would mean that the problem of the asmimana of Saiksas and of the innate satkāyadṛṣṭi it was (at least according to ASBh 62, 3ff.) considered to presuppose would, before giving rise to the idea of the new manas, have come to involve, or to merge with, the problem of the object of (the innate) satkāyadrsti and asmimāna in general.

- 950. Y 25,15f. (see n. 368); PG 30 + 34 (see App. II).
- 951. Y 25,15 and 212,18 (see n. 368); 26,18f. (see n. 391 and § 3.11.4.2); PG 29 (see App. II).
- 952. We are, of course, in this context not concerned with a purely speculative view of Self which may arise through (a misunderstanding of) the theory of ālayavijñāna (in the sense of Saṃdh V.7: see § 3.11.6).
- 953. Already in the Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇī satkāyadṛṣṭi, etc., associated with manas are qualified as "innate" (*sahaja: Ytzi 7b8 = Ytzi 581a18f. = H 1979, 36f. [§ 4]) or "spontaneous" (Ytzi 651c15ff.; Ytzi 190a7ff.: ye yod pa). At Si 2a12ff., the continuous, subliminal sahaja ātmagrāhaḥ of manas (which has ālayavijñāna as its object) is distinguished from a sporadic sahaja ātmagrāhaḥ on the supraliminal level of manovijñāna, which has the five skandhas as its object.
- 954. Y_t zi 19ob3 (Y_c 651c25f.): de dag (viz. the Defilements associated with manas) ni kun gźi rnam par \$es pa'i sa bon las byun ba kho na yin pas dus rtag tu yod pa ...
- 955. Cp. Y_t 'i 21ob1f. (Y_c 797c24f.):

 "As for ordinary people (pṛthagjana), even when they contemplate conditioned factors (saṃskāra) under the aspect of their common character (sāmānyalakṣaṇataḥ, i.e. as impermanent, etc.), their mental series (*citta-santati) is mixed up with the feeling of identity (asmimāna), let alone in other states."

(so so'i skye bo'i (P; D: bo) ni spyi'i mtshan ñid kyi sgo nas 'du byed rnams yid la byed pa na yan sems kyi rgyud na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal gyis rnam par 'dres na (D; P: nas) gnas skabs gźan dag la lta ci smos /)

956. Y_t zi 190b4 (Y_c 651c28ff.):
"In a Śaikṣa who has seen [all the four Noble] Truths
(*dṛṣṭapada), ¹ [the four Defilements of manas, and therefore
manas itself, too,] do not arise as long as the Supramundane

Path is present (*saṃmukhī-bhūta). As soon as [the Śaikṣa] has re-emerged from the [Supramundane Path] (*tato vyutthita-sya), they arise [again]. For, [on the one hand, in Supramundane Insight the unreality of their content, viz. Self,] is clearly realized (*supratividdha), and [on the other hand] they are not yet eradicated (aprahīṇa) [and thus re-arise as soon as their pratipakṣa, viz. Supramundane Insight, ceases to be present]."

(slob pa (D; P: ma) gźi (D and P: bźi) mthoń ba la ni 'jig rten las 'das pa'i lam mnon du gyur na ni mi 'byun no // de las lans pa la ni kun tu 'byun ste / Śin tu rtogs pa'i phyir dan ma spans pa'i phyir ro //)

Cp. TrBh 24,19ff. (at 24,21f., read yaugapadyābhāvāl [with TrT_1 476,35]).

- 1. Cp. Dhp 273b, etc.; the expression is variously interpreted by the commentaries. According to Vi 504 a28f., it means one who has perceived mārga(satya), and thus completed the daršanamārga.
- 957. See n. 947.
- 958. Y_t zi 190a7f. (= Y_c 651c15ff.):
 yid ni dus rtag tu ye yod pa'i ñon mońs pa rnam pa bźi po
 'di lta ste / ńar 'dzin pa dań ńa yir 'dzin pa'i rnam par
 'jig tshogs la lta ba (cp. n. 946) dań / ńa'o sñam pa'i
 ńa rgyal dań / bdag la chags pa dań / ma 'dres pa'i ma rig
 pa dań ... mtshuńs par ldan te /.
- 959. Y_t zi 7b8f. (Y_c 581a18ff.; H 1979, 36f. [§ <u>4.b.B.4</u>]):
 (yid ... ni ...) dus rtag pa kho nar lhan cig skyes pa'i (= sahaja) ... kun nas ñon mońs pa rnam pa bźi po 'jig tshogs la lta ba'i kun nas ñon mońs pa dań / ńa'o sñam pa'i ńa rgyal gyi kun nas ñon mońs pa dań / bdag la chags pa'i kun nas ñon mońs pa dań / ma rig pa'i kun nas ñon mońs pa dań mtshuńs par ldan pa yin par blta bar bya'o //.
- 960. See n. 1351.
- 961. See n. 918.

- 962. Cp. PG 32 (see App. II), but also Y 18,2 belonging, like the passage Y 25,15 referred to above (§ 7.1A.2.2.c. Y + n. 951), to the context of death and rebirth; cp. also the expressions 'ālaya' and 'upādāna', in the sense of "what is clung to" (see § 7.1B.2.1.3), in the list of terms, pointing to the objective basis of satkāyadṛṣṭi and asmimāna, at Y 26,18f. (see n. 391). In a sense, ātmasneha may even be regarded as a kind of inevitable consequence of the view of Self; cp. AKBh 287,4f.: yatrātmadṛṣṭis tatrātmatṛṣṇā.
- 963. Cp., e.g., Y 166,16f.: tatra viparyāsamūlam avidyā / viparyāsaḥ (Tib., Ch.; Y_m, ed.: °saniṣyandaḥ) satkāyadṛṣṭir ... rāgaš ca; cp. also AKBh 304,11ff.; with reference to the Defilements associated with kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ: MSgBh_t 15ob4: gsum po de dag (i.e. satkāyadṛṣṭi, asmimāna and ātmasneha) gi rgyu ma rig pa ste; MSgU_t 24ob4; VGPVy 379a4; TrBh 23,14ff.; PSkBh 202b8ff.; YVy 101b3f.: rmoṅs pa med na (= asati mohe) gʻan dag 'byun bar mi 'gyur ba'i phyir ...
- 964. Cp. PG 30 (ajānakāḥ), 33 (mohāt) and, especially, 36cd.
- 965. Suguro 1977, 133.
- 966. See App. II. As for Suguro's suggestion (1977, 133 (below, 17ff.)) provided that I have grasped his intention correctly that kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ at PG 39 is substantially identical with ālayavijñāna (having the function of Clinging to Self), it appears to me entirely unacceptable, even in its modified form to be discussed in § 7.1B.2.1.4.
- 967. See § 7.1B.2.1.4 and 7.1B.2.2.1.
- 968. Suguro 1982a, 105f.
- 969. Ibid.
- 970. Y 4,7, etc.: see §§ 6.1-3 and 6.5. Cp. Samdh V.2 (55,11f.): sa bon thams cad pa'i sems r n a m p a r s m i n c i n (= *vipacyate). As for Suguro's (1982a, 105,15ff.) remark

that the expression 'vipāka- s a m g r h i t a ' suggests that the concept of ālayavijñāna was (at that stage regarded to be) s u b s u m e d under the concept of vipāka, i.e. that d i f f e r e n t concepts had come to be combined with each other, I agree with him, but I find that the only thing one can deduce from this observation is that ālayavijñāna was, at that stage, probably not yet considered to be the s o l e entity to be classified as vipāka proper (the rest being vipākaja only) but was only one among several others (especially those included in the ātmabhāva or ṣaḍāyatana) (see § 3.12.1).

- 971. Y 109,13-15 (see § 6.4).
- 972. Y_t zi 224b6 (Y_c 664c24f.; cp. Suguro 1982a, 106,6f.); cp. Y 222,12; Y_t zi 47a3f. (Y_c 595c19); AKBh 95,9f.
- 973. E.g. MSg I.21; 34ff.; 62.
- 974. Viz. at MSg I.62 which gives the impression of being a kind of supplement (cp. also n. 975) but, being confirmed by all versions, may nevertheless stem from the author himself; see also Hsien-yang 48oc8, but cp. the remark on this text in n. 943.

From the argument of MSg I.62 that the <code>vipāka(vijnāna)</code> is <code>anivṛtāvyākṛta</code> because otherwise, i.e. if it were good or bad, the cessation of Pollution would not be possible, Suguro (1982a, 107,10ff.) tries to deduce a distinction between <code>vipākāvyākṛta</code> and <code>anivṛtāvyākṛta</code>. I.e. in his opinion the former only qualifies ālayavijñāna as the result of previous karman and only fits its function of being the basis of Pollution, whereas the latter characterizes ālayavijñāna as forming — in the sense of what Suguro regards as the view of MSg — the basis, and being susceptible of the Impressions, of both polluted a n d p u r e dharmas (cp. Suguro 1982a, 106,8ff.). Apart from the fact that I have considerable reserves against this interpretation of the character of ālayavijñāna in MSg (for which see § 4.8.5), I

do not find any such distinction involved in the wording of MSg I.62. To me, it merely yields the information that the result of Maturation of good and bad dharmas (thus particularly ālayavijñāna as the primary result of Maturation) must, in its turn, be morally neutral because otherwise [intramundane] good and bad actions, once performed, would automatically reproduce themselves ad infinitum, not allowing of an interruption of this mechanism for the sake of salvation.

- 975. MSg I.7C (later addition, cp. H 1978a, 23o); cp. Y_t zi 190a8 and 8a2f. (= H 1979, 37 [§ 4.b.B.4]).
- 976. SacAcBh § 5 [see App. I]; cp. also S 1969a, 46f. (see § 4.10.2).
- 977. Suguro 1982.
- 978. Ibid., 54,1ff; cp. Suguro 1963, 566,10.
- 979. Cp, Suguro 1963, 566,11.
- 980. Suguro 1982, 60; 63.
- 981. Ibid., 61,1f.; 63,6f. (肉体・個人存在に ...); cp. 66,5 (身体に ...).
- 982. Ibid., 65f.
- 983. Ibid., 66,5ff; cp. § 3.9.2.5.
- 984. Especially Y 18,1-26,19, epitomized in Suguro 1982, 54f.; cp. also Shimizu 1985, 18ff.
- 985. Suguro (1982, 54f.), expressly pointing out the ambiguity of this concept (cp. n. 1477(E)), makes use of various renderings: 自己存在 ("individual existence"), 肉体 ("body"), 生命体 ("basis of life or existence").
- 986. Suguro 1982, 55,15ff.
- 987. Ibid., 55,17f. (... **因果の両方にわたると見るのが妥当なところであ** ろう).

- 988. Ibid., 55,2of. (アーラヤ識は我を執着する識(因)であるとともに我 として執着される識(果)である...).
- 989. Cp. Suguro 1963, 566,10ff.
- 990. See § 6.3.1.
- 991. See § 6.1.1.
- 992. Suguro 1977, 129 (below, especially 10-12); 1976, 38f. (especially 39,1f.); cp. also § 6.3.2.
- 993. See n. 374.
- 994. See § 6.3.3.
- 995. See § 6.8.
- 996. As for the fact that these materials, too, have, in view of abrupt transitions and significant diversity of terminology, obviously been compiled from different sources, see n. 869.
- 997. Cp. Mikogami 1965, 120, and, in a different context, Odani 1976 (see ns. 1103 and 442).
- 998. See n. 391.
- 999. Suguro 1982, 55,18-20.
- 1000. Cp. also Suguro 1963, 566,5ff., especially 8f. and 10f.
- 1001. Cp., e.g., MN I 299; SN IV 259f.; AKBh 281,20.
- 1002. Cp. MN I 191 (yo imesu pañcasu u pādānakkhan-dhesu chando ālayo...). As for 'ālaya' in the sense of "what is clung to", see § 2.10 + ns. 202-204. As for the present passage (Y 26,18), the meaning "what is clung to" is, by the way, confirmed by the commentaries of Kuei-chi (T 1829, 13a17) and Tun-lun (T 1828, 324c7f.): 阿賴 耶者、所愛著義.
- 1003. See n. 513; cp. also Kuei-chi (T 1829, 13a17) and Tun-lun (T 1828, 324c7f.): 取者、所取義.
- 1004. sakkāyābhirata: Th 765; AN III 293f.; III 435; papañcābhirata: Th 989; AN III 294f.;

papañcārāmo papañca-rato/-rati: MN I 65; AN III 293f.; ālayārāma, etc.: see § 1.3.4.2 + n. 71; upādānārāma: SN IV 390.

1005. SN IV 389.

1006. Significantly enough, other complements of these expressions, not admitting of being interpreted in the sense of the five skandhas (as the totality of the constituents of one's personality), as e.g. $k\bar{a}ma$ or $tanh\bar{a}$ (see n. 1062), are n o t included in the list of Y 26,18f.

1007. Y 26,16f. (see n. 469).

1008. This expression is used here with reference to the alayavijñāna passage (Y 24,4f.) as a later element (see § 6.3.3) but not with the intention of excluding internal heterogeneity of materials (see n. 996). Considering this heterogeneity, my interpretation of Y 26,18f. in the light of the preceding sentence may not be entirely unobjectionable. Indeed, I should prefer to understand satkaya, etc., at Y 26,18f. not so much in the (canonical) sense of the five upādānaskandhas in their entirety as rather in the sense of the sarvabījaka ātmabhāvah consisting of the vipāka (or even primary *vipāka*) elements only (cp. § 3.11.2). One may argue - though I for one do not find it probable - that the notions of satkaya, etc., should, in view of their equation with the bijas, be interpreted as denoting, at Y 26,18f., $b i j a s a n t \bar{a} n a$ of Y 25,2off. (cp. § 3.4.3). But it would be rather arbitrary to take them to have, from the outset, denoted ālayavijñāna.

1009. Cp., e.g., TrBh 19,16f. (see n. 372); MSABh 186,1; ASBh 45,23; AKBh 278,21 and 279,12 + AKVy 444,9 and 445,2f.

1010. Y 26,11ff; 25,3; 25,12; 26,10.

1011. See § 3.11.2.

1012. See n. 1007.

- 1013. Y 26,11ff. (see n. 481).
- 1014. Cp., e.g., AKBh 92,25: santatau bījabhāvaḥ; 64,1; 97,6f.; 278,20f.: ko 'yaṃ bījabhāvo nāma / ā t m a b h ā v a s y a ... klesotpādanasaktiḥ; probably also 63,20: agnidagdhavrīhivad abījībhūte ā s r a y e klesānām ..., upahatabījabhāve vā laukikena mārgeṇa, i.e. "when the basis[-of-personal-existence] has become a non-Seed for Defilements ... like a rice-grain burnt by fire ..., or when its being a Seed [for Defilements] has been [temporarily] suppressed by the Mundane Path" (the illustration does, to my mind, not favour an interpretation of abīja- as a Bahuvrīhi), and 63,23f.: āsra-yaṣya tadbījabhāva- (cp. AKVy 147,18f.); MSg III.1 (*āsra-yaḥ ... bījabhūto ...: see n. 582); I.45 (see n. 574); Y 206,15 -bīja b h ū t a ṃ vijnānam, and 207,9, etc. (same expression with reference to nāmarūpa, etc.); 219,13: vijnānadīni vedanāvasānāni bījabhūtāni.
- 1015. Cp., e.g., Y 61,3: $bij\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$... $dharm\bar{a}vyatirekatv\bar{a}t;$ Y_t 'i 2b6f.
- 1016. Cp. Y_t zi 30a6f.; Y_t zi 208a4 ('dus byas kyi min can gyi dnos po la (= *samskṛtasamjñake vastuni) ... sa bon ... ñe bar 'dogs pa); cp. AKBh 64,4; with reference to ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a (instead of ātmabhāva, etc.): MSg I.16; with reference to citta: AKVy 149,3ff.
- 1017. It appears that Suguro himself does not exclude such an interpretation but seems to take it as one aspect only, to be supplemented, even in the concept of \bar{a} layavi-j \bar{n} \bar{a} na, by the aspect of \bar{a} laya as the subjective a ct of conceiving Self (Suguro 1963, 566, 10-12).
- 1018. YVy 115b7f.: 'jig tshogs la lta ba dan na'o sñam pa'i na rgyal gyi d m i g s p a (= ālambana!) yin pa'i phyir de dag gi gnas (= adhiṣṭhāna) yin no //.
- 1019. Cp., e.g., BoBh $_{D}$ 35,2ff. (BoBh $_{W}$ 51,3ff.): $vikalpaprapa\bar{n}-c$ \bar{a} d h i s t h \bar{a} n a \bar{m} $vikalpaprapa\bar{n}c$ \bar{a} l a m b a n a \bar{m}

v a s t u ... rūpādisamjānakam, yad vastv adhiṣṭhāya ... vi-kalpaḥ ... tasminn eva vastuni vicarati ...; Sāgaramegha is quite explicit in equating adhiṣṭhāna with ālambana (BoBhVy 86b1: de'i gnas ni dmigs pa'o); cp. also ASBh 45,2f.: iṣṭaviṣayādhiṣṭhānena sparšena ("[objective] basis"); slightly different ASBh 40,12f.: the object of false views (dṛṣṭi) and asmimāna is the object (ālambana) of [mental factors] referring to what has no reality (avastukaviṣaya), because [false views, etc.] have [non-existing] Self as their object (i.e. content!) (ātm ā d h i ṣ ṭ h ā n a tvāt). Cp. also Y 191,18 (adhiṣṭhāna of the act of giving is the t h i n g g i v e n (deyaṃ vastu) and the receiver (pratigrāhaka). Of course, 'adhiṣṭhāna' is also used in other meanings, e.g. in the sense of the support or gross material substratum of the subtle sense-faculties.

- 1020. Y 25,15f. (see n. 368).
- 1021. See ns. 377-379.
- 1022. Suguro 1982, 60,13f.
- 1023. PG 37ff. (see App. II).
- 1024. Suguro 1982, 61,15f. and 20f.
- 1025. Ibid., 61,5ff. (... **因も果もともに中心主体**としての一つの識のはた らきに属するとみなされている。 ...).
- 1o26. Ibid., 61,14f. (... アーラヤ識と染汚意は、対治によって解脱に達する実践主体…である ...).
- 1027. Ibid., 62,1ff. (... この染汚意は、自性清浄心と異ならないものと されている。 ...).
- 1028. See PG 44 (na ceha (W.: veha) kaścit saṃsartā, nirvāty api na kaścana); cp. 41cd (see App. II).
- 1029. See § 7.1B.2.1.4.2.d.
- 1030. For this verse and the following ones see the corresponding passages in App. II.

- 1031. It is thus at least as much concerned with $s \ a \ m \ u \ d \ a y \ a \ s \ a \ t \ y \ a$ as with duhkhasatya: see n. 1444(C).
- 1032. $\$rBh_W$ 174: pudgalanairātmyam paramārthaḥ / (= Y_m ; $\$rBh_m$, W.: -rthatas) tad-adhikārāt paramārthagāthāḥ (= Y_m ; $\$rBh_m$, W.: -rtham gāthā) /.
- 1033. Liberation as a state resulting from this "process" is treated in PG 42-44 (not included in App. II).
- 1034. PG 40ab.
- 1035. PG 5ab: kṣaṇikāḥ sarvasaṃskārāḥ, asthirāṇāṃ (see 1394) kutaḥ kriyā /.
- 1036. PG 39ab.
- 1037. PG 39cd.
- 1038. PG 41a.
- 1039. PG 40c.
- 1040. PG 41b.
- 1041. See n. 1447.
- 1042. See ib.
- 1043. See App. II and § 6.7.
- 1044. Suguro 1982, 60,4ff.
- 1045. In the latter case Suguro (1982, 60,11-13 and 18) would consider, for 'ātmabhāva-parigraha', the meaning "C l i n g-i n g to the body" (肉体に執着すること). I have, however, not so far noted any passage where 'ātmabhāva-parigraha' is unambiguously used in this sense. A passage in AKBh (333,19: see n. 1477(A(17))) which appears to express the idea of Clinging to the basis-of-personal-existence rather uses 'ā-grah-'.
- 1046. Suguro 1982, 60,10ff.
- 1047. Ibid., 61,1.
- 1048. Ibid., 61,1f.

- 1049. Exceptions are AS 1,24 + 2,2f. and 54,5 and 8 (see n. 1477 (A(15) and B)).
- 1050. See n. 1477.
- 1051. Cp. especially $MSgU_t$ 240a7f. (H 1975, (18),7) expressly referring, in this context, to the arising of a basis-of-personal-existence in the $\bar{a}r\bar{u}pya(dh\bar{a}tu)$.
- 1052. See § 6.2.2.d.
- 1053. Cp. Suguro 1982, 59,2f. (それが ... ニヴェーシャナをなして ...); cp. also ŚrBhw 184,7.
- 1054. Madhyamakakārikā XXVI.2:

 vijnānam samnivišate samskārapratyayam gatau /

 samniviṣṭe 'tha vijnāne nāmarūpam niṣicyate //
- 1055. Pr 552,4f., paraphrasing the second half of the verse:
 ... vijnāne s a m m ū r c h i t e ... nāmarūpam niṣicyate
 ... prādurbhavatīty arthah /.
- 1056. The same semantic affinity would also be implied if '(vi)-nivesana' is interpreted as a non-causative action noun (cp.
 n. 1474(C.b)), because in this case, too, ālayavijñāna would be what is stuck to.
- 1057. SrBh 16,15-18 (Katsube et al. 1981, (28)f.); Suguro 1982, 62.
- 1058. Which is, however, essentially confirmed by Y_c (398a2f.), whereas Y_t (wi 8a7) takes it, as I do, with $\bar{a}dita$ eva.
- 1059. Cp. DBhS 74,9 where 'dūrānugata', said of klešas, is rendered by one Chin. translation (T 1522, 187a25) as 深入 ("what has deeply entered"); cp. also the expression 'dūrānupra-viṣṭa' (Y_C 487b18: 深入) at BoBh_D 28,10 (BoBh_W 41,15).
 - Yet, 'dūrānugata' may, of course, also mean "permeating [it] (or: continuing) for a long period" (cp. SHT I, p. 279 [No. 623, fol. 27 V 3f.]: trī(!)kalpāsaṃkhyeyānugatatvād dūrānugataḥ). If this meaning is applied to the ŚrBh passage under discussion, it would not essentially change the import of the sentence as long as it is primarily referred to the

At T 1522, 187b1-3, ' $d\bar{u}r\bar{a}nugata$ ' is taken to indicate that the *klešas* continue up to the highest level of mundane existence.

- 1060. Suguro 1982, 62,15. Cp. the equation of $\bar{a}laya$ with $trsn\bar{a}$ at Vi 746c11ff. (see n. 193).
- 1061. Cp. n. 71.
- 1062. See ns. 202-204. In other canonical texts, we find, as the first member of compounds in $-\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$, -(abhi)rata, etc., apart from $\bar{a}laya$ both concepts like $sakk\bar{a}ya$ equivalent to the five skandhas or to (the basis of) personal existence $(\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va)$ (see n. 1004) as also the sense-objects or sensual pleasures $(k\bar{a}ma)$: Ja V 254; AN IV 438; $r\bar{u}pa$, rasa, etc.: MN I 503). To be sure, occasionally even $tanh\bar{a}$ occurs in such a position (SN IV 390), but even such a combination (which may be due to a mechanical variation of the subjective meaning of $\bar{a}laya$) does not support the assumption of a synonym compound but will rather convey the admittedly somewhat odd (cp. n. 202) idea of delighting in desire or attachment.
- 1063. Y_t zi 127a2 (rtag tu 'brel (D) ba'i lus kun gźi la chags pas so //) \equiv Y_c 626a19 (常體自身而蔵愛故).
- 1064. According to Y 99,5ff. (cp. Y_t zi 221a2ff. $\equiv Y_c$ 663b18ff.; cp. n. 624), the occurrence of pleasant and painful sensation in the basis-of-personal-existence (\bar{a} \$raya, $\approx \bar{a}$ tmabhāva [see n. 1009]) which on account of being permeated by

Badness (dausthulya) is like a burning ulcer (see n. 469), may be compared to the supervention (upanipāta) of contact with a cool object or of a caustic thing, respectively, whereas the occurrence of neither-painful-nor-pleasant sensation - unsatisfactory on account of samskaraduhkhata (cp. \S 4.1.4 + n. 490) - is like the natural burning of the ulcer itself when it is not touched by anything cool or caustic. Later on, when the basis-of-personal-existence in the older sense (see § 3.11.2-3) had, as the fundamental constituent of a living being and ultimate object of the notion of Ego, come to be replaced by \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na, Thirst $(trsn\bar{a})$ for (or attachment to?) conditioned entities in the state of samskāra-duḥkhatā is stated to be, in particular, Thirst for (or attachment to?) ālayavijnāna (cp. also n. 1421[end]), since the latter is characterized by neitherpainful-nor-pleasant sensation (ASBh 55,13ff.; cp. § 4.1.4 + n. 489 and § 5.9).

- 1065. Y_t zi 127a1: phrad par mnon par dga' ba dan / mi 'bral bar mnon par dga' ba, clearly referring to sukhā vedanā and its objects, etc. (cp. ASBh 55,12f.).
- 1065a. In the sense of the disposition to desire: cp. ŚrBh 493,16 āśrayasanniviṣṭas (ms.) tṛṣṇ ā n u ś a y ā dikah.
- 1066. Cp. the examples collected in Suguro 1982, 63f., and Yoko-yama 1979a, 13f.
- 1067. Y Sasaki 1982 and 1982a.
- 1068. AS 27,9.
- 1069. Y. Sasaki 1982, 190; 1982a, 133.
- 1070. Y. Sasaki 1982, 190.
- 1071. Ibid., 19of.; 192; Y. Sasaki 1982a, 133.
- 1072. Y_t 'i 311a5ff. (see § 4.3.2 + ns. 500, 502 and 504).
- 1073. Y. Sasaki 1982, 183 and 185f.; 1982a, 133.

- 1074. See n. 196; cp. also ns. 587 and 555 (for the absence, in Arhats, of sopādāna -vijnāna and of ālayavijñā-na as its successor) and Y 202,15ff. and SacAcBh § 5 (see App. I) (for the presence, in sopadhiseṣa-nirvāṇa [i.e. in Arhats], of vijnāna in its biological function and of ālayavijñāna as its successor).
- 1075. MSg. I.36: rnam par ses pa dan / min dan gzugs mdun khyim ltar gcig la gcig brten pa'i tshul gyis 'dug pa gan yin pa de yan rnam par smin pa'i rnam par ses pa med na mi run no //.
- 1076. SĀ_C No. 288 (81a9ff., especially b5ff.); AKṬU thu 112b1ff., especially 114b2-4 (cp. Honjō 1982, especially (82) § 13); cp. NidSa No. 6, especially 6.13; YVy 100a2ff.; AKVy 668, 2ff.; SN No. 12.67 (II 112ff.).

 The title of the Sūtra ought perhaps to be read as 'Naḍa-kalāpikā-sūtra': cp. n. 1086 and Honjō 1982, (85), pointing out that at NidSa § 6.13, too, feminine forms should be read in accordance with AKVy and Tripāṭhī's own ms. (NidSa p. 29); the uddāna at NidSa p. 10 (Nagara-Naḍakalāpike) is ambiguous.
- 1077. $MSgU_t$ 259b6f. = $MSgU_c$ 393b6ff. (cp. MSg_L II,59 and 14*f.); see also T 1833, p. 886a4ff.
- 1078. MSgU_t 259b5 (see § 12.2.2).

 That this quotation is, as Enomoto (1982, 46) and Kajiyama (1985, 339 and 353) suggest, taken from the Nagarasūtra (see ns. 1139 and 1140) and not from the Mahāvadānasūtra, follows from the fact that it uses the first person singular which is not used in the Mahāvadānasūtra (MAvSū p. 137, Vorgang 9b.13; Fukita 1982, p. 35 § 13). This fact was kindly pointed out to me by Fumio Enomoto.
- 1079. Enomoto 1982, especially pp. 49ff.
- 1080. Y_t 'i 289a5ff. (Y_c 829b7ff.), especially 289b1f.; quoted and discussed in Enomoto 1982, 50ff.

- 1081. Y_t 'i 290a3ff. (Y_c 829c7ff.), especially 290a5f., cp. Enomoto 1982, 53,5-8.
- 1082. Y, 'i 294a4ff. (Y 831b2ff.).
- 1083. Y₊ 'i 285a3ff. (Y_c 827c3ff.); cp. Enomoto 1982, 53,8ff.
- 1084. Y 198,17ff. (Y_t dzi 115b3ff.; Y_c 321a17ff.); Kajiyama 1985, 337f.; cp. also Aramaki 1963, 211f.; Odani 1976.
- 1085. Y 199,4-15 (though 199,10-13 appears to be a fragment from an explanation of the dependence of the Six Senses (ṣaḍā-yatana) on nāmarūpa!); cp. 202,16.
- 1086. Y 199,14 (where Y_m reads: ... varttamāne <'>dhvani naḍa-kālāpikā-yogena varttate (read naḍakă-?) ...).
- 1087. Y 230,4-9; this passage may refer to the Naḍakalāpikasūtra (cp. also Y 230,16-18), but more probably does so to the Mahānidānasūtra since a part of it (viz. Y 230,7-9) is almost a paraphrase of a passage of the latter (see § 7.3.4.1.3.b + ns. 1120 and 1121).
- 1088. Y 230, 10-15.
- 1089. Enomoto 1982, 54,15ff.
- 1090. Ibid., 54,19f.
- 1091. Ibid., 54,2of.
- 1092. Y 199,8-10; cp. 14f.
- 1093. Enomoto 1982, 53,10-12.
- 1094. Ibid., 53,27ff.
- 1095. Kajiyama 1985, 353.
- 1096. SĀ_C 85a28f.; NidSa 16.7: vijñānapratyayaṃ nāmarūpam (iti) nāma (text: nāmarūpaṃ) katarat / catvāro 'rūpiṇaḥ skan-dhāḥ / vedanāskandhaḥ saṃjñāskandhaḥ saṃskāraskandho vijñāna-skandhaḥ / (cp. MSūSg 117,24f.).
- 1097. Kajiyama 1985, 354,11f.
- 1098. Ibid., 345,13f.; 353,13-15.

1099. See n. 1078.

1100. Kajiyama (1985, 353) places him ca. 450-530, as does Nakamura 1980, 276. Katano (1975, 38-40), though very cautious, seems to favour a still later date. However this may be, in view of the fact that Hsüan-tsang quotes *Asvabhāva's MSgU as an a u t h o r i t y (which he never does with Dharmapāla [ca. 530-561], let alone Sthiramati [ca. 510-570]), it does seem that *Asvabhāva belongs to a generation earlier than the latter masters (cp. also Lindtner 1985, 115). Therefore, it is highly probable that, as Katano (1975, 39) himself suggests, chronological precedence is the import also of the fact that in the Tanjur *Asvabhāva's commentary on MSA is placed before Sthiramati's.

1101. Kajiyama 1985, 353,15ff.

11o2. Ibid., 338,8ff.

I do not find that there is any possibility of understanding, as Kajiyama (1985, 338,10-13) suggests, the six \bar{a} \$rayas of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ at Y 199,7f. as the six (ordinary) v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} - n a s , and the $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ based upon them as \bar{a} layavij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ na, for the normal thing would be to call \bar{a} layavij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ na the basis (\bar{a} \$raya) of the six $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}nas$ (cp. Y 4,4ff., etc.), not the other way round. Actually the Vastusa \bar{m} graha \bar{n} \bar{i} version of the passage \bar{a} \bar{a}

I equally fail to see why one should, in a "pre-ālayavijñā-nic" text, find it odd (Kajiyama, op. cit., 338,13-15) that the $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ arising at the moment of conception as the result of the Maturation $(vip\bar{a}ka)$ of previous karman, as well as the subsequent $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}nas$ which are still $vip\bar{a}ka$ (for there is no reason why the $vip\bar{a}kavij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ of Y 199,7 should be limited to the moment of conception, '... pratisthaya vartate' clearly suggesting duration), are identi-

fied with the six ordinary kinds of vijāāna (yathāyogam, of course). Even at the moment of conception, the assumption of a (dim form of) manovijāāna — as in Vaibhāṣika dogmatics: see § 3.3.0 + n. 232 —, though denied by later Yogācāra authors (cp. ib. + n. 237), does not seem to be impossible in an early text, at any rate not for lack of its indriya (viz. manas, i.e. any immediately preceding vijāāna, in this case the last citta of the preceding existence).

1103. Kajiyama 1985, 338,18ff.

However, Odani (1976) thinks that what is meant here by the terms 'vipākavijñāna', etc., is ālayavijñāna. But in my opinion Kajiyama, Enomoto and Aramaki (see n. 1084) are right in refraining from such an identification which would only be justified from the point of view of later systematization. In view of the heterogeneity of the materials assembled in Y it is, however, — at least as long as we are concerned with the original meaning of a given passage—advisable to abstain from such harmonizations and to take the text at its word (cp. § 7.1B.2.1.2).

- 1104. Kajiyama 1985, 352,15ff.
- 1105. Ibid., 352,18ff.
- 1106. See § 2.3 + ns. 155 and 156.
- 1107. The versions used by the Sarvāstivādins and Yogācāras contain 12 members in the case of *nivṛtti* but only 10 in the case of *pravṛtti* (Murakami 1973, 29ff.; Fukita 1982, 32ff.; Enomoto 1982, 47; Kajiyama 1985, 327ff.).
- 1108. Vi 124a26ff. (Vi₂ 97b13ff.); NA 504c15ff. (cp. Enomoto 1982, 48f.; Kajiyama 1985, 334f.). The problem is also discussed in the Vastusamgrahanī (Y_t 'i 289b1f.; cp. Enomoto 1982, 50ff.) and the Savitarkādibhūmi of the Basic Section (Y 230,10ff.; see § 12.1).
- 1109. This problem is expressly posed by the Sthavira (Śrīlāta) at NA 503b11f.

- 1110. I.e. in the context of the mutual dependence of vijñāna and nāmarūpa, which is taken as a causal relation between simultaneous factors (cp. NA 503b23ff.; 504a7ff.; c21f.), i.e. as "origination-in-dependence [explained as] reconcerning ferring to one single instant" (kṣaṇikaḥ pratītyasamutpādaḥ: NA 504a10; cp. AKBh 133,1ff.). It is, of course, only in this context that the difficulty of a "doubling" of vijnāna might be felt. It does not arise in the framework of "origination-in-dependence [explained as] referring to [successive] states" (āvasthikaḥ pratītyasamutpādaḥ: AKBh 133, 8f.) to which the unilateral dependence of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ on vijnāna is usually related by the Vaibhāṣikas (NA 504a9f.). In this case, both vijñāna and nāmarūpa are taken to comprise all the five skandhas, but at different moments (AKBh 131,24ff.).
- 1111. Vi 124c6ff. (Vi, 97c26ff.); cp. NA 5o4c25ff.
- 1112. Cp. AKBh 117,18ff.; NA 504c26; DN III 228; SN III 53f.; cp. Collins 1982, 216.
- 1113. I fail to understand why Kajiyama (1985, 351,1of.) thinks that even in this theory there is still "doubling" of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}-na$.
- 1114. NA 503b13f. (Śrīlāta's view, but in this respect not refuted by Saṅghabhadra; cp. NA 504c26); AKBh 133,3f. (context: kṣaṇikaḥ pratītyasamutpādaḥ [see n. 1110]): vijñāna-sahabhu-vaś ca t v ā r a ḥ skandhā nāmarūpam (cp. AKVy 286,6: saṃjñādi-skandha- t r a y a ṃ nāma); Shu-chi 366a16-19 (cp. also T 1832, p. 736b6f.). Cp. also the Theravāda interpretation of nāman in the context of pratītyasamutpāda, e.g. Vibh 162 (nāmaṃ = vedanākkhandho, saṅnākhandho, saṅkhāra-kkhandho); VisM XVII.187; Nyanatiloka, Buddhistisches Wörterbuch, 131. On the other hand, in the canonical Abhidharma texts of the Sarvāstivādins the situation appears to be different: cp. DhSk_D 35f. (DhSk_C 507c25ff.), where in the explanation even of 'nāmarūpa-pratyayaṃ vijñānam' nāman is

- consistently interpreted as including vijnāna too. But for deciding whether this implies a "doubling" of $vijn\bar{a}$ -na (cp. DhSk_c 508aó and 10: $\underline{\mathbf{QEB}}$; not confirmed, however, by Skt.), more careful investigation is required. Anyhow, DhSk_c 508a11ff. adds an explanation with a different interpretation of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$, which clearly does not imply a "doubling" of $vijn\bar{a}na$.
- 1115. Cp. Y_t 'i 295a3f. (Y_c 831c5ff.): min dan gzugs de'an skye mched drug po ñid de / ... y i d k y i s k y e m c h e d ... de las gźan pa'i gzugs med pa'i chos rnams dan mtshuns par ldan pa ... ni min yin no //.
- 1116. Cp. Vi 124b25 (Vi₂ 97c11); NA 5o3b29ff. Cp. also n. 111o. But (at least some of) the explanations proposed in DhSk may not presuppose simultaneity (see n. 1114).
- 1117. Cp., e.g., the theory of Bhadanta Rāma (a pupil of the Sthavira (i.e. Śrīlāta) acc. to Ak_p III, p. 81 note 2) at NA 504a1off.: $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ of $antar\bar{a}bhava \rightarrow pratisandhivijn\bar{a}na \rightarrow n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ of upapattibhava.
- 1118. Cp. NA 503b17.
- 1119. Y 230,5f.: vijnānasya dṛṣṭe dharme nāmarūpapratyayatvāt, nā-marūpasya punaḥ samparāye vijnānapratyayatvāt. Cp. also Vasubandhu's Pratītyasamutpādavyākhyā (PSVy 18b1f.) where the mutual dependence of vijnāna and nāmarūpa is, in a similar way, relaxed by referring the dependence of vijnāna on nāmarūpa to a context different from rebirth, viz. to the context of perception of objects on the basis of sensefaculty, object, and attention (manaskāra). Cp. also MK XXVI.2+4 and (though somewhat obscure in detail) DhSk_D 35, 16ff. (DhSk_C 507c25ff.).
- 1120. DN II 63: nāmarūpapaceayā viñnāṇaṃ ti ... / viñnāṇaṃ ea hi ... nāmarūpe patiṭṭhaṃ na labhissatha, ...; $DhSk_{n}$ 36,20f.
- 1121. Y 230,8f.: tan-nāmarūpa-pratyayañ (Y_m) ca punas tad vijñānam tatra pratiṣṭhām labhate.

- 1122. It is, however, more probable that in this statement, as also in the preceding sentence (see n. 1130), nāmarūpa originally means matter as far as it is biologically appropriated by mind, i.e. living corporeal matter (see § 7.3.4.1.3.c).
- 1123. Cp. MAVT 37,18. Y_c interprets 'pratisandhim upādāya' as "after [the act of] Linking up [a new] life has been achieved" (積生已後).
- 1124. Manovijnāna is, of course, based on nāman only.
- 1125. Y 199,7ff. (\approx Y_t 'i 285b1-3): tac ca (Y_m) vipāka-vijāānam tad eva nāmarūpam pratiṣṭhāya vartate ... -, yenôcyate "nāmarūpa-pratyayam vijāānam" iti / sahabhūtam cêndriya-rūpam samanantara-niruddham (Y_m) ca nāma ṣaṇṇām vijāānānām yathāyogam ā\$rayo, yad ā\$ritya yāvajjīvam vijāānasya pravṛttir bhavati /
 - 1. VaSg: *vipākajam vijnānam (see n. 255).
 - $Y_{\rm t}$ 'i 295a5f. ($Y_{\rm c}$ 831c1off.): "The $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, in its turn, continues, from Linking up onward (see n. 1123), to arise on the basis of (*pratisthaya vartate) just [that] namarupa, by way of being simultaneous [with the corresponding material sense-faculty (= $r\bar{u}pa$)] and arising immediately after [the preceding moment of mind which functions as mana-indriva (= $n\bar{a}man$)] (*sahabhāva-samanantarotpatti-yogena (?)). Therefore, it (sc. $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$) is, in its turn, in the present life conditioned by $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ " (rnam par ses pa de yan $\bar{n}i\bar{n}$ mtshams sbyor ba blans nas min dan gzugs kho na la gnas te lhan cig 'byun ba dan de ma thag tu skye ba'i tshul gyis 'jug go / de bas na de yan tshe 'di la min dan gzugs kyi rkyen las byun ba yin te /).
- 1126. The term 'pratisandhi-vijnāna' may also designate the $vijn\bar{a}-na$ immediately preceding the moment of conception or Linking up (see n. 259(c)). Cp. also the use of the term at Y_t 'i 295a4f. (see n. 1127).

1127. Cp. Y 198,22ff.; Y_t 'i 295a4f. (Y_c 831c8-10):

"This nāmarūpa, in its turn, is, in the present life, conditioned by being "pre-disposed" (*ākṣepa) and taken possession of (*parigraha) by the pratisandhi-vijnāna, ... (to be continued in n. 1131)."

(min dan gzugs de'an tshe 'di la ñin mtshams sbyor ba'i rnam par \acute{s} es pas 'phans pa dan zin pa'i (Υ_c : 執持) rkyen las byun ba ste / ...).

It appears that in this passage the term 'pratisandhi-vi-jnāna' denotes vijnāna not only in so far as it performs. Linking up but also in so far as it has prepared it during the prior existence.

- 1128. This is the position advocated in Vasubandhu's PSVy, where the saṃskāra-pratyayaṃ vijnānam, which, in its turn, becomes the condition (pratyaya) of nāmarūpa (PSVy 23a3f.; 29b7), is the (prior) vijnāna infested with karmic Impressions (18b5; 23a1f.), and not the pratisandhi(phala)vijnāna (detailed refutation: 20b4ff.), which is rather taken to be simultaneous with (and comprised in) the first moment of nāmarūpa (21b4; cp. also n. 1129).
- 1129. Cp. MAV(Bh) I.1ob (see n. 1477(A(7))); AS 26,21f. + ASBh 31,12ff.; PSVy 21b4 (see n. 1128); TrBh 37,22.
- 1130. Cp. Y_t 'i 313a6f. (see n. 240). Cp. also Y 199,3ff. ($\approx Y_t$ 'i 285a7f.) [difficult passage, translation tentative]:

"After dying in the past (i.e. in the prior existence), one produces a new (basis of) personal existence in the present, in due order, [viz. producing at first,] in the mother's womb, the vijnāna at the moment of Linking up, which is Result[-of-Maturation since it is] conditioned by the [karmo-pagam] vijnānam [of the prior existence] as its cause, and which entails (? yāvad eva ... °āya) the [formation and growth] of nāmarūpa (i.e. living, animated corporeal matter) in the womb through the successive stages of kalala, etc.,

[its birth, etc., and] finally (yāvad) its decay."

(sa kālam kṛtvā pūrvāntād vartamāne 'dhvany ātmabhāvam abhinirvartayaty anupūrveņa mātuḥ kukṣau hetuvijnāna-pratyayam (Y_m) pratisandhi-phala-vijnānam yāvad eva kalalatvādibhir avasthāvišeṣair uttarottarais tasya garbhagatasya nāmarūpasya yāvaj jīrṇatvāya /).

Yet, the use of the anaphoric pronoun 'tasya' may be taken to signalize that already the pratisandhiphalavijñāna stands for a (viz. the initial) phase of nāmarūpa.

Still less clearly Y 230,7f.:

"Due to $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, matter [consisting of] semen-cum-blood in the mother's womb, being taken possession of by $n\bar{a}man$ (i.e. by mind and the mental factors), coalesces [with them] so as to become the kalala (= $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$)."

(vijnāna-pratyayam ($\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{m}}$) mātuḥ kukṣau sukrasoṇitarūpam nāmapa-rigṛhītam kalalatvāya saṃmūrcchate).

- 1131. Y_t 'i 295a5 (continued from n. 1127): "... and it (sc. nāmarūpa) does not perish so long as it is not separated from this [vijnāna]" (de dan ma bral na med par mi 'gyur ba'o //).
- 1132. VGPVy 421b6-8; Shu-chi 366b1f. cp. also NA 503c1ff. Sańgha-bhadra (NA 503c6ff.; 504c2ff.) points out that the lack of agreement, of non-simultaneous vijñāna and nāmarūpa, with two reed bunches mutually supporting each other becomes altogether inevitable when the existence of past and future entities (which may be considered to imply a kind of co-existence even of non-simultaneous factors) is rejected.
- 1133. It could just as well have been interpreted in the sense of being only the normal condition but admitting of exceptions, as was done by (certain?) Mahāsāṅghikas according to Shu-chi 366b2off.
- 1134. See n. 154.
- 1135. Y 200,1f. (= Y_t 'i 285b7f.):

 "In the case of [living beings in] the immaterial [world-

spheres], however, $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na$ [subsists] on the basis of $n\tilde{a}man$ and of the Seed of corporeal matter, [and] $n\tilde{a}man$ and the Seed of corporeal matter [in their turn] subsist on the basis of $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na$."

(ārūpyeṣu (Y _ peṣu) punar nāmāsritam rūpabijāsritam (Y _) ca vijnānam, vijnānāsritam nāma rūpabijam ca pravartate /).

- 1136. One might argue that the Yogācāras may have been forced to assume, as the basis of $r\bar{u}pa$ in the state of $nirodhasam\bar{a}-patti$, a new kind of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, and not just Seeds of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, precisely on account of the passage of the Dharmadinnāsūtra (etc.) which states, in their version, that in $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$ $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ has not withdrawn from the body (§ 2.4). But of course it would be much more probable that this Sūtra passage took effect directly on the theory of $nirodhasam\bar{a}patti$ (as is actually documented in Y: see § 2.1), and not merely by media—tion of the interpretation of the mutual dependence of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ and $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$, $kalpan\bar{a}gaurav\bar{a}t$, and because there is no pertinent textual evidence in Y.
- 1137. The explanation of the dependence of nāmarūpa on vijnāna in the Mahānidānasūtra, viz. that, if vijnāna does not enter the womb or if it happens to leave it again or happens to be interrupted while the child is still young, nāmarūpa will not concretize or thrive, might be taken to imply the idea that vijnāna must not quit the body during one's lifetime, not even in nirodhasamāpatti, and thus to call for the assumption of some kind of vijnāna even in this state. But apart from the fact that it is more probable that it was the explicit reference to the existence of vijnāna in nirodhasamāpatti in the Dharmadinnāsūtra, etc., that led to this assumption (see n. 1136), other schools like the Sarvāstivādins, though acknowledging similar versions of the Mahānidāna passage (the Māc version, at least, is a Sarvāstivāda version), do not seem to have felt any need for drawing

such a conclusion. Actually, the wording of the Sūtra could even be taken to imply that it is only during the embryonic state and early childhood, but not later, that an interruption of *vijnāna* is fatal.

- 1. AKVy 669,1ff.; AKŢU tu 157a1ff.; DhSk_ 34f.; NA 485 b27ff.; MĀ_c 579c17ff.; T 14, 243b18ff.; DN II 63; DĀ_c 61b9ff.
- 1138. A Mūlasarvāstivāda version of the Mahāvadānasūtra is not known to me.
- 1139. Murakami 1973, 31ff. and Fukita 1982, 35 (revising NidSa No. 5.11-15; cp. also Vi 124a18ff.):
 - ... vijnānapratyayam ... nāmarūpam / tasya mamaîtad abhavat: kasmin nu sati vijnānam bhavati, kimpratyayam ca punar vijnānam / tasya mama yonišo manasikurvata evam yathābhūtasyābhisamaya udapādi / nāmarūpe sati vijnānam bhavati / nāmarūpapratyayam ca punar vijnānam / tasya mama vijnānāt pratyudāvartate mānasam nātah parato¹ vyativartate / yaduta nāmarūpapratyayam² vijnānam² / vijnānapratyayam nāmarūpam / ...
 - 1. Thus with MAvSū p. 137 (9b.13) and Fukita 1982, 41 note 13; Murakami 1973, 36: pareṇa.
 - 2. Thus with MAvSū p.137 (9b.14), T 714 and 715, Vi 124a 21f., and Fukita 1982, 41 note 17; omitted by Murakami.
- 1140. Murakami 1973, 31ff., especially 36 ($S\bar{A}_c$ No. 287, especially 80c2ff.; T 714 = vol. 16, p. 828a4f.; Gopālpur Brick II [see Murakami 1973, 24; cp. also de Jong 1974, 140 = 1979, 240]); Näther 1975, 29 (kindly brought to my notice by Fumio Enomoto):
 - ... vijnānapratyayam ... nāmarūpam iti / ⁽¹tasya mamaitad abhavat: kasmin sati vijnānam bhavati, kimpratyayam ca punar vijnānam iti /¹⁾ tasya mama vijnānāt pratyudāvartate mānasam, nātah parena vyativartate / yaduta vijnānapratyayam nāmarūpam / ...
 - (1 ... 1) Lacking in $S\overline{A}_{C}$ and T 714.
- 1141. As for Enomoto's and Kajiyama's thesis that some Yogācāra sources presuppose not the Mūlasarvāstivāda version of the

Nagarasūtra but rather one that contained, like that of the Sarvāstivādins, an express statement of the mutual dependence of *vijnāna* and *nāmarūpa*, I refer to § 12. The matter is, however, not decisive for my argument since even in case Enomoto and Kajiyama were right the version used by these Yogācāras would be just the same as that of the Sarvāstivādins, and not a more specific one.

- 1142. a) Nāman i n v a r i a b l y includes vijnāna;
 - b) $n\bar{a}man$, $r\bar{u}pa$ and $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ are strictly simultaneous;
 - c) nāmarūpa and vijnāna are invariably concomitant, i.e. both nāmarūpa and vijnāna are always present throughout saṃsāra, though it seems that lack of either nāman or rūpa must be admitted in certain situations (no nāman: nirodhasamāpatti etc., provided that the caittas of ālayavijnāna and, in the case of the other unconscious states, kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ are disregarded in this context; no rūpa: ārūpyadhātu).
- 1143. This development seems to have a forerunner in the *Pravṛtti Portion* of the *VinSg ālay*. *Treatise* (Y_t zi 5b3ff.; H 1979, 3of. [§ 3]), where the mutual dependence (*itaretara- or anyonya-pratyayatva) of ālaya v i j ñ ā n a and pravṛttivi-jñāna (which may be taken to represent $n \bar{a} m a n$) is treated; and this passage may be regarded as a forerunner all the more since it also alludes, in passing, to the material sense-faculties being appropriated by ālaya-v i j ñ ā n a (see n. 358). Cp. also Saṃdh V.2 which may be understood as explaining though not professedly the dependence of vijñāna on nāman and rūpa (cp. Takasaki 1982, 28), whereas V.4f. may be taken as an explanation of the dependence of at least nāman on vijñāna.
- 1144. Cp., e.g., NA 484b19ff.
- 1145. According to Kuei-chi (Shu-chi 366b23-25), precisely with regard to the moment of conception the introduction of

ālayavijñāna is not sufficient to settle the problems involved in the mutual dependence of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ and $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ (interpreted in the strict sense). Since in Kuei-chi's opinion in the first moment of a new existence none of the ordinary six kinds of $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ arises, he has to recur to kliṣṭaṃ manas (366b27f.) in order to supply (ālaya)vijñāna, in that moment, with a simultaneous $n \bar{a} m a n$.

- 1146. See § 7.3.2 + r. 1104.
- 1147. See § 7.3.2 + n. 1105.
- 1148. See also SrBh 384,11ff.
- 1149. See § 3.8.1 + n. 303.
- 1150. Y 200,15f.
- 1151. See n. 789.
- 1152. Y 200,13; 198,22 (see n. 1153).
- 1153. Y 198,22f.: tatkarmopagam (Y_m) cāsya vijnānam ā maraṇasama-yād anuvṛttam bhavati pratisandhivijnānahetubhūtam; 199,4: hetuvijnānapratyayam (Y_m) pratisandhiphalavijnānam; 200,14f.
- 1154. Cp. Y 206,14ff.: āyatyām cakṣurindriyā\$rayāyā (etc.) rūpaprativijnāpter (Ym) (etc.) yat puṇyāpuṇyāneñjya(Ym)-paribhāvitabi ja b h ū t a m v i j ñ ā n a m , yac ca tadbījasamudbhavam phalabhūtam (Ym); \$rBh 384,12f.: saṃskāra-parigṛhītam ca punarbhava-vijnānānkura-prādurbhāvāya tad-bījam (see n. 147(c)).
- 1155. Y 207,9: $vij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}na-b\tilde{i}ja-parigrh\tilde{i}ta-b\tilde{i}ja-bh\bar{u}tam$ (sc. $n\tilde{a}mar\tilde{u}pam$).
- 1156. Y 200,16ff.; 207,13 (read, with Y_m , $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa-b$ i j a -pari-gṛhīta-bīja-bhūtaṃ), etc.; cp. ŚrBh 384,14f. where, however, the $b\bar{i}jas$ of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$, etc., are simply stated to be possessed by or contained in (-parigṛhīta: see n. 147(b)) v i j n \bar{a} n a.
- 1157. Y 198,22f. (see n. 1153).

- 1158. antarābhava is not mentioned in this passage, i.e. is either disregarded or had not (yet?) been accepted at the time of composition (to be distinguished, of course, from the time of incorporation into the Vastusamgrahanī or the Savitarkādibhūmi). Cp. also n. 259(a).
- 1159. Y 199,4f. (see n. 1130).
- 1160. Cp. Y 199,9.
- 1161. Y 200,2 (see n. 1135); 201,11.
- 1162. Y, 'i 288a8f. (see n. 788).
- 1163. Y 24,7f. (see § 6.3.1).
- 1164. Y 4,11f. (see § 6.1.1(d)Y).
- 1165. Cp., e.g., Y 52,16 (citta-santatau bījāni sanniviṣṭāṇi) or 61,8 (vijāāna-santāne ... bīja-santānaḥ).
- 1166. See n. 10.
- 1167. Y_t zi 9a4ff.: see § 10, especially 10.1 (2a) and 3a 3d) and 10.3.3.

Cp. also MSA XIX.51:

"Insight which, being devoid of Clinging to both (sc. grāhya and grāhaka), has True Reality for its object and immediately perceives the mass of Badness (MSABh: = ālayavijñāna), is considered to entail, for the Wise (= Bodhisattvas), the extinction of the latter."

(tathatālambanam jnānam dvaya-grāha-vivarjitam / dauṣṭhulya-kāya-pratyakṣam tat-kṣaye dhimatām matam //)

- 1168. See \S 1.3.1(10), 3.7.1 and 5.4.2.
- 1169. See \S 1.3.1(11) and 5.7.
- 1170. See § 9.
- 1171. This would even fit Y_t 4b5f. (Y_c 58oa13f.; Chüeh-ting 1019b7 f.; H 1979, 27 [§ B.1]): "As this object [of ālayavijñāna] is not easily discerned (duṣpariccheda) even by the learned among [ordinary?] people, it is subtle (sūkṣma)" (dmigs pa

de ni 'jig rten gyi mkhas pa rnams kyis kyan yons su gcad par dka' ba'i phyir phra ba yin no //). Yet, it cannot be taken for granted that the different parts of the VinSg ālay. Treatise have to harmonize in every respect (cp. §§ 9 and 11).

1171a H 1978, 21,9; cp. 21,23f.; 22,15f.; 23,6.

1171b Ib. 22,1; cp. 22,7f.

1171c Ib. 21,8f.

1171d Ib. 21,19f.

1171e MSg I.20.

1171f See n. 321 and § 3.11.6.

- 1172. Cp. also Ui 1958, 170; Griffiths 1986, 94 ("... constructed as an ad hoc explanatory category ...") and 96 ("... as an ad hoc intellectual construct ...").
- 1173. Such a decision does not mean that one has to forget the existence of "tradition" altogether and for ever, as one of Hakamaya's arguments (H 1977, 223 [above, 10-12] + 227 note 23) seems to suggest. Of course it is necessary to raise, a fter an unbiased investigation of the text itself, the question whether and, if yes, how tradition can be reconciled with its results, even if one feels unable to offer a definitive solution (cp. S 1969, 819). It is, no doubt, good method to approach a problem in dependent and ently from as many different starting points as possible and a fterwards compare and evaluate the results (for an instructive example see Oetke 1977).
- 1174. Cp., e.g., Demiéville 1954, 38off.; Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 5off.; Mukai 1976, 23ff. and 33ff.
- 1175. H 1977, 220 (below, 14ff.); 221 (below, 14ff., especially 24ff.); 225 (above, 6ff.).
- 1176. Mukai 1976, 24f.

- 1177. Cp. Mukai 1978, 272; 1981, 681.
- 1178. H 1977, 223 (above, 3ff.); cp. 221 (below, 4f.).
- 1179. H 1977, 223 (above, 4ff.); 224 (above, 3-5).
- 1180. Other cases admit of being explained by the fact that later masters sometimes adopted the names of famous predecessors (as e.g. the Tantric masters called Nāgārjuna, Āryadeva, etc.: Seyfort Ruegg 1981, 104ff.), or that the name of the founder of an institution was used as a title by his successors (as in the case of Śańkara: P. Hacker, Śańkarācārya and Śańkarabhagavatpāda, in: Hacker 1978, 42f.).
- 1181. Cp. n. 451.
- 1182. H 1978, 2,22f.
- 1183. To be sceptical with regard to the historicity of "traditions" does not imply (as H 1977, 221 [below, 14ff.] seems to suggest) that one does not acknowledge that different cultures have different patterns of thought or evaluation (I should even maintain that such a difference is, on the contrary, rather ignored by those who take legends for historical documents in our sense). On the other hand, to acknowledge such a difference does not mean that one has to presuppose, at least in what we call philosophical texts, substantially different standards of logical or structural consistency, as is easily observed by looking into other Yogācāra texts like, e.g., Viṃśatikā and Triṃśikā, or Hsüan-tsang's Siddhi (成唯設論).
- 1184. H 1977, 221 (below, 23f.).
- 1185. Cp., e.g., Karl H. Schlesier, Zum Weltbild einer neuen Kulturanthropologie, in: Zeitschrift für Ethnologie 105/1980, 32 (This most impressive article was kindly brought to my notice by Mr. Volker Dumann).

- 1186. Schlesier, op. cit., 33; L. Klages in: H. Gruhl, Glücklich werden die sein ... Zeugnisse ökologischer Weltsicht aus vier Jahrtausenden, Düsseldorf 1984, 197f.
- 1187. S 1985a, 115ff.
- 1188. S 1985a, 102f.; 107f.; 112.
- 1189. Schlesier, op. cit., 33; Werner Müller, Indianische Welterfahrung (Ullstein-Taschenbuch, 1981), 4off.
- 1190. S 1969, 82of.; cp. also Yokoyama 1971.
- 1191. H 1977, 222 (above, 3ff.).
- 1192. Cp., e.g., § 5.11.
- 1193. I.e. except, perhaps, the absence of *vijňaptimātratā* in some parts of VinSg which presuppose Saṃdh (see § 10.3.1).
- 1194. S 1969, 819ff. notes 45 and 48.
- 1195. The same is, of course, also true of whole text passages (cp. H 1977, 226 note 22), which may freely be taken over from (the author's own or other authors') earlier works as also from Sūtras, either by way of explicit quotation or by way of simply being incorporated, with or without change in wording, with or without "cryptomnesia" (H 1977, 224 and 227 note 30). To expect a classical Indian author to distinguish expressly between what he has conceived or at least formulated himself and what he has taken over from earlier works of his line of tradition is to attribute to him a modern historical sense and to assume that he set great store by originality. For a traditionalist author, "originality" would have been a serious charge (even the Buddha is related to have stressed that he merely rediscovered the Ancient Path), but "plagiarism" a nonsensical one. Of course, an author will be inclined to expressly quote from a highly authoritive or canonical source in order to give additional support to his own view, but in the case of a work which has not (yet) reached such a status he will more probably simply make use of it.

- 1196. Deliberate avoidance appears to be the reason for, e.g., the non-occurrence of \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na in the "Maitreya texts" (see § 5.11).
- 1197. Cp., as an example, the material discussed in § 6.5.3, where the explanation in AS is more archaic than that given in Y.
- 1198. One has to stress that the notions "compiler" and "author" in the strictest sense are only the (hardly ever actualized) extreme points along a whole scale of intermediate stages.1 compiler usually contributes or selects at least some order or framework of arrangement, and most a u t h o r s will, more or less, and consciously or not, draw on what other people have already said. One may speak of "compilatory elements" if such elements are not fully integrated from the point of view of either form or contents. An author may even "compile" from his own works or rework them at different periods of his life, and if the development of his thought covers a variety of standpoints and/or if he is not a very systematic thinker, it may be quite difficult (and perhaps not even of primary importance) to distinguish such "pseudo-compilations" from compilations proper.
 - 1. I owe this idea to S. A. Srinivasan who expressed it in an unpublished lecture on the composition of the Nāṭya-śāstra, delivered in 1975 on the occasion of the XIXth German Congress of Orientalists at Freiburg.
- 1199. P. Hacker, Eigentümlichkeiten der Lehre und Terminologie Śańkaras: Avidyā, Nāmarūpa, Māyā, Īśvara, in: ZDMG 100/1950, 246ff. (= Hacker 1978, 69ff.).
- 1200. I.e. Yogācāra literature before (or anyway under exclusion of) the works of Vasubandhu (or of both Vasubandhus, if Frauwallner's theory is adopted).
- 1201. S 1969, 820; Yokoyama 1971, 30ff.
- 1202. S 1969, 821 note 47.
- 1203. Cp., e.g., MSg II.2, etc.

- 1204. H 1977, 226 note 13.
- 1205. Yokoyama 1971, 31.
- 1206. H 1977, 222.
- 1207. S 1972, 155f.
- 1208. H 1984a, 57f.
- 1209. $BoBh_{D}$ 32,11ff. = $BoBh_{W}$ 47,16ff.
- 1210. Seyfort Ruegg 1969, 319ff.; Nagao 1978, 542ff.; 1978a; Mukai 1974; 1983; Mizuo 1983; Hotori 1984, 55f.; 74f. note 1 (with further references); H 1984a, 55f.
- 1211. MN No. 121; $M\bar{A}_{c}$ No. 190; Kj No. 956.
- 1212. Nitya, etc., are taken as attributes of ātman in accordance with the final sentence of the quotation (ātmano 'bhā-vaḥ, showing that ātman is the dominant word) and ASBh 51,20 (nityādilakṣaṇasyātmanaḥ); cp. also the parallel from AD in n. 1214, etc. On the other hand, AS_t (9ob3f.) juxta-poses nitya, etc., and ātman; cp. also SĀ_c 56b24f. (see n. 1215); Y_t 'i 246a7 = Y_c 812a1o; Y_t 'i 36oa6 = Y_c 859a8f.; SĀ_c 72c14f.; MĀ_c 542c18f. In this case, the skandhas, etc., would be devoid of Self and Mine and of the qualities of permanence and unchangeability (in contrast to asaṃskṛta which is devoid of Self and Mine but not of permanence, etc.: Y_t 'i 246a7f.). But this too is absolutely traditional (Śrāvakayāna) ontology.
- 1213. The original wording of the passage is lost (Pradhan's retranslation is unacceptable), but it can be restored to approximately the following text (for details see S 1972, 155f.): *sūnyalakṣaṇaṃ katamat? yad yatra nāsti tat tena sūnyam iti samanupasyati, yat punar atrāvasiṣṭaṃ bhavati tat sad ihāstīti yathābhūtaṃ prajānāti; iyam ucyate sūnyatāva-krāntir yathābhūtāviparītā. kutra kiṃ nāsti? skandhadhātvāyataneṣu nityo dhruvaḥ sāsvato¹ 'vipariṇāmadharmā' ātmā ātmīyaṃ ca² nāsti; evaṃ tāni tena sūnyāni. kiṃ punas tatrāvasiṣṭaṃ

bhavati? tad eva nairātmyam. evam ātmano 'bhāvo nairātmyasya ca bhāvaḥ śūnyatâvagantavyā.

- 1. Or: nitya-dhruva-śāśvata-.
- For the sake of convenience I have disregarded the Sandhi rules in the preceding words. It is not clear whether one has to presuppose aviparināmadharman or "dharma or even "dharmaka."
- 1214. Cp., e.g., AD 254,3f.: śūnyāḥ sarvasaṃskārāḥ nityena dhruveṇa śāśvatenâvipariṇāmadharmaṇā (Jaini changes into -dharmeṇa) ātmanā (\bar{a}) tmīyena vā (cp. $S\overline{A}$ 72c14f.).
- 1215. C.p., e.g., MN I 297 and II 263 (suññaṃ idaṃ attena vā attaniyena vā) or SN IV 54 (cakkhu ... suññaṃ attena vā attaniyena vā, etc.) besides MN I 8 (attā nicco dhuvo sassato avipariṇāmadhammo). Both elements are combined at MĀ 542c18f. (corresponding to MN II 263) and at SĀ 56b24f. The latter passage (眼空常恒不變易法空我所空) corresponds to SN IV 54 and has been tentatively retranslated into Sanskrit in Lamotte 1973, 321, as *cakṣuḥ śūnyam / śāśvatenāvipariṇāmadharmeṇa śūnyam / ātmīyena śūnyam /. The passage is, however, corrupt, lacking an equivalent of ātman as is confirmed by the corresponding passage in the Vastusaṃgrahaṇī (Y 'i 246a7 = Y 812a1o).
- 1216. H 1984a, 58 (above); cp. also Nagao 1978, 548f.
- 1217. AS 40,14 (corrected in n. 1213).
- 1218. H 1984a, 58 (above).
- 1219. AS 40,15 (see n. 1213).
- 1220. S 1972, 154ff.
- 1221. SN No. 22.95; $S\bar{A}_c$ No. 265.
- 1222. AS 15,14-17 (original lost; on the basis of AS_t 65a2-4 and ASBh 19,25ff., I should retranslate into Skt. as follows): *yad uktam ⁽¹rūpam phenapindopamam, vedanā budbudopamā, samjnā marīcikopamā, samskārāh kadalīskandhopamāh, vijnānam māyopamam¹⁾ iti, tatra kim upādāya rūpam phenapindopamam yā-

vad vijnānam māyopamam? ātmāsattām² šucyasattām alpāsvādatām³ adrāhāsāratām⁴ copādāya⁵ /

- (1 ... 1) Or perhaps better with anteposition of the predicates. Cp. SN III 142 (verse); a Sanskrit verse version is available in PG 17-18 (SrBh_W 170,1-3; cp. also Pr 41,9ff. and 549,2ff.) and should perhaps be inserted here, too, but AS₊ is in prose.
- 2. ASBh 20,2 + note 1. Tatia reads ātmasattām which he changes into anātmatām.
- 3. ro bro ba chun ba ñid; cp. MVy 6894.
- 4. mi brtan žin snin po med pa nid.
- 5. ASBh 20,2.
- 1223. AS 40,16-18 (retranslation, corrected by myself on the basis of AS_t 90b6f. and ASBh 52,1ff.):

 *api khalu trividhā šūnyatā (1 svabhāvašūnyatā tathābhāvašūnyatā prakṛtišūnyatā) ca / ādyā (1 parikalpitaṃ svabhāvam upādāya) draṣṭavyā, dvitīyā (1 paratantraṃ svabhāvam upādāya) draṣṭavyā , tṛtīyā (1 pariniṣpannaṃ svabhāvam upādāya) drasṭavyā /
 - $(1 \ldots 1)$ ASBh 52,1-4.
 - 2. Thus AS_t and AS_c, but perhaps superfluous in Skt.
- 1224. H 1984a, 58 (below).
- 1225. Cp., e.g., AS $31,6 = AS_t 81b3$; 31,12 = 81b8; 31,22 = 82a7.
- 1226. The terms themselves could, of course, easily be interpreted in the sense of pudgala-nairātmya, too: the imagined ātman and ātmīya is empty of essence; skandhas, etc., originating in dependence, are empty of being such [as they are imagined, viz. as ātman or ātmīya]; (pudgala)-nairātmya is emptiness by nature. I do not suggest that the author intends them to be interpreted thus, but at any rate he does not explicitly exclude such an interpretation.

1227. AS 31,6ff. (AS_G 29,1ff.): ... tatra parikalpitalakṣaṇaprabhedaḥ katamaḥ / skandhadhātvāyataneṣv ā t m e t i vā sattvo jīvo jantuḥ poṣaḥ p u d g a l o manujo mānava iti vā yat parikalpyate / vikalpitalakṣaṇaprabhedaḥ katamaḥ / tāny eva skandhadhātvāyatanāni / dharmatālakṣaṇaprabhedaḥ katamaḥ / teṣv eva skandhadhātvāyataneṣu ātmābhāvaḥ ... nairātmyāstitā /

In contrast to parikalpita, vikalpita would seem, in this interpretation, to designate not the effected but the affected object.

- 1228. S 1972, 156.
- 1229. Conze/Iida 1968, 238,2ff.; cp. also H 1975b, (20)ff., especially (24)f.; 1975c, (13)ff.
- 1230. AS 84,11ff.
- 1231. Cp. Hotori 1982, 44 note 10; 48 note 35; 52 note 95; cp. also Hotori 1984, 61.
- 1232. = H 1979, 43f. (§ II, especially 2.a). This passage states that not only in nirodhasamāpatti but a l s o in a s a m j n̄ i s a m ā p a t t i, āsamjn̄ika, deep sleep and deep swoon [a non-Arhat] is possessed of ālayavijn̄āna but l a c k s p r a v r t t i v i j n̄ ā n a . In this statement, the new manas (which should be missing in nirodhasamāpatti but not in asamjn̄isamāpatti, etc.¹) is e i t h e r not taken into account because it was not considered to be included in pravrttivijn̄āna which would, however, run counter to Y t zi 6a5f. (H 1979, 32 [§ 4.b.A.1]) and 189b2 (see n. 357) -; o r and this is more probable the new manas is not taken into account because it had not yet been introduced when the above statement was formulated (or had at any rate not been accepted by its author).
 - Cp. apart from slightly later sources like MSg I.7A.4-5
 Y zi 6a6f. which states (without a n y restriction: see n. 657) that manas accompanies ālayavijñāna in both conscious a n d u n c o n s c i o u s states.

- 1233. Cp. ns. 1351 and 357; Suguro 1977, 138 (above, 5ff.).
- 1234. Y_t zi $9a3-b3 \equiv Y_c$ $581b22-c8 \equiv$ Hsien-yang $567b13-28 \equiv$ Chüeh-ting 1o2oa28-b11; H 1979, 39-41; cp. SaṃdhVy co 1o4b5-1o5b1 (omitting 2b): see n. 124o) and 78a2-5 (abbreviated). As for the compositional structure of the passage, see § 11.1.
- 1236. According to N. Hakamaya (1979, 50 note 103; cp. also Ui 1965, 746; but otherwise Ui 1958, 188), this sentence has to be taken, against Y_C (復次 ...) but with Hsien-yang (是故 ..., and 又 in the beginning of the next sentence), with the preceding paragraph of the text (viz. 5.b.B.1). But see § 11.2.4.3.
- 1237. See § 11.2.2.
- 1238. Or: *tasyaîvam samklesamūlasyâlayavijnānasya; cp. passages like ŚrBh 506,6 (tasyaîvam bhāvanā-prayuktasya ...) or BoBh 38,8 (sc evam vasitāprāptah ...); 33,18; 225,11.
- 1239. Thus acc. to Hts. (達...已); Tib. rtogs par byed pa na would seem to be based on *pratividhyan. Cp. § 10.3.3.3.a + n. 1322.
- 1240. According to Pa.'s rendering, one should refer *tatra to ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a: "He then perceives all [constituents of] Pollution to be altogether assembled there (= in ālayavijñāna)." Yet, in my opinion this would unduly anticipate the sentence next but one (3a). Of course, there may be incoherence due to heterogeneity of materials; in other words: due to 2b being, per haps intrusive to the main material of the Nivitti Portion. In this connection, it is worth noting that 2b is missing in the (quasi-)quotation of the passage at SaṃdhVy co 105a3 (cp. § 11.2.4.5 + n. 1355). On the other hand, 2b appears to be reminiscent of

- Samdh VIII.37.3 (see n. 627), though this need not, perhaps, contradict its being intrusive to the "main material".
- 1241. Or: "should view", if Tib. <u>b</u>lta is correct; but this is hardly in agreement with Hts. (能... 觀察) and Pa. (即見...).
- 1242. *prapañca appears, in this passage, to be practically equivalent to samklesa (cp. Pa.'s rendering of *prapañca-saṃgṛhīta by 煩惱語!), i.e. to be understood as undue (i.e. pollutive or unwholesome) intellectual and emotional psychic activities and the entities which these activities produce or refer to or characterize; cp. the definition of prapañca as klesāḥ saklesās ca skandhāḥ at Y 84b2 (see n. 532(b)).
- 1243. I have preferred the reading of SaṃdhVy ('du byed kyi rnam pa spros pas bsdus pa) because it is more easily reconciled with Hts.'s rendering (一切政論所抵諸行 , i.e. "... of all saṃskāras comprised in prapaāca"). In contrast to this, the reading of Y_t ('du byed kyi rnam par spros pas bsdus pa) would have to be taken to mean "(all those [constituents, or fetters]) belonging to the [pollutive] proliferation/diversity (viprapaāca: cp. MVy 2926, but so far not noted by me in Y) of conditioned factors".
- 1244. I.e.: "these factors" (Hts.) or "all prapañca" (spros pa thams cad: SaṃdhVy).
- 1245. Cp. ASBh 121,29f.; see H 1979, 65 + 78 note 71.
- 1246. Tib. (pas): "by", which is not confirmed by Pa. (Hts. is ambiguous) nor by the parallel passages (see n. 1247), where 'āsevanānvayāt ...' is never found to occur with an instrumental but only with a genitivus objectivus.
- 1247. Cp., e.g., \$rBh 200,7 (Y_t wi 94b3; Y_c 428b19f.); 403,1f. (Y_t wi 178b1; Y_c 458a14f.); 499,6f. (Y_t wi 229b5f.; Y_c 475c3f.); 506,10-12 (Y_t wi 233b6; Y_c 476c28f.).

- 1248. Cp. ŚrBh 506,1 samanantaraprahine kleś</br>
 Wi 233b2 non mons pa spańs ma thag tu = Y_c 476c21 惑 (text: 或)
 斯無間; cp. DBhS 82,26 or 83,14 (read samanantara-samāpanne ... tasmin with DBhS_K 180,3 + note 9). Another possibility is *sahāśrayaparivṛtteḥ: cp. BoBh_D 13,7 saha-cittotpādāt (see BHSD s.v. saha-) = Y_t źi 13b2 sems bskyed ma thag gis; cp. DBhS 64,9 and 16, or 83,6 (Tib.: ... ma thag tu).
- 1249. Omitted in SamdhVy co 104b5f. and 78a2.
- 1250. Thus Y_t and SamdhVy co 78a2; ib. 104b5: bsgom; cp. 104b3: sgom.
- 1250a SamdhVy co 104b6: bya ste /.
- 1251. SamdhVy co 104b6 and 78a3: sgom.
- 1252. SamdhVy co 104b8: na.
- 1253. D: bsgom; no equivalent in Hts.
- 1253a Missing at SamdhVy co 104b7.
- 1254. D: pa yan; SamdhVy co 104b8: pas.
- 1255. SamdhVy co 105a3: so //.
- 1256. Thus D and SamdhVy co 105a3; P lacks chos thams cad kyi.
- 1257. P: nes par rtog; D: bcins pa rtogs.
- 1258. SamdhVy co 105a5: ses pa de ni.
- 1258a Thus SamdhVy (ib.); Y_t: par.
- 1259. SamdhVy (ib.) om. de dag.
- 1260. SaṃdhVy (ib.): khams da n l da n pa.
- 1261. SaṃdhVy (ib.): de la spros pa thams cad instead of kun $g\acute{z}i$ rnam par ses pa la.
- 1262. SamdhVy (ib.): gcig bsdus.
- 1263. Thus D; P: spuń pa; SaṃdhVy (ib.): spań ba/pa.
- 1263a SamdhVy co 105a7 wrongly bsogs.
- 1264. Thus P, D and SamdhVy co 105a8; read pa??

- 1265. P, D and SamdhVy (ib.): brten; but cp. Hts. and Pa. 修習 and the passages referred to in n. 1247.
- 1265a SamdhVy (ib.) falsely gnas par.
- 1266. Thus SamdhVy co 105a8; 78a4: su gyur; Y+: 'gyur.
- 1267. SamdhVy co 78a4: span bar (but 105b1 spans par).
- 1268. SamdhVy co 78a4 and 105b1: span bar.
- 1269. Ōsaki 1978.
- 1270. Ib., 1069. Spacing mine.
- 1271. Ib., 1068. Spacing mine.
- 1272. Ib., 1067.
- 1273. Ib., 1068. Cp. also Ui 1965, 752f.
- 1274. See n. 1167.
- 1275. See also § 2.13.7 + n. 221.
- 1276. Saṃdh VIII.3 (p. 89,14-16). "... he inwardly contemplates that citta by means of which he is contemplating [those doctrinal texts]" (sems gan gis yid la byed pa'i sems de nan du ... yid la byed do //, corresponding to something like *yena cittena manasikaroti, tac cittam adhyātmam ... manasikaroti). Cp. also VIII.5 (p. 90,8-9); VIII.6 (p. 90,27); VIII.9 (p. 92,1f.).
- 1277. Cp. the context of the passages indicated in n. 1276.
- 1278. E.g. SrBh 363,14f.; AS 75,15f.; BoBh_D 77,5ff.; also MSA XVIII.66.
- 1279. Samdh VIII.9 (p. 92,11-13); cp. VIII.20.2.3.
- 1280. Saṃdh VIII.37.2: ji ltar na gnas pa la mkhas pa yin źe na / gal te rnam par rig pa'i de bźin ñid yan dag pa ji lta ba bźin du $(Y_t$ 'i 86b8) rab tu ses pa'o //.
- 1281. See n. 627.

- 1282. ŚrBh 497,2off., especially 498,2ff. (cp. S 1982, 79): sa utpannotpannam c i t t a m nirudhyamānam ... paśyati .../ sa ... cittena c i t t a m ā l a m b a n ī k a r o t y .../
- 1283. SrBh 498,2f. (see n. 1282) and 8ff.
- 1284. SrBh 498,5-7 and 499,6ff.; S 1982, 78f.
- 1285. S 1982, 80-82.
- 1286. See n. 1275.
- 1287. Yokoyama 1980, 201,18.
- 1288. See n. 627.
- 1289. Cp. Samdh VIII.7-8; S 1984.
- 129o. See n. 128o.
- 1291. Cp. S 1976, 239 and 243.
- 1292. Cp., e.g., MN I 355; ŚrBh 9,16ff. (Katsube et al. 1981, (22)f.) and 68,1ff. (Mano et al. 1985, 56f.).
- 1293. MN III 18 (... imasmim ca saviñnāṇake kāye bahiddhā ca sabbanim ittes u ahaṃkāra mamam kāra mānā-nusayā...).
- 1294. Y_m 89a7 (Y_t dzi 197b4-6; Y_c 35oa24-27): (tatra cittaṃ kāye upādānabandhena baddham / ...) rūpādiṣu viṣayanimitteṣu vi-jñaptibandh<e>na[ḥ] / rāgādibhiḥ klesopaklesair eṣv eva yathānirdiṣṭeṣu kāyādiṣv abhinivesabandhena baddham /
- 1295. Cp., e.g., Samdh VIII.34.2 (\equiv Hsien-yang 568b6f.; cp. AS 9,21f.):
 - "When one lets one's mind stray to the five external objects of desire (kāmaguṇa) or ... to [appealing] characteristics (nimitta; SaṃdhVy co 238b6f. explains: the characteristics of the figure of a man or woman, etc.) ... or [other?] external objects, then this is 'outward distraction of mind'."
 - (gal te phyi rol gyi 'dod pa'i yon tan lha po dag dan / ... mtshan ma dan / ... phyi rol gyi dmigs pa rnams la sems rnam

par 'phro bar gton na de ni phyi rol tu sems rnam par g-yen ba yin no //).

Cp. also the characterization of the five kinds of sense-perception as "distraction by nature" ($svabh\bar{a}vavik$, epa) at AS 9,2of. (\equiv Hsien-yang 568b12f.).

1296. See n. 1294.

1297. I admit that my interpretation of the passage (text: see n. 1298) is only a preliminary attempt and that a thorough treatment will have to take into account - with due caution - additional materials like the close parallel in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (Y₊ zi 190a2 = Y₀ 651c3f.):

"This manas is called the support $(\bar{a}sraya)$ of manovij $\bar{n}a$ na, since [it is] on the basis of the [former that in the latter] conceptual activity (vikalpa) arises in such a way."

(gźi des de ltar rnam par rtog pa 'jug pa ñid kyi phyir yid de ni yid kyi rnam par šes pa'i gnas źes (P: šes) bya'o //),

or MSA IX 42 (manaso 'pi parāvṛttau vibhutvaṃ labhyate param ... jāāne nirvikalpe ...; cp. XI.45).

As far as I can see, the passage from the Sacittikabhūmiviniścaya admits of a similar interpretation as the one under discussion, or may even be taken to mean that under the influence of the conception of 'I' and 'mine' in which manas consists (cp. Y_{+} zi 189b5: see n. 946), in manos u c h concepts, viz. the concepts of 'I' vijnāna, too, and 'mine', arise. And even in the MSA passage the opposition between manas and nirvikalpa-jñāna is not inexplicable if we start from manas as a continuous notion of Ego (cp. MSA XIX.76a?), since nirvikalpa-jñāna is taught to penetrate, in the first bhūmi of a Bodhisattva, into the True Essence of dharmas (dharmadhātu) under the aspect of sameness (samatā: MSA XIV.29-30) or omnipresence (sarvatragārtha: MAV II.14a) so that one realizes the sameness of oneself and others (MSA XIV.30; cp. MAVBh

35,10f. (ad II.14)) which is just the opposite of the conception of Ego (as distinct from others) typical of (klistam) manas.

At any rate, unlike Kelsang/Odani (1986, 141f.) I hesitate to deduce, from cryptic passages like the one under discussion, the idea that manas was, already in this early period, conceived of not only as a subtle notion of Ego but also as associated with a subtle notion of dharmas [as real entities] (dharmagrāha); for such an idea appears to be (unambiguously) documented only in fairly late texts like Si 6c29ff. (or 24a28ff.), VGPVy 385a2ff., or Tson-khapa's YidKun 60,8ff. (Kelsang/Odani 1986, 84) where, by the way, the faculty that gives rise to dharmagrāha = jneyāvarana is unmistakably attributed to manas as the seventh vijnāna (bdun yid) and not, as Kelsang/Odani 1986, 14of., seem to suggest, to the samanantara-manas. (The old dichotomy of klista- and samanantara-manas has nothing to do with the presumably fairly late - dichotomy of ātmagrāha and dharmagrāha as two functions of manas, i.e. of the "seventh vijnāna".)

That it is problematic to interpret early sources in the light of this later theory of manas comprising dharmagrāha seems to be confirmed by the fact that even a comparatively late author like Sthiramati (SAVBh mi 142a5ff. [= BShK 2, 83,2ff.]; cp. SAT 79b5ff.) does not seem to be familiar with it but rather takes the above-mentioned verse MSA IX.42 to presuppose that as long as kliṣṭaṃ manaḥ functions in the wrong way of conceiving ālayavijñāna as 'I' or 'mine', manovijāāna, too, arises, on its basis, in the wrong way of clinging to non-existent dharmas as if they existed.

1298. Y_t zi 6b2 ($\equiv Y_c$ 58oc9-11 \equiv Hsien-yang 566c6f. \equiv Chüehting 1019c13f.); H 1979, 32f. (\S <u>4.b.A.2</u>); cp. also the quotations at Si 25c13f. and YVy 97b7f., and the verse version quoted at MSgU_c 384c29f. (\approx Si 25c18f.; cp. H

1978a, 305); as for the "intrusive" character of this paragraph see n. 1351.

The first part of the passage does not pose serious problems;

In Y_{+} , it runs as follows:

yid kyi rnam par \boldsymbol{s} es pa de ni yid la brten pa \boldsymbol{z} es by aste /

This would correspond to something like

*tan manovijnānam mana-ā\$ritam ucyate,

which is essentially confirmed by all the versions (provided that we ignore that Si omits mano- before vijñānam).

In the second part of the passage, however, the various versions diverge considerably:

Y_t :		rgyu	mtshan	gyi	yid	та	'gags	na
YVy:					yid	та	'gags	na
Hts.	Y_{c} :				彼	未	滅	畤
	${Y_{c}: \atop \text{Hsien-yang:}}$				意	未	滅	時
Pa.	(Chüeh-ting):				心	未	滅之	時

Y₊: rnam par rig pa'i

YVy: rnam par rig pa rnams kyan

 Y_C:
 相
 了別

 Hsien:
 於相
 了別

 Pa:
 意識

Yt:
'chin ba
mi 'grol la /

YVy:
mtshan ma la beins pa las mi 'grol la /

Yc:
#

Rsien:
#

不解說
.

Area
*

Area
*
</

Y₊: 'gags na ni YVy: 'gags na Y_c: 末那 滅已 意 Hsien: 若 滅已 心 滅 因 若 Pa.:

 Y_+ : 'grol ba'i de phyir ro // gröl bar YVy: dе las 'gyur ro // 解脱 ٥ Y_{c} : 相縛 解脱 Hsien: 相縛 o 意識 則 解 Pa.:

As a presumable original on the basis of which all these versions can be explained I should like to propose something like

*aniruddhe hi manasi nimitte vijñaptibandh(an)āmuktiḥ, niruddhe ca(?) tanmuktiḥ /.

There can be no doubt that the passage has to be underalong the lines of Y_m 89a7 (see n. 1294). This means stood that $vij\bar{n}apti$ is not, as in YVy and Chüeh-ting(?), the subject of *amukti but has to be construed with *bandha(na) and to be taken as the first member of a rūpaka compound designating the "fetter consisting in cognition", by which mind, in the present context manovijñāna (to be supplied from the first part of the passage), is tied to its object (*nimitte, m i s understood as an apposition to *manasi by Y_{+} and Chüeh-ting), and from which (YVy) it is not freed as long as manas has not ceased. Accordingly, in the last part of the passage, *tad- (in *tan-muktih) will refer not to manovijnāna (as in Chüeh-ting) but to the fetter, to the "[vijñapti]bandhana [by which manovijñāna is tied] to its object" (Hts.) and from which (YVy) it is freed as soon as manas has ceased.

- 1. Starting from my reconstructed text, it would seem that Pa. has misconstrued *vijnapti as the subject of *amuk-tih and has, as is reasonable on this presupposition, at the same time interpreted it as manovijnana.
- 1299. It should be interesting but is of course beyond the limits of the present essay to analyze the complicated discussion devoted to this passage (and to the reference to it at Si 25c11ff.) in the commentaries of the masters of the Chinese and Japanese Fa-hsiang (Hossō) school (e.g. T 1828, 60ob5ff.; T 1829, 175c24ff.; T 1830, 414a5ff.; T 1831, 64ob9ff.; T 1832, 747c3ff.; T 2266, 492a21ff.).
- 1300. Y_t zi 8a5f. (see n. 444).
- 1301. See, e.g., AKBh 94,21f.; 95,15; 158,1f.; 192,3ff.; cp. Sh. Kumoi and H. Sakurabe in: Kumoi 1979, 57ff. and 298.
- 1302. AS_t 102b6-8 (AS 55,10ff. [largely unreliable reconstruction]; AS_c 679b24-27; cp. ASVy_t 244a2f.): las thun mon ba źes kyan 'byun / las thun mon ma yin pa źes kyan 'byun / ... thun mon ba gan źe na / gan snod kyi 'jig rten rnam par 'byed pa'o // thun mon ma yin pa gan źe na / gan sems can gyi 'jig rten rnam par 'byed pa'o //, corresponding to something like *sādhāraṇaṃ karmety apy ucyate / asādhāraṇaṃ karmety apy ucyate / asādhāraṇaṃ karmety apy ucyate / ... sādhāraṇaṃ katamat / yad bhājanalo-kavibhājakam(?) / asādhāraṇaṃ katamat / yat sattvalokavibhājakam(?) /
- 1303. The same holds good for ālayavijñāna as the "root" or cause of the mutual influence of living beings (Y_t zi 8a6-b1 = H 1979, 37f. [§ 5.b.A.3]; not referred to in the concluding summary [Y_t 8b2-4 = § 5.b.A.5]!):

 "Besides, it (viz. ālayavijñāna) is also the root of living beings acting upon one another (*anyonya-(pra)vṛtti-mūla), [such acting upon one another having to be accepted] because all living beings influence one another (*itaretarādhipa-tyāt). For there is no living being which would not experience pleasure or pain, etc., by perceiving, etc., other

living beings (*tathāhi na so 'sti kašcit sattvo yas tadanyasattvadaršanādinā sukhaduḥkhādi nānubhavet, or the like)."

(gźan yań sems can thams cad ni gcig gi dban gcig yin pa'i phyir sems can phan tshun 'jug pa'i rtsa ba yan yin te / 'di ltar de las gźan pa'i sems can gyi mthon ba la sogs pas bde ba dan / sdug bsnal la sogs pa ñams su myon bar mi 'gyur ba gan yin pa'i sems can de (D: de dag) ni 'ga' yan med pa'i phyir ro //)

In the Abhidharmasamuccaya parallel (see n. 1302), this effect, too, is ascribed to a special kind of k a r m a n (AS $_{\mathbf{t}}$ 102b8f.; AS 55,13ff. [entirely unreliable reconstruction]; AS $_{\mathbf{c}}$ 679b27ff.; ASVy $_{\mathbf{t}}$ 244a3f. + 4f.): yan gan gis sems can rnams phan tshun gyi dban gis byun ba źes brjod pa 2 sems can rnams kyi phan tshun gyi 3 dban gis

žes brjod pa² sems can rnams kyi phan tshun gyi³ dbaṅ gis 'byuṅ ba'i las kyaṅ yod de / 'di ltar gaṅ⁴ sems can ⁽⁵gźan rnams daṅ⁵⁾ phan tshun du lta⁶ ba la sogs pas ^{7 (8}ñe bar⁸⁾ spyod par mi 'gyur ⁽⁹ba'i sems can⁹⁾ de ni ⁽¹⁰thun moṅ ba¹⁰⁾ ma yin no //

This would be based on something like

*api khalv¹¹ asti sattvānām ⁽¹²anyonyādhipateyaṃ karma¹²⁾, yenānyonyādhipateyāḥ sattvā ucyante(?) / tathāhi na sulabhaḥ sa sattvo yasya tadanyasattvānyonyadaršanādyupabhogo na syāt(??) /

- 1. D add kyi.
- 2. P pa'i; ASVy pa /
- 3. P gyis.
- 4. P om.
- (5 ... 5) ASVy: $d e l a s g\'{z}an pa'i sems can rnams k y i g z u g s la, but there is no equivalent for gzugs in <math>AS_c$.
- 6. ASVy (P): blta.
- 7. ASVy: pa'i.
- (8 ...8) P: nes par; ASVy: lons.
- (9 ... 9) ASVy: ba.
- (10 ... 10) D: moń pa; ASVy: rñed pa sla ba; cp. ASc (不) 易可得!

- 11. Cp. AS 30,25, etc. (12 ... 12) Cp. ASBh 67,6.
- 1304. Y_{+} zi 4b1f. (see § 5.6.3 + n. 633).
- 1305. TrŢ 17b4 and 18a2f.; cp. H 1979, 71 note 6. Cp. also MSgU_t 271b1-3 (S 1984, 448f.): *ālambana-vijñapti- (at Saṃdh VIII.7) glossed by *ālambana-pratibhāsa or the like. See also n. 628a.
- 1306. Cp. also Takasaki 1985, 36,13ff.
- 1307. I.e. if the reading suggested by TrBh 19,5, viz. *dvi d h â lambanataḥ pravartate, which in fact appears better, could be preferred to *dvi v i d h ā lambanataḥ pravartate, though the latter is, to be sure, supported by all the three versions of the VinSg ālay. Treatise (cp. H 1979, 7f.).
- 1308. Similarly, at Y₊ zi 4b6f. (H 1979, 27 [§ B.2]: dmigs pa de ni rtag tu yod pa yin te ...// ... ji srid 'tsho'i (D) bar du (*yāvajjīvam) rnam par rig pa ro gcig pas (*ekarasa-vijñaptitah) 'jug par 'gyur ro //) I should prefer to understand vijnapti n o t as "i m a g e " but as "[act of] making known", or "perception/cognition". To be sure, the syntactical situation suggests to understand the passage to mean object [of ālayavijnāna], being always present, continues as a uniform i mage as long as life lasts. But since there is, in texts like the Yogācārabhūmi, no shortage of loose modes of expression, it would not be impossible to understand the passage to mean that the object [of ālayavijñāna] is always present (i.e. retained throughout life) and that [hence ālayavijñāna] continues to operate as a uniform perception as long as life lasts.
- 1309. Y_t zi 5a2-5 (Y_c 580a23ff.; Chüeh-ting 1019b13ff.; H 1979, 28 [$\S c$]):
 - de ltar na dmigs pa rnam pa gñis rnam par rig pa dan ... rgyu (see n. 647) chun nu'i dmigs pa rnam par rig pa...s

kyan kun gʻzi rnam par ses pa dmigs pas 'jug pa rnam par gʻzag (P: bʻzag) par rig par bya'o // \approx *iti dvividhālambanavijña-ptito 'pi ... parīttopādānālambanavijñaptito 'pi ... ālayavi-jnānasyâlambanataḥ pravṛttívyavasthānam veditavyam. 1

This will naturally be taken to mean:

"Thus - so one should know - the operating of ālayavijñāna under the aspect of object is established [by characterizing ālayavijñāna] as perception of a twofold object", etc.;

cp. even Hts., whose rendering 了別二種所縁故 , etc., clearly takes $vij\bar{n}apti$ as an a c t i o n n o u n and *dvividhalambana as its object.

- 1. For phraseology cp. Y 73,7-10 (Y_t dzi 42b4-6; D: tshi 37b1f.).
- 1310. Y_{t} zi 4b2 (see § 4.5.1 + n. 531).
- 1311. Cp. n. 532 and Pa.s rendering of parikalpita-svabhāvabhi-nivesa- as 執著邪我見 , and AS 31,7-9 (see § 8.4 + n. 1227). Cp. also Y_t 'i 26a7f. and TrBh 19,11f., referring pari-kalpita-svabhāva to both dharmas and pudgala / atman.
- 1312. Y_t zi 9b2 (see above: (3b)) and 9b4f. (= H 1979, 41 [§ C.2, line 4])!
- 1313. Y_t zi 9a7 (see above: (2a)).
- 1314. Y_t zi 9a5 (see above: (1b)): bden pa r n a m s la ...
- 1315. But cp. n. 1323 (end).
- 1316. Y_t zi 9a6f. (see above: (2a)).
- 1317. Y_t zi 1ob3ff. (H 1979, 44 [$\S\S \underline{II}.\underline{2}.\underline{b}$ and \underline{d}]).
- 1318. This is, of course, a relative concept admitting of degrees, and in a full treatment of methodology one would have to define it and to point out criteria.
- 1319. Cp. § 4.7.2 and n. 1337.
- 1320. Y_{+} zi 8b1 (see n. 548).

- 1321. See § 4.1.2-4.
- 1322. Though this would seem to be implied by the reading presupposed, in (2a), by Y_t, viz. *pratividhyan (see n. 1239), which would hence appear less acceptable than the reading *pratividhya presupposed by Y_c; for the latter would seem to suggest that ālayavijñāna is perceived only a f t e r the Full Comprehension of (non-analyzed) Truth, and this may mean: in the subsequent "analytical" Comprehension of the four Noble Truths.
- 1323. Cp. Y_t zi 302b7f. $(Y_c$ 696a12-14):

"Śrāvakas and Pratyekabuddhas, after having penetrated (pratividhya) into True Reality (tathatā) by means of the first Correct Insight (samyagjñāna), then, by means of the [insight which is] attained subsequent (pṛṣṭhalabdha) to [this] first, [purely] supramundane (lokottara) insight and which [itself] is both mundane and supramundane (laukika-lokottara), cause their mind, on the basis of Truth analyzed [into four] (*vyavasth(āp)iteṣu satyeṣu), to shrink back from the world with its three spheres (traidhātuka), relish the taste of its extinction (praša-ma), ... and fully attain purification from the obstacle consisting in Defilements (klešāvaraṇa-višuddhi)."

(... ñan thos dan ran sans rgyas rnams kyis yan dag pa'i ses pa dan pos de bźin ñid rab tu rtogs nas / 'jig rten las 'das pa'i ses pa dan po'i r j e s l a s ' t h o b p a 'jig rten pa dan 'jig rten las 'das pas r n a m p a r b ź a g (P, D: gźag) p a ' i b d e n p a d a g l a khams gsum pa las yid skrag par byed pa dan / khams gsum pa rab tu źi ba'i ro myon (P: myan) bar byed cin ... ñon mons pa'i sgrib pa rnam par dag pa yan dag (D om. pa yan dag) par 'thob par byed pa'o //)

 Y_t 'i 14a6f. (Y_c 701a6-8); cp. Y_t 'i 5b4 (Y_c 697c16f.): de la 'phags pa'i bden pa bźi ni rnam par bźag pa'i bden pa yin no // de bźin ñid ni rnam par ma bźag pa'i bden pa yin no //; Y_t zi 194b5-7 + 195a3 (Y_c 653c23-26 + 654a5f.).

Cp. also Y_t zi 73a1-3 (Y_c 6o5c27ff.) where insight into non-analyzed Truth (rnam par ma (D [źi 69b2]) bźag pa'i bden pa, 非安立籍) is said to comprise three steps (see Y_t zi 72b3ff.; cp. S 1983, 262f.), the first of which realizes pudgalanairātmya only and would thus fit Śrāvakas too, whereas the second, realizing dharmanairātmya, would, in Yogācāra dogmatics, probably be reserved for Bodhisattvas, although in the Yogācārabhūmi there seem to be exceptions to this rule: cp. especially Y_t zi 3o2b5f. (Bodhisattvabhūmiviniścaya), ascribing supramundane penetration into $tathat\bar{a}$, which had expressly been defined as * d h a r m a nairātmyaprabhāvita in the preceding line, to both Bodhisattvas a n d \hat{S} r \bar{a} v a k a \bar{s} /Pratyekabuddhas.

- 1324. Y₊ zi 8b2 (see n. 548).
- 1325. Cp. MAVŢ 161,9-11.
- 1326. Y_t 'i 15a8 (Y_c 7o1b14f.): mtshan ma med pa'i ses pa sdug bshal la sogs pa'i ses pa'i rgyur gyur pa non mons pa spon bar byed pa gan yin pa ..., corresponding to something like *yad animittajhānam duḥkhādijhānahetubhūtam klešān prajahāti...; Y_t zi 2o2b5ff. (Y_c 657a3f.): "Without [insight into] non-analyzed Truth the twofold liberation (*vimukti) viz. liberation from the fetter of phenomena (nimitta-bandhana) and liberation from the fetter of Badness (dauṣṭhulya-bandhana) would not be possible" (rnam par ma bžag pa'i bden pa med na rnam par grol ba gñis po mtshan ma'i 'chin ba las rnam par grol ba dan / gnas nan len gyi 'chin ba las rnam par grol ba mi run ste / ...).

Yet, in other passages it is, on the contrary, Insight into Truth analyzed [into four] that is taught to eradicate Defilements (klesa), transconceptual, supramundane insight into True Reality ($tathat\bar{a}$) serving to eliminate $j\bar{n}ey\bar{a}varana$ (BoBh, 25,22ff.; Y, zi 3o2b5ff. [see n. 1323]).

According to Y_t zi 73b2f. (Y_c 6o6a15-17), transconceptual insight [into non-analyzed Truth] expunges Defilements,

whereas the subsequent insight [into Truth analyzed into four] prevents them from arising again (令彼所斷更不復起).

1327. Cp. the idea of the gradual disappearance or melting away of the "mass of Badness" (dauṣṭhulyakāyaḥ ... dravate § MSA XIV.20) or removal/dissolution/washing-out (cp. SAṬ bi 127b6) of the "basis [characterized by] Badness" (dauṣṭhulyā-śrayaṃ drāvayati: Saṃdh VIII.15.1; MSABh ad XX.31; MSg V.4; AS 85,4; cp. H 1972, 5, etc.; 1972a, (42)f. and (46)). As for the meaning of 'dauṣṭhulyakāya' and 'dauṣṭhulyāśraya', see n. 1330.

1328. Cp. n. 1337.

1329. See § 7.4 + n. 1167.

1330. ' $daus thulyak\bar{a}ya'$ probably means "mass" Badness": cp. the occasional translation of the term by gnas nan len (gyi) t s h o g s (instead of the more frequent gnas nan len gyi lus), e.g. at Y_{+} zi 236b3 (Y_{c} 669a11: 艦重身) or SAVBh tsi 244b5 (= rendering of the present passage MSA XIX.51!), and its interpretation as $k\bar{a}ya$ - and cittâkarmanyatā at SAVBh mi 300b1 (ad MSA XIV.20); cp. also MSA VI.9 $dosa\ s\ a\ m\ c\ a\ y\ a\ s\ y\ a$, commented upon by dausthulyalaksanasya ("consisting of Badness") in the Bhāsya. On the other hand, the term $'dausthuly\bar{a}$ - $\delta r a y a'$ is perhaps, originally, an abbreviation of 'dauṣṭhulya s a h a g a t a āśrayaḥ' (ŚrBh 283,7), i.e. the basis-of-existence (body and mind, or even the [animated, sensitive] body alone) in so far as it is bound up with Badness and has to be freed from Badness and filled with Ease (praśrabdhi) (ŚrBh 432,2off.; cp. ASBh 115,28f.) or may (probably against the background of the doctrine of momentariness) even be taught to require being supplanted by a [new] basis-of-existence which is prašrabdhi-sahagata (ŚrBh 283,6ff.: see n. 300; cp. also n. 475). Perhaps the term 'dausthulya- $k \bar{a} y a$ ', too, admitted of being interpreted in a similar sense (cp., perhaps, SaṃdhVy co 239a7f. [ad Saṃdh VIII.34.5], where it is explained as $\tilde{n}on$ mons pa d a \dot{n} b c a s p a 'i lus which, if correct, would correspond to *saklešaḥ kāyaḥ).

- 1331. Y_t zi 10a4-6 (Y_c 581c24-26; Chüeh-ting 1020b26-28 (see n. 1339); H 1979, 42f. [\S <u>5.c</u>]); cp. SaṃdhVy co 105b8ff. and 78b3-5.
- 1332. Y_{+} zi 8a4-b4 (H 1979, 37f. [§ $5.\underline{b}.A$]).
- 1333. SamdhVy: goms pa.
- 1334. Cp. SamdhVy co 78a3 and 104b6ff.
- 1335. SaṃdhVy co 105a6-8: yid la byed pa źes bya ba ni khyad par gyi lam ma thob pa mthar thug par bya ba'i phyir de bźin ñid yid la byed pa ste / ... sgom pa'i lam gyi mthar thug pas bsdus pa'i dge ba'i chos sgom pa ni yid la byed pa sgom pa yin te /; cp. 78a3.
- 1336. *praveša: Y_t zi 9a5 (bden pa mňon par rtogs pa la 'jug par bya ba'i phyir); *prativedha: 9a7 (chos kyi dbyiňs rtogs par byed pa na kun gźi rnam par šes pa yaň rtogs par byed de); *bhāvanā:

962 (de bźin ñid la dmigs pa'i šes pas kun tu bsten ciń $g \circ m s$ $p \circ a r$ $b \circ y \circ a s$ $p \circ a' i$ rgyus (*... āsevanāb hā va nānvayāt: see n. 1247)).

1337. To be precise, in the paragraph concerned (viz. $(\underline{5}.\underline{b}.)$ C.1 in H 1979; (3b) - (3d) in § 10.1) the Transmutation (i.e. purification or substitution: cp. S 1969a, 92ff., especially 95f.) of Basis seems to be a process, after the completion of which alayavijnana can be said to be [definitively] eliminated (prahina). This state in which the elimination of ālayavijñāna is accomplished, i.e. the state of an Arhat (as long as he is still alive), is characterized in the paragraph next but one (C.3 = Y_t zi 9b8-1oa4) which connects fairly well with C.1, whereas the paragraph in between (viz. C.2 = Y_t zi 9b3-8), contrasting ālayavijñāna and \bar{a} \$rayaparivṛtti, appears somewhat heterogeneous; the more so since the latter paragraph (C.2) seems to understand the term $'ar{a}$ \$rayaparivṛtti' not in the sense of a process or its completion but in the sense of an entity or reality - viz., in view of its being qualified as permanent ($nitya: Y_{+}$ zi 9b4), $t \ a \ t \ h \ a \ t \ \bar{a}$ - which is the cause which supports (pratistha-hetu) the continuance (pravṛtti) of the [Supramundane] Path (Y_t zi 9b6f.: see n. 570) and is thus the opponent or enemy of \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} ana (Y_t zi 9b3f.). On the other hand, if C.2 is left out of account, it would be natural to understand, in C.1, the Basis (āšraya) which is Transmuted to be the (animated, living) b o d y, i.e. the body furnished with or consisting of sadayatana, which according to C.3 (see n. 555!) continues to subsist, after Transmutation, as a mere condition of physical life but free from all Badness (dausthulya, in the sense of latent wickedness). Thus, in C.1/C.3 Transmutation of Basis would seem to consist in the Transmutation of the b o d y or ṣaḍāyatana by gradually removing all Badness (latent wickedness) that sticks in it. And since ālayavijñāna is, at least in that part of the Nivṛtti Portion which treats the cessation of ālayavijñāna, hardly anything but a hypostasis of this Badness (in the sense of latent wickedness), it is automatically eliminated (prahīṇa) as soon as the Basis is entirely Transmuted, i.e. as soon as all Badness is removed from the body or ṣaḍāyatana.

- 1. kun gźi rnam par śes pa de'i gnas ni gñen po dań / dgra bos bsgyur bar rig par bya'o, which in view of the Chinese versions which separate *āśraya from ālayavijñāna and take it with *parivṛtti/parivarta corresponds, to my mind, to something like *tasyâlayavijñānasyâśraya-parivṛttiḥ (or -parivartaḥ; Tib. wrongly *āśrayaḥ parivartyaḥ??) pratipakṣataḥ śatrutaḥ (predicative ablatives!) veditavyā (/-vyaḥ); cp. Y zi 3ob7: de (sc. gnas gyur pa) yaṅ kun gźi rnam par śes pa'i gñen por gyur pa ...
- 1338. Y_t zi 9a4f. (for text and transl. see § 10.1(1a)).
- 1339. Chüeh-ting 1o2ob26f.: 入通達分故、修善思惟故 .
- 1340. E.g. ŚrBh 439,5ff. or 278,1ff.
- 1341. The inclusion of (2b) in the treatment of *prativedha-ma-naskāra is, to be sure, somewhat questionable. Cp. also n. 1240.
- 1342. Y_t zi 9a4ff. (H 1979, 40); see (1a)(ff.) in § 10.1.
- 1343. Y_t zi 8b4ff. (H 1979, 39).
- 1344. My interpretation of statement (b), which takes the "other mundane kuśalamūlas" of the text (Y_t zi 8b6) to be the puṇy a bhāgīya-kuśalamūlas (cp. n. 563), is substantially confirmed by the Chinese commentaries of Kuei-chi (T 1829, 178a2ff.) and Tun-lun (T 1828, 603a29ff.), especially by the interpretation ascribed, by the latter, to the Master Ching (景, cp. Yūki 1962, 256f.).
- 1345. Cp. Y_t 'i 330a4 (Y_c 846c16f.).
- 1346. Cp. Y_t 'i 33oa6ff. (Y_c 846c21ff.); H 1981.
- 1347. Y_t zi 9a4 (see § 101(1a)).
- 1348. Cp. also Yūki 1935, 473.
- 1349. Cp. also Yūki 1935, 471.

- 1350. Y_{t.} zi 8b2-4 (H 1979, 38).
- 1351. This does not, of course, necessarily mean: after the compilation of VinSg; cp. the similar situation in the Nirvāṇa chapter of VinSg (S 1969a, 32ff., especially 35). There are, by the way, further paragraphs in the VinSg ālay. Treatise which are not supported by the corresponding final résumé: § 5.b.A.3 (H 1979, 37f.; Y_{+} zi 8a6-b1; see n. 13o3!), and much of \S 4.b (H 1979, 32ff.; Y₊ zi 6a5-8a3), viz. $\S\S$ A.2 and B.1-4. \S A.2 (H 1979, 32 f.; Y_{t} zi 6b2-4) hardly fits the heading 'sahabhāvatah pravṛttiḥ' (cp. also Ui 1958, 185, where the first part of A.2 [for which see also § 10.3.1.2.b] is considered to have intruded by mistake), but it still seems to be a fairly old addition because it has a parallel, in essentially the same position (i.e. between a treatment of simultaneity of vijnānas and a treatment of simultaneous occurrence of vijnānas with vedanās) in the Sacittikabhūmiviniścaya (Y, zi 190a2; see n. 1297). § B.1 (H 1979, 34f.; Y_{t} zi 7a4-7) looks like a more developed doublet of the concluding résumé ($\frac{4 \cdot c}{1}$: H 1979, 37; Y₊ zi 8a3f.), whereas \S B.2-4 (H 1979, 35-37; Y_{+} zi 7a7-8a3) are clearly supplements to $\S\S$ A.1, A.2(!) and, once again, A.1, respectively. - The fact that one of the "intrusive" elements of \S 4.b (viz. A.2) is also found, in substantially the same position, in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya may be taken, if onesided dependence of one text on the other proves improbable (cp. add. ad p. 82), to suggest that in this case the "intrusive" element already formed part of some earlier material which was only later subsumed under the heading 'sahabhava', although the material included more than a discussion of simultaneity.
- 1352. Cp. Hsien-yang 567b13: **是故 ...** (see n. 1236).
- 1353. Y_{t} zi 8b4 (H 1979, 38).
- 1354. SamdhVy co 104b2ff.; cp. 77b8ff.

- 1355. Especially in view of the fact that this commentary seems to be the work of a Tibetan author (see Steinkellner, I.4). It is very interesting to see that SaṃdhVy almost invariably omits paragraphs or even sentences or parts of sentences which appear "intrusive" from the point of view of compositional structure, e.g. (SaṃdhVy co 77a2ff.) §§ 4.b.A.2 and B.2-4 (but, curiously enough, n o t B.1!), for which see n. 1351. On the other hand, SaṃdhVy occasionally also omits passages which appear to belong to the "main material", as e.g. § 5.b.A.1-2 and 4 (H 1979, 37f.; Y_t zi 8a5f. and b1f.), SaṃdhVy giving only the concluding résumé (§ A.5 = Y_t zi 8b2-4).
- 1356. Enomoto 1982, 53f., especially 54,11ff.
- 1357. Kajiyama 1985, 336,16ff.; 339,11; 342,18f.
- 1358. Enomoto 1982, 50-52.
- 1359. Y 230,11-13: tasya yathā vijñānapratyayam nāmarūpam tathaiva (Ym) nāmarūpapratyayam vijñānam vyavalokayato vijñānāt pratyudāvrttam (sc. mānasam: 230,11); tadanyeşu tv angeşu na tathā pratyudāvrttam /
- 1360. Vi 124c9-11 (Vi₂ 98a1f.); cp. Vi 124c14-17 (Vi₂ 97c28f.).
- 1361. Or: "... [to $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$] as $(-tay\bar{a})$ a means-for-showing that ..."?
- 1362. Y 230,13f.: tatraîkatrânyonyapratyayatvasaṃdar§anatayā tatpratyudāvrttam ity ucyate /
- 1362a Cp. the use of 'saṃdarśana' in this sense (in exegetical contexts!) at AKBh 15,16, 86,9 and 139,14, and the Chin. rendering 顯示 (Y_C 328a15). But 'saṃdarśana' may, of course, also mean "watching, perceiving" (cp. the Tib. rendering mthon bas na [Y_t dzi 136a2] and passages like BoBh_D 3,21 or 11,19), or even "becoming manifest" (cp. MSA IX.17 [Tib. snan]; PW s.v. saṃdaršana (4)). If these latter meanings are preferred, one would have to take the present

passage to mean that "the Sūtra states that the Bodhisattva's mind turned back from the [member vijnāna] because (or: in the sense that?) he perceived mutual dependence (or: because mutual dependence became manifest to him) in this one case [only]". But even so the passage would not presuppose an express statement of mutual dependence in the wording of the Sūtra.

- 1363. Y 230,14f.: nivṛtti-pakṣe tu nāmarūpaṃ (Y_m) na paunarbhavika-sya vijñānasya nivṛtti-hetur, yena pareṇa (Y_m) pratyavekṣita-vān //
- 1364. See n. 1140.
- 1365. See n. 1139.
- 1366. MSgU_t 259b2-7 (MSgU_c 393a29ff.); Enomoto 1982, 45.
- 1367. See n. 1075.
- 1368. In view of the reference to "operating by way of a continuous sequence", one would expect "kalala, etc.". But cp. n. 1369.
- 1369. MSgU, 259b3f. (Enomoto 1982, 45,9-11): 'di gñis kyi rkyen rnam par šes pa gan yin pa skad cig gcig nas gcig tu brgyud de gnas ñid du gyur pa, de yan kun gzi rnam par ses pa las gźan ma yin no //. However, the Chinese version (MSgU 393b2f. [cp. Enomoto 1982, 45,19f.]: 此二皆用識為因縁。 識者不離阿賴耶識。) takes the 識復依此刹那傳傳(v.l.) 相續而轉。 vijnāna that cannot be anything but ālayavijnāna to be the condition (pratyaya) of nāmarūpa and to arise, in its turn, as a continuous flow of instants, o n basis of it (sc. nāmarūpa). Perhaps the original contained, as presumably in the next paragraph also (see § 12.2.2: (b) and (c)) an ambiguous compound (* $tad-\bar{a}$ \$raya-?) which was interpreted as a tatp. by Tib. but as a bahuv. by Chinese. Taken in the sense of the Chin. version, it looks like a de facto reference to, or even explanation of, the complementary Sūtra phrase 'nāmarūpa-pratyayam vijnānam'. In

fact, this is precisely how it figures in MSgBh; for all versions of this text not only declare the vijnana that arises, without interruption, as a continuous flow of instants and cannot by anything else but ālayavijnāna, to be nāmarūpa, but also explicitly o n introduce it as the explanation of the namarupa-pratyayam *vi.jñānam*. In its structure (the fact apart, of course, that vijñāna has come to be replaced by ālayavijñāna), the interpretation of MSgBh is, by the way, still reminiscent of the older tendency (see § 7.3.4.1.3) to refer 'vijnāna-pratyayam nāmarūpam' to the situation of Linking up (pratisandhi) - which would account for rūpa being, in this case, equated with kalala only - and 'nāmarūpa-pratyayam vijnānam' to the situation from pratisandhi o n ward.

- 1. MSgBh tooabf:: min dan gzugs la brten nas ... 'jug go; MSgBh to [Hts.] 332b3f: 依名色 ... 流轉; cp. also MSgBh to [Dharmagupta] 28oa4f.; MSgBh to [Pa.] 17oc4f.
- 1370. $MSgU_t$ 259b6f. ($MSgU_c$ 393b6ff.).
- 1371. MSgU₊ 259b5f.
- 1372. $MSgU_c$ 393b5f.
- 1373. Cp. PSVy 25a6 (Muroji p. 42,3f.) where the absence of pervading the whole l us appears to be adduced as a reason excluding biological appropriation of the body; cp. also KSi § 34a (Muroji p. 41,2-5); Si 2ob26ff.; PSkVai 53b7: kun gźi rnam par šes pa ni khog pa (*kāya, *kalevara: LC) thams cad la khyab pa'o; PSkBh 199b7f., expressly stating that what is meant by 'lus' which is subsequently taught to be pervaded by ālayavijñāna is "[corporeal] matter furnished with the sense-faculties of vision, etc." (lus kyi<s?> mig la sogs pa'i dban po dan bcas pa'i gzugs la bya'o //).

- 1374. Less probable, but cp. MSg I.21 des (i.e. ālayavijāānena) khams gsum pa'i lus (ātmabhāva: cp. Hts. **BH**) thams cad ... bsdus so + MSgU_t 251a6 k h y a b p a 'i p h y i r thams cad 'dzin par byed pa'o ("[ālayavijāāna, in contrast to pravṛttivijāānas, is not absent in any kind of existence nor interrupted at any time as long as its pratipakṣa has not arisen;] due to [this] omnipresence (*vyāpanāt, or the like), it comprises (or assumes?) all [existences]").
- 1375. Or: *tena kāyasya (/ātmabhāvasya) vyāptatvāt.
- 1376. See n. 1078.
- 1377. See n. 372.
- 1378. Enomoto 1982, 53,23ff.
- 1379. Ibid., 53,15ff.
- 138o. See n. 1139.
- 1381. See n. 1140.
- 1382. Cp. $\mathrm{MSg}_{\mathbf{L}}$ vol. II, p. 59; Enomoto 1982, 56 notes 4 and 5. To be sure, the wording of Tib. does not altogether exclude a bahuvrīhi interpretation, but at least in an ambiguous situation as the present one it is certainly more likely to be understood as a tatpuruṣa.
- 1383. Cp. PSkBh 199b4f.:

"What is called ' $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ ' is the five skandhas, consisting of the four [immaterial] skandhas = $n\bar{a}man$ and the skandha 'corporeal matter' ($r\bar{u}pa$). Now, it is on $\bar{a}laya-[vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na]$ that this $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ is based, it is on $\bar{a}laya-[vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na]$ that it rests, it is due to $\bar{a}laya-[vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na]$ that it arises without interruption."

(de la ... min gi phun po bži dan / gzugs kyi phun po ste phun po lna la lus žes bya'o // lus de yan kun gži la gnas sin kun gži la brten la kun gži'i mthus rgyun ma chad par 'byun ste /)

VGPVy 421b2: ... kun gźi'i rnam par šes pa ni chos thams

cad kyi rten yin pa'i phyir dban po gzugs can gyi rten yan yin no //.

Cp. also n. 1390.

- 1384. If (c) is taken as a parenthesis, (d) may be taken as a further substantiation of (b).
- 1385. See n. 1383.
- 1386. See n. 1374.
- 1387. See n. 1384.
- 1388. See n. 1373.
- 1389. Cp. YVy 98a3-5 (see n. 811).
- 1390. Cp. Y_{t} zi 5b5f. (H 1979, 30 [§ 3.b.A.2]); see n. 358.
- 1391. See § 7.3.4.1.3.c.
- 1392. Cp. Si 20b25 and 27f.: ālayavijñāna basing it-self on the material sense-faculties so as to per-vade them (通依止有色諸根); PSVyT 155a2, declaring that cakṣurvijānāna, etc., do not pervade the whole body because they are based on their respective sense-faculty only; KSiT 103blf. (same idea).
- 1393. See n. 1369.
- 1394. As far as the text of the gāthās is concerned, the part not re-edited by me is (in contrast to the text of the corresponding part of the commentary) largely correct. Yet, a few passages would seem to need revision:
 - PG $\underline{1c}$ nisvesta(h): read, here as well as in the comm., niscesta(h), with both mss.
 - PG $\underline{5b}$ asthitānām: Y_m reads asthirānām (for asthirānām); cp. Y_+ mi brtan and the quotation in BCAP IX.6.
 - PG $\underline{5c}$ bhūtir yeṣām kriyāsau ca: Both mss., here as well as in the commentary, read kriyā saiva (cp. Y_t de $\tilde{n}id$), as do the quotations in BCAP IX.6, Bhāmatī ad Brahmasūtra 2,2,20, Nyāyamakaranda (Benares 1907)

35,5, and Hetubinduṭīkāloka (Baroda 1949) 375,1. Instead of yeṣām, Y_m has yaiṣā (for yaiṣām, as in the commentary and in BCAP), but the yeṣām of $SrBh_m$ is confirmed by Tib. (gan dag skye ba, no demonstrative!) and by the Bhāmatī and the Nyāyamakaranda. Since the verse is expressly reported to stem from a Sūtra (see n. 1401), we should perhaps start from a MI *y' esam which was mechanically sanskritized to yeṣām, in its turn changed, later on, for reasons of meaning and syntax, into yaiṣām, which luckily coincides with what was meant in the original.

PG $\underline{6b}$ nah $(SrBh_m)$: read na (Y_m) .

PG 7a no sparšā: read na sparšān (both mss.).

- PG 16 kalpyate 'nubhūtam <na> ca: the restoration is unmetrical; read, with Y_m: kalpyate anubhūtam ca (with hiatus), which is in accordance with Y_c and, from the point of view of meaning, also with the commentary (W. 176,1f.): "Not only what has been experienced is represented by imagination, but also what has not been experienced, [i.e.] the future, is imagined" (na ca kevalam anubhūtam eva kalpyate; ananubhūtam² cânāgatam³ api⁴ kalpyate). This is essentially the same as what the verse says, viz.: "Both what has been experienced is imagined, and what has not been experienced is imagined."
 - 1. Thus Y_m (106b4); $SrBh_m$ om. ca.
 - 2. Y_m anubhūtam.
 - 3. Thus ŚrBh and Y ma 'ons pa; Y anăgatam (i.e. anāgatam, m or ana <va>>gatam?); Y c: 隨種類 ("by analogy")!
 - 4. Thus Y_m; ŚrBh_m: avi-.
- PG 25c. svayamkṛto 'pabhogas: read, of course, svayamkṛtopabhogas, as in the comm. (W. 176,27).
- PG 42a sarvabījasamutsādāt: read sarvabījasamudghātāt (and -samudghātena in the comm. [W. 177,37]) with both mss.

- 1395. Suguro 1982, 56ff.
- 1396. $SrBh_m$ 3A5,2ff. and 15A5,4ff.
- 1397. Y_+ dzi 237a3ff. and 241a1ff.
- 1398. Y 363c13ff. and 365a19ff.
- 1399. Y_m 105b2ff. and 107a3ff.
- 1400. Cp. PG 30a -yaty: read -yanty; 35c cittam: read -citam; W 175,13 ṣaḍ (Tib. drug): one expects sapta (thus Ch.); 175, 38 ava-: read anava- (Tib., Ch.); 176,7 parīkṣya-: read parīkṣa-; 177,5 [in my ed.: 236,1f.] -dṛṣṭi-: read -dṛṣṭiḥ; -grāha-: read -grāhāt; 177,10 [in my ed.: 236,8] nivarta-: read nirvarta-; 177,14 [in my ed.: 236,13]: see n. 1472; 177,29 [in my ed.: 240,5] sapta-: one expects ṣaḍ- (Tib., Ch.). At W. 176,27, the scribe of ŚrBh first wrote (copied?) paridīpayati but appears to have realized his mistake since the wrong -dīpayati is cancelled and followed by the correct -harati; Y has only the wrong reading -dīpayati.
- 1401. PG 2-4 are quoted (in different order) at AKBh 466,8ff. as stemming from the Kṣudraka-āgama (cp. also AKṬU thu 128b4ff., showing that the verses are from the Pārā-yaṇa; see Honjō 1984, 119). PG 17a-18b is probably taken from the Saṃyuktāgama (SĀc 69a18-20; SN III 142; cp. Ui 1958, 316). PG 34b seems to be identical with SĀc 88c10 (see n. 1428). PG 39 is reported, by later Yogācāra sources (Si 24c 19ff.; VGPVy 381b2), to stem from a muktakasūtra, i.e. a Sūtra which had dropped out of, or not been included in, the literary Āgama/Nikāya collections (cp. H 1978a, 306 and 309). PG 5 is quoted at BCAP IX.6 as an utterance of the Buddha and appears to preserve traces of a MI version (see n. 1394).
- 1402. Cp. especially PG 31b (see below n. 1415); cp. also PG 33cd (see n. 1426) and 38 (see n. 1446).

- 1403. This question requires further investigation.
- 1404. If the commentator was not the compiler, even three layers of interpretation would have to be distinguished:
 - a) the original meaning of the single verses,
 - b) what they were considered to mean by the compiler,
 - c) how they were understood by the commentator.

Of course, in many if not most cases the understanding of the compiler and/or commentator will coincide with the original meaning of a verse.

- 1405. A. Though the present verse, in view of the term sarvabijo vipākah, does not appear to be of early origin, the expression prapañca-(abhi)rati itself is almost canonical (cp. the Pāli adjectives papanca-(abhi)rata, °-rati, °-ārāma). I do not venture to be definite about the original meaning of prapañca in the Buddhist canon or even in these expressions, but \widetilde{Na} mananda (1971, passim, especially 21f.) may be right in understanding it, mainly, in the sense of "conceptual proliferation" or "prolific conceptualization", implying the nuances of inadequateness obstinate clinging (cp. the association of the nomen action is [see n. 1425(B,D)] papañcita with ditthi and upādāna: e.g. AN IV 68f.; cp. Johansson 1979, 191; see also n. 532(b)), restlessness (association with injita, phandita: e.g. SN IV 202f.; SrBh 233,16f.) and wishfulness ($tanh\bar{a}$: see ns. 532(b) and 1425(B,D); cp. Johansson 1979, 191f.). Yet, at least in some cases it may be used in a vaguer sense including all restless worldly activity and existence.
 - 1. Cp. also UV XXIX.38c; SrBh 146,15f.
 - B. Anyway, in later sources (cp. also S 1969a, 137ff.) including Yogācāra texts and, last but not least, the Yogācārabhūmi, prapañca is not only de facto employed in, but even expressly stated to have, both a subjective and an objective meaning (see n. 510); cp., e.g., Y_m 84b2 (see ns.

- 532(b) and 1242), or YVy 115b4f.: "'prapañca' is used in the sense both of what is or may be the object of prolific conceptualization (etc.) (*prapañeya) and of what is the subject (or, more probably: the subjective act) of prolific conceptualization (etc.) (*prapañeaka or *prapañeana(?))" (spro bar bya ba dań spro bar byed pas na spros pa ste).
- C. Since in the present passage and its parallels (see n. 1408) prapañcābhirati functions in the light of Y 25,12-14 (see ib.) as the main cause of the origination of a new basis-of-personal-existence, it obviously holds the position of Thirst (tṛṣṇā) leading to rebirth. It may not thus be far-fetched to argue that at least one motive for the choice of this rather elastic term was the wish to combine, into one single concept, at any rate the most important aspects of Thirst or Desire, which would have to include, besides Clinging to conceptual proliferation and the concepts created by it, Thirst for sensual pleasures (kāma-tṛṣṇā) and, above all, Thirst for existence (bhava-tṛṣṇā).
- D. Actually, prapañca is, in Buddhist texts, occasionally explained precisely in such a way:
- a) As the five "qualities which are the objects of desire" (kāma-guṇa), i.e. the five sense-objects. Cp., e.g., UVViv p. 847 (ad UV XXIX.51): spros pa ni... 'dod pa'i yon tan lna źes bya ste.
- b) As transmigration (saṃsāra) or wordly existence (bhava). Cp., e.g., UVViv p. 836 (ad XXIX.38): spros pa'i gʻzi'i dnos po ni spros pa ste / 'khor ba ʻzes bya ba'i don to (≈*prapañcādhiṣṭhānaṃ vastu [cp. BoBh_D 35,2] prapañcaḥ; saṃsāra ity arthaḥ); ŚrBh 17,1: prapañce saṃsār<e>; cp. also n. 1425(A); Y_t 'i 4b8 (*prapañca as a synonym of *bhava, etc.).

c) Viewed in a concrete way, worldly existence (bhava) is the personality $(satk\bar{a}ya)$ or its constituents (skandha), or the "basis-of-personal-existence" $(\bar{a}tma-bh\bar{a}va)$: AKBh 279,11f.), which is likewise equated with $prapa\bar{n}ca$. Cp., e.g., Y 26,18: $prapa\bar{n}ca$ besides $satk\bar{a}ya$ (cp. also Y i 4b8) in a list of synonyms of [the basis-of-personal-existence $(\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va, \bar{a}sraya)$ in so far as it is] the Seed [of what emerges during a given existence as well as of future existences] (cp. §§ 3.11.4.2 and 7.1B.2.1.3.b); cp. also n. 532(b): $prapa\bar{n}ca$ denoting (also) the defiled s k a n d h a s .

In the sense of "basis-of-personal-existence" (as that which one's wishful conceptual proliferations are particularly concerned with?), prapañea would seem to come quite close to the notion of sarvabijo vipākaḥ, which may be taken to mean the basis-of-personal-existence in the sense of the Six Senses (sad-ayatana) which are the result-of-Maturation (vipāka) and contain all Seeds (see § 3.11.3).

- E. In the first parts of the Basic Section of the Yogā-cārabhūmi, where prapañca-(abhi)rati is several times said or indicated to be responsible for the origination of a new basis-of-personal-existence or vipāka containing all Seeds (see n. 1408), rebirth is, in fact, taught to be preceded by the occurrence of several forms of desire, viz.
- α) as occurring before death: attachment to oneself (or to what one considers to be one's Self, i.e. to one's basis-of-personal-existence) (ātmasneha, Y 18,1f.), which in view of imminent death entails welcoming or attachment to or desiring [a n e w basis of] personal existence (ātmabhāvā-bhinandanā, ātmabhāva-sneha, ātmabhāvābhilāṣa: see § 3.3.2.1 + n. 264);
- β) as occurring in the intermediate state: desire for the diversity of [sense-]objects (or: for [sense-]objects as [part of] what one's thoughts and wishes are about [cp. ASBh

39,7f.: smaraṇasaṃkalpair atītān viṣayān prapañeayati]?) (viṣaya-prapañcâbhilāṣa: Y 19,7f.).

In view of the connotations of prapañca noted above, it would therefore appear reasonable to understand prapañca—(abhi)rati as the general term covering both forms of desire or attachment (including their former habitual occurrences: Y 18,1; 18,21), viz. delight in, or desire for a new, basis-of-personal-existence, and delight in or desire for sense-objects.

- F. This would also seem to be supported by Y 199,1-3 where we read that Thirst with regard to one self and to [what is] outside (adhyātma-bahirdhā-tṛṣṇā) is present as an assisting factor when the vijñāna [of the previous existence] engenders its effect, viz. a new (basis of) personal existence (ātmabhāva). This twofold attachment (sne-ha) to oneself and to external [objects] is, in the context of describing the causes of rebirth, also mentioned in PG 32.
- G. Fortunately, the interpretation proposed for prapañcābhirati receives additional support from the explanation of a canonical verse which is quoted in another part of the Cintāmayī Bhūmiḥ of the Basic Section and in which the expression prapañcābhirata occurs (viz. UV XXIX.38, quoted at Y_m 131b1f. = Y_t dzi 293b1f.). In commenting upon this verse the Yogācārabhūmi (Y_m 131b2ff.) says that there are living beings which, desiring excellence for [their] objectsof-desire (kā ma vaišeṣikatāṃ prārthayamānāḥ), resort to striving for objects-of-desire (kāmaparyeṣaṇām āpadyante), that there are, furthermore, beings which, desiring excellence for [their] (basis-of-)personal-existence (\bar{a} t m a $b h \bar{a} v a$), strive for [better] existence (bhava), and that there are, finally, beings which, desirous of release (mokṣa), resort to religious practice (brahmacarya), some of them, however, to a wrong one. It is these kinds of beings (the first two of which do not, by the way, seem to be

regarded as different groups in the following part of the text) which are taught to be $p \ r \ a \ p \ a \ \tilde{n} \ c \ \tilde{a} \ b \ h \ i \ r \ a \ t \ a$ by way of searching for objects-of-desire $(k\bar{a}ma)$, [better] existence (bhava), and wrong religious practices. Thus, $pra-pa\bar{n}ca$ in the expression $prapa\bar{n}c\bar{a}bhirata$ is, in this explanation, understood to include, apart from wrong religious practices (or the concepts they are based on?), the objects-of-desire $(k\bar{a}ma, i.e.k\bar{a}maguna)$ and the (basic constituents of) personal existence $(bhava, i.e.\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va)$.

- H. Several explanations of prapanca-rati are offered by YVy 88b7ff. (ad Y 4,12, for which see n. 1408). Among these explanations, too, there is one which, expressly referring to the quasi-synonymity of prapañca and satkāya at Y 26,18, takes prapañca to mean the [five] skandhas belonging to a person (ādhyātmika) (YVy 89a1f.: spros pa źes bya ba nan gi phun po rnams la bya ste), and explains prapañcarati as "affection for oneself" (ātmasneha, i.e. affection for these five skandhas which one regards as one's Self). This attachment to oneself is regarded as the cause of the [Result-of-]Maturation [containing all Seeds] ((sarvabijo) vipakah), either indirectly by way of stimulating karman, or directly (minon sum, $*s\bar{a}ks\bar{a}t$) on account of its actual occurrence when one is [about to be] reborn (skye ba'i tshe), i.e. - in the sense of Y 18,1f. (see E) expressly quoted by the text - immediately before death. This interpretation of prapañca-rati, though not confirming the aspect of "delight in sense-objects", at least corroborates, in substance, the aspect of "delight in (the basis of) personal existence", which is anyway the primary one.
- I. In another explanation YVy (89a8ff.) tries to trace back the origination of the [Result-of-]Maturation containing all Seeds to the fundamental wrong attitude by interpreting prapañca as the notion of Ego (nar 'dzin pa,

- ahaṃkāra), quoting, in evidence of such a use of prapaāca, the commentary on PG 33: tenopaiti prapaācitaṃ bhaviṣyāmi na bhaviṣyāmīti. But as far as I can see, such an interpretation is not corroborated by the pertinent parts of the Yogācārabhūmi. This would seem to hold good also for the remaining explanations which need not hence be sketched here.
- 1406. In the sense of the entity resulting from the process of Maturation.
- 1407. See § 3.11.3.
- 1408. Cp. Y 25,12ff.: tasyām punaḥ sarvabījakāyām ātmabhāvābhinirvṛttau subhāsubhakarmahetutve 'pi sati prādhānyena (Y_m) prapañcābhiratir eva kāraṇaṃ draṣṭavyaṃ / kula-bala-rūpāyurbhogādikasya tu phalasya prādhānyena subhāsubham karma kāranam, suggesting that in our verse the causes prapañcābhirati and śubhāśubham karma should be connected, at least primarily, with sarvabījako vipākah and iṣṭāniṣṭam, respectively. Cp. also Y 4,11f.: pūrvakam prapancaratihetum upādāya yaḥ sarvabijako vipāko nirvṛttaḥ; 17,2f.; 18,21f.; Hsien-yang 580a19-21: "For three reasons, all diversificatory modes [of cognition?] (*praprañcākāra) make mind (citta) proceed [in samsāra] (*pravṛtti): 1. On account of their being indulged in (*āsevanataḥ?); ... i.e.: because [diversificatory modes] have [previously] been indulged in, [afterwards, viz.] at the time of receiving [the Result-of-]Maturation (vipāka), [one, or: mind?] takes possession (*pari-grah-?) of a [new] basis [of-personal-existence] (*āśraya)" (... 一切戲論行相、三因縁故、 令心流轉。一、由親近故。…謂、得報時、 執持所依。).
- 1409. The particle *hi* may not have any other but a metrical function here. Or it may stress the (self-)evidence of the matter: "It is, of course, the [Result-of-]Maturation ... that comes to be looked upon as Self."
- 1410. Cp. § 3.11.3. The comm. (q.v.) gives a more artificial explanation (cp. also n. 1459). For a possible variety of meanings of $r\bar{u}pin$ see AS 17,8ff. (ASBh 22,4ff.).

- 1411. The usual Abhidharma explanation is "invisible" (cp., e.g., AS 17,16ff.), which in the present passage may mean: not accessible to sense-perception (see § 3.11.3). But the comm. (q.v.) prefers "incommunicable"; cp. CPD s.v. anidassana.
- 1412. "... ca ..., ... tathā ... ca ...". Following the comm., one may, however, assume, in the first line, emphasis on bālā ajānakāḥ, which would make this line convey information going beyond 29ab and thus impart, to the ca of the first line, an independent meaning: "And [it is only(?)] the ignorant fools [who] imagine ...; and on the basis ...". Yet, occasional repetitiveness may also be due to the fact that the verses are obviously compiled from different sources (see p. 223f.); cp. also n. 1426(C.d).
- 1413. Cp., e.g., BoBh_W 51,9f. (BoBh_D 35,6: text unsatisfactory): satkāyadṛṣṭiṃ ... tadanya-sarva-dṛṣṭi-mūlaṃ ...; Si 31c13f.
- 1414. I.e. taking an aggregate of many factors, e.g. the body (cp. AKVy 47,25f.) or the basis-of-personal-existence (ātmabhāva, cp. AKVy 47,22f.), as a solid unit. Cp. also BoBhVy 87a7): "pindagrāhavikalpa is the vikalpa which apprehends as a [homogeneous] unit what is [in reality] many" (man po geig nid du 'dzin pa'i rnam par rtog pa ni ril por 'dzin pa'i rnam par rtog pa'o //). For pindagrāha as a basis of the view of Self see AKVy 47,19f.: ... pindato gṛhītvā tān evātmato gṛhṇanti, piṇḍagrāhe saty ātmagrāhapravṛtteḥ. AKBh 281,20 (AKVy 450,10), when indicating the presuppositions of ātmagrāha, supplements the "notion of 'a unit'" (piṇḍasaṃjñā) by the "notion of 'permanent'" (nitya-saṃjñā) a notion which may perhaps be regarded as i m p l i e d by piṇḍagrāha in the present passage. Cp. also Śālistambasūtra 103,17 (piṇḍa-saṃjñā between ekasaṃjñā and nityasaṃjñā!).
- 1415. The comm. has "incorrect reflection" instead, but in view of the parallels (see n. 1416) pointing to "associating with bad people" as one of the causes of the false view of Self, this is definitely not what the verse means; the

- comm. rather substitutes, for "bad companions", a nother cause which is, it too, corroborated by the parallels but not mentioned by our verse.
- 1416. Cp. Y 162,11f.: tatra satkāyadṛṣṭiḥ katamā? asatpuruṣasaṃsevām (Ym) āgamyāsaddharmaśravaṇam ayoniśomanaskāraṃ...; 164,3ff.: āśrayato 'pi kleśa utpadyate, ālambanato 'pi, saṃsargato 'pi, deśanato 'pi, abhyāsato 'pi, manasikārato 'pi / tatrāśraya<ta>ḥ katamaḥ (Ym; sc. utpadyate)? yo 'nuśayād (≈ svabījāt!) utpadyate / tatrālambanato: yaḥ kleśasthānīye (cp. n. 540) viṣaye ābhāsagate (Ym; utpadyate has, of course, to be supplied in all the sentences) / tatra saṃsargato: yo 'satpuruṣāṇām anuśikṣamāṇasya / tatra deśanato: yo 'saddharmaśravaṇataḥ / tatrābhyāsato: yaḥ pūrvasaṃstavabal<ādhā>nataḥ (supported by Tib. stobs bskyed pa) / tatra manasikārato: yo 'yoniśo manasikurvata utpadyate /.
- 1417. Cp. AKBh 287,4f. (see n. 962).
- 1418. Cp. Y 199,1: $adhy\bar{a}tma-bahirdh\bar{a}-trṣṇ\bar{a}$ (see n. 1405(F)); cp. also $BoBh_D$ 224,9f., implying that the idea of Self ($\bar{a}tmasam-j\bar{n}\bar{a}$) is the presupposition of attachment to oneself ($\bar{a}tmasne-ha$) and to means of subsistence (upakaraṇa-sneha). Cp. also Vetter 1984, 42f. and 113.
- 1419. In case the reading $-l\bar{a}$ sas ca is preferred: "and eagerness to benefit [oneself involving] ...".
- 1420. Saṃharati ("to collect; to lay hold of, attract, appropriate"; cp. Ch. 播受, also used for parigraha and samādāna [MAV-I p. 204]) is, as far as I can judge, not a very precise term. Primarily, it would seem to mean, in the present passage, that people, due to delusion and against their intention, attract precisely that which they are afraid of, viz. Suffering (cp. Ud III.10 [see n. 1428]); cp. the expression duḥkhopasaṃhāra ("inflicting pain") at BoBh 132,4f. This may refer to this life as well as to the next one, and to acute pain or grief as well as to

Suffering in the ultimate, ontological sense (§4.1.1). Yet, if the latter is, as the following lines suggest, understood concretely, especially as the basis-of-personal-existence $(\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va, \text{ cp. } \S \text{ 4.1.2})$ - the comm. even takes it as ālayavijnāna (cp. § 4.1.4) -, then "laying hold" (saṃharati), out of delusion, of what one is afraid of because it is in reality Suffering may be taken to include the nuance of spiritually evil Clinging to the ātmabhāva as to one's Self (and perhaps also to objects of sensuality as to one's possessions); and additionally, or alternatively, samharati may intend the nuance of accumulating (cp. acinoti in PG 35) or producing (cp. Tib. sgrub par byed pa) a new basis-of-personal-existence in the next life. It is in the latter sense that the line is probably understood by the comm. where it is comprised in the verses elucidating Suffering [as the result of the causes of rebirth (samudaya)]. From the point of view of the original purport of the verses, however, the former nuance (viz. Clinging) may have been central (see n. 1426(C.c)).

1421. Mohāt may be used here in a fairly vague sense: "on account of their wrong orientation, their wrong attitudes". If, however, "what people are afraid of", viz. Suffering, is taken to mean, concretely, (the basis of) personal existence (and perhaps also objects of sensuality), moha will more specifically mean delusion or ignorance with regard to the true nature of the latter, i.e. to its (or their) being Suffering or unsatisfactory (duḥkha; cp. n. 1438). It may even be supposed to include the aspect of wrong notions (viparyāsa) like taking as pleasant what is unsatisfactory or taking as Self what is not Self, thus coming close to, or even including, the false view of Self (satkāya- or ātma-drsti).

To be sure, the Yogācāras, like the Sarvāstivādins (cp. Vetter 1984, 25 and 113), usually distinguish clearly between avidyā /moha/ajñāna and satkāyadṛṣṭi/ātmadṛṣṭi (cp.,

e.g., Y 162,11ff. 1 + 163,19f. 2 ; Y_t zi 112b6ff. + 114b5ff. (\equiv Y_c 621b6ff. + 622a4ff.); Y 166,16ff. 3 ; AS 7,5f.+8f.; PSk_D § 19.4 + § 19.5(1°a)). But the Paramārthagāthās do not seem to be much concerned with such Abhidharmic distinctions. At the same time, they lay considerable stress on the false view of Self (PG 29-31; cp. 24) or the notion of Ego (PG 35; cp. 38) and would thus seem to have, to a certain extent, affinities to a tradition like that of the Śālistambasūtra (represented, later on, by Dharmakīrti) to which the (innate) false view of Self is the fundamental Defilement and not distinct from $avidy\bar{a}$ (Vetter 1984, 22ff.; 42f.; 112f.). Cp. also BoBh_D 167,5f. (BoBh_W 243,1of.): ... ātmabhāve aham iti vā mameti vā saṃmūḍhaḥ...; Y 212,18f.: purvam ātmabhāve ātmagrāhādibhiḥ saṃmūḍhaḥ.

Besides, even in Yogācāra materials particularly concerned with the analysis of the concepts of avidya, etc., one can find forms of ignorance or delusion which include or are at least connected with (and are so to speak the formally negative aspect of) the notions of Self $(\bar{a}tman)$ and of one's own $(\bar{a}tm\bar{i}ya)$: Cp., e.g., Y 204,10-13 $(adhy\bar{a}tmam)$ ajñānaṃ katamat / pratyātmikān saṃskārān ayoniša m a t o (Y_m) manasikurvato yad ajñānam / bahirdhājñānam $katamat / b\bar{a}hy\bar{a}n \ asattvasamkhy\bar{a}t\bar{a}n \ (Ch., Tib., and prob. Y_m)$ saṃskārān ātmīyato 'yoniśo manasikurvato yad ajnānaṃ /), or Y_{t} zi 156b2-4 $\equiv Y_{c}$ 637b21ff. (*pratyakṣa-saṃmoha comprising the notions of 'permanent', 'pleasant' and 'Self' (ātmasaṃ $jn\bar{a}$) with regard to what is manifestly impermanent, unsatisfactory and not Self). Cp. also YVy 89a8f. (nan gi rnam par smin pa'i 'bras bu rmons pa'i tha sñad kyi gźi ste nar 'dzin *"adhyātmam vipākaphalasammoha"⁴-vyavahārāspadam pa'o ≈ ahamkārah, i.e. "the notion of Ego which is what is designated as ...") and 89b4 (... nar 'dzin pa... des ni ma rig pa bstan to).

In the present context, it is particularly interesting that in the Abhidharmasamuccayabhāṣya (55,14f.; cp. also n.

1064) Thirst (tṛṣṇā) for (or attachment to?) ā l a y a - v i j ñ ā n a as the representative of saṃskāra-duḥkhatā (with which what is "taken hold of" according to the present verse, viz. Suffering, is expressly identified in the comm. [on PG 33-34]) is taught to arise through delusion with reference to (the true nature of what one regards as) Self (or even: delusion [mistaking for] Self [what is not Self]) (ātma-sammoha-mukhena).

- 1. Cp. n. 930.
- 2. avidyā katamā / asatpuruṣasaṃsevām āgamya asaddharmasravaṇam ayonisomanaskāram naisargikaṃ vā (Y_m) smṛtisaṃpramosam yaj jñeye vastuni ... kliṣṭam ajñānam /
- 3. See n. 963.
- 4. Cp. Y 200,10f.; cp. also 204,10f.; \$rBh 384,11 (dvividhe phale sammoha<h>, one of the two phalas being ātmabhāva-phala).
- 5. Cp. PSkBh 202b8: "Not to comprehend ālaya[vijñāna] as not being Self (Tib.: as being without Self) [although in fact it is] not Self, is called 'ātmamoha'" (kun gźi bdag med pa la bdag med par khon du ma chud pa ni bdag tu gti mug pa źes bya'o //).
- 1422. A. In classical Skt., nivešana (on which cp. also Suguro 1982, 6of.) is used both as an action noun - in the sense of "entering" or "settling" and also of "causing to enter, or settle" - and as a locus noun (designating the "place where one enters or settles", "hiding or dwellingplace"). On the other hand, in Buddhist canonical verse texts (especially in the Suttanipāta), nivesa(na), like the verb ni-vis- and its participle nivittha, is also used in the sense of abhinivesa, i.e. both intellectual and emotional attachment (e.g. Sn 1055 and, perhaps, 4701). Occasionally (especially when used in the pl.?) it seems to denote the objects of such attachment, especially wrong views (e.g. Sn 785) and objects of desire or attachment (Sn 210), thus ambivalence of "subjective" and "objecshowing the same tive" use as many other semantically related words (see n. 201).

- 1. It may, however, be difficult to exclude, for this passage, an interpretation of *nivesana* in the "objective" sense, i.e. as "the [main] object of Clinging", viz. Self, or the skandhas in so far as they are taken to be Self.
- B. a) The expression nivesanam kr- is, besides nivesam kr-, documented in epic Skt. in the sense of "sich niederlassen, ein Lager beziehen" (PW), i.e. "to settle, encamp" (MW), especially in a military context. PW, tentatively aligning nivesanam kr- with nivesana as an action noun, seems to take it as a periphrastic verb, but adds that nivesana may just as well be understood to designate the camp itself, which would mean that the expression is an ordinary combination of object (= effect) + verb. If nivesanam kr- is understood as a periphrastic verb, one should, in view of the ambiguity of the action noun nivesana, also consider as possible that the periphrastic verb, too, is ambiguous and may be used not only in the sense of "taking up one's residence", "settling down (somewhere)", but also in the sense of "causing to settle" "settling (someone or something)".
- b) In the case of the semantically related expressions upadhim karoti (Sn 1051: see n. 1426(C.b)) and (ātma-bhāva-)parigraham kṛ- (see n. 1477(D.b)), the action noun alternative would usually seem preferable if not imperative. But I have not so far come across a sentence where any of these expressions is unequivocally used as a unified transitive verb by being construed with an additional accusative of the object (cp. v. Hinüber 1968, 71ff.; Renou 1975, 495), or, in a passive construction, with a subject in the nominative while preserving the action noun in the accusative. The latter construction would, however, have to be presupposed in PG 34a if nivesanam kṛ- were, in the present text, consistently regarded as a unified verbal expression. Yet, actually PG 34a is syntactically ambiguous since nivesanam may just as well be taken as a part of the subject or, more

probably, as a predicative complement of krtam. The question is, however, not essential to my argument as long as nivesana is understood in an intransitive or transitive meaning, because it does not make much difference whether one settles somewhere or enters something or sticks to it, or makes something one's abode or object-of-sticking-to. In this connection, the afore-mentioned syntactical alternative may therefore be disregarded. But it would seem to become crucial if we were to take nivesana in a causative sense; for in that case there would be, in PG 34a, hardly any reasonable alternative to taking nivesanam kr-as a unified verbal expression. However, at least as far as the original purport of the verses is concerned, an interpretation of nivesana in a causative sense is, in view of the parallel materials (see ns. 1426(B-C) and 1428), highly improbable.

- C. As far as the meaning of the expression nivesanam kr-in the present text is concerned, we are thus left with two main alternatives:
- [1] to make an abode, or to make something one's abode, settle (down) in it, stick to it in an "exist-ential" sense;
- [2] to adhere or be attached or cling to something, i.e. to stick to it in a spiritually evil sense.
- D. In the comm., nivesana is said to mean \bar{a} t mabhāva-parigraha, i.e. taking possession
 of a new (basis of) personal existence (cp. n. 1477). This
 interpretation is in accordance with the pertinent introductory remark of the comm., viz. yathā samudayānupūrvyā
 duḥkhaṃ nirvartayati, which can only
 refer to rebirth. Thus, the comm. unambiguously supports
 alternative [1].
- E. Similarly, Tib. (Y_t dzi 237a6f.) renders nivesanam kṛtvā in PG 33c by gnas byas nas, and yat tan nivesanam kṛtam

in 34a by gnas by as gan yin. Besides, the metrical gnas by as nas appears, in the translation of the corresponding passages of the comm. (Y_t dzi 241b5), as gnas par by as (nas), which, from the grammatical point of view, supports a univerbal interpretation of nivesanam kṛ-; for gnas par by as nas should hardly mean "having made [it] one's abode" (which would be gnas su by as nas) but "having (actively) settled (down)", or even "having caused [it] to settle (down)", for the pronoun tad in the sentence tad dhi(?) nivesanam kṛtvā is rendered by de, a fact which, as far as I can judge, would normally mean that it is the object of gnas par by as, and not its subject, which in the case of an active verb should be in the ergative (des).

- F. The Chin. version, on the other hand, appears to favour alternative [2], i.e. spiritually evil sticking, since it translates nivesanam kṛtvā in 33c by 起愛蔵已 (Y_c 363c24; cp. 365b11f.), viz. "after having produced affection-and-storing/hiding (i.e. emotional appropriation?)". From the grammatical point of view, this rendering, too, would seem to support the interpretation of nivesana as an action noun. The more so since tad dhi(?) nivesanam kṛtvā in the comm. is rendered by an active verbal expression with the demonstrative pronoun as its object (Y_c 365b11: 愛蔵此已 "having affectionately appropriated it"), and yat tan nivesanam kṛtam in PG 34a by a passive verbal expression (Y_c 363c25: 彼所愛蔵者 "that which is affectionately appropriated") renderings which even suggest a univerbal conception.
- 1. Or: "what is ... appropriated by those [fools]".
- 1423. I.e. either "at first" or "previously, formerly".
- 1424. Viz. foolish people (lokaḥ).
- 1425. A. I have not noted any occurrence of prapanc(it)a with upaiti in Buddhist texts (no item in PTC, nor in CPD s.v. upeti), but K. R. Norman (1969, p. 204) quotes an

instance from canonical Jaina literature, viz. Sūyagaḍaṃga I.7.30 nidhūya kammaṃ ṇa pavaṃc' uvei, where prapañca is explained by Śīlānka to mean saṃsāra. Provided that prapañcita is, in the present passage, simply a metrically suitable equivalent of prapañca, Śīlānka's explanation would suggest interpreting upaiti prapañcitam as "they (sc. lokaḥ) [again] fall into wordly existence" (cp. the expression gabbhaseyyaṃ upeti), which of course involves Suffering.

B. Even if this solution is accepted, prapañcita in the present passage, as well as pavamea in the Sūyagada parallel, need not necessarily mean "diversified world". To be sure, prapañca does occur in this sense (cp., e.g., S 1969a, 141; Pr 538,5 (prapañcānām ≡ nimittānām); cp. also visaya-prapañca in n. 1405(E)). But I doubt that Norman (loc. cit.) is right in deriving, from Śīlānka's explanation, the view that p(r) apañca has always to be understood in the "objective" sense of the "diversified only, and that the Pāli commentaries, which usually take the word to mean the proliferation of desires (taṇhā), conceits (māna), and [false] views (diṭṭhi), "are papañca itself". рара**ñс**а with confusing what causes In my opinion - as I have already stated in n. 1405(B) p(r)apañca is, in Buddhist texts at least, rather another instance of the group of words admitting of being used both in an objective and a subjective sense. As for Śīlānka's explanation of prapañca as samsara (which is, occasionally, found in Buddhist sources, too: see n. 1405(D.b), I for one should, even if the borderline may not always be quite clear, prefer to distinguish the notions of samsāra and bhava from that of the "diversified world".

The notion of "diversified world" does to be sure involve an "objective" interpretation of prapañea. It would seem that saṃsāra, too, may, occasionally, be understood in a concrete sense as the world where "transmigration" takes place, or even as the constituents of this world, and that

in this case $prapa\bar{n}ca$, denoting $sams\bar{a}ra$, would also have to be understood in the sense of "diversified world" (cp. the explanation of UVViv quoted in n. 14o5(D.b)). But usually $sams\bar{a}ra$ ("wandering around", "incurring one rebirth after another") is rather a state in which l i v in g be in g s are and (usually) want to remain. From this point of view, $sams\bar{a}ra$ will be called 'prapa $n\bar{c}a$ ' rather in the sense of what is the object of wishful concept ual activity. This will also hold good for bhava ("existence, rebirth"), even if it is understood in the concrete sense of the (or: a new) basis-of-personal-existence ($\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$: cp. n. 14o5(D.c)).

On the other hand, at least samsāra may equally well be called 'prapañca' in a "subjective" sense in so far as it is a kind of "existential" movement or state of turbulence of living beings. This would be relevant to the present passage since it uses not prapañca but prapañcita (neutr.). For although it would, from the point of view of grammatical form, be unobjectionable to take prapañcita in an "objective" sense, i.e. as the result or object of diversificatory or wishful conceptual activity, the word is, at least as far as I can see, generally used as an action noun (cp. Pāṇ. 3,3,114 and Wackernagel II,2, § 436c), as is indicated by its frequent association with words like injita (see CPD s.v.!), phandita/spandita and tṛṣṇāgata or tṛṣṇāvicarita², which hardly admit of a different explanation.

- 1. And from Sn 874 and AN II 161f., which, however, would seem to admit of a different interpretation (cp. $N\bar{a}$ nanda 1971, 1ff.; but for $samj\bar{n}\bar{a}$ see S 1981, 214f. note 51).
- 2. Cp., e.g., AN IV 68f.; SN IV 202f.; ŚrBh 233,17; Y 128b2 (see n. 532(b)); Suvikrāntavikrāmiparipṛcchā, ed. Hikata, p. 81.
- C. In view of this "subjective" meaning of prapañcita (and the predominance of this aspect in the early Buddhist use of prapañca, too), one may even go one step further.

Even if Śīlāńka's understanding of the purport the above-quoted Sūyagada line as a whole is correct, still he need not have been aware of the original meaning of the expression na pavame' teral uvei. From the Buddhist perspective, at least, one might, in view of the close relation between $p(r)apa\bar{n}ca$ and $sankh(y)\bar{a}$ (Nanananda 1971, 1ff.), consider the possibility that na pavame' uvei might be a quasi-synonym of the well-documented Buddhist expression sankham nôpeti or na upeti sankham, which means "cannot be defined", with the implication "is not reborn" (CPD s.v. upeti, 2.c.λ.β). If this is correct, Śīlāńka would indeed have correctly interpreted the purport of the line as a whole but not the literal meaning of pavamca/prapañca, which would, in this context, have to be taken as the (subjective) a c t of conceptual or even verbal differentiation and determination (or, at the utmost, the concept(s) or denomination(s) resulting from that act). If this is correct, in PG 33cd, too, upaiti prapancitam would mean: "They (i.e. [foolish] people, lokah) come to be (conceptually or verbally) defined (as belonging to such and such a class of beings)", practically implying, or perhaps even meaning, not much more than: "they are reborn".

D. The "subjective" meaning of prapancita is also supported by the comm., but since the commentator had already referred Pāda 33c to taking possession of a new existence, he had to concretize the diversificatory conceptual activity expressed by prapancita in a quite different way: In contrast to what was proposed in C, viz. to understand prapancita as the conceptual activity of somebody who is not identical with the grammatical subject of upaiti, the comm., explaining it as ideas like "I shall be", "I shall not be" (bhaviṣyāmi na bhaviṣyāmīty evam-ādi), takes it as the conceptual activity of this very subject. According to this interpretation, upaiti is, as is confirmed by the Tib. ren-

dering khas len to, used in the sense of accepting (an opinion) (cp. CPD s.v. upeti 2; Sn 897 \equiv BoBh_D 33,1of.), of resorting to or indulging in idle conceptual proliferations and wishful thinking. Such indulging in conceptual proliferation (papañcita) including the ideas "I shall be" and "I shall not be" is described in SN 35.2o7 (IV 2o3; SĀ_C No. 1168 [312a8ff.+16]). In this Sūtra, these ideas appear to be idle speculative activities, which also include an aspect of (unsatisfied, disquieting) desire (cp. the designation of a similar set of concepts as trṣṇā-vicaritāni²). As such, they are conducive to rebirth. For this reason, as also on account of their turbulent, disquieting nature, they involve Suffering and are, accordingly, expressly taught to be "a disease (roga , not rāga as in PTS ed.), an ulcer, a dart".

- 1. For the aspect of a n x i e t y cp. also Sn 774 (see n. 1426(B)).
- 2. AN II 212 \approx S\$\bar{A}\$ No. 984, quoted at AKBh 401,1ff. (AKVy 628,13ff.; 220,14ff.; AKTU tu 109b3ff.); SHT V, Kat.-Nr. 1031 (see Enomoto in: BK 15/1985, 81f.); Y_t 'i 320b1ff. \equiv Y_c 842b27ff.; \$A 656a29ff.); Vibh 392ff.
- 3. Cp. SN IV 201 (sace so ... āyati-punabbhavāya ceteti); AKBh 400,23f.+17ff.; AKṬU tu 109b5f.: ... 'gro ba dan 'on bar gyur cin 'jig rten 'di nas 'jig rten pha rol tu nin mtshams sbyor bar byed la (text: pa; but cp. end of line 6) 'khor bar 'khor źin 'jug par byed pa ... (cp. SĀ 256a21f.; AN II 212: apāyam duggatim vinipātam samsā ram nātivattati).
- 4. Cp. SĀ 312a14: 正觀察動搖故蓋者 (but 動搖故蓋 in the preceding phrase; although the argument would seem to imply that both phrases should be congruent, the discrepancy is also found in the Korean ed., the Chung-hua ta-tsangching and the Dainihon kōtei daizōkyō, which were kindly collated for me by Mr. Y. Sueki).
- 5. Cp. SA_c 312a13.
- E. To sum up: If we concentrate on the purport of the expression and disregard the problems concerning its literal meaning discussed in A-C, there would seem to be two possibilities of interpreting upaiti prapañcitam:

- [a] to incur rebirth (involving Suffering);
- [b] to indulge in [idle and at the same time wishful and/or disquieting] conceptual proliferation.
- 1426. On the basis of the preceding investigation of the semantic possibilities of nivesanam kṛ- and upaiti prapaācitam, it would seem that the line PG 33cd admits of three essentially different (though not necessarily mutually exclusive) interpretations:
 - [I] "After having first made an abode or settled down
 [in a new (basis of) personal existence], they
 [then] come, due to this, to indulge in conceptual
 prolifertation." (= [1] + [b])
 - [II] "Having first become attached, they thereby come to indulge in conceptual proliferation." (= [2] + [b])
 - [III] "Having formerly practised Clinging (or: been attached), they, due to this [very fact], [then] incur rebirth." (= [2] + [a])
 - 1. But cp. below (C.a)!
 - A. Out of these three alternatives, [I] corresponds to the explanation of the comm. (see ns. 1422(D) and 1425(D)). It is, however, not probable that this was the original purport of the verses. In view of the parallels to PG 34ab adduced in n. 1428 it would rather seem more likely that the nivesanam kr-performed by ordinary people is not the "existential" act of taking possession of a new personal existence but rather the spiritually evil m is c o n c e p t i o n of its nature or, at best, the wrong emotional attitude this misconception involves, as will also be corroborated by the materials presented below (B and C).
 - B. Alternative [II] (viz. spiritually evil Clinging entails conceptual proliferation involving Suffering in t h i s life, without any reference to rebirth) may be taken to receive support from the Saṃyuttanikāya/Saṃyuktāgama passage referred to in n. 1425(D) (viz. SN No. 35.207 [IV 203] \approx

 \overline{SA}_{C} No. 1168), where the notions "I shall be" and "I shall not be" are preceded by the notion "this I am" (ayam aham asmī ti), which is, in its turn, preceded by the notion "I am $[\ldots]$ " (asmiti). These two notions may be understood, in view of the Kṣemakasūtra (see § $7.1A.2.2(b.\alpha)$ + ns. 916, 918 and 920), as the definite idea that one is identical with [one or the other of] one's present skandhas or with one's ātmabhāva (i.e. as satkāyadṛṣṭi), and as a vague feeling of identity (i.e. as asmimāna), respectively (cp. T 1610, 803b25ff.). Since the latter notion (viz. asmi ti) is, at AN II 212 (see n. 1425(D + subn. 2)), expressly stated to be the presupposition of the more explicit wishful conceptual proliferations (papañcita: Vibh 393) including the ideas of "I shall be" and "I shall not be", it would not seem unreasonable to understand nivesanam kr- in PG 33cd as referring to the notions of "this I am" and "I am [...]" (or at least to the former if one prefers rather to let the latter be anticipated by moha in PG 33b [see n. 1421]). The more so since such an interpretation of nivesanam kr-would accord perfectly with what would result from interpreting PG 34ab in terms of the parallel passage Y 25,15f. (see n. 1428) where "what is stuck to" (nivesanam krtam) of PG 34a is represented by the ātmabhāva with regard to which immature people form the ideas of 'I' (aham iti) or 'mine' or 'I am [this]' (asmîti). Cp. also Sn 774: kāmesu giddhā \dots visame n i v i t t h $ar{a}$ / dukkhūpanītā paridevayanti "kiṃsu bhavissāma ito cutase" //. This verse may be taken to express the idea that those who have entered upon the wrong [road]3, i.e. cling to objects of desire (kāmaguna)4 or, in the present context, to the ātmabhāva as their Self, will thereby be led into distress (especially when death is imminent)⁵ and come to lament: "What shall we become after having passed away from here"3, i.e. fall a prey to anxious reflections (≈ prapañcita!) with regard to their future destiny.

- 1. Cp. also SN No. 22.47 (III 46): "asmī" ti pi 'ssa hoti, "ayam aham asmī" ti ..., "bhavissaṃ" ti ..., "na bhavissaṃ" ti pi 'ssa hoti.
- 2. Cp. also DhSk 55,17-19, implying that the notions asmîti (cp. ns. 918 and 920) and ayam aham asmîti are more fundamental than bhavadṛṣṭi (i.e. bhaviṣyāmīti) and vibhavadṛṣṭi (i.e. na bhaviṣyāmīti).
- 3. Norman 1984, 130.
- 4. Nidd I, 37f., adding wrong behaviour, wrong views, etc.
- 5. Nidd I, 38; Pj II, 516.
- entailing rebirth [involving Suffering]) would seem to be corroborated by SN No. 22.35 (III 35): "To what one inclines, by (or: as) that (viz. the five skandhas) does one come to be defined" (yam kho ... anuseti, tena sankham gacchati). The parallelism of anuseti + sankham gacchati and nivesanam kr- + prapancitam upaiti can hardly be denied. Besides, the expression tena sankham gacchati may also account for the a f f i r m a t i v e use of upaiti prapancitam in PG 33d (whereas sankham u p e t i seems to be used in negative formulas only: see CPD s.v. upeti) as well as for tena which, from this point of view, will have to be taken to mean not "therefore" but "(defined/definable) by (means of) that", or even "a s that".

To be sure, the purport of SN 22.35 may not be unambiguous either. But at any rate the parallel Sūtra SN No. 22.36 (III 36f.) intercalates anumiyati, which is represented by $\mathbf{E} \dots \mathbf{E}$ (*anu-mriyate) at $S\bar{A}_{C}$ 3b16 and a18f. and explained as anu-marati even at Spk II 266, and was thus perhaps understood, by some exegetes at least, as "dying accordingly", i.e. having, in the hour of death, aspirations corresponding to one's inclinations during life. In fact, in Y_{t} is 154a1ff. (Y_{C} 776c14ff.) the Sūtra is expressly interpreted as referring to death and rebirth. Besides, even in another set of Sūtras (SN Nos. 12.38-40 [II 65ff.]; $S\bar{A}_{C}$ Nos. 359-361) anuseti is explicitly stated to be the condition of rebirth. Thus, there

are good reasons for taking (or at any rate there was an old exegetical tradition which in fact took) the above-quoted passage (SN No. 22-35) to mean that Clinging leads to a corresponding rebirth.

- 1. Cp. S 1986, 206; F. Edgerton, The Hour of Death, in: Annals of the Bhandarkar Institute (Poona) 8/1927, 219ff.
- b) The line PG 33cd is moreover, strongly reminiscent of Sn 1051ab²: yo ve avidvā upadhim karoti punappunam duk-kham upeti mando, i.e.: "The fool who being ignorant 'makes acquisition' (i.e. takes, regards or claims anything as his possession), incurs Suffering again and again".

The affinity of this line with PG 33cd is palpable not only in view of the formal parallelism of upadhim + dukkham upeti and nivesanam kr- + prapañcitam upaiti4, but also because of the semantical closeness of both sets of terms. As for prapañc(it)am upaiti, it has already been shown (n. 1425) that it may be practically equivalent to "being reborn", which means incurring Suffering (cp. also the quasi-synonymity of prapanca and duhkha at Y 26,18 [see n. 391]). Upadhi, on the other hand, is, in Pāli, almost synonymous with pariggaha (cp. CPD s.v. upadhi), and parigraha is, in its turn, used by the comm. on PG 33c in explaining nivesana. Cp. also Sn 470 (nivesana side by side with pariggaha). Moreover, in the Mettagūmāṇava-pucchā itself, to which Sn 1051 (yo ve avidva ...) belongs, a few verses later the fundamental evil attitude of possessiveness or attachment is called mamāyita (Sn 1056b: cp. PG 32d!) and even nivesana (Sn 1055c).

It would thus seem justified to regard Sn 1051ab as a fairly close parallel to PG 33cd. To be sure, the first half of the Mettagūmāṇava-pucchā is not express-ly concerned with the origin of Suffering in the sense of rebirth but may equally well be understood as also implying that even in one's actual exist-

ence itself possessiveness or possessions will lead to Sufespecially grief (cp. Sn 34: upadhî hi narassa socanā, certainly expressing, primarily at least, the idea that possessions cause grief in this very life⁵). But the exegetical tradition of Sn 1051 and of its parallels expressly refers Suffering to rebirth (Nidd II: jāti-dukkha, etc.; cp. Pj II, 590; Ud-a 213; Pj II, 505: vaṭṭa-dukkha). 6 Similarly, SN No. 12.66 (II 107f.) glosses dukkha by jarāmarana. What is more, the second half of the Mettagumanavapucchā (and it is in this part that nivesana is used!), dealing with the question of how to overcome Suffering, clearly focusses on the Suffering entailed by rebirth (Sn 1052cd: katham nu dhīrā vitaranti ogham, jātim jaram sokapariddavam ca). Thus, as a parallel to PG 33cd, Sn 1051, though not excluding interpretation [II] as a nuance, will yet primarily support alternative [III].

- 2. = SN 728cd = Thg 152ab; cp. also Ud III.10; UV XXXII. 37; UV XXXII.42; MVu II,418,10; SN No. 12.66 (II 107ff.); SA^t No. 291 (82b11f.; cp. No. 292, 82c21f.); NidSa §§ 9 and 10 (especially 9.W-Y and 10.3b); MN III 70,10.
- 3. Cp. Norman 1984, 167.
- 4. Cp. also avidvā and mando at Sn 1051ab with mohāt at PG 33b.
- 5. Cp. also BoBh 167,5f. expressing the idea that taking the ātmabhāva as 'I' or 'mine' entails excessive grief (... ātmabhāve aham iti vā mameti vā saṃmūḍho 'tyarthaṃ socati).
- 6. Cp. also the similar Pāda c y u t \bar{a} c y u t \bar{a} duḥkham upaiti mūḍhāḥ in KP \S 107.
- 7. This appears to imply that upadhi has been equated, in this text, with $j\bar{a}ti$ (cp. Spk II 119,25f.: $khandhapa\bar{n}ca-ka$). This, however, is clearly a secondary, later interpretation, as is confirmed by the fact that the gloss $jar\bar{a}marana$ is missing in $S\bar{A}$ 82blof. and c 2off. as well as in NidSa § 10.3. On the other hand, this reinterpretation of upadhi in the sense of (re)birth, i.e. of (taking possession of) new skandhas or a new $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$, is a systematical parallel to the interpretation of PG 33a proposed in the comm., i.e. alternative [I].

c) If I am right in parallelizing PG 33ab with Sn 1051ab (and 1055c), nivesana would not so much be the wrong view or feeling of Self⁸ as a t t a c h m e n t (cp. the canonical parallels to PG 34ab, suggesting an interpretation of nivesana as "taking as pleasant [what is in reality Suffering]" or "delighting in" (see n. 1428)). To be more precise, nivesana would come close to the notion of $u p \bar{a} - d \bar{a} n a$, which, in PG 33, may also be expressed or at least alluded to by samharati in Pāda b, and would carry onward the twofold sneha ($\approx trṣṇa$) of PG 32. Taken in this way, nivesana would also be more or less equivalent to the $p r a p a \bar{n} c \bar{a} b h i r a t i$ of PG 28, the more so since this notion is, at Y_m 131b2ff. (see n. 1405(G)), connected with paryeṣaṇā, which in its turn is close to upādāna (e.g. Y 201,3f.; 212,3ff.).

From this point of view, $p\bar{u}rvam$ in PG 33c would, in view of the expression $p\ \bar{u}\ r\ v\ a\ -prapa\bar{n}c\bar{a}bhirati\ (Y\ 18,21;\ cp.\ 4,11f.;\ cp.\ also\ Y\ 212,18f.),$ admit of being taken not as a more or less superfluous element - which it would be in the case of the alternatives [I] and [II] (cp. its omission in the pratīka of PG 33cd in the comm.) - but rather as a significant kṛ- as belonging to the prior exist tence and thereby signalizing that upaiti prapaācitam is to be referred to rebirth, i.e. to be taken as standing parallel to the arising of the sarvabījo vipākah in PG 28c.

One may, in this way, interpret nivesana in PG 33c as being parallel to "delight in (and desire for) wordly existence" (prapañcâbhirati) in PG 28a, and "falling a prey to conceptual proliferation/wordly existence" (upaiti prapañcitam) in 33d as corresponding to the result of the latter. Since what is obtained is obviously precisely that which had been enjoyed and desired previously, it is tempt-

ing to equate the literal meaning of both also, viz. of prapañca in 28a and prapañcita in 33d, and to take upaiti prapañcitam to mean "they (sc. lokaḥ) incur what they had in various ways wishfully and restlessly thought about", i.e. a new ātmabhāva (and sense-objects). Yet, there is no guarantee that such a conclusion is justified, and in view of the presumable heterogeneity of the verses it may well be that, in spite of the systematic correlation of the object of abhirati and its result (viz. rebirth), the l i t e r - a l meaning of prapañca (in prapañcâbhirati) and prapañcita (in upaiti prapañcitam) is, or at least originally was, n o t identical.

- 8. But cp. SN No. 22.47 (III 46; cp. SĀ 16b2o): asmī ti ... avigate pancannam indriyānam avakkanti (Spk: nibbatti; Y 'i 183b8: p h y i m a l a ... mnon par 'grub pa = '*āyatyām ... abhinirvṛttiḥ) hoti. The (somewhat complicated) exegesis of this passage at Y 'i 183b4ff. (Y 788a11ff.), however, integrates āt m a b h ā v a t ṛ ṣ ṇ ā (lus sred pa; Ch. 我愛 = *ātma-tṛṣṇā) into the causal complex.
- d) Even though it would seem that interpretation [III] has to be regarded as the primary meaning of PG 33cd, what appears to be focussed upon by the verse is not so much the result but rather the act of Clinging to or grasping at (i.e. upādāna) and its ultimate a b s u r d i t v in view of the unsatisfactoriness of what is clung to (cp. PG 34ab, where what is stated to be in reality unsatisfactory is - if interpretation [III] is followed - not what is obtained at rebirth but what had [previously] been stuck (= clung) to (nivesanam krtam)). The result true value and consequences would seem to be the central topic only in verses (34cd and (?)) 35-36. But since, in the case of interpretation [III], PG 33d, too, would refer to the result, and since on the other hand 35a refers to the cause, and 35c (ahamkara) as well as 36 to the cause of the next result, there is no clear-cut delimitation but rather a certain repetitiveness. This is not at all astonishing in

view of the fact that the verses ought to stem from different sources (and most probably the change of metre - which seems to switch over to vaitālīya at PG 34d at the latest: see p. 224ff. - coincides with one of the seams). But it was not acceptable to the commentator who had to explain the text as a coherent whole and, ignoring the affinity of saṃharati and nivešana to upādāna in the sense of the pratītyasamutpāda formula, tried to fulfil his task by taking nivešana in the sense of taking possession of a new existence and by accordingly referring verses 33 and 34 to rebirth (i.e. to duḥkha(satya) in the sense of the primary result of the cause(s)-of-Suffering), and PG 35 to the secondary outcome.

- 1427. In view of the correlative tad in PG 34b, I prefer to take yad tad not as an indefinite pronoun (= sarva), as W. puts it, but rather as demonstrative + relative: "that [already mentioned or well-known thing] which ..." (Speyer 1886, p. 355 note 1). Tad in PG 34a can hardly be construed as the prior member of a compound. For as a bahuvr. this compound would not tally with PG 33c. As a tatp., on the other hand, it would either imply that what is known to the Āryas to be Suffering is the a c t of settling or Clinging which is odd and at variance with the parallel passages quoted in n. 1428 -; or, if nivesana is taken as a locus noun, there would hardly be anything which tad- might refer to except loka, but this too appears odd since loka is implied as the agent of the yat clause and would therefore be expected to be referred to not by tad- but by sva-.
- 1428. Cp. AKBh 328,19: yat pare sukhataḥ prāhus, tad āryā duḥ-khato viduḥ // (quoted from SĀ $_{\rm C}$ 88c1o; cp. SN IV 127; Sn 762ab); UV $_{\rm t}$ XXXII.39a: dga' ba gaṅ yin de sdug bsṅal ("What [they, i.e. pṛthagjanas: UVViv] delight in, that is [in reality] Suffering"); cp. Ud III.1o: y a d a b h i n a n d a t i , taṃ bhayaṃ; yassa bhāyati, t a ṃ d u k k h a ṃ ; MVu II,418,6f.: bhave ayaṃ loko sakto bhave

rakto bhavābhinandito / bhavo yatra bhavati, duḥkham bhavati; cp. also SN No. 22.29 (see n. 1444(A)); Y 25,15f.: tatra câtmabhāve bālānām "aham" iti vā "mama" iti vā "asmi" iti vā bhavati / āryāṇām punar "duḥkham" ity eva bhavati. The latter parallel shows that Suffering (duḥkha) tends to have, in this context, the concrete sense of what is unsatisfactory (in the ultimate, ontological sense), i.e., primarily, the basis-of-person-al-existence (ātmabhāva: see n. 1477(E) and § 4.1.2) or the sarvabījo vipākaḥ (PG 28c). In the comm., this Suffering is equated with ālayavijnāna (see § 4.1.4).

- 1429. This may mean: in a state of constant uneasiness (in the sense of dausthulya: see § 4.1.2).
- 1430. From the point of view of the original meaning of the verses, PG 34cd is, also in view of the change of metre (see p. 224ff.), perhaps better connected with 35 (and 36ab) (cp. n. 1426(C.d)): "Such Suffering by which the fools are always miserable since it does not cease even for a single moment, is accumulated by mind [as long as the latter] is befallen with Unevenness [Suffering which,] having been accumulated, becomes the cause of ... pain, [and] to which all fools stick ...".
- 1431. Tib. (yońs dkris pa) and Ch. (#) seem to take parigata as a synonym of paryavasthita.
- 1432. I.e. unwholesome attitudes or actions. Cp. Sn 774b and 57b: visame niviţţham (Nidd I and II: visame kāyakamme ..., etc.; visame pāṇātipāte ..., etc.; visamesu saṅkhāresu ..., visamesu pañcasu kāmaguṇesu ..., visamesu pañcasu nîvaraṇesu ...); Vibh 368: tattha katamāni tīṇi visamāni? rāgo visamam, doso visamam, moho visamam ... / tattha katamāni aparāni pi tīṇi visamāni? kāyavisamam, vacīvisamam, manovisamam (Vibh-a 498: kāya-duccaritâdi).

- 1433. The line seems to allude to a frequent "etymology" of citta; cp., e.g., AKBh 61,21 (= VGPVy 377a7): cinotiti cittam; AKVy 141,15f.: kuśalam akuśalam vā cinotîty arthah. At II.106a, etc., too, what citta accumulates is karman, not duḥkha: cittena ciyate karma. When the term citta is specifically referred to ālayavijñāna, it is usually etymologized in an intrinsically passive sense, ālayavijñāna being called citta on account of its being an accumulation of, or filled, covered, "set" with, Impressions or Seeds; e.g. TSN 7: °vāsanā-bījais citatvāc "cittam" ucyate cittam ādyam (i.e. \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} anam); Y₊ zi 189b4 (see n. 824); MSg I.9 (cp. Lamotte 1934-35, 202); AS 12,1f.: ... vāsanācitatām upādāya; ASBh 11,13f.; Si 13c8f.; 15b19ff. (Si_p 182f.); cp. also AKBh 61,21f.: citam subhāsubhair dhātubhir iti cittam (AKVV 141,19: view of the Sautrāntikas or Yogācāras!). This should also be the purport of passages like KSi § 31 (Muroji p. 37,14f.) and PSk, 17a1 (PSk, § 26; Muroji p. 44,5-7), though commentatorial exegesis suggests alternative explanations (PSkVai 45b3f.; PSkBh 179b4ff.; cp. also the active interpretation at PSkViv 94a8f. or at Y 651b2of. and $ASBh_c$ 701a25f. and b2f.).
 - 1. The reading citam is confirmed by Tib. (bsags pa) and Pa. (所增長), but AKVy (mss.) and Hts. (種種差別) have citram; cp. AKVy 141 note 6 and AK_p II 177.
- 1433a Cp. Thg 795c = SN IV 73 (see also SWTF p. 233 [s.v. \bar{a} -ci-]): evam $\bar{a}cinato$ dukkham. Cp. also Thg 456d: $\bar{a}cinanti$ punabbhavam.
- 1434. Tib. $(Y_t dzi 237a8)$ seems to take $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ as the agent of $\bar{a}cita$ (cp. v. Hinüber 1968, § 234).
- 1435. Cp. the comm. A bahuvrîhi does not make sense, whether we keep to the reading of the mss. or not. Suffering is the new $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ or $vip\bar{a}ka$ which contains all Seeds and which thus becomes, in the course of this new existence, the cause of actual (physical or mental) pain and pleasure as well as of

new occurrences of the notion of Ego (or view of Self) which had been habitual in the previous life.

- 1436. Cp. Dhp 327d; UV IV.27d.
- 1437. It would seem natural to understand sarvacestite as specifying sarvatragah. But the comm. (q.v.) refers the two expressions to sensations and morally qualified factors, respectively.
- 1438. Cp. the tattvārtha-saṃmoha of AS 55,2 (ASBh 66,18ff.) which is presupposed even by meritorious (puṇya) and "immovable" (ānin̄jya) actions and is said to consist in delusion with regard to the four Noble Truths, and particularly in the absence of a correct understanding of the whole world as unsatisfactory (ASBh: ... yad-vašena te duḥkhatas traidhātukasya yathābhūtam aparijnānāt punarbhavahetubhūtān puṇyānin̄jya- (°n̄jyān?) saṃskārān utthāpayanti).
- 1439. Or: hard to traverse?
- 1440. The "lake" (saras) is of course the ātmabhāva or vipāka containing all Seeds (comm.: ālayavijñāna) from which, like rivers springing from a lake as their common source, the different sense-faculties and in the course of saṃsāra (existences in) different Destinies and world-spheres (thus according to the comm.) branch off or emerge.

For the streams ramifying into various directions, cp. Sn 1034f., a Sanskrit version of which is quoted at Y_m 136a2 $(Y_+$ dzi 299b6f.):

sravanti sarvatah srotāh,

srotasām kim nivāraņam / ...

yāni srotāmsi lokasya, smṛtis teṣām nivāraṇam / ...

 Y_m 136b3 (Y_t dzi 30ob4f.) explains: ș a ḍ ā y a t a n a sroto (cp. also comm. ad PG 37!) duḥkhāyānusravati; but Nidd II: sotā ti t a ṇ h ā soto diṭṭhisoto, etc. / sabbadhī ti sabbesu āyatanesu; Pj II: sabbesu rūpādisu āyatanesu taṇhādi-

kā sotā sandanti.

- 1. Probably an allusion to the lake Anavatapta from which four rivers are said to flow forth towards the four quartes (Malalasekera, Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names, vol. I [London 1960], 97).
- 1441. Ordinary rivers and lakes may, of course, be dried up by wind (cp., e.g., Sn 433ab) or by fire or by the sun, especially by the seven suns at cosmic conflagration (AN IV 101; Y 35,13ff.); see also Sn 3ab (cp. Brough, The Gāndhārī Dharmapada [London 1962], p. 200). In its commentary on the verses kutaḥ sarā nivartante (SĀ No. 601 or 1329; cp. SN No. 1.27), Ym 119b5f. (Yt dzi 269b5ff.) states that, as long as Thirst has not completely ceased, fools enjoy the six bases of contact (ṣaṭ sparšāyatanāni, i.e. the six senses) by way of contact with sense-objects, just as people and even animals enjoy a lake (saras) as long as its water is not exhausted (cp. S 1987, § 3.1.1 + note 29).
- 1442. For anyatra c. i n s t r. (of the logical subject) in the sense of "with the exception of", "but only" cp. AN V 82 (na kho idha añño koci pavisati aññatra pabbajitena).
- 1443. For vy-ava-so- in the sense of m is conception cp. AKBh 329,21f.: ko hi vidvān ... ganḍa<m> "sukham" iti vyavasyet.
- 1444. A. I.e. one misconceives the impermanent, unsatisfactory basis-of-personal-existence as Ego taken to be the subject of feeling (vedaka); cp. Y 25,15f. quoted in n. 1428, and, for the aspect of misconceiving what is in reality unsatisfactory, also Sūtras like SN No. 22.29 (III 31): "He who is pleased with corporeal matter, (etc.,) is pleased with [what is in reality nothing but] Suffering" (yo ... rūpaṃ abhinandati, dukkhaṃ so abhinandati); MN I 233: yo nu kho dukkhaṃ allîno... dukkhaṃ "etaṃ mama, e so 'ham asmi, eso me attā" ti samanupassati, api nu kho so ... dukkhaṃ parijāneyya

...?; Pischel 1904, f. 160b, 161a: ayam āyuşmān duh – kham evāli(nah).

B. My translation of this difficult line presupposes that aham asmi, because of its unstressed position (cp. SWTF s.v. aham $2 a \in [p. 213]$), is not especially emphasized (i.e. that it does not mean "it is I who am ...": cp. also the fact that the paraphrase given in the comm. omits aham), but that it is nevertheless not altogether incidental, and that atmanam is not merely an unstressed reflexive pronoun. Otherwise, i.e. if aham asmi and ātmānam are not stressed at all, the (main) purpose of the line would not be to contrast the wrong conception of Self as the subject of pleasure and pain with the (impermanent and thus ontologically) unsatisfactory ātmabhāva or aggregate of skandhas as the real fact, but to contrast the idea distinction of pain and pleasure with the real fact that all sensations are unsatisfactory (cp., e.g., SN Nos. 36.2 and 36.11). In this case it would appear that one would - as the comm. actually does - have to construe vyavasyati twice:

"[When, being] pained, one conceives oneself [to be pained, thinking:] 'I am pained', or, being pleased, [conceives oneself to be pleased], [what] one conceives [as pain or pleasure is in reality nothing but] Suffering."

Yet, if this were in fact the purport of the verse, it is strange that of the wrong conceptions the one to be stated in full is the idea of being pained and not instead the idea of being pleased, which has to be gained rather by supplements (i.e. the words "[by thinking] ... ['I am pleased']" in the translation of the verse). Besides, in the context of what is responsible for Bondage, the False View (dṛṣṭi) which according to the subsequent pāda (38c) arises from the wrong idea charac-

- 540 -

terized in the present line (38ab) is almost certainly the False View of S e l f, and this means that the wrong idea by which it is called forth is most likely the wrong idea of " \underline{I} ..." (cp. also n. 1445). I therefore prefer to take the cumulative weight of the expressions referring to the 1st person (aham, asmi, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}nam$) seriously and to interpret the verse accordingly.

In the light of his rendering of the corresponding passage of the comm. (see n. 1486(b)), Hts.'s translation of the line (Y 364a4: 於苦計我受 苦樂了知苦) appears to imply the following interpretation: "[To understand that it is] with reference to [what is in reality nothing but (?)] Suffering that one conceives 'I feel pain or pleasure': [this is] 'understanding Suffering'" - a rendering which probably presupposes duhkhe (instead of duhkhî) and ignores ātmānam. But this apart, it also seems to presuppose not only that vyavasyati has to be construed twice (virtually even thrice), but also that it has, each time, a different meaning: duḥkhe duḥkhito/sukhito 'ham asmīti vyavasyati (計!) <iti vyavasyan> duhkham vyavasyati (了別!). This interpretation may be motivated by a certain reluctance to take vyavasyati in a purely negative sense (for which see n. 1443), and this is understandable enough in view of passages like the verse quoted at AKBh 329,24 (... duḥkham sukham iti vyavasyanti: "they understand that pleasure is Suffering") where vyavasyanti is more or less equivalent to parijānanti. But apart from the syntactical kalpanāgaurava involved, such an interpretation appears less recommendable also from the point of view of content. For like the subsequent verses on "understanding Liberation" (moksapari $j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$), the present verse, which according to the comm. deals with "understanding Bondage" (bandhaparijñā), does not - as the comm. rightly puts it and as is beyond doubt in the case of the second line - describe this understanding self but only its content. Hts.'s rendering,

- 541 -

however, takes duhkham vyavasyati to designate this understanding $(parij\bar{n}\bar{a})$ itself and in so far makes it diverge from its context. Besides, $bandha-parij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ can hardly be reduced to $duhkha-parij\bar{n}\bar{a}$ but should include, or rather be focussed on, understanding the causes of Suffering.

- D.Tib., both here and in the comm. (see n. 1486), offers still another interpretation of the passage: "When one is pained, one knows oneself [to be pained, thinking:] 'I am pained', or when one is pleased one knows '[I am] pleased'" (Y, dzi 237b2f.: sdug bsňal bdag ñid bdag ni sdug bsňal $s\bar{n}am$ // $ya\bar{n}$ na bde na bde $s\bar{n}am$ nes par byed //). This rendering obviously presupposes a reading sukhito va suk h a m instead of duhkham. From the point of view of content, it gives the impression of understanding the line in terms of the smrtyupasthana practice, but in this case once again, the present line would express the parijna itself and not its content; moreover, the parikalpa in pāda c would not be concretized - as one may duly expect in the preceding (i.e. the present) line. The only possibility of evading this difficulty would be to regard the words "oneself" and "I" to be stressed in this version, too. In this case, the verse would make good sense, but it would hardly fit the comm., where the reading and interpretation of Tib. is rather improbable (see n. 1486(c)).
- E. Still another interpretation of the line is proposed by Wayman (W. 173; similarly Suguro 1982, 57)): "When suffering, one thinks, 'I am suffering', or when happy, takes it to be suffering." Wayman thus takes the verse to describe correct understanding as consisting in realizing pain as pain (and hence as suffering) and in knowing pleasure to be, in reality, equally Suffering. For the latter aspect Wayman (184 note 42) refers to SN IV 127 (see n. 1428). This

interpretation, unobjectionable, at any rate in substance, though it is from the grammatical point of view and, taken by itself, quite reasonable from the point of view of content, would nevertheless seem to involve, in the context of the passage as a whole, similar difficulties as the Tibetan version. It would, at any rate, be incompatible with the wording of the corresponding passage of the comm., Wayman's translation of which is untenable (see n. 1486(d)).

1445. I.e., if my interpretation of the preceding line is correct: the more or less spontaneous, incidental idea "I feel pain or pleasure" (whereas in reality, there is no Ego but only Suffering, i.e. a cluster of impermanent, unsatisfactory factors) tends to solidify into a tenaciously embraced false view or theory, viz. the View of Self. Cp. the distinction between wrong ideas and false views at Y 166,9ff.: samjnāviparyāsah katamah / yo 'nitye nityam iti duḥkhe sukham iti ašucau šucīti anātmany ātmeti samjnā parikalpaḥ/ dṛṣṭiviparyāsaḥ katamaḥ / yat $(Y_m; ed.: yas)$ tatraiva tathā samjnāparikalpite kṣāntī rucir vyavasthāpanābhinivešaḥ ("[the fact] that [there arises] \dots ")/. Cp. also the distinction between the dṛṣṭiviparyāsas as false views proper, infallibly eradicated by the darsanamarga, and the samjna- and citta-viparyāsas as more spontaneous, incidental wrong ideas (some of) which may, according to certain schools (cp. VisM XXII.68) or masters (see § $7.1A.2.2(b.\gamma)$), occur even in Śaikṣas. As for the manifestation of a spontaneous idea of 'I', not yet solidified into a speculative theory, in connection with pleasure and pain, cp. PV II.200 (Vetter 1984, 101):

"The idea of 'I' [occurring in a person] who desires 'may I be happy' or 'may I not be unhappy': precisely this is the innate view of a Living Being (= Self)."

(sukhī bhaveyam duḥkhī vā mā bhūvam iti tṛṣyataḥ / yaivāham iti dhīḥ saiva sahajam sattvadaršanam //)

1446. According to the comm., sa resumes parikalpaḥ, whereas tasmāt and tad refer to dṛṣṭi (!). The sentence would then mean:

"Having [itself] arisen from this [False View], it (viz. the wrong idea) [thus] also engenders it (viz. the False View)."

It would not appear improbable that the (more or less spontaneous) wrong idea "I am pained", etc., which according to the preceding pāda (38c) calls forth or produces the False View of Self, is itself conditioned by (the Impression of a previous occurrence of?) this False View. But the comm. renders taj janayaty api merely repetitive of what had already been stated in the preceding pāda, and apart from this, oddly enough it refers tasmāt (neuter or masculine but definitely not feminine) and the unambiguously neuter tad to the feminine drsti. I do not contend that the comm. is necessarily wrong, but I should nevertheless like to suggest, as an alternative, to refer tasmāt and tad to duhkha:

"[Thus - i.e. because it calls forth the False View of Self and because the latter, by mediation of Desire, entails rebirth and thus new Suffering (see verses 31-35) -] this wrong idea ['I am pained', etc.,] which has arisen from it (i.e. from Suffering) [by way of misconception], also (i.e. in its turn) engenders it (i.e. [new] Suffering)."

1447. I.e. any defiled mental event or state of mind, especially of manovijāāna (but on occasion even sense-perception may be kliṣṭa: cp. Y 59,1ff.). There is, as has already been observed by Ui (1958, 317), not the slightest reason to interpret the "defiled mind" of the present verse, as later Yogācāra authors (e.g. VGPVy 381b2; Si 24c19ff.; T 1828, p. 417c18ff.; cp. also Ōsaki 1976, 259) quite understandably do, in the sense of the kliṣṭaṃ manas of the full-fledged Yogācāra doctrine, i.e. as a specific kind of vijāāna (characterized by a subtle notion of Ego, etc.); for in this case

one would expect at least the commentator to make this point clear, e.g. by concretizing the Defilements or at least specifying their number, none of which, however, he does. Nor do I find that such a specific form of mind is, from the point of view of content, in any way anticipated in the present passage, as Hakamaya (1978a, 306) suggests (cp. also Yūki 1935, 389); for sadā does not necessarily imply that one and the same mental series is c o n t i n u o u s l y associated with Defilements, but may just as well be understood to mean that e v e r y t i m e (cp. PW s.v. sadā: "... jedesmal") when a defiled citta arises, it does so and it ceases together with its Defilements (cp. also passages like ASBh 40,1f. stating that the antarābhava-cyuti-citta is nityam klistam, i.e. defiled i n e v e r y c a s e).

- 1448. Sadā excludes a preterite function of the suffix -ta, signalizing an atemporal use instead; cp. Wackernagel II,2, 578 (especially the example nitya-jāta- + nityam ... mṛta- "immer wieder geboren werdend und sterbend"); cp. Tib. (Yt dzi 237b3) rtag tu ... skye źiń 'gag ("present"!).
- 1449. The background against which this statement has to be understood is the doctrine of momentariness (see § 7.1B.2.1.4.2.d). This means that the expression "(defiled) mind" in 39b is intended to mean "(any defiled) moment of mind (citta-kṣana)".
- 1450. I.e. because of its momentariness.
- 1451. I have taken *suddham* with the preceding sentence, but one could also take it, with the comm., ἀπὸ κοινοῦ.
- 1452. Comm.: because. But I think that a concessive interpretation makes better sense because *mukta* u s u a l l y presumes that there was, previously, bondage and, then, a process of liberation of which it is the result.
- 1453. Because, on account of its momentariness, it did not yet exist when the Defilements were still there. It is thus

- called liberated in the sense of "free", not in the (usual) sense of "freed", namely, from non-freedom.
- 1454. Viz. of momentariness.
- 1455. I.e. defiled for the whole duration of its existence (which, however, does not exceed one moment).
- 1456. Because it too is pure from the very beginning of its existence (which, however, is at least as far as conditioned entities like mind are concerned confined to one single moment). Ch. (Y_C 364a1o) appears to have misunderstood the line: "That which is defiled is absolutely pure by nature"; similarly W. and Suguro (1982, 57). But Tib. (Y_t dzi 237b4f.) correctly non mons can gan, de 'dir gtan (P, D: gźan); // dag pa (sc. gan yin pa), de ni ran bźin gsal //.
- 1457. Ch. (Y_C 364a11): "Since there is nothing that is purified, how can there be anything that purifies?". But Tib. (Y_t dzi 237b5) gan las kyan confirms abl. separ. As an example for the latter in connection with (vi)śudh-, see BoEh_D 25,24f. (... kleśāvaraṇāj jnānam visudhyate).
- 1458. I.e. in what they consist.
- 1459. In arūpin, the comm. obviously takes rūpa not as "shape" but as being derived from the verb rūpayati "to investigate speculatively", obviously in a passive sense (rūpyata iti rūpam). This may correspond to rūpin under the aspect of "investigation" (prarūpaṇataḥ) in AS 17,8+11, but ASBh 22, 15f. interprets this concept in an a c t i v e sense, referring it to vitarka and vicāra which may be said to be rūpin in the sense of "investigating their object" (ālambana-prarūpaṇāt). On the other hand, AS 2,1off. (AS_t 52a1ff.; cp. ASBh 2,1o-12) would seem to support a passive interpretation when it defines matter (rūpa) as being characterized by rūpaṇā in the sense of a) sparšarūpaṇā (MVy 7546), i.e. being subject to alteration (anyathībhāva: ASBh 2,1o) or affliction (bādhana: ASBh 22,16f.) by physical contact (cp.

- SN III 86), a n d b) desa-nirūpaṇā (MVy 7547), i.e. being susceptible of conceptual determination in space by means of a mental act associated with discursive thought (* v i t a r k a) (*dese "idaṃ cēdaṃ ca rūpam" ... iti ... vitarkasaṃprayuktena manasā citrīkāratā [restored with the help of ASBh, AS_t and AS_c]).
- 1460. Cp. SN III 86 (ruppatī ti ... rūpaṃ ...; cp. SĀ_C 11b26ff.; H. Lüders, Beobachtungen über die Sprache des buddhistischen Urkanons, Berlin 1954, § 29), on which the etymologizing definition of AS 2,10ff. (see n. 1459) is based.
- 1461. I.e. the persons in whom the view of Self occurs.
- 1462. For the distinction of $sahaj\bar{a}$ and $pari-(/vi-)kalpit\bar{a}$ $satk\bar{a}-yadrstih$ see § 7.1A.2.2.b. ϵ and S 1979, 9ff.; cp. also PV II.199f. and Vetter 1984, 23; 42; loof.
- 1463. As the following explanation shows, asraya refers, in this passage, not, as before, to the person in whom the view of Self occurs (see n. 1461), but to former habit. For yet another use of asraya see next note.
- 1464. For these categories used in a similar context (viz. the context of the arising of Defilements in general), see Y 164,3ff. quoted in n. 1416. Yet, in that passage, āsrayataḥ and ālambanataḥ refer to other causes than in the present passage, viz. to latent propensity (anusaya) and to a suitable object (viṣaya), respectively, whereas former habit and listening to wrong doctrines are indicated by the items abhyāsataḥ and dešanataḥ.
- 1465. This is clearly a misunderstanding or (deliberate or inadvertent) replacement of sahāyataḥ in the verse (see n. 1415).
- 1466. Triple ca confirmed by Y_t (dzi 241b1: ... yan ... la / 'dir yan ... cin / ... yan ...) and Y_c (365b4f.: ..., ..., X ...); but $SrBh_m$ unambiguously $v\bar{a}$ (°m $v\bar{a}$!) for the last ca. Yet, in W. 176,18, where ca is certain, we have the same situation (Y_m : °m ca, $SrBh_m$ °m $v\bar{a}$!). In case the reading

- of SrBh_{m} (... ca ... ca ... $v\bar{a}$) is, in the present passage, to be preferred, one would have to translate: "... in the sense that, on the one hand (ca), this [false] view ... had ... been habitually followed (= α) and, on the other (ca), in this [existence] one either reflects incorrectly (= β), or $(v\bar{a})$ hears, from others, ... (= γ)."
- 1467. Cp. the parallels in n. 1416.
- 1468. Viz. tṛṣṇā, as the direct and main cause of Suffering (i.e. of the new ātmabhāva or sarvabījo vipākaḥ: cp. verse 28 + n. 1405, and AKBh 333,8f. and 15f.; cp. also Y 108,8-10.
- 1469. In the sense of saṃskāraduḥkhatā concretized in ālayavijñāna (see comm. ad v. 33-34).
- 1470. See comm. ad v. 35.
- 1471. Since the Suffering which is taught to be the cause of these two further kinds of Suffering or unsatisfactoriness is identified with saṃskāraduḥkhatā (see n. 1469), the two other kinds of Suffering can only be vipariṇāma— and duḥkhadduḥkhatā (on which see S 1977, 919ff.). This is confirmed beyond doubt by the fact that they refer to "pleasure" (sukha) and "pain" (duḥkha) in v. 35, respectively (see n. 1480).
- 1472. To be sure, both Y_m and ŚrBh_m read duḥkha-saṃskāra-duḥkha-tā-saṃgṛhītam ālayavijnāna-mayam, thus suggesting that ālaya-vijñāna corresponds to both saṃskāra- and duḥ-kh a duḥkhatā, and this is what Wayman (W. 184 + note 37; likewise Suguro 1982, 59) expressly takes the passage to mean. Tib., too, seems to presuppose such a reading (Y_t dzi 241b4f.: sdug bsnal da n / 'du byed kyi sdug bsnal du bsdus pa'i kun gźi rnam par ses pa (de gnas par byas nas...)). It is, however, highly improbable that the sub-liminal ālayavijñāna, which demonstrably and understandably came to be regarded as the hypostasis or (foremost) representative of saṃskāra-duḥkhatā (see § 4.1.4), was ever

thought to include the unsatisfactoriness of (gross) pain (duḥkhaduḥkhatā), too. In fact, the comm. itself unequivocally states that the two other Suffering or unsatisfactoriness, which in view of the verse to which they refer cannot but mean viparinama- and $d u h k h a -duhkhat \bar{a}$ (see n. 1480), are caused the basic kind of Suffering or unsatisfactoriness consisting in ālayavijñāna. Duḥkha-duḥkhatā, or acute pain, is thus an effect of ālayavijnāna and not its nature, which therefore will consist in $samsk \ddot{a} raduhkhat \ddot{a}$ on l y. This is in fact what the Chinese version (Y 顯示行苦所播阿賴耶識) says. But since it has 365b1of.: ... no equivalent at all for the initial duḥkha-, it offers no help in solving the problem of how this word can be interpreted in a reasonable way. To be sure, Tib. too would admit of a less offensive interpretation, viz. "comprised in Suffering [in the ultimate sense] and in samskāra-duhkhatā". But since saṃskāra-duḥkhatā will, in this case, have to be understood not as something different from Suffering but as its explanation or concretization ("Suffering, i.e. samskāraduḥkhatā"), one would rather expect 'duḥkha-' to 1 o w 'saṃskāra-duḥkhatā-'.

Moreover, from a syntactical point of view, the sentence under discussion is, as it stands, also as a whole altogether unsatisfactory. For what ought to follow upon the initial dvitiyatṛtiyābhyām is an object for the verb daršayati (to be supplied from the preceding sentence). Now, in view of the verse and because kṛtvā would otherwise be without any complement, nivešanaṃ has to be construed with the following sentence (viz. ... kṛtvā ... upaiti ...). Likewise, the preceding tad has, even if we read tad vi- instead of tad dhi, to be taken with what follows, because it would otherwise not be referable to anything. Thus, what remains as the required object of daršayati is two a d ject tives, viz. the problematic duḥkha-saṃskāra-duḥkhatā-

samgrhitam and alayavijnanamayam; for there is no possibility to read, with W. 177,15, alayavijnanam ayam, because ayam - apart from not being represented in Ch. nor in Tib. would not admit of being construed with what precedes (the use of an unstressed demonstrative as the subject of a verb which has to be supplied seems altogether impossible) nor with what follows (because in this case ayam, being in an initial position, would be stresswhich, however, does not make sense). Thus, as regards the object of darsayati, we are left with two adjectives, without a noun to support them, which is definitely odd. Searching for the noun that one expects, one is referred to the word duhkham both by the initial résumé of content (236,7f.: yathā tad ātmadaršanam samudayānupūrvyā $(\rightarrow v. 32)$ du ķ k h a m nirvartayati ...) and by the closely related sentence on p. 238,7 (tasyedānīm ālayavijnānasamgrhītasya du h k h a s y a ...). Therefore it seems imperative to sever the word du h k h a from the alleged compound duḥkha-saṃskāra-duḥkhatā-saṃgrhītam (where, as was shown above, it does not belong for reasons of content and style) and convert it, by addanus vāra, into the urgently required noun, the result of this minute emendation being a text which is entirely satisfactory from the point of view of both syntax and sense. Since there are other instances in our text where both manuscripts are clearly faulty (see n. 1400), such an emendation can hardly be denounced to be illegitimate. - For the word sequence as well as the expression duhkham samskaraduhkhatasamgrhitam cp. also BoBhn 169,11 (beginning of a list): saṃmohavipākaṃ duḥkhaṃ saṃskāraduhkhatā-samgrhītam duhkham ...

1473. I for one do not see any reason why the commentator should have replaced, in this sentence, nivesana by v i nivesana. The possibility that he thus read the verse text is excluded for metrical reasons ($\stackrel{\smile}{\smile} \cup \stackrel{\smile}{\smile}$ is not allowed, and does not

occur in any of the PG ślokas, as an opening of an uneven pāda) and by the next sentence confirming precisely nivesanam for the verse text. Thus, it appears preferable to read not tad(-) vi° but tad dhi. The replacement of $p\bar{u}rvam$ in the verse text (superfluous if not disturbing in the context of the commentator's explanation: see n. 1426(C.c)) by tad dhi in the comm. may, perhaps, signalize that the commentator takes the fact that people s t i c k to it (nivesanam kr-) as a justification for his equating duhkha with \bar{a} 1 a y a vij \bar{n} anam (which may be interpreted as " $vij\bar{n}$ ana that is stuck to": cp. §§ 6.7.2.2.b and 7.18.2.2.1.3).

1474. A. Chin. (愛蔵此: see n. 1422(F)) and probably also Tib. (de, not des: see n. 1422(E)) take tad not as the subject but as the object of krtva. This is in accordance with the verse text where the subject is "people" (PG 33a), and where what is known by the Aryas to be Suffering - i.e., according to the comm.: ālayavijñāna - is clearly the object of nivesanam kr- (PG Besides, if $tad = \bar{a}layavij\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ were the grammatical subject of nivesanam krtva, it would also have to be the subject of the main verb, viz. upaiti prapancitam. This, however, appears difficult because the comm. itself explains prapañcita subjective act of thinking shall be", etc. - a function which of course, fits only manovijnāna and not at all the subliminal ālayavijnāna which is, after all, nowhere in the Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi documented to have been conceived of as an al cognition already at that stage of development. And even if prapancitam upaiti could be understood not as indulging in such conceptual proliferation but rather as becoming its object, one would have to prove that ālayavijnāna is, in common people, the object of such discursive notions - quite apart from the fact that ālayavijñāna is nowhere in the Basic Section expressly stated to be the object of the notion of 'I'.

- B. As far as the comm. is concerned, the meaning of niveŝana is, by its explicit equation with ātmabhāva-parigraha, restricted to the "existential" context
 of entering, or coming to stick to, a new (basis of)
 personal existence (see n. 1477(D)).
- C. In these circumstances, I discern, theoretically speaking, three possibilities of understanding tad ... nivešanam kṛtvā in the present passage:
- a) nivesana as a predicative locus noun: "having made it (= ālayavijñāna) one's abode, or that to which one sticks".
- b) nivesanam kṛ- as a unified verbal expression with a transitive meaning:"having stuck to it (sc. ālayavijñāna)".
- c) nivesanam kṛ- as a unified verbal expression with a c a u s a t i v e meaning: "having made it (= ālayavijñāna) enter [a new existence], or stick to or hide in [a new body]".

The alternatives b and c, although supported by Chin. and Tib., respectively (see n. 1422(E-F)), presuppose the p o s s i b i l i t y of taking nivesanam kr- as a unified verbal expression governing an accusative, which would seem to require additional evidence not available to me (see n. 1422(B.b)).

- D. In case that, in spite of n. 1473, the reading "d vi" (instead of "d dhi) is preferred, a grammatically unproblematical semantical equivalent of the alternatives b and c would be obtained if the text is read as tad-vinivesanam kṛtvā (b: "having performed [the action of] settling in, or sticking to, it"; c: "having performed [the action of] settling it").
- 1475. I.e. [foolish or immature] people (lokaḥ): see n. 1474(A).

- 1476. See n. 1425(D). According to Tib. (Y_t dzi 241b5: 'gyur ram mi 'gyur ba źig gu sñam pa), the conceptual proliferations 'bhaviṣyāmi', etc., have to be understood as questions (perhaps: "I wonder whether I shall be?", etc.); cp. also YVy 89b1 where the passage is quoted as 'byun bar 'gyur ram mi 'gyur źes / de'i phyir spros pa khas len to //.
- 1477. The following attempt to clarify the concept of \bar{a} t m a b h \bar{a} v a p a r i g r a h a and its systematical context is only preliminary, but I hope that even in this form it may help to illuminate the possibilities of interpreting the present passage, and that it will at any rate facilitate a fuller investigation.

A. Materials

- 1 Pañcav 76,1f.: nâpi (bodhisattvās) tādṛšam ātmabhāvam parigṛhṇanti yena nindanīyā bhavanti ...
- (2) Pañcav 185,7f.: so (sc. bodhisattvaḥ) ... yādṛśenātmabhāvena sattvānāṃ śaknoty arthakaraṇāya tādṛśam ātmabhāvaṃ saṃcintya parigṛhṇāti /; cp. 80,7.
- (3) Śat 1470,13ff.: iha bodhisattvo ... sañcintyâtmabhāvaṃ parigṛhṇāti / ... kathaṃ bodhisattvo ... sañcintyâtmabhāvam upādatte? ...
- 4 As 24,11ff. (AS_G 24,23f.):

 parigrahato 'vyākṛtaṃ katamat / yathāpi tac chilpasthānasyābhyastatvād āyatyāṃ tadrūpam ātmabhāvaparigrahaṃ karoti yena laghu laghv eva teṣu šilpasthāneṣu
 šikṣāniṣṭhāṃ gacchati /

"What is [morally] neutral in the sense that it is taken possession of [due to something neutral]? E.g. when [a person], because he has habitually practised a [certain] craft, in future (i.e. at the time of Linking up) takes possession of such a basis-of-per-

sonal-existence (or body) that he becomes quite easily extremely skilful in these (= such?) crafts."

Cp. the parallel passage AS 22,15f.: parigrahatah kusalam katamat / yad ... punyakriyāvastunā ... svargopapattiparigraho ... /

- (5) AS 29,8f. (AS_C 27,22f.):

 kathaṃ parigrahataḥ (sc. hetupratyayo draṣṭavyaḥ: 28,
 11f.) / akuśalāḥ kuśalasāsravāś ca dharmāḥ, ātmabhāvaparigrahāya /

 (I.e.: Bad and impure good factors are (vipāka)hetu
 [in so far as they are conducive] to taking possession of a [new] basis-of-personal-existence; cp. ASBh
 37,20f.: ... āyatyām ... ātmabhāvasaṃgṛhītasya (ms.
- 6 AS 27,3f. (AS_G 26,14; Matsuda 1983, 41): nāmarūpam kiṃkarmakam / ātmabhāvaṃ ... sattvān grāhayati .../ (ASBh 32,19f.: tan-nirvṛttyā sattvānāṃ nikāyasabhāgāntara-bhajanāt.)

and ed.: -vya-) vipākasyākṣepakatvāt.)

- 7 MAVBh 21,14f.: <u>samparigrahān</u> nāmarūpeņātmabhāvasya (sc. pariklišyate jagat) /
 [underlined parts: pratīka of MAV I.10b+11b]
- 8 MAVŢ 37,17ff. (MAVṬ 41a8ff.):
 - a nāmarūpam hi pañca skandhāḥ ... pratisandhim upādāya ... anutpannaṣaḍāyatanā(ḥ) ... / tasmims côtpanne manuṣya-tiryag-ādi-nikāyasabhāga-bhedād ātmabhāvo bhidyata iti "nāmarūpeṇātmabhāvaḥ parigṛhīta" ity ucyate /
 - b) athavāmaraṇāt (i.e. °vā ā-maraṇāt) sarvam evātmabhāvam parigṛhṇāti, tatprathamataḥ sarvasya hetubhāvena vyavasthānād iti /
 - c yan na dbyer med kyan min dan gzugs kyis lus yons su 'dzin to źes 'di tha dad par ston to // dper na 'dus byas thams cad phun po lna rnams kyis kun bsdus so źes bya ba lta bu'o //

<*athavā 'bhede 'pi nāmarūpeņātmabhāvaḥ parigṛhyata iti ... bhedena nirdisyate (?) / yathā "sarvaṃ
saṃskṛtaṃ pañcabhi>ḥ skandhaiḥ saṃgṛhītam" iti /

- 9 MAVŢ 42,4-6: <u>parigrahahetur nāmarūpa-ṣaḍāyatane</u> (= MAVBh 22,6) iti, upanītasya nāmarūpa-ṣaḍāyatanābhyām nikāyasabhāga-parigrahāt /
- (10) MSg I.34: mñam par ma bžag pa'i sa nas ŝi 'phos pa'i yid bar ma do'i srid pa na gnas pa'i tshe yid kyi rnam par ŝes pa ñon mons pa can gyis ñin mtshams sbyor ba sbrel te (MSgU_t 257b5f.: lus yons su 'dzin ces bya ba'i tha tshig go) / (*asamāhitāyā bhūmeš (MSgU: = kāmadhātoš) cyutasya antarābhavastham manah (MSgU: = cittam) kliṣṭena manovi-
- gṛḥṇātīty arthaḥ) /)

 11) ASBh 47,7f.: upādāna-saṃgraho yathā svāminā ātmīyataḥ
 parigṛhītānāṃ (ms. -tādīnāṃ) dāsādīnāṃ tathālayavijāā-

nenātmabhāvasya /

jnānena pratisandhim badhnāti (MSgU: ātmabhāvam pari-

- "'Gathering' in the sense of 'taking hold of' [obtains in the case] of the basis-of-personal-existence, [in so far as it has been taken possession of] by ālayavijñāna, in the same way as slaves, etc., have been taken possession of by the owner as his own."
- (12) ASEh 11,12f.: punaḥ punaḥ pratisandhibandhe ātmabhāvôpādānād ādānavijñānam /
- 13) MSg I.5 (\equiv PSkViv 95b3-5; cp. MSg_N 11f. and H 1978a, 216f. and 226):
 - a lus (Hts.: 自體) thams cad ñe bar len pa'i gnas su gyur pa'i phyir te (*sarvâtmabhāvôpādānâśrayabhūtatām upādāya(?)) /
 - b 'di ltar ... ñin mtshams sbyor ba sbrel ba na yan de mnon par 'grub pa ne bar 'dzin pa'i phyir (PSkViv: ... 'grub pa dan len pas) lus bzun ba

(D; cp. PSkViv zin pa) yin te (*pratisandhiban-dhe ca tad-abhinirvṛtty-upagrahaṇena atmabhāvo gṛhīto bhavati) /

MSgBh_t 150a6f. (cp. Y. Sasaki 1982, 191): ... lus thams cad² yons su gzun (D) ba byas par (D pas) 'gyur te / kun gźi rnam par šes pa la lus thams cad² kyi bag chags kun tu gnas³ pa'i phyir ro (*... sakal(asy)ātmabhāva(sya)parigrahaḥ kṛto bhavati, ālayavijnāne sakalātmabhāvavāsanā-sannivešāt(?)) //

- 1. H 1978a, 226: -upagrahaṇārtham, but contradicted by PSkViv len pag, MSgBh, 'dzin par byed pag, and Pa. 由 ... 故 . PSkViv appears to have misunderstood -abhinirvṛtty-upagrahaṇa-as a dvandva.
- 2. Hts., Pa.: 一期 ; Dharmagupta: 具足 ; cp. MSgUt 24ob1 mtha' dag.
- 3. Hts., Dharmagupta: 住.
- (14) Śat 110,3f.: mamânubhāvāt tataš cyutvā mānuṣyam ātmabhāvam pratilapsyante /
- (15) AS 54,5 (ASBh 65,3f.): ātmabhāvaparigrahayor vipattiḥ (AS 54,8: saṃpattiḥ); cp. AS 1,14f. (ASBh 1,16) saparigraha-deha- and AS 2,2 (ASBh 1,20) deha-parigrahābhyām ("body and possessions").
- (16) ASBh 45,5f.: ... ālayavijnānôpādāna-vasenâtmabhāvôpasthāpanāt / tathāhi tad-viyukta āsrayaḥ pūtībhavati /
- 17) AKBh 333,19: na câtmabhāva evam kenacid āgṛhīto yathā tṛṣṇayā /

B. Analysis of the compound

As is evident from the frequent verbal phrase $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vam$ parigrah— (1)-(3); (8b); cp. (6)), $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vaparigraha$ will usually have to be interpreted as a tatp. with an action

noun as its second and a genitivus objectivus as its prior member (cp. (7)). In the Abhidharmasamuccaya ((15)), however, we meet with an entirely different use, viz. as a dvandva in the sense of "basis-of-personal-existence (or: body) and possessions". This would seem to mean that the compound was semantically not petrified, as it were, and was open to more than one use. Thus, its occasional use in the sense of "taking possession of [something] a s basis-of-personal-existence" or "possession consistthe basis-of-personal-existence" can hardly be ruled out a priori. In fact, the first of these possibilities is chosen by the Chin. translation of the present passage (播寫自體). For the second possibility, cp. the interpretation of the compound ātmabhāva-...-pratilambha (see below: D.b) at AAA 362,17f. (tad eva pratilabhyate iti pratilambhah).

C. Syntax

In "ordinary" phraseology, the grammatical or at least logical subject of the verbal phrase ātmabhāvam (pari)grah-(or \bar{a} .-parigraham kr-) is, explicitly or implicitly, a per- $(9)^{1}$), or living beings (cp. (6)). Occasionhowever, ally, a "de-personalized" phraseology is preferred. In that case, the subject or agent is naturally supplied by "person-substitutes" like mind ((10): manas in the sense of the mind series; cp. Hsien-yang 580a19-21 quoted in n. 1408) or \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na ((11); cp. (12)); but even the initial factor of the new existence may figure in this function ((8b), probably also and (8c)). In "ordinary" phraseology, the initial factor, appearing in the instrumental ((9)) or as the grammatical subject of a causative verb ((6)), will have to be taken as the means or starting-point of atmabhava-parigraha, as holds good also for the manovijnāna immediately preceding the beginning of the new existence and functioning as the

n. 1477

initiating factor (0). The initial factor, on the other hand, is $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ (6) (8), but in (13) it seems to be \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na (see below: G).

- 557 -

- 1. Provided that I am right in taking upanitasya as a genitivus subjectivus.
- 2. The agentive function of the instrumental is probable in view of some of the parallel sentences, which contain causative action nouns, as e.g. MAVBh 21,13f.: $\underline{nayan\bar{a}d}$ (= MAV I.10b) $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}nen\bar{o}papattisth\bar{a}na-sampr\bar{a}pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$.

D. Purport of ātmabhāva-parigraha and related notions

- a) The expressions ātmabhāvam parigrah— and ātmabhāva—parigraha denote taking hold or possession of (a new basis of) personal existence, especially in explicit or implicit connection with the moment of Linking up (pratisandhi). Instead of or side by side with pari-grah—/parigraha (1)—5, 8—11; cp. also MSgBh ad (13b), not only simple grah—(6) and metrical samparigraha (7) but also upā-dā—/upādāna (3; 11)—12) or ādāna (see § 3.9.2.5 + ns. 339 and 340) may be used.
- b) Atmabhavaparigraha is thus closely related to the notions, already met with in the canonical texts, of atmabhāva-pratilambha and ātmabhāvābhinirvṛtti. In these latter notions, however, the beginning of a new existence is viewed heteronomous event (cp. $mam \hat{a} n u b h \bar{a}$ in (14)!), whereas ātmabhāva-parigraha would seem to point to something one takes up voluntarily, as is confirmed by $s \ a \ m \ c \ i \ n \ t \ y \ a \ in \ (2) \ and \ (3) \ refer$ ring to the autonomous rebirth of a Bodhisattva. In the case of the Yogācāra sources, the expression is, to be sure, used with regard to ordinary rebirth determined by karman. But nevertheless it would seem to have preserved, in some instances at least, an aspect of voluntariness, assent, or at any rate involuntary but active participation (rendered explicit in the expression \bar{a} .-parigraham $k \, a \, r \, o \, t \, i$:

- 4), perhaps on account of rebirth being viewed in this case from the angle not so much of karmic determination as of the desire to be reborn (tṛṣṇā, prapaācābhirati; cp. also the description of rebirth in n. 844). This is particularly the case in the present passage (viz. comm. ad PG 33-34) where ātmabhāva-parigraha(m kṛ-) serves to explain nivešana(m kṛ-). It is, occasionally, palpable even in occurrences of related expressions like vipāka-, phala- or vipāka-phala-parigraha (cp. Y 25,21f.; 62,4; 200,15; Yt zi 6a3; (-)parigraham kṛ-: PG 27d; BoBh 91,9f.; AKBh 122,15f.), e.g. at Y 6,2 and 12,3f. where iṣṭāniṣṭaphalaparigraha is enumerated among the functions or activities (karman) of sense perceptions and manas (i.e. manovijāāna: see § 6.2.4).
- c) $\bar{A}tmabh\bar{a}va-parigraha$ is thus used in what one may call in a literal sense the "existential" context, or in a lax sense not intended to exclude rebirth in the $\bar{a}r\bar{u}pyadh\bar{a}-tu$ the "reincarnational" context. It is, as far as I can see, not used in the sense of biological appropriation of corporeal matter; in this sense, $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ will have to be combined with $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ ((16)), not parigraha. Nor does $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va-parigraha$ seem to be used in the sense of spiritually evil Cling-ing to (the basis of) personal existence an idea which may, however, be expressed (apart from other phrases like * $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va\bar{a}$ a $p\bar{a}-d\bar{a}-[ASBh\ 2,6f.]$) by $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vam$ $\bar{a}-grah-((17))$.

E. Meaning of ātmabhāva

The concept of ātmabhāva involves several aspects which are not mutually exclusive but may be variously stressed or ignored according to the context (cp. Collins 1982, 156ff.):

- a) "Qualitative" aspect: a particular existence or life in so far as one belongs to a specific class of living beings. Under this aspect, ātmabhāva comes close to the concept of nikāyasabhāga (cp. 9); ASBh ad 6); AKBh 122,15f.).
- b) "Temporal" aspect: a particular existence or life covering a certain span of time.
- c) "Concrete" aspect: the "basis-of-personal-existence" (more or less equivalent to āŝraya: see § 7.1B.2.1.3.a + n. 1009 and n. 372), i.e. the constituents on which a certain personal existence is based, or which forms its nucleus resulting from karmic Maturation, especially the vipākajaṃ ṣaḍ-āyatanam (see § 3.11.2).
- d) The "concrete" aspect is (as also in the case of \bar{a} \$raya: see ns. 187 and 796) sometimes narrowed down to the most palpable and solid part of the basis-of-personal-existence, i.e. corporeal matter or even the body (thus unambiguously in (16)).

F. Problems

a) If $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ is, in the sense of E.b and c, understood as the whole (basis) of a certain personal existence, i.e. as the sixfold Basis ($sad\bar{a}yatana$), and/or as covering the entire span of life until death, the question arises how this $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ can nevertheless be stated to be taken possession of by the initial factor or phase, e.g. $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ which denotes the state preceding that of $sad\bar{a}yatana$ (8a), i.e. does not yet consist of all the six Bases and covers only the very first part of a life-span.

Sthiramati offers two solutions: According to 8a, a given (basis of) personal existence is said to be taken possession of already by $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ because [in spite of the absence of the complete $sad\bar{a}yatana$] it is already with the origination of $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ that a given existence is specified

in the sense of belonging to a particular class of living beings. According to (8b), on the other hand, $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ can be stated to take possession of the whole [period of a given] personal existence until death because $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ is the first factor to be definitively established as the cause of the whole [existence].

- 1. Cp. MAVŢ 42,8f. pointing out that by nāmarūpa the [new] basis-of-existence as such (ātmabhāvamātra) be it complete or incomplete is taken hold of (saṃgraha: cp. ASBh 47,7) for the first time.
- b) If, in contrast to what was presupposed in F.a, the notion of ātmabhāva is used in such a way that the aspect of completeness is disregard-ed, viz. in the sense of the basic constituent(s) of personal existence at any time, be they complete or only rudimentary, it can, it is true, be used also for the initial element of a new existence even if the latter does not yet include all the six sense-faculties, i.e. also for the phase of nāmarūpa. But since in this case nāmarūpa and ātmabhāva would be non-different, it would, strictly speaking, become impossible to say that the ātmabhāva is taken possession of by nāmarūpa (7). Thus, such a statement is, from this point of view, a mere mode of expression not to be taken literally (cp. (8c)).

G. Mahāyānasamgraha I.5

The problem described in F.a and, in substance, also the solution offered in 8b can probably be traced back to the difficult passage MSg I.5. In this text, the initial factor is, however, \bar{a} l a y a v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a .

a) MSg I.5 states that ālayavijñāna may, for two reasons, also be called 'ādānavijñāna'. The second reason is that it is the basis (*āŝraya) for taking possession of the whole (basis of) personal existence ((13a)); for by taking hold of its coming forth (i.e. of the new ālayavijñā-

na coming forth²) at the moment of Linking up (pratisandhi), one [automatically] takes possession of the new existence and its constituents [as a whole] ((13b)), because - according to the commentaries³ - \tilde{a} layavij \tilde{n} ana contains, or is permeated with, Impressions ($v\tilde{a}san\tilde{a}$) [functioning as Seeds for] that existence (and its constituents) as a whole.

- 1. According to Y. Sasaki (1982, 191f.), *sarvātmabhāva has to be understood as a l l k i n d s o f personal existence. This may not be impossible if taken in the sense of (8a), but the fact that instead of *sarva- the commentaries use *sakala ("complete") favours an interpretation in the sense of (8b). Quite explicitly so VGPVy 376a4f. lus skye ba ril dan 'brel pa and b2 skye ba ril dan 'brel pa'i lus ("the ātmabhāva belonging to a whole life (*sakala-janman-?)").
- 2. Formations in -ti may designate the concrete result (cp. S 1969a, 104 and 112; Ratnākaraśānti, Sāratamā [ed. Jaini, Patna 1979], 173,1f.: "dharmadhātuvišud-dhiḥ" "suvišuddho dharmadhātuḥ" ... iti nārthabhedaḥ kascit; cp. Wackernagel II,2, p. 635ff.).
- 3. See MSgBh ad (13b); cp. also MSgU 240a8f.: kun gźi rnam par ses pas lus mtha' dag ... lus mtha' dag gi bag chags bsgos pa'i phyir yons su bzun ba yin no //
- b) If this interpretation is correct, ālayavijñāna is, in this passage (viz. (13b)), the object of the act of "taking hold" (*upagrahana). The subject is not expressed and I have supplemented the "person" who would seem to be intended as such. But an interpretation in the sense that it is, ultimately, ālayavijñāna itself that takes hold of its "rebirth" does not appear impossible either.
- 4. The same result would be obtained if tad-were taken as the logical subject not of *abhinirvṛtti- but of *upagra-haṇa-, but to my mind such an analysis (which runs counter to at least Tib. and Hts.) is less probable. There is an analysis of the compound in MSgBh, but Tib. appears to be corrupt. Considering the evidence of all versions (MSgBh_t 150a7f.; MSgBh_c [Hts.] 325b1-3 and [Dharmagupta] 274a25f.), I should expect the original to have been something like *tasyābhinirvṛttis tad-abhinir-vṛttih / tad-abhinirvṛtter upagrahaṇam tad-abhinirvṛtty-upagrahaṇam / tad-upagrahaṇāc (?; Tib. naṇ?) câtmabhāvo-pādānam (?; Tib. votpādanam?) /. But this is anything but certain.

- c) On the other hand, most scholars 5 have interpreted *tad as referring to ātmabhāva- or sarvātmabhāva-, supplying alayavijñana as the logical subject of the "taking hold" (*upagrahaṇa) of the arising of a new ātmabhāva (or of the newly arisen $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va^{6}$) which may, in this case, be taken more or less in the traditional sense of sadayatana or its rudimentary prefiguration. This interpretation would seem to be supported by *Asvabhāva's commentary 7. To be sure, the compound atmabhavabhinirvrtti (See D.b) is fairly common (cp., e.g., CPD s.v.; Y 25,12). But *tad=ālayavijnāna- is not impossible either, for in Y_{\star} zi 5b8 (see n. 418) *tad-abhinirvrtti- clearly stands for ālayavijāānābhinirvṛtti-. Besides, (13b) stands, as the explanation of the second reason for alayavijñana being also called 'ādānavijnāna', parallel to the preceding sentence which explains the first reason (viz. biological appropriation). Now, in this explanation of the first reason 8 the demonstrative pronoun (des) unambiguously refers to ālayavijñāna. Thus, it is reasonable to assume the same for the demonstrative pronoun in the explanation of the second reason also, i.e. for the *tad- in *tad-abhinirvrtty-upagrahanena, because otherwise there would not be, in this second explanation, any explicit reference to ālayavijñāna at all. I therefore prefer to understand the passage to mean that by taking hold of the new alayavijñana at the moment of Linking up, the new existence as a whole, and its basis in its entirety, is virtually taken possession of.
 - 5. Cp. MSg $_{\rm L}$ vol. II, p. 15; MSg $_{\rm N},$ 86; Y. Sasaki 1982, 179f.; Takeuchi 1985, 268,4.
 - 6. See G.a, footn. 2.
 - 7. MSgU 240a7-b1 (H 1975, (18)), which seems to explain pratisandhi- as *ātmabhāvâbhinirvṛtti-, -ban-dha as *-parigraha, and to make ālayavijñān a the subject or agent of taking possession of the whole ātmabhāva (though this last is not confirmed by MSgU_C (383c7)).

8. MSg I.5: 'di ltar tshe ji srid par rjes su 'jug gi bar du d e s dban po gzugs can lna po (om. in Ch.) dag ma zig par ne bar bzun (D) ba ...; PSkViv 95b4: 'di ltar des dban po gzugs can dag zin na ji srid 'tsho'i bar du mi 'chi bar gnas so //; Buddhaśānta (T 1592, 97c7f.): 如是彼依諾色等根不壞者. 乃至命不盡隨順故 ; presumable original (cp. H 1978a, 226; Aramaki in MSg_N, 11): *tathāhi tena (or tad-) upagṛhītāni rūpīṇīndriyāṇy avinaṣṭāni yāvadāyur (or yāvajjīvam) anuvartante (thus PSk-Viv and probably also Hts.; MSg_t, Aramaki, Hakamaya: *yāvad āyur anuvartate; Buddhaśānta may have read *-śṛtāni instead of *-gṛḥītāni).

H. Atmabhāvaparigraha and ālayavijnāna

From the preceding exposition, the following consequences would seem to be derivable with regard to possible relations of $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vaparigraha$ and \bar{a} l a y a v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a :

- a) In (11), and perhaps also in MSgU ad (13b) (see G.c, footn. 7), ālayavijñāna is expressly stated to be the subject or agent of the act of taking possession (pari-grah-) of a new ātmabhāva (cp. also (12) and § 3.9.2.5).
- b) In (13b), ālayavijñāna is stated to be the basis of taking possession of the new ātmabhāva as a whole, because in the beginning of a new existence ālayavijñāna is the object of a similar act of taking hold (*upagrahaṇa) in which taking possession of the developing ātmabhāva as a whole is involved and anticipated.
- c) If, in the sense of F.b (\rightarrow 8c), the term $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$ is not restricted to the complete basis-of-personal-existence but applied to any stage of its development, \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} and as the initial element of the new existence and as that from which the entire development of the latter derives, may also be called $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$. In this case, \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} and would be the logical object of the $(\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va-)-parigraha$ proper. Unfortunately, I cannot substantiate this

by an explicit text passage but only by a kind of cumulative evidence: On the one hand, the Pravitti Portion (Yt 6a3) mentions the "taking possession of [the Result-of-]Maturation of ālayavijñāna" (*ālayavijñānasya vipākasya (or vipāka-?) parigraha-: see n. 417), and however the passage may have to be understood grammatically, it is clear from the corresponding passage Y_{t} zi 5b8 (*tad- (= ālayavijnāna-) -abhinirvṛtti-: see n. 418) that the [Result-of-]Maturation $(vip\bar{a}ka)$ to be taken possession of is the new \bar{a} layav i j \tilde{n} \bar{a} n a (see § 3.12.5). On the other hand, \bar{a} layavij \tilde{n} \bar{a} na has, in Y 192.8 (see § 6.5.1), come to take the position of the traditional \bar{a} t m a b h \bar{a} v a as the main or primary result of karmic Maturation (see § 6.5.4). Cp. also MSg I.21, declaring ālayavijñāna to comprise all ātmabhāvas, and PSk_{+} 17a4 (see n. 14o) according to which \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na is the object of Clinging to the $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va$.

I. Ātmabhāvaparigraha as an explanation of nivešana

In the present passage (comm. ad PG 33-34), $\bar{a}tma$ a) bhāvaparigraham (kṛtvā) is presented as an explanation of nivesanam (kṛtvā) in PG 33c. In fact, the latter can, in this pāda, be replaced by the former in its usual meaning (action noun with its logical object as prior member [see B]; subject: lokah [see C]), without the slightest syntactical difficulty. It will not be felt to be disquieting that in PG 34a such a replacement appears to be possible only ad sensum (e.g. by taking yat tan nivesanam kṛtam to mean "that which has been taken possession of the basis-of-personal-existence"). It may, however, be found somewhat unsatisfactory that the same is also true of the slightly modified repetition of PG 33cd in the comm. (tad dhi nivesanam krtvā ...).

To be sure, if tad could be taken as the subject, there would be no difficulty in replacing nivesanam by ātmabhāvaparigraham in its usual meaning (action noun

cum object). But as was shown in n. 1474(A), such an interpretation of the sentence is highly improbable, and tad will have rather to be considered as the object nivesanam krtvā. In that case, however, a replacement of nivešana by ātmabhāvaparigraha in its usual meaning (action noun cum object) would seem to be impossible. For if nivesana is taken as a predicative locus noun (n. 1474(C.a), it could be replaced by $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vaparigraha$ only the latter is, by way of exception, understood as a karmadhāraya ("having made it one's possession consisting in the [new] basis-of-personal-existence"). If, on the other hand, and provided this is possible, nivesanam kr-is understood as a unified verbal expression (n. 1474(C.b)), an interpretation of the sentence in terms of ātmabhāvaparigraha will be possible only ad sensum ("having taken possession of as one's basis-of-personal-existence"). In case of a causative interpretation of nivesana (n. 1474(C.c)), a mechanical substitution of ātmabhāvaparigraha for nivešana does not work, but the whole expression 'tad ... nivesanam kṛtvā' ("having (re)settled it (sc. ālayavijñāna)") may be taken to be equivalent to "having taken possession of a [new] personal existence" (ātmabhāvaparigraham kṛtvā).

Thus, in the case of the comm. sentence 'tad dhi nive-sanam kṛtvā...', an application of the present explanation of nivesanam (kṛtvā) by ātmabhāvaparigraham (kṛtvā) would seem not to contradict nor to favour any of the interpretations considered in n. 1474(\mathcal{C}). It would support rather the interpretation of tad as the subject of the sentence, which, however, has to be discarded for other reasons (see n. 1474(\mathcal{A})).

b) Likewise, the different interpretations of the comm. sentence 'tad dhi niveśanaṃ kṛtvā ...' involve different views on the relation of ā l a y a v i j ñ ā n a to ātmabhāvaparigraha, but there seems to be no clue as to

which of these views deserves preference. For

- α) according to *H.c*, the view implied if *nive§ana* is taken as a predicative locus noun or as a transitive action noun that ālayavijñāna is what is, at the moment of Linking up, taken possession of a s the new ātmabhāva, will hardly be objectionable.
- β) If, on the other hand, *nivesana* is interpreted in a causative sense, ālayavijñāna would have to be regarded e ither as the logical subject of taking possession of a new basis-of-personal-existence (in the traditional sense: see E.c), or as the initial factor by means of which a new existence is taken possession of; and these two alternatives would not involve serious problems either (cp. H.a and H.b).
- γ) Nor would it be possible, from this point of view only, to rule out even the alternative, discarded for other reasons (see n. 1474(A)), of taking $tad = \bar{a}layavij\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ as the grammatical subject of the sentence and thus of $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vaparigraham$ kg-; for this would materially coincide with the first alternative of β and thus likewise keep within the range of the syntactical possibilities of $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}vam$ pari-grah-, etc. (see H.a).
- 1478. Cp. ŚrBh 272,4f.: ... aprahīṇakleśasya ... -dauṣṭhulyam avigataṃ bhavati sadānuṣaktam. Somewhat differently: BoBh_D 131,27f.: tān (sc. sattvān) ... tisṛbhir duḥkhatābhir anuṣaktān paṣyati, ŚrBh 257,13f.: trivedanābhir anuṣaktāḥ, and ŚrBh 385,6f.: trividha-duḥkhatānuṣaktasya² ... duḥkha-skan-dhasya.
 - 1. Thus ms.; cp. Wackernagel II,1, 307.
 - 2. Ed. -pakṣasya, but ms. (indistinct) admits of being read as -ṣaktasya, as is unambiguously supported by Tib. (Y wi 170a1) and Ch. (Y 454c1f.) using the same equivalents as in the case of BoBh 131,27f. (Y źi 114a8; Y 523c14), viz. dan 'brel pa and 之所隨逐.

- 1479. Viz. the fundamental Suffering representing saṃskāraduḥkhatā, which, in the comm., is equated with \bar{a} l a y a v i j \bar{n} \bar{a} n a (see p. 236,13f. + n. 1472 and p. 238,7).
- 1480. Viz. in view of "pleasure" (sukha) and "pain" (duḥkha) in the verse to be explained vipariṇāma- and duḥkha-duḥkhatā. Cp. also n. 1471.
- 1481. See n. 1435.
- 1482. dharmacaryaiva, confirmed by both manuscripts, is, in view of dharmacaryayā in the verse, probably a mistake for dharmacaryayaīva. Theoretically one could take it as an instrumental of dharmacarī (for carī instead of caryā, see BHSD s.v.), but I am by no means sure that this form of the word does occur in Y. At any rate, the syntactical situation requires an instrumental.
- 1483. If this explanation is not merely traditional (see the explanation of the Pārāyaṇa parallel (Sn 1034f.) quoted in n. 1440), it would seem to imply that ālayavijñāna is, in this passage, regarded as the source of the sense-faculties. Such a view would, especially if one considers the paucity of similar statements in the earliest Yogācāra sources (see § 3.13), be quite remarkable, even if the present passage does not expressly state that the sense-faculties proceed from S e e d s in ālayavijñāna.
- 1484. Probably to be understood not in a cosmological but in an "existential" sense (cp. MSg I.21), perhaps in the sense that ālayavijñāna has already taken over the rôle of the ātmabhāva containing the Seeds of all kinds of personal existences (Y 25,3ff.).
- 1485. I take the instrumental in the sense of Pāṇ 2,3,21 (itthaṃ-bhūtalakṣaṇe [sc. tṛtīyā]; cp. Tib. (Yt dzi 242a3) ... yoṅs su šes par bstan to), i.e. in the sense of the more usual instr. of the abstract. If one insists on a truly instrumental function, one would have to translate: "... [to be performed] by means of ...".

- 1486. a) As for the interpretation of this passage, cp. n. 1444, though it has to be admitted that the emphasis on the 1st person is, in the comm., less conspicuous than in the verse, and that, in contrast to the verse, there is, in the comm., no disequilibrium between pain and pleasure.
 - b) Ch.: "(Understanding bondage means) precisely [the same thing as] understanding Suffering, i.e. understanding that [conceptions like] 'I feel pain, [I] feel pleasure', all refer to [what is in reality nothing but] Suffering" (Y_C 365b22f.: ... 即了知苦。謂了知我受苦受樂皆依於苦。). This rendering in substance it would seem to correspond to Hts.'s interpretation of the verse (see n. 1444(C)) is however not easily reconciled with the Skt. text (unless, perhaps, one presupposes a dittography of duḥkham (eva)).
 - Tib.: "(Understanding bondage means) to understand c)like this: one apprehends pain [by thinking] '[I am?] pained', and when one is pleased, one apprehends oneself (or: one's Self ?) to be pleased" (Y_{+} dzi 242a3f.: ... 'di ltar yons su šes pa ste / sdug bsnal la yan sdug bsnal lo sñam du 'dzin pa dan / bde na yan bdag nid bde'o snam du 'dzin pa'o //). This rendering - substantially agreeing with the Tib. rendering of the verse (see n. 1444(D)) - would seem to presuppose a different punctuation and a dittography of sukhita (duḥkham ... vyavasyati duḥkhitaḥ / s u k h i t a ḥ 'smīti ...(?)). Such a construction of asmi and sukhito ātmānam with sukhitaḥ only is however (especially in view of the wording of the verse) highly improbable, quite apart from the problems of content involved (for which see n. 1444(D)).
 - d) Wayman's translation (W. 184) is as follows: "(... there is complete knowledge of bondage ... when one so recognizes: he takes it as just suffering. But ... he who when suffering takes himself as happy has an imagination that is ...".

This rendering (which is of course based on the reading of ŚrBh_) may have been motivated by the plausible wish to have the content of parikalpa concretized in the text; but for grammatical reasons it cannot but be rejected. For to take sa ca parikalpah as the apodosis to "yo ... vyavasyati" is not only excluded by ca but still more by the fact that the person who takes himself as happy cannot, of course, be the parikalpa. He can, as Wayman actually puts it, only have the parikalpa. But such a rendering would require a different text (viz. t as y a parikalpah) and hence is incompatible with the text as it stands. Thus, there is no alternative to taking the relative clause with preceding duḥkham eva vyavasyati. Starting from the text of $\dot{S}rBh_m$, it would in fact be natural to translate as follows:

"When one, [though in reality] suffering, conceives oneself to be happy, one conceives [as pleasure what in reality is] nothing but Suffering",

but the oddness of this rendering is obvious as it would involve redundance of the main clause, the information of which would already be contained in the expression duḥkhitaḥ of the relative clause. Besides, such an interpretation of this comm. passage would be altogether incompati-ble with the wording of the verse.

- 1487. Cp. n. 1445.
- 1488. Cp. n. 1446.
- 1489. Acc. to Tib., Ch. and W. Both $\acute{S}rBh_{m}$ and Y_{m} have saptabhir, but they, too, contain only six more verses.
- 1490. I.e. Because, when a defiled state of mind has arisen, it has by necessity arisen together with the Defilements that make it a defiled state of mind, and because on account of its momentariness it does not pre-exist these Defilements.

- 1491. Because, on account of their momentariness, both the Defilements and the defiled state of mind have ceased to exist already in the very next moment after their simultaneous existence. Thus, being no longer in existence, the defiled state of mind has no future chance to be freed from the Defilements.
- 1492. For this elliptical use of $yad\bar{a}$ tarhi cp. AKBh 77,21: yadā tarhi sadršā utpadyante / na te nirvišeṣā bhavanti /, one would have to translate as follows: "[Objection (cp. Tib. 'o na gan gi tshe 'dra bar yan skye'o źe $(n \ a)$: Then [how is there change of the series] when a succession of similar [moments] arise[s]? [Answer:] These moments are not completely alike." That the sentence beginning with yadā tarhi is best understood as an elliptical question (to be supplied by $tad\bar{a}$ katham or the like) especially a rhetorical question pointing out a difficulty which the interrogator may regard to be fatal to the position of the defensor - is corroborated by the more frequent analogous elliptical construction with yat tarhi (e.g. AKBh 76,23; 215,5; 258,14; 283,20; 284,14; 285,4; 361,11; 388,10; 396,10; similarly yas tarhi at 282,17), which is, occasionally, expressly supplied by t a t k a t h a m in Yasomitra's Vyākhyā (e.g. AKVy 376,12 [ad 215,5]; 454,25 [ad 283,21], or, still more explicitly, 424,27f. [ad AKBh 258,14: yat tarhi ... uktam '...' iti /]: ... yat ... uktam '...' iti, tat katham na virudhyata iti vākyārthah). The Tibetan translation of AKBh, too, frequently supplies (de) ji lta bu (yin, or: źe na).
- 1493. Viz. in the sense of "free (by nature)", not of "freed" (viz. from non-freedom) (see n. 1453).
- 1494. See n. 1452.
- 1495. See n. 1453.

Select Bibliography

I. Abbreviations

1. Original works

Remark: In the case of Pāli texts (except VisM), references are always to the PTS-ed., even when I have taken the text from the $N\bar{a}1.-ed.$

AAĀ Abhisamayālankārālokā (Haribhadra), ed. P. L. Vaidya, Darbhanga 1960. (BST no. 4: Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā, with Haribhadra's commentary called Āloka).

AD Abhidharmadīpa with Vibhāṣāprabhāvṛtti, ed. P. S. Jaini, Patna 1959 (TSWS vol. IV).

AK Abhidharmakośa: s. AKBh

AK_P Louis de la Vallée Poussin, L'Abhidharmakośa de Vasubandhu, traduit et annoté, Paris-Louvain 1923-1931.

AKBh Abhidharmakośabhāṣya (Vasubandhu), ed. P. Pradhan, Patna 1967 (TSWS vol. VIII).

AKŢU Abhidharmakośa-ţīkā Upāyikā (Abhidharmakośopāyikā) (Śamathadeva): Tj 5595 (mDo-'grel, vol. tu and thu).

AKVy Abhidharmakośa-vyākhyā (Yaśomitra), ed. U. Wogihara, repr. Tokyo 1971.

AN Anguttaranikāya

AS Abhidharma-samuccaya (Asanga), ed. P. Pradhan, Santiniketan 1950.

V. V. Gokhale, Fragments from the Abhidharmasamuccaya of Asanga. In: Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society (Bombay), N.S. vol. 23/1947,13ff.

AS_C Chinese translation of AS: T 1605.

AS_t Tibetan translation of AS: Tj 5550 (mDo-'grel vol. li).

ASBh Abhidharmasamuccaya-bhāṣya (Buddhasiṃha or Jinaputra; cp. n. 755), ed. N. Tatia, Patna 1976 (TSWS vol. 17).

ASBh_t Tibetan translation of ASBh: Tj 5554 (mDo-'grel vol. śi).

ASVy

Abhidharmasamuccaya-vyākhyā (Tj title of the "mixed" text containing AS and [a slightly enlarged version of] ASBh, ascribed to Jinaputra in Tj but compiled by Sthiramati according to T 1606).

ASVy

Chinese translation of ASVy: T 1606.

ASVy₊

Tibetan translation of ASVy: Tj 5555 (mDo-'grel vol. śi).

BBhVy

Buddhabhūmi-vyākhyāna (Śīlabhadra), ed. K. Nishio, repr. Tokyo 1982.

BCAP

Bodhicaryāvatāra-pañjikā (Prajñākaramati), ed. P. L. Vaidya, Darbhanga 1960 (BST No. 12: Bodhicaryāvatāra of Śāntideva with the commentary Panjikā of Prajnākaramati).

BoBh_n

Bodhisattvabhūmi, ed. N. Dutt, Patna 1966 (TSWS vol. VII).

BoBh_u

Bodhisattvabhūmi, ed. U. Wogihara, Tokyo 1930-1936.

BoBhVy

(Sāgaramegha): Тj 5548 Bodhisattvabhūmi-vyākhyā (mDo-'grel vol. ri).

(Paramārtha's incomplete translation 決定蔵論 Chüeh-ting of VinSg): T 1584.

DĀ

Chinese translation of the Dirghagama: T 1.

DBhS

Daśabhūmikasūtra, ed. J. Rahder, Paris/Louvain 1926.

DBhS_K

-, ed. R. Kondō, Tokyo 1936.

DhDhV

("Maitreya"), Tibetan Dharma-dharmatā-vibhāga transl., ed. J. Nozawa in: StIB, 11-18.

DhDhVV

Dharmadharmatāvibhāga-vṛtti (Vasubandhu), Tibetan transl. and Skt. fragment, ed. J. Nozawa in: StIB, 19-49.

Dhp

Dhammapada

Dhs

Dhammasangani

DhSk

Chinese translation of the Dharmaskandha: T 1537.

DhSkn

Fragmente des Dharmaskandha, herausgegeben und bearbeitet von Sieglinde Dietz, Göttingen 1984 (AAWG Nr.142).

Dîghanikāya DN

(ascribed to Asanga; see n. 99): T 1602. Hsien-yang 顯揚聖教論

Ja Jātaka

'Phags pa dGons pa nes par 'grel pa'i mdo las 'Phags Jñānagarbha pa Byams pa'i le'u ñi tshe'i bśad pa (comm. on Samdh

VIII), ed. in: Nozawa 1957.

On Jñānagarbha see Steinkellner, II.2.

Hsüan-tsang's Chinese translation of the Jñānaprasthā-JP_H

na: T 1544.

Kāśyapa-parivarta, ed. Staël-Holstein, Shanghai 1926. ΚP

Kathāvatthu Κv

Kathāvatthu(ppakaraṇa)-aṭthakathā Kv-a

Karmasiddhi (Vasubandhu), ed. É. Lamotte in: MCB KSi

4/1935-36, 151ff.

Cp. also Muroji 1985.

Karmasiddhi-ţīkā (Sumatiśīla): Tj 5572 (mDo-'grel KSiT

vol. ku).

Lankāvatārasūtra, ed. B. Nanjio, repr. Kyoto 1956. LAS

Chinese translation of the Madhyamagama: T 26. MAC

Madhyāntavibhāga ("Maitreya"): see MAVBh. MAV

Madhyāntavibhāga-bhāṣya (Vasubandhu), ed. G. M. Nagao, MAVBh

Tokyo 1964.

Index to MAV(Bh): see MAVBh. MAV-I

Madhyāntavibhāga-ṭīkā (Sthiramati), ed. S. Yamaguchi, MAVT

Nagova 1934 (repr. Tokyo 1966).

Tibetan translation of MAVT: Tj 5534 (mDo-'grel vol. MAVŢ

tshi).

MAvSū

Mahāvadānasūtra, ed. E. Waldschmidt, Teil II: Die Textbearbeitung, Berlin 1956 (Abhandlungen der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Klasse für Sprachen, Literatur und Kunst, Jg. 1954, Nr. 3).

MK (Mūla-)Madhyamaka-kārikāḥ (Nāgārjuna), ed. J. W. de Jong, Adyar (Madras) 1977.

MN Majjhimanikāya

Mp Manorathapūranī AN-atthakathā (Buddhaghosa)

MPPU Mahāprajñāpāramitā-upadeśa¹ (**大智度論** : T 1509).

1. Cp. Kudara 1980, 55 and 59.

MPPU_L É. Lamotte, Le Traité de la Grande Vertu de Sagesse, Louvain 1944sq.

MSA Mahāyānasūtrālaṅkāra ("Maitreya"), ed. S. Lévi (Asaṅ-ga: Mahāyāna-Sūtrālaṃkāra), Paris 1907.

MSABh Mahāyānasūtrālankāra-bhāṣya (Vasubandhu, but cp. n. 101): see MSA.

Cp. also SAŢ and SAVBh.

MSg Mahāyānasaṃgraha (Asaṅga), quoted according to ${ t MSg}_{
m L}$ but making use of the textual emendations and additional subdivisions found in ${ t MSg}_{
m N}$.

MSg_L E. Lamotte, La Somme du Grand Véhicule d'Asanga, Louvain 1938.

MSg_N G. Nagao, 摂大乘論, 和訳と注解, 上 (Mahāyānasaṃgraha Japanese translation and annotation, 1st part; including a critical ed. of the Tibetan text of the Prastāvanā and chs. I and II, as well as a retranslation into Skt. by N. Aramaki), Tokyo 1982. (2nd vol. = ch. III-X: Tokyo 1987).

MSgBh Mahāyānasaṃgraha-bhāṣya (Vasubandhu).

MSgBh Chinese translation(s) of MSgBh:

[Dharmagupta] : T 1596; [Hts.] = Hsüan-tsang: T 1597; [Pa.] = Paramārtha: T 1595.

MSgBh_t Tibetan translation of MSgBh: Tj 5551 (mDo-'grel vol. li).

MSgU Mahāyānasaṃgraha-upanibandhana (*Asvabhāva).

MSgU_C Chinese translation of MSgU: T 1598.

MSgU_t Tibetan translation of MSgU: Tj 5552 (mDo-'grel vol. li).

MSūSg Mahāyāna-sūtra-saṃgraha, part I, ed. P. L. Vaidya, Darbhanga 1961 (BST No. 17).

MVu Mahāvastu(-Avadāna), ed. E. Senart, Paris 1882-1897.

MVy Mahāvyutpatti, ed. R. Sakaki, repr. 1962.

NA *Nyāyanusāra (or 'rinī?) (Saṅghabhadra): T 1562.

Nidd II Culla-niddesa

NidSa Chandrabhāl Tripāṭhī, Fünfundzwanzig Sūtras des Nidānasaṃyukta, Berlin 1962 (Sanskrittexte aus den Turfanfunden, VIII).

Pāņ Pāṇini

Pañcav Pañcaviṃśati-sāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā, ed. N. Dutt, Calcutta 1934.

PG Paramārthagāthāḥ (of the Cintāmayī Bhūmiḥ of the Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi), ed. in ŚrBh. 167ff.; PG 26-41 re-edited in App. II of the present study.

Pj II Paramatthajotikā on Sn

Pr Prasannapadā (Candrakīrti), ed. L. de La Vallée Poussin (Mūlamadhyamakakārikās de Nāgārjuna avec la Prasannapadā ..., repr. Osnabrück 1970 (1st ed.: 1903-13) (Bibliotheca Buddhica, IV).

Prak Prakaraṇa(-pāda): T 1542.

Ps Papañcasūdanī MN-aṭṭhakathā (Buddhaghosa)

PSk Pañcaskandha-prakaraṇa or Pañcaskandhaka (Vasubandhu).

PSk Chinese translation of PSk: T 1612.

PSk_D J. Dantinne, Le Traité des Cinq Agregats, Bruxelles 1980.

PSk_t Tibetan translation of PSk: Tj 5560 (mDo-'grel vol. si).

PSkBh Pañcaskandha-bhāṣya (*Pṛthivībandhu or, perhaps, Vasu-bandhu, but perhaps not the real name of the author of the commentary 1 who is in any case different from the author of PSk 2): Tj 5569 (mDo-'grel vol. hi).

1. Cp. Matsuda 1984, (12)=85 note 5.

2. Cp. the presumable misinterpretation, also in PSkBh, of the second explanation of the term 'ālayavijñāna' in PSk (see n. 140 + footnote 1).

PSkVai Pañcaskandhaprakaraṇa-vaibhāṣya (Sthiramati): Tj 5567 (mDo-'grel vol. hi).

1. This title may not be authentic since Sthiramati himself refers to the work as Pañcaskandhakopanibandha (TrBh 39,4).

PSkViv Pañcaskandha-vivaraṇa (Guṇaprabha): Tj 5568 (mDo-'grel vol. hi).

PSVy Pratītyasamutpāda-(ādi-vibhaṅga-nirdeśa-)vyākhyā (Va-subandhu): Tj 5496 (mDo-'grel vol. chi).

1. On the problem of the title of the text see de Jong 1974, 145 = 1979, 245.

PSVyŢ Pratîtyasamutpādavyākhyā-ţîkā or Pratîtyasamutpādādivibhanganirdeśa-ţîkā (Guṇamati): Tj 5497 (mDo-'grel vol. chi).

PV Pramāṇavārtika (Dharmakīrti); ch. II (Pramāṇasiddhi): see Vetter 1984; ch. III (Pratyakṣa): = ch. II in Y. Miyasaka's edition of PV in AI 2/1971-72.

RGVV Ratnagotravibhāgavṛtti (Sāramati?), ed. E. H. John-ston (The Ratnagotravibhāga Mahāyānottaratantra-śāstra), Patna 1950.

ŚA Śāriputrābhidharma: T 1548.

 \hat{SA}_{C} Chinese translation of the Samyuktāgama: T 99.

SacAcBh Sacittikā-and-Acittikā Bhūmiḥ of the Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi: see App. I of the present study.

Sacittikabhūmi-vini $ext{scaya} = ext{Sacittik} ext{\bar{a}}$ Bhūmih of VinSg (Y zi 189a7ff. = Y 651b5ff.

Śālistambasūtra: see MSūS p. 100ff.

Saṃdhinirmocana sūtra, ed. E. Lamotte, Louvain-Paris 1935.

Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra-ṭikā (Ven-tshig/Yüan-ts'ê/Uŏnč'¢k): Tj 5517 (mDo-'grel vol. ti).

SamdhVy

Samdhinirmocanasūtra-vyākhyāna (attributed to Byanchub rdzu-'phrul, i.e. the king Khri-sron lde-btsan, or, more plausibly, to Klu'i rgyal-mtshan: see Steinkellner, II.4): Tj 5845 (mDo-'grel vols. co and cho).

Sanghabh

R. Gnoli, The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu, part I, Rome 1977 (SOR XLIX,1); part II, Rome 1978 (SOR XLIX,2).

Śat

Śatasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā, ed. P. C. Ghoṣa, Calcutta 19o2-1913.

SAT

(Mahāyāna-)Sūtrālankāra-ţīkā (*Asvabhāva): Тj 5530 (mDo-'grel vol. bi).

SAVBh

(Mahāyāna-)Sūtrālankāra-vṛtti-bhāṣya¹ (Sthiramati): Ti 5531 (mDo-'grel vols. mi and tsi).

1. Skt. title dubious; Tib. 'grel bsad may correspond to Ţīkā (cp. lHan-dkar-ma catalogue No. 631: de'i 'grel bśad = MAVŢ) or Vyākhyā (ib. No. 682: de'i 'grel bsad = AKVy).

Shu-chi

成唯識論述記 (Kuei-chi's larger comm. on Si): T 183o.

Si

成唯識論 (commentary on Tr compiled by Hsüan-tsang): T 1585.

 Si_{p}

L. de La Vallée Poussin, Vijnaptimātratāsiddhi (La Siddhi de Hiuan-tsang, traduite et annotée), Paris 1928-29.

Sn

Suttanipāta

SN

Samyuttanikāya

Spk

Sāratthappakāsinī SN-atthakathā (Buddhaghosa)

Śr

= $SrBh_{m}$ (in the critical apparatus of App. I and App.

ŚrBh

Śrāvakabhūmi, ed. K. Shukla, Patna 1973 (TSWS vol. XIV). (Cp. also Katsube et al. 1981, etc.)

 $\operatorname{\acute{S}rBh}_{\mathfrak{m}}$

Skt. manuscript of the Śrāvakabhūmi, photos of which are kept in the K. P. Jayaswal Research Institute, Patna; the ms. contains also some other portions of Y (cp. ŚrBh_w, 2f.).

ŚrBhw

A. Wayman, Analysis of the Śrāvakabhūmi Manuscript, Berkeley and Los Angeles 1961.

Sv Sumangalavilāsinī DN-aṭṭhakathā (Buddhaghosa)

The Theragatha, 2nd ed. with appendices by K. R. Norman

and L. Alsdorf, PTS 1966.

Tr Trimśikā Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi (Vasubandhu), ed. S.

Lévi, Paris 1925.

TrBh Triṃśikā-bhāṣya (Sthiramati); ed. see Tr.

Trṛ Triṃśikā-ṭīkā (Vinītadeva): Tj 5571 (mDo-'grel vol.

ku).

TrŢ_J P. S. Jaini, The Sanskrit Fragments of Vinîtadeva's Triṃśikā-ṭîkā, in: BSOAS XLVIII.2/1985, 47off.

TSi *Tattvasiddhi (Harivarman): T 1646.

TSN Trisvabhāvanirdeśa (Vasubandhu(?)), ed. S. Yamaguchi

in: Yamaguchi 1972, 119ff.

Cp. also F. Tola and C. Dragonetti in: JIPh 11/1983,

225ff.

Ud Udāna

Ud-a Paramatthadīpanī Ud-atthakathā (Dhammapāla)

UV Udānavarga, ed. F. Bernhard, Göttingen 1965, (AAWG

Nr. 54).

 ${\tt UV}_{\sf t}$ (Tibetan translation of the) Udānavarga, nach dem

Kanjur und Tanjur mit Anmerkungen hrsg. von H. Beckh,

Berlin 1911.

UVViv Udānavarga-vivaraņa (Prajñāvarman), ed. M. Balk, 2

vols., Bonn 1984 (Indica et Tibetica, Arbeitsmateria-

lien A).

VaSg Vastusamgrahanī Section of the Yogācārabhūmi.

VGPVy Vivṛtagūḍhārthapiṇḍavyākhyā (incomplete comm. on

MSg): Tj 5553 (mDo-'grel vol. li).

Vi (Abhidharma-Mahā-)Vibhāṣā(-Śāstra): T 1545.

Vi Vibhāṣā des Buddhavarman: T 1546.

Vibh Vibhanga

Vibh-a Sammohavinodanī Vibh-aṭṭhakathā (Buddhaghosa)

VinSg Viniścayasamgrahanī Section of the Yogācārabhūmi

VisM Visuddhimagga (Buddhaghosa), ed. H. C. Warren and Dh. Kosambi, Cambridge, Mass. 1950 (Harvard Oriental Series 1950).

Viṃśatikā Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi (Vasubandhu), ed. S. Lēvi, Paris 1925.

Y Yogācārabhūmi, especially

٧ś

1. The Yogācārabhūmi of Ācārya Asaṅga, ed. V. Bhatta-charya, Univ. of Calcutta 1957

2. [only in the critical apparatus of the text editions in App. I and II:] = Y_m

Y Hsüan-tsang's Chinese translation of the Yogācārabhūmi: T 1579.

Y_m Skt. manuscript of the Yogācārabhūmi, photos of which are kept in the K. P. Jayaswal Research Institute, Patna.

Yt Tibetan translation of the Yogācārabhūmi: Tj 5536-5543 (mDo-'grel vols. dzi, wi (ŚrBh_t), źi (BoBh_t), zi, 'i and yi).

YVy *Yogācārabhūmi-vyākhyā: Tj 5544 (mDo-'grel vol. yi).

YidKun Tson kha pa, Yid dan kun gźi'i dka' 'grel, New Delhi 1961. Cp. Kelsang/Odani 1986.

2. Periodicals, Serial Works, Felicitation and

Commemoration Volumes

AAWG Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, Phil.-Hist. Klasse

AI Acta Indologica (Naritasan)

ANISt Alt- und Neu-Indische Studien (Hamburg)

BEFEO Bulletin de l'École Française d'Extrême-Orient

BG Bukkyō-gaku (Journal of Buddhist Studies: Tokyo)

BGK Bukkyō-gaku Kenkyū (The Studies in Buddhism: Kyoto, Ryūkoku University)

BGR Bukkyō-gaku Ronshū (Tokyo: Risshō University)

BGShM Bukkyō-gaku no Sho-Mondai, ed. by the Association of Scholars for the Commemoration of the 25ooth Anniversary of the Birth of Buddha (Buttan Nisen-gohyaku-nen Kinen Gakkai), Tokyo 1935.

BK Bukkyō Kenkyū (Kamoe, Hamamatsu)

BSem Bukkyō-gaku Seminā (Buddhist Seminar: Kyoto, Ōtani University)

BShGK Bukkyō Shigaku Kenkyū (The Journal of the History of Buddhism)

BShK (Chibetto Bunken ni yoru) Bukkyō Shisō Kenkyū, ed. Chibetto Bunten Kenkyū-kai, Tokyo. Vol. 1: 1979; vol. 2: 1981. Contains an edition and a Japanese translation of SAVBh.

BSOAS Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London

BST Buddhist Sanskrit Texts (Mithila Institute, Darbhanga)

IBK Indogaku Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū (Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies: Univ. of Tokyo)

IIJ Indo-Iranian Journal

JIABSt Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies

JIPh Journal of Indian Philosophy

JPTS Journal of the Pāli Text Society

KDB Kōza Daijō Bukkyō, ed. A. Hirakawa, Y. Kajiyama and

J. Takasaki (vol. 8: Yuishiki Shisō, Tokyo 1979).

Kj Peking-Kanjur, ed. D. T. Suzuki, Tokyo and Kyoto 1955

sq.

KomK Komazawa Daigaku Bukkyōgaku-bu Kenkyū Kiyō

KomR Komazawa Daigaku Bukkyōgaku-bu Ronshū

Kumoi Fel. Buddhism and Its Relation to Other Religions (Bukkyō

Vol. to Ishūkyō). Essays in Honour of Dr. Shōzen Kumoi on

His Seventieth Birthday. Kyoto 1985.

MCB Mélanges Chinois et Bouddhiques

Nāl.-ed. Nālandā Devanāgarī Pāli Series (General Editor: Bhik-

khu J. Kashyap)

NBG(K)N Nihon Bukkyō Gak(ku Kyō)kai Nempō

ŌsG Ōsaki Gakuhō (Tokyo: Risshō University)

ŌtG Ötani Gakuhō

PhEW Philosophy East and West

Publ. EFEO Publications de l'École Française d'Extrème-Orient)

RDR Ryūkoku Daigaku Ronshū

ShK Shūkyō Kenkyū (Journal of Religious Studies)

SHT Sanskrithandschriften aus den Turfan-Funden, ed.

E. Waldschmidt et al., Wiesbaden 1965ff. (Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland,

10,1ff.).

SOR Serie Orientale Roma

StIB Studies in Indology and Buddhology, Presented in

Honour of Professor Susumu Yamaguchi on the Occasion of the Sixtieth Birthday. Ed. G. M. Nagao and J.

Nozawa. Kyoto 1955.

Suzuki Gakujutsu Zaidan Kenkyū Nempō

T Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō (Buddhist Tripiṭaka in Chinese, Taishō-ed.)

Tamura Fel. Bukkyō Kyōri no Kenkyū: Tamura Hakushi Kanreki Kinen Vol. Ronshū. Ed. K. Tanaka. Tokyo 1982

TBKK Tōyō Bunka Kenkyū-jo Kiyō

TGK Tōyō Gakujutsu Kenkyū (The Journal of Oriental Studies: Tokyo)

TGN Tetsugaku Nempō (Annual of Philosophy, Published by the Faculty of Literature, Kyushu University)

Tj Peking-Tanjur, ed. D. T. Suzuki, Tokyo and Kyoto 1955 sq.

TP T'oung Pao (Leiden)

TSWS Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series (Patna)

WZKS(0) Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd- (und Ost)asiens

ZDMG Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft

3. Other Abbreviations

AKBh-I Index to the Abhidharmakośabhāṣya (P. Pra-

dhan Edition), by A. Hirakawa et al., Tokyo

(pt.1: 1973; pt. 2: 1977; pt. 3: 1978).

App. Appendix (I and II of the present work)

Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi (see § 1.6.3)

BHSD Franklin Edgerton, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit

Dictionary, New Haven 1953.

BHSG - -, Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar, New

Haven 1953.

BDJT Shinkō Mochizuki, Bukkyō daijiten, 10

vols., Tokyo 1931-63.

Ch(in). Chinese

CPD A Critical Pāli Dictionary, begun by V.

Trenckner, ed. D. Andersen et al., Copen-

hagen 1924 sq.

Derge Derge

EDS An Encyclopaedic Dictionary of Sanskrit on

Historical Principles, ed. A. M. Ghatage,

Poona 1976 sq.

Initial Passage see § 2.1

H Hakamaya (see list of modern works cited)

Hôb Hôbôgirin. Dictionnaire encyclopédique du

bouddhisme d'apres les sources chinoises et japonaises, ed. S. Lévi et al., Tokyo 1929

sq.

Hts. Hsüan-tsang

MAV-I Index to the MAV(Bh): see MAVBh

MW

M. Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford 1899 (repr. 1951).

n., ns.

note, notes (referring to notes of the present work)

Nivṛtti Portion

see n. 226

P

Peking

Pa.

Paramārtha

Pratity. Analysis

see § 7.3.1

Pravṛtti Portion

see n. 226

Proof Portion

see n. 226

PTSD

The Pali Text Society's Pali-English Dictionary, ed. T. W. Rhys Davids and W. Stede, repr. London 1966.

S

Schmithausen (see list of modern works cited)

SWTF

Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, begonnen v. E. Waldschmidt, hrsg. H. Bechert. Göttingen 1973 sq.

T(ib).

Tibetan

VinSg ālay. Treatise see § 1.5

W.

Wayman (more precisely: $SrBh_{\overline{W}}$) [in App. II]

Wackernagel

J. Wackernagel, A. Debrunner, Altindische Grammatik, 3 vols., Göttingen 1896-1930, repr. 1954-1975.

II. Modern Works Cited

Remark: Where available, English titles of Japanese articles have been taken over from the publications themselves. Occasionally, I have made supplements (in square brackets). Where the translation of the title is mine, I have asterisked it.

Anacker, Stefan

1970 Vasubandhu: Three Aspects. A Study of a Buddhist Philosopher. PhD Dissertation, Univ. of Wisconsin.

1984 Seven Works of Vasubandhu. Delhi.

Aramaki, Noritoshi 荒牧典俊

1963 唯識思想に於ける十二支縁起の解釋 (The Vijñānavāda's Understanding of dvādaśāńgapratītyasamutpāda). In: IBK XI.1[21], 211-214.

1963a 摂大乗論の依他起性 (Relative Reality as Expounded in the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). In: Miscellanea Indologica Kiotiensia 4-5, 26-67.

1967 Paratantrasvabhāva (I): A Diagrammatic Account. In: IBK XV.2 [30], (40)-(54) [= 955-941].

Bareau, André

1955 Les sectes bouddhiques du Petit Véhicule. Saïgon (Publ. EFEO, 38).

Bronkhorst, Johannes

The Two Traditions of Meditation in Ancient India. Stuttgart (ANISt vol. 28).

Brown, Brian Edward

The Buddha Nature: A Study of the tathāgatagarbha and ālayavijñāna. PhD Dissertation, Fordham Univ.

Collins, Steven

1982 Selfless persons: Imagery and thought in Theravāda Buddhism. Cambridge.

Conze, Edward

Der Buddhismus. Stuttgart (Urban-Bücher, vol. 5).

-, - and Iida, Shotaro

"Maitreya's Questions" in the Prajñāpāramitā. In: Mélanges d'indianisme à la mémoire de Louis Renou, Paris, 229-242.

Cousins, Lance S.

The Paṭṭhāna and the Development of the Theravāda Abhidhamma. In: JPTS 9, 22-46.

Dantinne, Jean see PSK_D

Demiéville, Paul

1952 Le concile de Lhasa. Paris.

1954 La Yogācārabhūmi de Sangharakṣa. In: BEFEO XLIV.2, 339-436.

Enomoto, Fumio 榎本文雄

1982 『摂大乗論』無性釈に引用される若干の経文をめぐって

- 「城邑経」の展開を中心に一 (On some Sūtras quoted in the Mahāyānasaṃgrahopanibandhana - mainly, the evolution of the ideas on the Nagarasūtra --). In: BShGK 24.2, 44-57.

Frauwallner, Erich

1951 Amalavijñānam und Ālayavijñānam. In: Beiträge zur indischen Philologie und Altertumskunde, Walther Schubring zum 70. Geburtstag dargebracht, Hamburg (ANISt vol. 7), 148-159.

- 1953 Geschichte der indischen Philosophie. I. Band. Salzburg.
- 1969 Die Philosophie des Buddhismus, 3rd rev. ed. Berlin (1st ed.: 1958).
- 1982 Kleine Schriften, ed. G. Oberhammer and E. Steinkellner. Wiesbaden (Glasenapp-Stiftung vol. 22).

Fukihara, Shōshin 富貴原章信

「阿頼耶識の異名に就て (On the Different Names of ālaya-vijñāna). In: IBK I.2, 378f.

Fukita, Takamichi 吹田隆道

1982 梵文「大本経」縁起説の復元について (A Restoration of the Pratîtyasamutpāda in the Mahāvadānasūtra). In: BShGK 24.2, 26-43.

Funahashi, Naoya 舟橋尚哉

- 末那識の源流 -サンスクリット原典及びチベット譚佛典による考察
 (On [the Origin of] the mano nāma vijñānam. [An Investigation Based on the Original Sanskrit Texts and Tibetan Translations]). In: IBK XVI.1 [31], 184-187.
- 阿頼耶識思想の成立とその展開 末那識の成立をめぐってー (The Origin of the Idea of ālayavijñāna and its Development. In Conjunction with the Origin of mano nāma vijñānam). In: ŌtG 49.2, 31-48.
- 7976 初期唯識思想の研究 (*A Study of Early Vijñaptimātra Thought). Tokyo.
- 1977 阿頼耶識思想の形成について (*On the Formation of the Idea of ālayavijñāna). In: ŌtG 56.4, 73-76.

Griffiths, Paul J.

Indian Buddhist Meditation-Theory: History, Development and Systematization. PhD Dissertation, Univ. of Wisconsin.

On Being Mindless. Buddhist Meditation and the Mind-Body Problem. La Salle, Illinois.

Hacker, Paul

1978 Kleine Schriften, ed. L. Schmithausen. Wiesbaden (Glasenapp Stiftung vol. 15).

H(akamaya), Noriaki 特谷憲昭

- 1972 五種の修習に関する諸文献 —和訳および註記—
 (Japanese Translation and Notes of the Literatures
 Concerning the Five Kinds of 'bhāvanās'). In: KomR 3,
 1-19.
- 1972a On a Paragraph in the Dharmaviniścaya Chapter of the Abhidharmasamuccaya. In: IBK XXI.1 [41], (40)-(51) = 468-457.
- Mahāyānasaṃgrahopanibandhana (III). Its Tibetan and Chinese Texts. In: KomK 33, (14)-(33).
- Nirodhasamāpatti. Its Historical Meaning in the Vijāaptimātratā System. In: IBK XXIII.2 [46], (33)-(43) = 1084-1074.
- 1975b A Consideration on the Byams sus kyi lehu from the historical point of view. In: IBK XXIV.1 [47], (20)-(30) = 499-489.
- 1975c 弥勒請問章和訳 (A Japanese Translation and Notes on the Maitreya Chapter in the Prajñāpāramitā). In: KomR 6, (1)-(21) = 210-190.
- 1976 〈三種転依〉考 (On the Triple āśraya-parivṛtti (°pa-rāvṛtti)). In: BG 2, 46-76.

- 7977 初期唯識文献研究に関する方法論的覚え書 (*A Methodologi-cal Note on the Study of Early Vijnaptimātra Literature). Sanzō No. 147 (1977) = Sanzōshū 4/1978, 219-227.
- Tatia 校訂本 Abhidharmasamuccayabhāṣya (review of ASBh). In: KomR 8, 255-262.
- 1978 アーラヤ議存在の八論証に関する諸文献 (Materials on the Eight Proofs of the Existence of ālayavijñāna).
 In: KomK 36, 1-26.
- *Mahāyānasaṃgraha における心意識説 (*The Theory of citta, manas and vijñāna in MSg). In: TBKK 76, 197-309.
- Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇi におけるアーラヤ識の規定 (*The Definition of ālayavijñāna in VinSg). In: TBKK 79, 1-79.
- 1979a bhoga-nimitta 考 (A Note on bhoga-nimitta). In: IBK XXVIII.1 [55], (71)-(76) = 438-433.
- 三乗説の一典拠 Akṣarāśi-sūtra と Bahudhātuka-sūtra (*A Scriptural Basis for the triyāna Theory: Akṣa-rāśi- and Bahudhātuka-sūtra). In: Bukkyō no Rekishi-teki Tenkai ni miru Sho-Keitai (Furuta Shōkin Hakushi Koki-kinen Ronshū), Tokyo, 127-142.
- 1982 瑜伽行派の文献 (*The Literature of the Yogācāra School). In: KDB 8, 44-76.
- The Old and New Tibetan Translations of the Samdhinirmocana-sūtra: Some Notes on the History of Early Tibetan Translation. In: KomK 42, (1)-(17) = 192-176.
- 2984a 空性理解の問題点 (*Problematic Points in Understanding śūnyatā). In: Risō, March 1984, 50-64.
- 唯識文献における無分別智 (Nirvikalpajñāna in the Yogā-cāra Literature). In: KomK 43, (41)-(78) = 252-215.
- 1986 Pūrvācārya 考 (pūrvācāryāḥ in the Abhidharmakośabhāṣya). In: IBK XXXIV.2 [68], (93)-(100) = 866-859.

1986a A Comparative Edition of the Old and New Tibetan Translations of the Saṃdhinirmocana-sūtra, (I). In: KomR 17, (1)-(17)=616-600.

1987 Id., (II). In: KomK 45, (1)-(35) = 354-320.

Hattori, Masaaki 服部正明

The Transformation of the Basis (āśraya-parāvṛtti) in the Yogācāra System of Philosophy. In: All-Einheit - Wege eines Gedankens in Ost und West, ed. D. Henrich, Stuttgart, 100-108.

1986 唯識思想体系における自我意識について (Ego Consciousness in the Yogācāra System). In: BGSem 43, 79-91.

Hayashima, Osamu 早島理

Chos yons su tshol baḥi skabs or Dharmaparyeṣṭy Adhi-kāra, The XIth Chapter of the Sūtrālaṃkāravṛttibhāṣya, Subcommentary on the Mahāyānasūtrālaṃkāra, Part II. In: Bulletin of the Faculty of Education, Nagasaki University, Humanistic Science, no. 27, 73-119 (pt. I: ib. 26/1977, 19-61; pt. III: ib. 28/1979, 37-70; pt. IV: ib. 31/1982, 55-98; pt. V: ib. 32/1983, 11-23).

1982 唯識の実践 (*The Practice of Vijñaptimātra). In: KDB 8, 145-176.

von Hinüber, Oskar

1968 Studien zur Kasussyntax des Pāli, besonders des Vinaya-piṭaka. München.

Hirakawa, Akira 平川彰

1974 インド仏教史,上 (*History of Indian Buddhism, part I). Tokyo.

1981 インド仏教史,下 (*History of Indian Buddhism, part II) Tokyo, 2nd ed. (1st ed.: 1979).

Hirosawa, Takayuki 広沢隆之

- 1983 『瑜伽師地論』にみられる真如観 (On the Concept of tathatā in the Yogācārabhūmi). In: IBK XXXI.2 [62], (82)-(85) = 902-899.
- 真如と分別 『瑜伽論菩薩地』に見る宗教性- (tatha-tā and vikalpa: A Religious and Philosophical Feature in the Bodhisattvabhūmi). In: BG 18, 45-67.

Honjō, Yoshifumi 本庄良文

- 1982 倶舎論註ウパーイカーの伝える『因縁相応』〔1〕 一蘆束経一
 (On the Nidānasaṃyukta Cited in the Abhidharmakośopāyikā [I]: Naḍakalāpika). In: IBK XXXI.1 [61], (79)-(86)
 = 407-400.
- A Table of Agama-Citations on the Abhidharmakośa and the Abhidharmakośopāyikā. Part I. Kyoto 1984.

Hotori, Rishō 阿理生

- 1980 瑜伽行と唯識説 (*Yogācāra and Vijñaptimātravāda). In: NBGN 45, 73-85.
- 瑜伽行派 (Yogācārāḥ) の問題点 唯識思想成立以前の 思想的立場をめぐって- (*A Problem [in the Philosophical History] of the Yogācāras: On their Philosophical Standpoint before the Arising of Vijñaptimātra Thought). In: TGN 41, 25-53.
- 1983 解深密経第一章と菩薩地 (Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra ch. I and Bodhisattvabhūmi). In: ShK 56.4 (= 225), 229 (= 633)f.
- 1984 瑜伽行派の空性と実践 (*Śūnyatā and Practice in the Yogācāra School). In: TGN 43, 55-82.

Hyōdō, Kazuo 兵藤一夫

『成業論』における異熟識説 (The Theory of vipākavijñāna in the Karmasiddhiprakaraṇa). In: IBK XXX.2 [= 60], (44)-(47) = 998-995.

Imanishi, J.

Das Pañcavastukam und die Pañcavastukavibhāṣā. Göttingen (Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, Philolog.-hist. Klasse, Jg. 1969, Nr. 1).

Iwata, Ryōzō (Taijō) 岩田良三 (締靜)

1971 真諦の阿摩羅識説について (On Paramārtha's Theory of Amalavijñāna). In: SuzKN 8, 46-56.

初期唯識思想研究 -世親造『摂大乗論釋』所知相章の漢蔵對照-(A Study of the Early Yogācāra Philosophy: Vasuban-dhu's Mahāyānasaṃgrahabhāṣya. A Comparison of the Chinese and Tibetan Translations: The Jñeyalakṣaṇa Chapter). Tokyo.

Jaini, Padmanabh S. see $Tr T_I$

Johansson, Rune E. A.

The Dynamic Psychology of Early Buddhism. London/Malmö (Scandinavian Institute of Asian Studies Monograph Series, no. 37).

de Jong, J. W.

Review of Walpola Rahula (tr.), Le compendium de la super-doctrine (philosophie) (Abhidharmasamuccaya) d' Asańga, Paris 1971. In: TP LIX, 339-346 (repr. in: de Jong 1979, 601-608).

A propos du Nidānasaṃyukta. In: Mélanges de Sinologie offerts à Monsieur Paul Demiéville, II, Paris, 137-149 (repr. in de Jong 1979, 237-249).

Buddhist Studies by J. W. de Jong, ed. G. Schopen.
Berkeley.

Kajiyama, Yūichi 梶山雄一

1985 輪廻と超越 - 『城邑経』の縁起説とその解釈- (Trans-migration and its Transcendence: On the Theory of Dependent Origination as Represented in the So-called "Sūtra of the Old Town"). In: Tetsugaku Kenkyū 550, 324-359 (= 1324-1359).

Kanakura, Yensho

1980 Hindu-Buddhist Thought in India, transl. by Sh. Iida and N. Donner, ed. by T. Maruyama and Th. Quinn. Yokohama 1980.

Katano, Michio 片野道雄

- 1968 摄大乗論における声聞乗のアーラヤの異門 (The Śrāvakayā-na's [synonyms of] ālaya in the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). In: BSem 8, 46-61.
- 1975 唯識思想の研究 -無性造『摂大乗論註』所知相章の解読(*A Study of Vijñaptimātra Thought: An Annotated Translation of the jñeyalakṣaṇa Chapter of *Asvabhāva's
 Commentary on the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). Kyoto.

Katō, Junshō 加藤純章

- 1973 有漏・無漏の規定 (Definition of sāsrava and anāsrava). In: IBK XXI.2 [= 42], 635-639.
- 1976 **経部師シュリーラータ (一)** (*The Sautrāntika Master Śrīlāta, I). In: BG 1, 45-64.
- 経部師シュリーラータ(二) 『倶舎論』根品第二十二頌下の問題
 -- (*The Sautrāntika Master Śrīlāta, II: The Problem of AK(Bh) II.22ff.). In: Buzan Gakuhō 22, 99-123.
- 1978 **経部師シュリーラータ**(三) 一心の構造 (*The Sautrān-tika Master Śrīlāta, III: The Structure of Mind). In: Buzan Kyōgaku Taikai Kiyō 6, 109-135.

Katō, Seishin 加藤精神

1933 唯識學に於ける種子説の發達に就いて (*On the Development of the bija Theory in the Vijñaptimātra Doctrine).
In: NBGKN 4, 208-218.

第七識を論ず (*On the "Seventh vijñāna"). In: BGShM, 668-679.

Katsube, Takatoshi 膀部隆敏 , Takahashi, Hisao 高橋尚夫 and Matsunami, Yasuo 松濤泰雄

1981, etc. 梵文声聞地 (The Śrāvakabhūmi. Sanskrit Text, Analysis, and Japanese Translation. Part I.). In: Taishō Daigaku Sōgō-Bukkyō-Kenkyū-jo Nempō, 3, (1)-(44) (= 228-185). (Continued, by a partly different team and, from pt. III onward, under the direction of Mano Ryūkai, in vols. 4/1982 (pt. II), 6/1984 (pt. III), 7/1985 (pt. IV), 8/1986 (pt. V) and 9/1987 (pt. VI) of the same journal.)

Katsumata, Shunkyō 勝又俊教

1974 仏教における心識説の研究 (A Study of the citta-vi-jñāna Thought in Buddhism). 5th ed., Tokyo (1st ed.: 1961).

Kawasaki, Shinjo

1976 Analysis of Yoga in the Samdhinirmocanasūtra. In: Buzan Gakuhō 21, 170-156.

Keenan, John P.

1982 Original Purity and the Focus of Early Yogācāra. In: JIABSt 5.1, 7-18.

Kelsang, Tshultrim, and Odani, Nobuchiyo

1986 ツォンカパ著アーラヤ識とマナ識の研究 - クンシ・カンテルー
(*A Study of Tson-kha-pa's [Treatise on] ālayavijñāna
and manas, the Kun-gźi-dka'-'grel). Kyoto.

Kim, Seong-Gwan 金聖觀

1985 阿賴耶識의相續性 (The Nature of Succession in ālayavijñāna). In: 韓國宗教 (Korea Religion) 10, 181-235.

> [I have to thank Mr. Hung-guk Cho for a synopsis of the relevant parts of this article.]

Kudara, Kōgi 百濟康義

1980 ウィグル訳『妙法蓮華経玄賛』 (1) (Uigur Translation of the Miao-fa-lian-hua-jing Xuan-zan (1)). In: BGK 36, 49-65.

Kudō, Jōshō 工藤成性

「瑜伽師地論」の成立に関する私見 (A Critical Study [Jap.: My view!] on the Formation of the "Yogācāra-bhū-mi"). In: BGK 31, 1-20.

Kumoi, Shōzen 雲井昭善

1979 **業思想研究** (Studies on the Karma Theory), ed. Sh. Kumoi, Kyoto.

1980 原始仏教における citta 構造 (On the [Structure of] "citta" in Early Buddhism). In: BG 9/10, 25-51.

Lamotte, Étienne

1934-35 L' Ālayavijñāna (Le Réceptacle) dans le Mahāyānasaṃ-graha (Chapitre II). In: MCB 3, 169-255.

1973 Trois Sūtra du Saṃyukta sur la vacuité. In: BSOAS XXXVI.2, 313-323.

Cp. also KSi, MPPU, MSg, and Saṃdh.

de La Vallée Poussin, Louis

Documents d'Abhidharma. 2. La doctrine des refuges; 3. Le corps de l'Arhat est-il pur? In: MCB 1, 65-125.

1934-35 Note sur l' Ālayavijñāna. In: MCB 3, 145-168.

Musîla et Nārada: le chemin du Nirvāṇa. In: MCB 5, 189-222.

Cp. also Si_p.

Lindtner, Christian

1985 A Treatise on Buddhist Idealism: Kambala's Ālokamālā. In: Miscellanea Buddhica (Indiske Studier 5), ed. Chr. Lindtner, Copenhagen, 109-221.

Mano, Ryūkai 真野龍海

1985, etc. see Katsube et al.

Matsuda, Kazunobu 松田和信

- 1982 世親『縁起経釈 (PSVy)』におけるアーラヤ識の定義 (The Definition of ālayavijñāna in the PSVy of Vasubandhu). In: IBK XXXI.1 [61], (63)-(66) (= 423-420).
- *Yogācārabhūmi-vyākhyā におけるアーラヤ識とマナスの教証について (Scriptual Evidence of ālayavijñāna and kliṣṭamanas in the Yogācārabhūmi-vyākhyā). In: IBK XXX.2 [60], 667f.
- 「分別縁起初勝法門経 (ĀVVS)』 経量部世親の縁起説 (*Ādi-viśeṣa-vibhāga-sūtra: Theory of pratîtya-samutpā-da as Presented by Vasubandhu from the Sautrāntika Position). In: BSem 36, 40-70.
- Abhidharmasamuccaya における十二支縁起の解釈 (Interpretation of the Twelvefold Chain of Dependent Origination in the Abhidharmasamuccaya). In: Ōtani Daigaku Shinshū-Sōgō-Kenkyū-jo Kenkyū-jo-Kiyō 1, 29-50.
- Vasubandhu における三帰依の規定とその応用 (Prescription for Taking refuge in the triratna and its Application in Vasubandhu). In: BSem 39, (1)-(16) (= 96-81).
- 1984a Vasubandhu 研究ノート (1) (Notes on Vasubandhu (I)). In: IBK XXXII.2 [64], (82)-(85) = 1042-1039.

Mikogami, Eshō 神子上惠生

1965 瑜伽師地論に於ける種子の問題 (On the Problem of bīja in the Yogācārabhūmi). In: (Ryūkoku Daigaku) Bukkyō Bungaku Kenkyū-jo Kiyō 4, 118-121.

Mimaki, Katsumi 御牧克己

- 初期唯識諸論書に於ける Sautrāntika 説 (Deux thèses philosophiques de l'école Sautrāntika, discutées dans les premiers traités des Vijnaptivādin du Grand Véhicule). In: Tōhō-gaku 43, 92-77.
- 1979 Le chapitre du Blo gsal grub mtha' sur les Sautrāntika. In: Zinbun 15, 175-210.

Mizuno Kōgen 水野弘元

- 1932 阿頼耶識思想の發生 (*The Genesis of the ālayavijñāna Concept). In: ShK (n. s.) 9.6, 127-149 (= 1067-1089).
- 小議論と唯識説の發達 (*The Theory of Mind and the Development of Vijnaptimātravāda). In: Bukkyō no Kompon Shinri, ed. Sh. Miyamoto, 3rd ed., Tokyo (1st ed.: 1956), 415-454.
- 1978 (パーリ佛教を中心とした) 佛教の心識論 (*The Theory of Mind in Buddhism, with special reference to Pāli Buddhism). Tokyo, 3rd ed. (1st ed.: 1964).

Mizuo, Jakuhō 水尾寂芳

1983 瑜伽行学派における「余れるもの」の展開 ([The Development of] avaśiṣṭa in the Early Yogācāra School). In: IBK XXXIII.1 [63], 182f.

Mōri, Toshihide 毛利俊英

唯識学の哲学的構造 -初期唯識論書を中心として- (*The Philosophical Structure of Vijñaptimātravāda: with special reference to Early Vijñaptimātra Texts). In: Ryūkoku Daigaku Daigakuin Kiyō 5, 115-117.

- 1984 瑜伽行派に於ける四念住 (catvāri smṛtyupasthānāni in the Yogācāra School). In: IBK XXXIII.1 [65], 134f.
- 1986 瑜伽行派に於ける四念住の展開 (Development of catvāri smṛṭyupasthānāni in Yogācāra School). In: BGK 42, 27-48.

Mukai, Akira 向井亮

- 「瑜伽論」の空性説 『小空経』との関連において('Śūnyatā' in the Yogācārabhūmi[: In Connection with
 the Cūḷasuññata-sutta]). In: IBK XXII.2 [44], 900-907.
- 7サンガにおける大乗思想の形成と空観 -ヨーガーチャーラ派の始祖の問題として- (The Formation of the Mahāyāna Thought [and the View of śūnyatā] in Asanga, with Reference to the Founder of the Yogācāra School). In: ShK 227, 23-44 (= 511-532).
- 1978 ヨーガーチャーラ(瑜伽行)派の学派名の由来 (*On 'Yogā-cāra-' as the Name of a Buddhist School). Sanzō No. 153 = Sanzōshū 4, 267-273.
- 「顕揚聖教論」と『瑜伽師地論』 (On the *Śāsanodbhāvana and the Yogācārabhūmi). In: BG 8, 39-68.
- 1981 『瑜伽論』の成立とアサンガの年代 (On the Compilation of the Yogācārabhūmi and the Dates of Asaṅga). In: IBK XXIX.2 [58], 680-686.
- 「阿含の〈空〉に対する大乗の解釈とその展開 (śūnyatā in the Āgama and its Exegesis in the Mahāyāna). In: IBK XXXI.2 [62], 785-788.
- 「瑜伽師地論」摂事分と『雑阿含経』 (The Vastusaṃgraha-ṇī of the Yogācārabhūmi and the Saṃyuktāgama). In: Hokkaidō Daigaku Bungakubu Kiyō 32.2 (No. 56), 1-41.

Murakami, Shinkan 村上真完

1973 サンスクリット本城邑経 (nagara) - 十支縁起と十二縁起 (*The Sanskrit Nagarasūtra: the ten-membered and the

twelve-membered pratītyasamutpāda). In: BK 3, 20-47.

1978 サーンクヤ哲学研究 -インド哲学における自我観- (A Study of the Sāṃkhya-Philosophy: Concepts of the Self and Liberation in Indian Philosophy). Tokyo.

Muroji, Gijin 室寺義仁

成業論 チベット訳校訂本 (The Tibetan Text of the Karma-siddhi-prakaraṇa of Vasubandhu, with Reference to the Abhidharma-kośa-bhāṣya and the Pratîtya-samutpāda-vyākhyā. Critically edited). Kyoto.

Nagao, Gadjin 長尾雅人

所縁行相門の一問題 (*On a problem concerning ālambana and ākāra). In: ShK (n. s.) 12.2. Repr. in: Nagao 1978, 373-388.

1978 中観と唯識 (*Madhyamaka and Vijñaptimātra). Tokyo.

"What Remains" in Śūnyatā: A Yogācāra Interpretation of Emptiness. In: Mahayana Buddhist Meditation: Theory and Practice, ed. M. Kiyota, Honululu, 66-82.

1982 see MSg_N

Nagazawa, Jitsudō 長沢実導

1978 瑜伽行思想と密教の研究 (*Studies in Yogācāra Thought and Esoteric Buddhism). Tokyo.

Nakamura, Hajime

1980 Indian Buddhism, A Survey with Bibliographical Notes.

Tokyo (Intercultural research Institute Monograph, 9).

~ Nāṇananda (Bhikkhu)

1971 Concept and Reality in Early Buddhist Thought. Kandy.

Nārada (Mahā Thera)

A Manual of Abhidhamma (Abhidhammattha Sangaha of Bhadanta Anuruddhācariya, ed. with English Transl. and Explanatory Notes). Kandy.

Näther, Volkbert

Das Gilgit-Fragment Or. 11878A im Britischen Museum zu London. Herausgegeben, mit dem Tibetischen verglichen und übersetzt. Inaugural-Diss. Marburg 1975.

Nishi, Giyū 西義雄

- 1937 唯識學上の種子説と其の淵源に就て (*On the bīja Theory in the Vijnaptimātra School and its Origin). In: Bukkyō Kenkyū I.2, 66-81.
- 1938 阿毘達磨論に於ける種子 (bīja) 説に就いて (*On the bīja Theory in Abhidharma Śāstras). In: Shūkyōgaku Kiyō 4, 270-277; repr. in: Nishi 1975, 483ff.
- 1975 阿毘達磨仏教の研究 —その真相と使命— (*A Study of Abhidharma Buddhism: Facts and Message). Tokyo.

Norman, K. R.

- The Elders' Verses, I, Theragāthā, transl. with an introduction and notes. London (PTS Translation Series No. 38).
- The Group of Discourses (Sutta-nipāta), transl. by K. R. Norman, vol. I. London/Boston (PTS Translation Series No. 44).

Nozawa, Jōshō **野澤靜證**

T 大乘佛教瑜伽行の研究 - 解深密經聖者慈氏章及び疏の譚託
(*A Study of the Yogācāra [School] of Mahāyāna Buddhism: Translation and Annotation of the Ārya-Maitreya-parivarta [i.e. ch. VIII] of the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra). Kyoto.

Obermiller, E.

The Doctrine of the Prajñāpāramitā. In: Acta Orientalia (Uppsala) 11, 1-133.

Odani, Nobuchiyo 小谷信千代

- 1976 瑜伽師地論本地分に見られるアーラヤ議縁起説の萌芽 (The Beginnings of the ālayavijñāna-pratītyasamutpāda Theory in the Yogācārabhūmi). In: IBK XXV.1 [49], 168f.
- 1977 Sāsravadharma について (A Consideration on sāsravadharma). In: IBK XXVI.1 [51], (55)-(58) (= 457-454).
- 大乗荘厳経論の研究 (*Studies in the Mahāyānasūtrālankāra). Kyoto・

Oetke, Claus

Die aus dem Chinesischen übersetzten Versionen des Suvarnaprabhäsasütra. Wiesbaden (ANISt No. 18).

Okada, Yukihiro 岡田行弘

『大乗荘厳経論』 XIX 功徳品について - "urtext" の推定- (On Chapter XIX, guṇādhikāra, of the Mahā-yānasūtrālaṃkāra[: Inferring an "Urtext"]). In: IBK XXIX.2 [58], 636f.

Osaki, Akiko 大崎昭子

- 1975 解深密経における心意識説について (On the [Theory of] Citta, Manas and Vijñāna in the Sandhinirmocana-sū-tra). In: IBK XXIV.1 [47], 200-203.
- 末那識の成立に関する一考察 (*A Consideration Concerning the Formation of manas as a vijñāna [on its own]).

 In: Hanazono Daigaku Kenkyū Kiyō 7, 253-272.
- What is meant by destroying the \overline{A} layavij \overline{n} ana? In: IBK XXVI.2 [52], (15)-(20) (= 1069-1064).

Paul, Diana

The structure of consciousness in Paramārtha's purported trilogy. In: PhEW 31.3, 297-319.

Philosophy of Mind in Sixth-Century China: Paramārtha's 'Evolution of Consciousness'. Standford.

Pischel, Richard

1904 Bruchstücke des Sanskritkanons der Buddhisten aus Idykutšari, Chinesisch-Turkestan. In: Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1904, 807-827.

Renou, Louis

1975 Grammaire Sanscrite (2nd rev. ed.). Paris.

Saigusa, Mitsuyoshi 三枝充惠

1983 ヴァスバンドゥ (Vasubandhu). Tokyo (Jinrui no Chiteki Isan, 14).

Sakurabe, Hajime 櫻部建

「俱舎論」に見える業論 (*The Karman Theory as Found in the Abhidharmakośa(bhāṣya)). In: Kumoi 1979, 285-304.

Sasaki, Genjun 佐々木現順

1957 **我慢の概念分析と思想史的意味** (The Linguistical Analysis of asmimāna and its Philosophical Meaning). In: ŌtG 37.3, 15-29.

1975 煩悩の研究 (A Study of kleśa: A Study of Impurity and its Purification in the Oriental Religions), ed. Genjun H. Sasaki, Tokyo.

1980 Māna, asmimāna, ātmamāna. In: Studies in Indo-Asian Art and Culture, New Delhi, 185-192.

Sasaki, Yōdō 佐々木容道

1982 アーラヤ識成立の一要因 (A Principal Factor toward the Formation of Ālayavijñāna). In: TGK 21.1, 178-197.

1982a sopādānavijnāna. In: IBK XXXI.1 [61], 132f.

S(chmithausen), Lambert

Sautrāntika-Voraussetzungen in Viṃśatikā und Triṃśikā. In: WZKSO XI, 109-136. Cp. also the Japanese translation by Y. Kaji in: BSem 37/1983, (1)-(24) = 96-73.

Zur Literaturgeschichte der älteren Yogācāra-Schule.In: ZDMG, Supplementa I.3, 811-823.

Der Nirvāṇa-Abschnitt in der Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇī der Yogācārabhūmiḥ. Wien (Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philos.-hist. Klasse, Sitzungsberichte, 264. Bd., 2. Abh.).

The Definition of pratyakṣam in the Abhidharmasamuc-cayaḥ. In: WZKS XVI, 153-163.

1973 Spirituelle Praxis und philosophische Theorie im Buddhismus. In: Zeitschrift für Missionswissenschaft und Religionswissenschaft 57, 161-186.

On the Problem of the Relation of Spiritual Practice and Philosophical Theory in Buddhism. In: German Scholars on India, ed. by the Cultural Department, Embassy of the Federal Republic of Germany, Vol. II, Bombay, 235-250.

1977 Zur buddhistischen Lehre von der dreifachen Leidhaftigkeit. In: ZDMG, Supplement III.2, 918-931.

- 1979 我見に関する若干の考察 -薩遮耶見,我慢,染汚意- (Some Aspects of the Conception of Ego in Buddhism: satkāya-dṛṣṭi, asmimāna and kliṣṭa-manas). In: BG 7, 1-18 (transl. into Japanese by K. Yokoyama).
- On some Aspects of Descriptions or Theories of 'Liberating Insight' and 'Enlightenment' in Early Buddhism. In: Studien zum Jainismus und Buddhismus, Gedenkschrift für Ludwig Alsdorf, ed. K. Bruhn and A. Wezler (ANISt No. 23), 199-250.
- Versenkungspraxis und erlösende Erfahrung in der Śrāvakabhūmi. In: Epiphanie des Heils, ed. G. Oberhammer, Wien, 59-85.
- The darśanamārga Section of the Abhidharmasamuccaya and its Interpretation by Tibetan commentators (with Special Reference to Bu ston Rin chen grub). In: Contributions on Tibetan Language, History and Culture, ed. by E. Steinkellner and H. Tauscher, Vol. 1, Wien 259-274.
- On the Vijñaptimātra Passage in Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra VIII.7. In: Studies of Mysticism in Honor of the 115oth Anniversary of Kobo-Daishi's Nirvāṇam (= AI VI), 433-455. [Cp. n. 625!]
- 1985 Once again Mahāyānasaṃgraha I.8. In: Kumoi Fel. Vol., 139-160.
- Buddhismus und Natur. In: Die Verantwortung des Menschen für eine bewohnbare Welt im Christentum, Hinduismus und Buddhismus, ed. R. Panikkar und W. Strolz, Freiburg/Basel/Wien, 100-133.
- 1986 Critical Response: In: Karma and Rebirth, ed. Ronald W. Neufeldt, Albany, 203-230.
- 1987 Beiträge zur Schulzugehörigkeit und Textgeschichte kanonischer und postkanonischer buddhistischer Mate-

rialien. In: Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hinayāna-Literatur, ed. H. Bechert, 2. Teil, Göttingen.

Seyfort Ruegg, David

1969 La théorie du tathāgatagarbha et du gotra: Études sur la Sotériologie et la Gnoséologie du Bouddhisme. Paris (Publ. EFEO, 70).

The Literature of the Madhyamaka School of Philosophy in India. Wiesbaden (A History of Indian Literature, vol. VII.1).

Shimizu, Kairyū 清水海隆

『瑜伽師地論』の原典研究 (III) - 意地第 2 の和訳 (その 2) - (Textual Notes on the Yogācāra-bhūmi (III): [Japanese transl. of the Manobhūmi, pt. 2]). In: ŌsG 14o, 9-28.
(I [in: BGR 16/1983] and II [?] were not accessible to me.)

Shimokawabe, Kiyoshi 下川邊季由 , and Takayama, Hiroshi 高山博史
1976 『五蘊論』研究(一) (A Study of the Pañcaskandhaprakaraṇa (1). In: BGR 12, 1-29.

Silburn, Lilian

1955 Instant et cause: Le discontinu dans la pensée philosophique de l'Inde. Paris.

Singh, Amar

1984 The Heart of Buddhist Philosophy: Dinnāga and Dharmakīrti. Delhi.

Speijer, J.S.

Sanskrit Syntax, Leyden. Repr. Kyoto 1968.

Steinkellner, Ernst

Who is Byań chub rdzu 'phrul? Tibetan and non-Tibetan Commentaries on the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra - A survey of the literature. To be published in the Proceedings of the Csoma de Körös Symposium held in Sopron, 29.8 - 4.9.1987.

Sueki, Yasuhiro 末木康弘

- 1980 Bodhisattvabhūmi の研究 一成立過程の考察 (*A Study of the Bodhisattvabhūmi: An Inquiry into the Process of its Formation). In: BGR 15, 37-52.
- 1981 瑜伽論における随煩悩について (Notes on upakleśa in the Yogācārabhūmi). In: IBK XXIX.2 [58], (67)-(69) (= 911-909).

Sugawara, Yasunori 管原泰典

kalpa と kalpanā - 特に二世親説に関して - (kalpa and kalpanā: [With special reference to the theory of two Vasubandhus]). In: IBK XXXII.2 [64], 716f.

Suguro, Shinjō 勝呂信静

- **唯識思想よりみたる我論** (*The Theory of Ego from the Point of View of Vijnaptimātra Thought). In: Jiga to Muga, ed. H. Nakamura, Kyoto, 547-581.
- 1976 瑜伽論の成立に関する私見 (*My View Concerning the Formation of the Yogācārabhūmi). In: ŌsG 129, 1-50.
- 1977 アーラヤ識説の形成 ーマナ識との関係を中心にしてー
 (*The Formation of the ālayavijñāna theory: Centering on its Relation to manas). Sanzō No.s 136 and 137 (= Sanzōshū 4/1978, 127-142).
- 1982 アーラヤ識の語義 (*The Literal Meaning of 'ālayavijñā-na'). In: Tamura Fel. Vol., 53-66.

1982a 唯識説の体系の成立 -とくに『摂大乗論』を中心にして-

(*The Formation of the Vijñaptimātravāda System: With Special Reference to the Mahāyānasamgraha). In: KDB 8, 77-112.

1982b 唯識説における縁起の思想 - 『瑜伽論』本地分の所説を中心 にして- (The Theory of Causality in Vijñaptimātratā Doctring: [Centering on Statements of the Basic

tratā Doctrine: [Centering on Statements of the Basic Section of the Yogācārabhūmi]). In: ŌsG 135, 205-227.

- 1982c 唯識思想と法華経の交渉 -こころの概念を中心にしてー (*Relations of the Lotus Sūtra to Vijñaptimātra Thought: with Special Reference to the Concept of Mind). In: Hokkekyō no Bunka to Kiban, ed. K. Tsukamoto, 157-192.
- 7ーラヤ識説と唯識無境 (Ālayavijñāna Theory and the Thought of Denial of External Objects in the Vijñapti-mātratā System). In: BG 16, 1-27.
- 大乗荘厳経論と摂大乗論 唯識学派の開粗「弥勒」の問題を めぐって - (*Mahāyānasūtrālaṅkāra and Mahāyānasaṃgraha: On the problem of "Maitreya", the founder of the Vijñaptimātra School). In: Bukkyāgaku Ronshū: Nakamura Zuiryū Hakushi Koki-kinen Ronshū, 337-369.

Takasaki, Jikidō 高崎直道

- 入楞伽経の唯識説 "Deha-bhoga-pratiṣṭhâbhaṃ Vijñānam" の用例をめぐって (Vijñaptimātra Doctrine of the Laṅkā-vatārasūtra: [On the use of 'deha-bhoga-pratiṣṭhâbhaṃvijñānam']). In: BG 1, 1-26.
- 1980 楞伽經 (Laṅkāvatārasūtra). Tokyo (Butten Kōza, vol. 17).
- 1982 瑜伽行派の形成 (*The Formation of the Yogācāra School).
 In: KDB 8, 1-42.

Upādāna (取) について -『中論』の用例をめぐって-(*On upādāna: Its Use in the Madhyamakaśāstra). In: Tamura Fel. Vol., 39-51.

アーラヤ識と縁起 - 執受 upādāna との関連 - (Ālayavijñāna and Dependent Origination: In relation to the concept 'upādāna'). In: Bukkyō Shisō no Sho-mondai: Hirakawa Akira Hakushi Koki-kinen Ronshū, 1985, 33-53.

Takemura, Makio 竹村牧男

Vijñapti について ーチベット訳『摂大乗論』の用例を中心に一 (On vijñapti: Centering on its Use in the Tibetan Translation of the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). In: ShK 227, 73-94 (= 561-582).

Takemura, Shōhō 武邑尚邦

1940 瑜伽師地論成立問題考 (*On the Problem of the Formation of the Yogācārabhūmi-śāstra). In: Ryūkoku Gakuhō 328, 51-80 (= 1125-1154).

Takeuchi, Shōkō 武内紹晃

「插大乘論」に於ける簡重習論 -特に阿頼耶識との関係-(*The Theory of śrutavāsanā in the Mahāyānasaṃgraha, with Special Reference to its Relation to ālayavijñā-na). In: RDR 339, 76-87.

1985 唯識学論書における執受の二つの用例 (On Two Usages of "Upādāna" in Vijnaptimātratā Treatises). In: Kumoi Fel. Vol., 267-278.

Tsukinowa, Kenryū 月輪賢隆

1971 仏典の批判的研究 (*Critical Studies in Buddhist Lite-rature). Kyoto.

Ueda, Yoshifumi 上田義文

1982 摄大乘論講統 (*Lectures on the Mahāyānasaṃgraha), 2nd ed. Tokyo (1st ed.: 1981).

Ui, Hakuju 宇井伯壽

- 1958 瑜伽論研究 (*A Study of the Yogācārabhūmi). Tokyo.
- 1965 印度哲學研究 (*Studies in Indian Philosophy), vol. 6. [Reprint], Tokyo (1st ed.: 1930).
- 1965a **印度哲學史** (*A History of Indian Philosophy). Reprint, Tokyo.
- 1966 播大乘論研究 (*A Study of the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). 2nd ed., Tokyo (1st ed.: 1935).
- 大乗仏典の研究 (*Studies in Mahāyāna Buddhist Texts). 2nd ed., Tokyo (1st ed.: 1963).
- 1979a (安慧護法) 唯識三十頌釋論 (*Sthiramati's and Dharmapāla's Commentaries on the Triṃśikā Vijñaptimātratāsiddhi). 2nd ed., Tokyo (1st ed.: 1952).

Verdu, Alfonso

Dialectical Aspects in Buddhist Thought (Studies in Sino-Japanese Mahāyāna Idealism). The Univ. of Kansas.

Vetter, Tilmann

- Der Buddha und seine Lehre in Dharmakīrtis Pramāṇavārttika. Wien (Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde, 12).
- 1985 Recent Research on the Most Ancient Form of Buddhism. In: Kumoi Fel. Vol., 67-85.

Warder, A. K.

1970 Indian Buddhism. 1st ed., Delhi (2nd ed. 1980: not available).

Wayman, Alex

1960 The Sacittikā and Acittikā Bhūmi and the Pratyeka-buddhabhūmi (Sanskrit texts). In: IBK VIII.1 [15], (30)-(34) (= 379-375).

1961 Analysis of the Śrāvakabhūmi Manuscript. Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Buddhist Insight. Essays by A. Wayman, ed. G. Elder.
Delhi etc.

Weinstein, Stanley

The Ālayavijñāna in Early Yogācāra Buddhism: A Comparison of Its Meaning in the Saṃdhinirmocana-sūtra and the Vijñapti-mātratā-siddhi of Dharmapāla. In: Kokusai Tōhō Gakusha Kaigi Kiyō (Transactions of the International Conference of Orientalists in Japan), 3, 46-58.

Willis, Janice D.

1979 On Knowing Reality: The Tattvārtha Chapter of Asanga's Bodhisattvabhūmi. New York.

Wogihara, Unrai

1908 Asaṅga's Bodhisattvabhūmi: Ein dogmatischer Text der Nordbuddhisten nach dem Unikum von Cambridge im allgemeinen und lexikalisch untersucht. Inaugural-Dissertation Univ. Straßburg. Leipzig.

Yamaguchi, Susumu 山口益

1972, 1973 山口益仏教学文集 (*Collected Buddhological Essays of S. Yamaguchi). Tokyo. 1st part: 1972; 2nd part: 1973.

世親の成業論 (*Vasubandhu's Karmasiddhiprakaraṇa). 2nd ed., Kyoto.

Yeh, Ah-yueh 葉阿月

1975 唯識思想の研究 -根本眞實としての三性説を中心にして(A Study of the Vijñānamātra theory: from the Standpoint of the Three Natures as the mūlatattva). Tokyo.

Yokoyama, Kōitsu 横山紘一

- 1971 五思想よりみた弥動の著作 -特に『瑜伽論』の著者について-(*Maitreya's Writings, Viewed from Five Concepts: With special reference to the Author of the Yogācārabhūmi). In: ShK 208, 27-52.
- 1979 唯識の哲学 (*Vijnaptimātra Philosophy). Kyoto.
- 1979a **阿頼耶識の三機能** (*The Three Functions of ālayavijñā-na). In: Rikkyō Daigaku Kenkyū Hōkoku (Jinbun Kagaku) 38, 1-22.
- コーガの心と真如 『瑜伽師地論』と『解深密経』を中心に一 (Mind of Yogin [Jap.: Yoga] and tathatā[: With special reference to the Yogācārabhūmi and the Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra]). In: BG 9/10, 191-225.
- 「無二」の思想的発展について 『瑜伽論』 摂決択分から『大乗荘 厳経論頌』へ- (On the Development of the Conception advaya: [from the Viniścayasaṃgrahaṇī to the Mahāyānasūtrālaṅkāra]. In: ShK 254, 47-77 (= 301-331).

Yoshimoto, Shingyō 吉元信行

1977 [Review of:] Nathmal Tatia (ed.): Abhidharmasamuccaya-bhāṣyam. In: BSem 26, 87-94.

Yoshimura, Hiromi 芳村博実

1987 Vijñapti についての一考察 (2). In: BGK 43, 255-285. ((1) in: BGK 34/1978, 58-72.)

Yoshimura, Shūki 芳村修基

1974 インド大乗仏教思想研究 (*Studies in Indian Mahāyāna Buddhist Thought). Kyoto.

Yūki, Reimon 結城令間

- (心意識論より見たる) 唯識思想史 (*A History of Vijñapti-mātra Thought, from the Point of View of the theory of citta, manas and vijñāna). Tokyo.
- 1935a **攝大乘論に於ける正聞熏習論** (*The Theory of śrutavā-sanā in the Mahāyānasaṃgraha). In: BGShM, 680-690.
- 1962 唯識學典籍史 (*A Catalogue of the Literature of the Vijñaptimātra School). Tokyo.

INDEXES

Note:

The following indexes are mostly selective. I have tried my best to be exhaustive in the case of the key terms of the present study, but beyond this, choice is admittedly discretionary if not arbitrary, even in the index of Sanskrit words, let alone those of Tibetan and English words, which are merely supplementary to the former.

The entries refer first to $\underline{\text{vol. I}}$ by bare page numbers, and then to $\underline{\text{vol. II}}$ by the numbers of the notes (the whole set preceded by "n(s).").

When an entry refers to a significant occurrence or detailed treatment of a word, passage or author, it has been under-lined or, in special cases, doubly underlined. In the index locorum, references to vol. I are often immediately followed by references, in square brackets, to the note(s) where the respective passage is identified or quoted. In these cases, underlining indicates that the note contains additional information (e.g. textual emendations).

In the index of Skt. words, references to passages where a word is represented by an English equivalent only have been placed within parentheses. In the case of Tibetan and Chinese equivalents, the entries are not usually bracketed.

I apologize for any errors that might have crept in in spite of my efforts to avoid them.

I. Word Index

I.1 Sanskrit and Pāli words

Α

akarmanyatā (66); ns. 463, 466, 470, 602, 1330.

akuśala 69, 148; see kuśala.

akṣa-rāśi 209

agni 230; n. (1441)

acitta n. 221

acittā samāpattiḥ 195 (du.);
n. 227; see asamjñisamāpatti,
nirodhasamāpatti.

acittaka 173; see middha, mūrcchā.

acittikā avasthā ("unconscious state(s)") (4f), (2o), (36), (42), (167f), (171), (175); ns. (167), 219, (1232).

acittikā bhūmiḥ 221f

ajñāna n. 1421

atarkya 234

atyantāt 232, 240; cp. n. 1455

aduḥkhāsukha see vedanā, vedanīya

adhigata/avigata n. 918

adhipati(-pratyaya) ns. 722, 769

adhipati-phala n. 672

adhimokṣa n. 218

adhivāsanā n. 933

adhişțhāna

a. = "[gross] basis [of the
 material sense-faculties]"
 64, 71, (90), (92), 203;
 ns. 172, 196, 247, 372,
 444, 508, 521, 531, 751,
 757, 846, 870

a. of citta and caittas n. 196

a. of vedanā n. 490

asmimāna-a., satkāyadṛṣṭi-a. 55, 157, 159; ns. 388, 391, 1018 (vikalpa-)prapañca-a. ns. 1019, 1405(D)

a. = "object(ive basis)" 159; ns. 1018, 1019

adhyātmam ("within, inwardly")
90, (93), (95f), 198+add. (a.
pratyātmam), 228 (a. snehaḥ);
ns. 531, 633, 637, 639, 664,
757, 1276, 1421 (a. ajñānam,
a. ...-saṃmohaḥ); (a.-..-tṛsnā:) ns. 1405(F), 1418

anantara- + (abstr.) noun[abl.]
n. 270

anantara-niruddha 117; n. 943

Anavatapta n. 1440

anākāra n. 724

anātman 234; n. 1421 fn. 5

anādikālika 43, 74, (98); ns. 572 (a.o dhātuḥ), 691, 831

anāsrava 77; n. 564

anitya(tā) 66, (202); ns. 147(d), 461

anidarsana 53(f), 228, 234; ns. 385, 1411

animitta(-jñāna) 202; n. 1326

anirūpita n. 266 (a.-ālambana), 932 (a.-vastuka)

anirdhārita n. 930

anivṛtâvyākṛta 39, 58, <u>153f</u>, 167; ns. 256, 402(1b), 974

-anugata 238

dausthulya-a. 67, 76; ns. 469, 476, 545, 551, 602; cp. n. 480 (d.ena a.)

(-)bija-a. ns. <u>172</u>, 402(1c), 438, 490, 570

anugraha 70, 228; ns. 184, 196, 297 (āśraya-a.)

anutpāda <u>222</u> (causes of cittaa.)

anupalambha n. 628a fn. 2

anupaśamika 230

anupaśānta 236

anubhava see kāyika

anumīyati/*anumriyate n. 1426 (C.a)

anuśaya 148, 236; ns. 470, 481, 482, 495, 602, 918 (asmîti a.), 1416

anu- \hat{s} i- (/anuseti) n. 1426(C.a)

anuṣakta 236; ns. 306, 1478

antagrāha-dṛṣṭi n. 930

antar-ātman 53f, 228

antarā-bhava 127(f); ns. 256, 259(a,c), 266, 270, 831, 844, 1117, 1158, (1405(E)), 1447, 1477(A10) antikāt 238

anyatra c. instr. 230; n. 1442

anyonya n. 1143 (-pratyayatva); see ādhipatya, yogakṣema

apakrānti see vijnāna

aparicchinna 93

a.-ākāra 90; ns. 633, <u>634</u>, 637, 733, 757

a.-ālambanâkāra <u>104</u>; ns. 732, 733, 743, 744

a.-vastuka n. 932; cp. n. 949

apariṇata ("unimpaired") (18), 21(f); ns. 146, 174, 176

aparinirvāṇa-dharma(ka) 165; n. 558

aparisphuṭa ns. 157, 231

apunya 134(f)f; n. 875

apekṣā-hetu n. 517

apratișthita-nirvāṇa n. 606

apratilambha 222

abhiniveśa ns. 510, 514, 532(b), 535, 729, 919, 932, 1422(A), 1445

a.-bandha (202); n. 1294

(parikalpita-svabhāva-)a.(-vā-sanā) <u>73f</u>, <u>76</u>, 9o(ff), (94), (106), <u>204</u>; ns. 517, 519, 531, 532, 553, (653 fn. 1), 757, 1311

abhinirvrtti 60; ns. 418, 753, 1426(C.c fn. 8), 1477(A13b;G.b-c; H.c); cp. n. 1130

-abhirata 158; ns. 1004, 1062

abhirati see prapañca-a.

abhilāṣa 228; see ātmabhāva-a.

abhisamskāra, -kṛta n. 532(b)

abhi-sam-kṣip- 198

abhisamaya 208; n. 1139; see satya-a.

abhi-sam-murch- n. 244

abhūta-parikalpa 99; ns. 693, 719(d)

vaipākikah a. n. 719(d)

sarvabījakaḥ a. <u>99;</u> ns. 691, 693, 719(c)

'a.' missing in Y 189f

amala(vi)jñāna 162; n. 589

ayam aham asmîti ns. 918, 920, 1426(B)

ayogakṣema(-patita) ns. 461, 467, 489, 490

ayoniśaḥ 236; (a.-manaskāra / a. manasi-kṛ-:) ns. 1416, 1421

arūpin 53, 55, 228, 234; ns. 385, 1459

artha n. 724, 770 (bāhya)

a.-upalabdhi, -dṛṣṭi ns. 610, 628a fn. 2

a.-pratibhāsa, etc. ns. 628a fn. 2, 631a fn. 1, 689, 724, 758, 759, 763

a.-vijñapti 100; n. 699

Arhat 147; ns. 541, 591, 915

A. and ālayavijñāna: see ālayav. (II)

A. and upādāna/sopādāna-vijñāna 81, 168f; ns. 587, 1074; cp. n. 196

A. and dausthulya 81, 83f; ns. 555, 601, 605

A. and nirodhasamāpatti 24, 39, 81ff; n. 588

A. and (kliṣṭaṃ) manas 83; cp. n. 595

avašista (191); n. 1213

avasthā 221f; ns. 219, 269 (arvāg-a.), 341 (= vedanā), 489, 955; see acittaka, sacittaka

avigata (/adhigata) ns. 918, 1426(C.c fn. 8), 1478

avitarkā vicāramātrā (/avicārā) bhūmiḥ 221

avidyā n. 1421 + fn. 2

a. as the basis of other kle-sas etc. ns. 943, 963

a. associated with (kliṣṭaṃ) manas 117, 152; ns. 943, 958 (āveṇikī), 959, 963

aviparinamadharma(n) (191);
ns. 1213-1215

avivikta(?) n. 653

avispaṣṭa 39; ns. 231, 265

avaivartika 81; ns. 586, 588

*avyavasth(āp)ita see satya

avyākṛta 31, 39, 132ff, 148, 154; ns. 415, 481, 1477(A4)

*sābhisaṃskāram a.m 58; n. 402(1a); cp. n. 404

asamvidita(ka) 89, 93, (105); ns. 629, 634, (654), (655), 742, 744, 747, 748

asamskrta n. 1212

asamklista 232, 240

asamjñi(/jñā)-samāpatti(/nna) $\frac{35f}{1232}$, 194, 222; ns. 148, 227,

asatpurusa(-saṃsevā) ns. 1416, 1421 fn. 2

asaddharma(-śravaṇa) 236; ns. 1416, 1421 fn. 2

asādhāraņa see karman

asāratā n. 1222

asmîti <u>150;</u> ns. 368, 918, <u>920,</u> 945, <u>1426(B)</u>, 1428; see ayam, aham

asmîti mānaḥ, ~ chandaḥ 152; n. 918

asmimāna 147ff; ns. 371, 919, 955, 1426(B)

objective basis of a. 52(ff), 74, 150f, 159f; ns. 962, 1018, 1019 (content of a.); cp. ns. 368, 945, a.-adhiṣṭhāna

a. presupposing satkāyadṛṣṭi
147; n. 920

a. hypostatized into or associated with (klistam) manas 117, 15off; ns. 919, 920, 943, 944, 948, 958, 959

a. in Śaikṣas/Āryas <u>147ff;</u> ns. 931, 947, 949

gross a. as an obstacle to abhisamaya 201; n. 477

asmimānâdhiṣṭhāna 55, 157, 159; 388, 391

"... aham asmi" iti 232; cp. 161, 240; n. 1444(A,B)

aham iti 150; ns. 221(?), 368, 540, 824, 945(?), 946, 1426 (C.b fn. 5), 1428, 1445

aham iti vijñaptiḥ (90), 194; ns. 630, 631a fn. 3

ahamkāra

Sāńkhya 29, 149, 151

Buddhism 52, 57, 74, 139, 230, 236, 238; ns. 368 (a.-va-stu), 371, 386, 1293, 1405(I), 1421

a. hypostatized into or associated with (klistam) manas 150; ns. 541, 944, 946, (948), 958

Ā

ākāra 101, 103f, 149(f), 203; ns. 615, 731, 741, 764, 943-946; cp. aparicchinna.

ākiñcanyāyatana 91; ns. 37, 652; cp. n. 218

ā-kṣip-, ākṣepa(ka) 136f; ns. 729, 882, 1127, 1477(A5)

ā-grah-, āgṛhīta ns. 1045, 1477(A17; D.c)

ācita 123, 230; ns. 436, 824, 1433

ācinoti 230; ns. 1420, 1433

ātman ("Self, Ego") n. 1o19 (as content of dṛṣṭis and asmimāna); cp. antar-ātman, aham

ā. non-existent, ficticious, rejected 3, 19off, 2o4; ns. 36, 221, 532(a), 1212-1215, 1222, 1311; cp. pudgala-nairātmya

taking (/not taking) as ā. what is not ā. (/empty of ā.) (148); n. 1445; viz. the (upādāna-)skandhas etc: (147), 19off; ns. 915, 918-920, 1212-1215, 1222, 1226, 1227, 1421; savijnānakam kāyam: n. 371; sāsravam vastú: n. 540; duḥkha: n. 1444(A); citta/vijnāna: (55); n. 386; ālaya/ādāna-vijnāna: 52, 56, 119, (161); ns. 137, 817(b); cp. ālayav. (II)

- ātma-grāha ("Clinging to Self/Ego") (5), 144f, (202); ns. 137, 205, 368, 386, 943 (*ā.-ātmiya-g.), 953, 1297, 1421; cp. ātma-dṛṣṭi, satkāya-dṛṣṭi, ahaṃkāra.
- ātma-tṛṣṇā ns. 962, 1426(C.c fn. 8)
- ātma-darśana/dṛṣṭi ("(false) View of Self") 117, 139, 228, 234; ns. 539, 932, 943, 962, 1421, (1445), (1446); cp. ātmagrāha, etc.
- ā.-d. as sahaja/parikalpita 236; cp. ns. 395, 932, 953
- ā t m a b h ā v a "(basis of) personal existence" 24, 47, 53ff, 111ff, 131, 156, 163; ns. 326, 372-374, 461, 504, 796, 985, 1051, 1059, 1414, 1440, 1477(E)
- equiv. to āśraya 158; ns. 372, 582, 751, 807, 1064, 1477(E.c,d)
- as the (animated) body 218f; ns. 348, 363, 796, 807, 985, 1477(E.d)
- as ṣaḍāyatana 53, (111); ns. 363, 376, 1477($\overline{\text{E.c}}$)
- as nāmarūpa or 5 skandhas 53, 216ff; ns. <u>372</u>, 751, <u>1383</u>
- as vipāka 31, <u>53</u>, 111f, (129), <u>136f</u>, 158; ns. <u>376</u>, 672, 970, <u>1008</u>, 1477(A5)
- as containing or being Seed(s) 48, <u>53</u>, 94, 111ff, (129), 151f, <u>158f</u>; ns. 377, 388, 831, 8<u>53</u>, 1008, 1014
- as the objective basis of the notion of, or of Clinging to, Self/Ego 24f; 31, 53, 113, 151f, 159f; ns. 368, 1421, 1426(B;C.b fn. 5), 1428, 1444(A,B)

- as duḥkha 158ff, 163; ns. 368, 1420, 1428, 1435, 1444(A,B), 1468
- as satkāya 157f; ns. 1008, 1062
- as prapañca 157f; ns. 1405 (D-G), 1425(B), 1426(C.c); cp. n. 1008
- as ālaya 55, 111, <u>157ff</u>, 165f; n. 1062; cp. n. 1008
- ā. and ālayavijñāna (26), 31, (56), <u>137</u>, <u>139ff</u>, 156, <u>216ff</u>; ns. <u>140</u>, (889), <u>892</u>, 1064, 1374, 1383, 1477(A11-13,16;C;G-I), 1484
- ā.m ā-grah- ns. 1045, 1477(A17;D.c)
- ā. + ādāna cp. 50 add.; n. 340
- ātmabhāva-tṛṣṇā ns. 265, 266, 1426(C.c fn. 8)
- ātmabhāvâbhinandanā, -abhilāṣa 39; ns. 264, 265, 270, 1405(E)
- ātmabhāvâbhinirvṛtti ns. 147(c), 377, 1408, 1477(D.b; G.e); cp. n. 1130
- ātmabhāvâlaya 166; ns. 140 (-nimitta), 1477(D.c)
- ātmabhāvâśraya 216ff
- ātmabhāvôpādāna ns. 336, 338, 348(?), 796, 1477(A12,13a); cp. n. 1477(A11,16; D.a, c; G)
- ātmabhāva-parigraha, ā.m (pari)grah- 55, 138ff, 163, 236; ns. 337, 879, 1045, 1422(D), 1474(B), 1477
- ā.-p.m kṛ- ns. 1422(B.b), 1477(A4;C;D.b;I)
- \bar{a} .-p. and \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na n. 1477(C, \bar{G} - \bar{I})

ā.-p. as a dvandva n. 1477 (A15;B)

ātmabhāva-pratilambha, ā.m prati-labh- n. 1477(A14;B;D.b)

ātmabhāva-sneha 166; ns. 264, 270, 1405(E)

ātma-māna ns. 140, 920, 943

 \bar{a} tma-(sam)moha ns. 943, $\underline{1421}$

ātma-vastu n. 386

ātma-viparyāsa 234; cp. n. 1445

ātma-samjñā ns. 1418, 1421

ātma-sneha ("self-love", "[emotional] clinging or attachment to Self") (5); ns. 962, 1405(H), 1418

ā. before death 39; ns. 265, 269, 270, 1405(E,H)

objective basis of ā. 52(ff)

ā. associated with (kliṣṭaṃ)
manas 152; ns. 958, 959

ātmīya 191; ns. 386 (-grāha), 532(a), 915, 918-920, 943 (-grāha), 1213-1215, 1226, 1421

ādarśa (51); n. 357

ādāna 49, 71, 73, 167; ns. $\frac{339-34\overline{3}}{437}$, 1477(D.a); cp. n.

ādāna-vijñāna 12f, 49f, 56, 71ff, 74, 89, 94ff, 123, 145, 149, 155, 167, 169; ns. 38, 46, 78, 89, 118, 120, 321, 341, 344, 352, 354a, 437, 527, 1477(A12,G)

 \bar{a} . as perception or representation of an object $\underline{89}$; n. 629

ādhipatya ("influence") (64), ns. 449, 1303 (anyonya-, ita-retara-ā.)

āninjya 134ff; ns. 875, 878, 1438

-ānupūrvyā 236

ābhā ns. 677, 678

ābhāsa 107, 203; ns. 758, 759, 763, 764

ābhiprāyika n. 114

ābhisamskārika n. 448

āyatana ns. 761 (cakṣur-ā., def.), 769 (bāhyam ā.m, def.)

āyus 6f, 19(f); ns. 58, 154, (161), 165, 502

-ārāma 158; ns. 1004, 1062; s. ālaya, prapañca

ārūpya(-dhātu)

rebirth/ātmabhāva in ā. 47f, 50, 163; ns. 247, 259(c), 875, 1051, 1477(D.c)

ā. and matter 21, 48, 175; ns. 154, 219, 651, 671, 676, 1135, 1142

ā. and nirodhasamāpatti ns. 131, 161

ā. and ālaya-/ādāna-vijñāna 48, 5of, 91f, 95, 105; ns. 37, 131, 361, 753, 765

upādāna in ā. 50, <u>72</u>, 95, <u>105</u>; ns. 353, 520, 521, 753

Ārya 24, (53), 68, 80, 226, 230; ns. 263, 368, 488, 947, 1428; cp. ns. 931, 932

ālambana ("object")

ā. of vijñāna in general 85, 103; ns. 615, 769

- ā. of manovijnāna: q.v.
- states where no ā. is experienced 101; n. 707; cp. n. 717.
- ā. of ālayavijñāna etc. 74, (86), (88ff), 101ff, (203); ns. 140, 615, 634, 637, 639-641, 646, 653, 665 (also: ā. of bhavanga-v.), 731-733, 757-759, 765, 1171, 1305-1309
- ālayavijñāna without ā. 101; n. 717; cp. n. 765
- ā. of kleśas etc., vikalpa etc., notion of Ego, etc. (15of), 234, 236; ns. 539, 953, 1018, 1019, 1416, 1459, 1464; cp. adhiṣṭhāna.
- ālayavijñāna as ā. of the notion of Ego/of (kliṣṭam)
 manas (15of); ns. 943, 945,
 (953); cp. ālayav. (II)
- mind as the ā. of contemplating mind 197, (200); n. 1282
- tathatā as ā. 78, 198, 205, 210; ns. 570, 1167
- ālambana-nimitta n. 717; cp. n. 759
- ālambana-prarūpaņa n. 1459
- ālambana-vijñapti 88, 117; ns. 610, 625, 1305, 1309

ālaya

- ā. in the canonical texts/in the traditional Buddhist sense 8, 15, 23f, 155f; ns. 202, etc. (see ā. as clinging / what is clung to)
- Abhidharmic and commentatorial explanations of ā. 15, 23f; ns. 192, 193, 203, 204, etc.
- specific Yogācāra use od ā. (22), 27-29, 155, etc.; (see ā. as sticking to or in, etc.)

- active and passive/locative meaning of \bar{a} . $\underline{24}$, etc.; ns. 192, 202-204, etc.
- ā. = clinging 15, 71, 76f, 82, 144, 153, 155f, 163, 167; ns. 142, 192, 193, 195, (966), (988), 1002, 1017, 1062
- ā. = what is clung to 15, 24, 55, 57, 74, 76, 94, 113, (139), 155ff, 165f; ns. 137, 140, 192, 202, 203, 817(b), 962, (988), 1002
- kāmaguṇas, etc. (24), 165f; ns. 203, 1062
- bhava, etc. (24); n. 203
- upādānaskandhas, satkāya, prapañca, ātmabhāva, etc. 24, 55, 94, <u>157ff</u>, 165f; ns. 192, 204, 391, 514, 1004, 1062; cp. ātmabhāvālaya
- satkāyadṛṣṭy-adhiṣṭhāna, etc. 157, 159; ns. 391, 962
- duḥkha 158; ns. 202, 391
- ā. = sticking to or in, hiding in, etc. (22), 27-29, (57), (65), 120, 128, 140, 155, 163f; ns. 136, 137, 140, 181, (183), 817(a,c), 889, (892)
- ā. = what is stuck to, where (something) sticks or lies hidden 26f, (65), 111, 120, 140, 164; ns. 136, 137, 778, 817(a), 889, (892), 1473
- ā. = receptacle, abode 26, 94, 111, 140, 162f; ns. 138-140, 202, 666, 778
- ā. and upādāna 23f, 71, 157, 159, 168f; ns. 197, 391, 514, 817(d), 962, 1004
- ā. and niveśana 162f
- ā. as a paryāya of bīja(s) 157f; n. 391
- ā.-ārāma 165f; ns. 202-204, 1004, 1062

- \bar{a} .-tṛṣṇā $\frac{165f}{166}$; ns. 1063
- ālayavijñāna
- I. meaning of 'ā.-v.' 15, 22ff, 37f, 52, 57, 65, 74, 76f, 111, 113, 120f, 128, 139f, 144, 153, 155, 163(f), 166ff, 177; ns. 136-140, 181, 817, 889, (892), 1056; for nuances see ālaya
- analysis of the cpd. 'ā.-v.' 93f; n. 668
- Tib. and Chin. renderings 1; ns. 1, 191
- possible influence from Sāńkhya <u>27ff</u>
- origin(/original meaning) of ā.-v. 9f (meaning of the question of origin), 14f (criteria), 18ff, 144ff (Ui: 128; Suguro: 144f, 155f, 16o, 162ff; Y. Sasaki: 167; Enomoto: 17of; Kajiyama: 171f; Yokoyama: 18o; Hakamaya: 181f)
- precursors of ā.-v. 3, 7ff; cp. 157ff, 172; n. 665; cp. ns. 1008, 1103; cp. sarvabījakam vijnānam, etc.
- ā.-v. subsumed under (one of) the 6 trad. kinds of vijñāna ns. 89, 159
- \bar{a} .-v. and abhūtaparikalpa, etc. 98f; ns. 689, $\underline{693}$, 719, 755
- $\bar{\text{a.-v.}}$ and $\bar{\text{a}}$ d $\bar{\text{a}}$ navij $\bar{\text{n}}$ ana $\underline{12f}$, $\underline{49f}$, 71; ns. 46, 118, 120, 344
- ā.-v. and citta 117, 123, 149; ns. 436, 719(a,d), 824, 1433
- ā.-v. and vipāka-vijñāna 9, 62, 84; ns. <u>78</u>, 82, <u>580</u>, 768
- \bar{a} .-v. and sarvabījakam vijnānam $\underline{42f}$, $\underline{48}$, 51, 55, 59, 71, 11off, $\underline{114f}$, $\underline{131}$, $\underline{137}$, $\underline{177ff}$; ns. $\underline{82}$, $\underline{136}$, $\underline{374}$, $\underline{428}$,

- ā.-v. and sopādānam vijñānam 9, 69ff, 75<u>f</u>, 82, <u>167ff</u>; ns. 82, 1074
- proofs of the existence of ā.-v. 3(ff), 10, 170ff, 176, 194ff, (215ff); ns. 14-17, 32, 33, 36, 39-43, 93, 710, (1075); cp. also III: ā.-v. in Y (Proof Portion)
- II. systematical and exegetical contexts of \bar{a} .-v. $\underline{3ff}$
 - ā.-v. as "subject" (of saṃsāra) 4, 48, 145, 160, 18of; cp. ns. 14, 1374, 1477(H.c)
- continuity and homogeneity of ā.-v. 3f, 31f, 41ff, 45ff, 89, 91f; ns. 13, 35, 628, 629, 634, 640, 641, 653-655, 1308
- simultaneity of ā.-v. with other vijñānas 45f, (50), (149: with manas); cp. 196; ns. 310, 355, 944
- ā.-v. as cause 64, 75, 139, 203; ns. 136, 137, 444, 548, 555, 580, 582, 719(b,d), 720, 817(a), 1303, 1483
- ā.-v. as containing (/being)
 bīja(s) or vāsanās: see bīja
 (b.s hypostatized into ā.-v.,
 ā.-v. as b.), vāsanā
- ā.-v. as result 74, 156; ns. 136, 536, 580, 831, 974
- ā.-v. (and its caittas) as vipāka(-saṃgrhīta), etc. 31, 38f, 43, (47), 57ff, 64, 75, 87, 110, 112ff, 127ff, 131ff, 134ff, 145, 154, 156; ns. 367, 417 fn. 1, 419-424, 445, (580), 719(d), 935, 970, 974, 1477(H.c)
- ā.-v. as (anivṛta-)avyākṛta 31, 132f, <u>153f</u>, 167, 195; n. <u>974</u>
- ā.-v. as conditioned by saṃskāras (6), (130); ns. (51), 57, 344, 859

- ā.-v. as the principle of life /of biological appropriation (of corporeal matter) (5), 23, 31, 35ff, 43f, (47), 51, 63, 66, 70f, 74, 82ff, 95, 99, 101, 105, 107, 110, 114ff, 127ff, 131f, 145, 168, 195; ns. 39, 102, 189, 281, 344, 358, 360, (437), 536, (580), 709, 770, 796, 806, 811, 817(d), 1074, 1143, 1477(A16; G.c fn. 8)
- ā.-v. at death (5), 36, <u>39ff</u>, 83, 195; ns. (42), 1o2, 269, <u>281</u>, 580
- ā.-v. at conception (/merging into proto-embryonic matter) (5f), 36ff, (47), 118, 127ff, 139, 177, 195; ns. 34, (41), 247, 344, 580, 717, 817(c), 1145
- \bar{a} .-v. and corporeal experiences 5f, 44, 87, 181, 195; n. 295
- ā.-v. and sukha/praśrabdhi (5f), <u>44+add.</u>, 87<u>f</u>; ns. <u>47</u>, 297
- ā.-v. and unconscious states, esp. nirodha-samāpatti (4-6), 13, 18ff, 31f, 35ff, 39, 41, 47, 81, 83, 85f, 92f, 97, 99, 101f, 137, 167f, 171ff, 182, 195, 222; cp. 175f; ns. 16, 40, 57, 131, 146, 227, 588, 710, 1232
- ā.-v. (/its object /its caittas) subtle or subliminal 31, 46f, 89, 93, 97, 105, 167; ns. 218, 629, 634, 653(?), 654, 664, 684, 744, 747, 748, 1171
- ā.-v. and ātmabhāva or ātmabhāva-parigraha etc. (5), 25f, 31, 56, 137, 139ff, 216 ff; cp. 164; ns. (34), 140, 796, 889, 892, 1064, 1374, 1383, 1474(C), 1477(A11-13, 16; C;G;H;I.b), 1484; cp. ns. 1056, 1473

- ā.-v. sticking to or taking hold of Polluted dharmas (in a causal sense) 65, 105f; ns. 136, 137, 517, 817(a)
- ā.-v. sticking in (/hiding in /pervading, etc.) the material sense-faculties or the body (5), 18, $\underline{22}$, $\underline{30}$, 32, $37\underline{f}$, 44, $4\underline{8}$, $50\overline{f}$, 56, 63, $68\overline{f}$, 85, 153, 155, $163\overline{f}$, 166, 180, 216(?), $\underline{218f}$; ns. $\underline{38}$, 102, 140, $\underline{146}$, $\underline{181}$, 315, 361, 365, (437), $\underline{1373}$, 1392; cp. n. 1337
- ā.-v. as substratum ((sahabhūr) āśrayaḥ) / fundamental layer, etc. (5), 34, 5off, 56f, 89, 117ff, 122, 146, 151, 153, 215f(?)f; ns. 35-37, 298, 356-358, 363, 365, 367, (580), 811, 816, 830, 1102, 1330, 1383 ā.-v. as the āśraya of all dharmas: 34, 65; ns. 14, 1383
- ā.-v. in the context of the mutual dependence of vijñāna and nāmarūpa (6), 169ff, 216ff; ns. 1143, 1145, 1369; cp. n. 1136
- ā.-v. as duḥkha(satya)/saṃskāraduḥkhatā 6, <u>68</u>, 75, <u>138</u> <u>ff</u>, 158ff, 164, 206, <u>236</u>, 238; ns. (48), 390, 484, 489, 491, 548, 1064, 1421, 1428, 1469, 1472, 1473, 1479
- ā.-v. compared with a lake 138, 160, 238; n. 1440
- ā.-v. containing (/consisting of) dausthulya 6, 44, 67ff, 75f, 81, 83f, 87f, 181, 205ff; ns. 47, 362, 365, 489, 551, 719(a), 1167, 1330, 1337
- ā.-v. as the presupposition/
 root/principle of samkleśa
 6, 69, 76ff, 169, 197, 208ff;
 cp. 198, 205, 207; ns. 14,
 (46), 549, 555, 568, (580),
 974; cp. n. 574

ā.-v. and samudaya-satya (/kleśas, ātmagrāha, etc.) 69f, (73), 75, 83, 139, 144, 148f, 151, 153, 155ff, 167ff, 206; ns. 548, 566, 596, 935, 954, 966, 988, 1017

ā.-v. as sopādāna 75f, 81,
169; n. 554; s. also I: ā.-v.
and sopādānam vijñānam

ā.-v. as the object(ive basis) of the notion of Ego (/of Clinging to Self / of (kliṣṭaṃ) manas) (but not really ātman) (5), 24f, 31f, 52ff, 61, 74, 76, 119, 139ff, 150f, 153, 156, 158f, 161; ns. (32), 137, 395, 580, 719(a), 817(b), 943, 945, 953, 988, 1064, 1297, 1421, 1474(A), 1477(H.c); cp. n. 949 (neg.)

cognitive function of ā.-v.
(6), 74, 86ff, 89ff, 100ff,
181, 196, 203f; ns. (45),
140 (v. 1. kāyālambana°),
361, 536, 615, 629, 631a,
633, 634, 637, 639, 641, 646,
653, 654, 664, 665, 699, 717,
720-721, 724, 728, 729, 733,
737, 741, 742, 751, 755, 757759, 763, 765, 769, 828, 1308,
1309, 1474(A);
cp. āśraya-vijñapti, indriya(-rūpa), upādāna, u.-vijñapti, kāya, bīja, bhājana-vijñapti, vāsanā, sthāna-vi-

ā.-v. associated with caittas (/vedanā) 59, 61, 68, 86ff, 97f, 100ff, 104, 135, 137; ns. 422-424, 489, 615, 679, 680, 685, 686, 738, 1064, 1142

jñapti.

ā.-v., or its functions, experienced 3, 87(f), 92, 180ff, 197ff, 205f; ns. 10, 631a fn. 1; cp. n. 1167

ā.-v. and mind-only/vijñaptimātratā 32f, 61, 65, 88f, (96f), 100 + add., 105; cp. 102f, 107f; ns. 741, 770; cp. ns. 763, 764, 769

ā.-v. and Mahāyāna 33, 99

cessation/elimination of ā.-v. 77, 83, 181, 197ff, 204ff, 208, 21off; ns. 555, 599, 1337; cp. n. 1167.

ā.-v. present/absent in Arhats etc. 39, <u>81ff</u>, 144, <u>154</u>, 168f, 204f, 212; ns. <u>552</u>, 555, 580, 586, 588, 599, 600, <u>1074</u>, 1337

ā.-v. absent in nirupadhiśeṣa-nirvāṇa 36, 81ff, 154, 222; ns. 588, 600

ā.-v. and pure/purificatory dharmas <u>77ff</u>, 209, 212; ns. 563, 568, 570, <u>572</u>, <u>580-582</u>, 974

III. ā.-v. in Y

Basic Section: 12f, 18ff, 36ff, 45, 51ff, 57ff, 62f, 66, 68f, 71, 82, 87, 109ff, 145f, 154, 156ff, 168(f), 170ff, (181)f, 195f, 222, 236, 238; ns. 16, 146, 306, 428, 889, 892, 1103, 1472, 1473, 1477 (H.c;I), 1483, 1484

VinSg: 12f, 64, 66, 76, 78f, 126; ns. 131, 452, 553+570; VinSg ālay. Treatise: 116, 197ff; Proof Portion: 10, 35f, 40f, 44ff, 60, 62, 71, 77, 87f, 90, 92, 111, 130, 133, 170, 181, 194ff; ns. 227, 281, 295, 310, 630+631a, 796, 859, 860; Pravrtti Portion: 51, 56f, 60f, 62, 73ff, 89ff, 97f, 102f, (150f), (203f); ns. 356-361, 417, 418, 438, 633, 634,

- 637, 639, 641, 646, 679, 680, 729, 830, 945, 1143, 1171, 1308, 1309, 1477(H.c); Nivrtti Portion: 64, 68, 75ff, 81f, 154, 169, 181, 197ff, (203), (204ff), 208ff; ns. 47, 362, 444, 548-551, 554, 555, 563+566, 568, 586, 588, 1303, 1337; Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya: 61, 82f, 92, 98, 123, 151, 169; n. 357; Sopadhika-nirupadhika-bhūmi-viniścaya: 39, 83f; ns. 281(end), 600.
- \bar{a} .-v. in Samdh $\underline{12f}$, $\underline{22}$, 38, $\underline{46ff}$, $\underline{56}$, 62, $\underline{71ff}$, $(\underline{88f})$, $(\underline{96f})$, (105), 116, 123, 149, (182), 196; ns. $\underline{118}$, 181, (629); cp. ns. 628a, 1143; s. also $\bar{a}d\bar{a}navij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$
- ā.-v. in Hsien-yang 44+add., 61, 74, 88, 92, 98; ns. 120, 159, 297, 423, 439, 440, 536, 568, 654, 655, 686
- \bar{a} .-v. in the "Maitreya texts" $\underline{98f}$, 102; cp. $\underline{207}$; n. 1196; \overline{cp} . n. 1167
- \bar{a} .-v. in the Abhidharmasūtra $\underline{65}$, 102; n. $\underline{136}$
- ā.-v. in MSg and AS 10, $\frac{61}{11}$, $\frac{64}{11}$, $\frac{79}{130}$, 83, $\frac{100ff}{170ff}$, 182; ns. 16, 37, 47, 120, $\frac{137}{130}$, 424, 445, 450, $\frac{580}{130}$ -582, 717, (784), 974, 1374, $\frac{1477(G)}{1477(H.c)}$, see p. 700!!
- ā.-v. in LAS 8of; n. 102
- ā.-v. in ASBh 61, (68); ns. 137, 298, 365, 425, 489, 755, 1064, 1477(A11-12; H.a)
- ā.-v. in *Asvabhāva (52), 106, 170ff, <u>215ff;</u> ns. 367, <u>759</u>, 1369, 1374, 1477(G.c)
- ā.-v. in Sthiramati <u>104ff;</u> ns. 137, 344, 527, 615, 724, 729, 742-753, 757, <u>758, 763,</u> 769

- ā.-v. in later sources 80, 84, 93, 107f; ns. 247, 269, 348, 664, 768-770, 817, 1373, 1383, 1392
- pre- or non-ālayavijñānic materials in Y: 8, 14, 38, 48, 58, 141, 156f, 167, 170ff (+ n. 1103); cp. 114, 131, 134, 136; in other sources: 98f, 136; ns. 736, 1196
- *ālayana, ālīyana 22; ns. 140, 181
- ā-lī- 28, 140, 164; ns. 137, 179, 193, 202, 203, 778, 817
- ālīna/allīna 26f, 12o, 163; ns. 136, 193, 1444(A)
- āvaraņa 79; ns. 46, 580, 605, 1323
- ā-vah-, āvāhaka-hetu 132ff
- āśraya
 - ā. = "basis[-of-personal-existence]" (≈ ātmabhāva) 44, 55, (67), 94, 151, 158; ns. 300, 338, 372, 464, 469, 473, 582, 602, 796, 1014, 1064, 1330, 1405(D), 1408, 1477 (E.c); = nāmarūpa, pañca skandhāḥ: 158; ns. 372, 751; = (citta-(caitta-))santāna: ns. 580, 582; = ṣaḍāyatana: ns. 475, 555+add., 590, 796, 1337; = corporeal basis-of-existence / body: 44, 51f, 101; ns. $\frac{187}{297}$, 189, 275, 278, 281(end), $\frac{187}{297}$, 298, 555+add., 557, 590, $\frac{796}{96}$, 807, 1337, 1477(A16; E.d); cp. n. 196 (vedanotpatty-ā.)
 - ā. containing dausthulya/praśrabdhi (67); ns. 300, 469, 473, 480, (555), 602, 1064, 1330; cp. ns. 475, 1337; see also dausthulya(-āśraya).

- ā. as the object of upādāna: see ā.-upādātṛ etc.
- ā. as the object of ālayavijñāna's cognitive function 6; see ā.-vijñapti
- ā. of kleśas/ātmadṛṣṭi 234, 236; ns. 1416, 1463, 1464
- ā.(s) of sense-perception and/ or manovijñāna 51; ns. 356-358; 1102, 1125; (sense-percept.:) 110, 114; (manovijñāna:) 124f; cp. 202; ns. (281), 830, 1297; cp. ns. 942, 1298
- ā. of (kliṣṭaṃ) manas 51; ns. 357, 358
- ā. of ālayavijñāna 125, 216(?)
 218f; ns. 831, 1369, (1392)
- ālayavijnāna as ā. (50)ff, 117ff, 142, 153, 216(?)ff; ns. 298, 356-358, 365, 1369, 1477(A13a,G); cp. ns. 363, 367, 1383; ālayavijnāna as bījāśraya: s. bījāśraya
- jalpamanaskāra/abhūtaparikalpa as ā. n. 693; cp. 99 + n. 691
- samantara ā. 110, 124; cp. n. 1125; cp. also samanantara-pratyaya, manas (II)
- sahabhūr ā. 51, 110, 124; ns. 358, 942; cp. n. 1125
- bīja-ā., saṃkleśa-ā.: q.v.
- āśraya-nirodha, āśraya-parivarta cp. ns. 300 and 1330
- āśraya-parivṛtti (44), 81, 208; ns. 551, 554, 570, (580), 589, 590, 1337; see also pari-vṛt-, parivṛttāśraya
- āśraya-bhāva(-upagata, -sanniviṣṭa) 117<u>ff</u>, 146; ns. <u>811</u>, <u>816</u>, <u>817</u>
- āśraya-vijñapti 90, (92), (94 ff), (181), (196); ns. 630, 631a fn.3, 632

- āśraya-sanniviṣṭa 165(f); ns. 473(?), 480
- āśraya-spharaņa 216add.
- āśrayânugraha (44); n. 297
- āśrayopādātṛ 110, <u>114ff</u>, 122, 127, 131f, 145; ns. 327, 796
- āśrayôpādāna 23, (101); ns. 82, 709, 745, 751
- *āsaṃsārika-skandha 7; ns. 13, 68
- āsaṃjñika 36, 221f; n. 1232
- āsrava 75; ns. 374 (savipāka), 539, 542-545, 547
- āhāra 6, 70, 168; n. 504; cp. vijñāna (as (s)āhāra)

Ι

- iñjita ns. 532(b), 1405(A), 1425(B)
- itaretara n. 1143 (-pratyayatva); see ādhipatya
- indriya see indriya-rūpa, kāyêndriya, mana-indriya; cp.
 also adhiṣṭhāna, "(corporeal)
 matter".
- indriya-rūpa/rūpîndriya "material sense-faculties" (96), 174; ns. 521 (missing in ārūpyadhātu), 1125
 - (r.-)i.s unimpaired in nirodhasamāpatti 18ff; ns. 146, 174
- r.-i.s at rebirth/conception 127f; ns. <u>247</u>, 248, <u>348</u>, 1426 (C.c fn.8)

r.-i.s as vipāka(ja), (part of) ātmabhāva, etc. 53f, 60<u>ff;</u> ns. 371, 414, 425; cp. n. 751

r.-i.s appropriated by mind/ ālayavijñāna 51, 62f, 71, 92, 95; cp. 104ff; ns. 196, 358, 360, (437), 531, 654, 757, 870, 1477(G.c fn.8); cp. n. 751

r.-i.s based on, or arising (/not arising) from (Seeds in), mind/ālayavijñana 32, 61<u>ff</u>, 203; cp. <u>21</u>; ns. 437, 444, (445), 1383, 1483; cp. ns. 171, 172, 445.

r.-i.s cognized by ālayavijñāna (90), (92), 107f; cp. 104 ff; ns. 654, 729, 757, 763, 828

ālayavijñāna sticking or hiding in (/pervading / based on) the r.-i.s 18, 21f, 30, 32, 38, 51, 63, 180; ns. 146, 1392

r.-i.s containing bijas $\frac{21}{1}$; ns. $\frac{171}{172}$, 495

external objects arising under the influence of the r.-i.s 64; n. 449

r.-i.s and mind-only 32, 105, 107; ns. 628a fn.2, 729, 762 (r.-)i.s interpreted as bijas 106f; ns. 729, 761, 768

indriya-paribheda 222

indriya-mahābhūtôpādātṛ vijñānam 8, <u>70;</u> ns. 82, 190, 502

indriya-mātra n. 221

U

utpatti/anutpatti, utpāda/anutpāda(-kāraṇa) (sc. cittasya) 221f unmatta 221

upakleśa ns. 21, 1294

-upaga 112, 178; ns. 504, 788, 789, 1153

upagata 26, <u>120</u>; ns. 810, 815, 816

āśrayabhāva-u. 117<u>ff</u>, 146; ns. <u>811</u>, <u>8</u>16, <u>8</u>17

dausthulya-u. 67, 158; ns. 461, 467, 469, 602

(sarva-)bīja-u. 26, 53, 117, 119f; ns. 209, 811, 812, 817

upa-gam-, upagama(na) (120); ns. 745, 815, 816

upagrhīta, upagrahaņa ns. 196, 1477(A13b; G.b,c; H.b)

upacita 64, 123; ns. 436, 445, 448, 824

upacīyate ns. 328, 412 (vijñā-nam!)

upadhi n. 201; u.m kṛ-: ns. 1422(B.b), 1426(C.b); nir-upa-dhi-śeṣa, sôpadhi-śeṣa: see nirvāṇa

upapatti, upa-pad- 221 (sa-sa-māpatty-u.-ka); ns. 256 (u.-pratisandhi), 1477(A4: u.-pari-graha); (u.-bhava:) ns. 258, 1117

mind at the moment of u. (47), (131), (146); ns. 231-233, 259(b); cp. pratisandhi-citta, citta/vijñāna/ālayavi-jñāna/manovijñāna (at conception)

upalabdhi, upalambha, upalabhyate 85, 92; ns. 610, 628a fn.2, 630, 631a fn.1

upātta 23, 62, 195; ns. <u>196</u>, 275, 348, 352(?), 358, 360, 517, 770, 817(d)

upādātṛ 117, 121f; ns. <u>806,</u> 811, <u>817</u>; cp. āśrayopādātṛ, indriya-mahābhūtopādātṛ

u p ā d ā n a 9; ns. 513, 517 ("material")

unusual Tib. renderings ns. 647, 653+fn.1

active, loc./passive and causal sense of u. 72ff; ns. 513, 517, 653 fn.1

quasi-synonyms of u. ns. 391, 514, 532(b), 535, 962; cp. ālaya (and u.)

u. in the pratîtyasamutpāda
formula 6; cp. n. 1426(C.c,
d)

u. = (spiritually negative)
Clinging / what is clung to
23f, 71f, 74ff, 157, 169;
ns. 192, 196, 197, 341, 516,
532(b), 555+add., 962,
1405(A), 1426(C.c,d),
1477(D.c); cp. n. 1004. Cp.
sopādāna(m vijnānam)

u.= taking possession of [a new (basis of) personal existence] (5), 49f, 71ff, 76, 106; ns. 34, (247), 338, 344(?), (352(?)), (437), 555, 796, 1477(A11,12,13a,G.a)

u. = biological appropriation
5, 23f, 31, 38, (4of), (43f),
47, 49ff, (62f), 7of, 74,
82, 99, 101, 105, 129ff, 167
ff, (195); ns. (34), (38),
39, 189, 196, (281), 342,
344(?), 345, 348, (352(?)),
(358), (360), (437), 536,
580, 709, 796, 806, 817(d),
870, (1373), 1477(A16; D.c);
idealist interpretation: 107f
+ n. 770

u. = existential or ontological
 appropriation" 105f; n. 517

u. = receiving/retaining vāsanas or bījas <u>73f</u>; ns. 341, <u>527</u>, 528, <u>536</u>, 669 twofold u. (sādhiṣṭhāna-rūpîn-driya-u. and vāsanā-u.) 47, <u>71ff</u>, <u>104ff</u>; ns. 508, 512, 531, 745+746; cp. n. 517

twofold u. as the object of ālayavijñāna's cognitive function 9off, 95, 104, 106, 203; ns. 633, 637, 639, 653, 654, 664, 669, 737, 757, 1309

u. in ārūpyadhātu 72, 91f,95, 105; ns. 520, 521, 753

upādāna-bandha n. 1294

upādāna-vijñapti 203; cp. 90ff; ns. 632-634, 757, 1309; cp. ns. 654, 737

upādāna-skandhāḥ as duḥkha: (66); ns. 455, 458, 459, 461; as the object of Clinging to, or of the notion of, Ego / as (the object of) ālaya: 24, 157f; ns. 192, 204, 205, 915, 918-920, 1002, 1008

upādāya e.g. 91, 95, 174; ns. 508, 831, 1408

upādāyaka ns. 281, 527(?)

upaiti duḥkham: n. 1426(C.b); prapañc(it)am: 23o, 236; ns. 1425, 1426, 1474(A); saṃkhyām: n. 1425(C)

uṣman 6f, 19(f), (40f); ns. 58, 154, 165, 502

Ε

eka-yogaksema s. yogaksema

ekarasa-vijñaptitaḥ 91; n. 1308

evam 134, 211ff

0

ogha(/taranga) (51); n. 357

K

karman

k. = "moral act" 4f, 53, 57, 70, 75, 112, 134ff, 178, 203, 228; ns. 22, 51, 147(c,d), 374, 417, 448, 461, 504, 516, 536, 665 (k. and k.-nimitta), 672, 729, 788, 831, 974, 1153, 1405(H), 1408, 1433; cp. karma-vāsanā

punya, etc. 134ff; n. 875 sukha-vedanîya, etc. 134ff ākṣepaka and paripūraka cp. 136f; n. 882

sādhāraņa and asādhāraņa 203; n. 1302

k. responsible for the mutual influence of living beings n. 1303

k. = "function (of a vijñāna)" 124, 196; ns. 568, 630, 631a, 741, 1477(D.b)

karma-vāsanā (64), 70, (75), (112), (172), (174), (179); cp. 60; ns. 51, (52), 367, 419, (448), 516, (831), (1128); cp. ns. 147(c), 729 (k.-vāsita)

kalala (mer mer po, also nur nur po) 37, 127f, 215; ns. 238, 239, 241-244, 248, 250, 328, 817(c), 846, 864, 1130, 1369

kalpayati 228

kāma ns. 201, 203 (vatthu-k.), 455, 1062, 1405(C) (k.-tṛṣṇā), 1405(G) (k.-paryeṣaṇā, k.-vaiśeṣikatā) kāma-guṇa 165(f); ns. 203, 1295, 1405(D,G), 1432

kāma-dhātu 91; ns. 34, 193
(k.-pratisaṃyukta), 259(b),
646

k ā y a (lus, "body"); cp. also
deha, adhiṣṭhāna (of the mat.
sense-faculties), (corporeal)
matter

k./body as āśraya/ātmabhāva/ adhiṣṭhāna (23), (40); ns. 172, 187, (189), 555 fn.5 (but see add.!), 796; cp. ns. 275, 278, 281(end), 298; cp. ātmabhāva, āśraya

k. as containing bījas ns.171, 172, 219

k. and citta as containing dausthulya/praśrabdhi 67; ns. (131), 300, 466, 476, 623, 1330

k. taken possession of / appropriated / kept alive (by mind/ālayavijñāna) (23), (31), (50[?, cp. add.]); ns. (167), (189), 339, 348, 352[?, cp. add.], 796

mind/ālayavijñāna sticking in (/ pervading / merging into) k. 22, (38), (48), (180), 216, 218f; cp. 165; ns. (38), 140, 181, (315), (328), 1373

k. as the object of ālayavijñāna cp. 107; n. 140; cp. n. 763. Cp. āśraya-vijñapti, upādāna (as the object of ālayav.), upādāna-vijñapti

k.(?) of the Arhat ns. (555) + add., (1337)

savijnānakaḥ k.ḥ ns. 363, 371, 375, 796, 1293

sendriyaḥ k.ḥ ns. 171, 187; cp. n. 763 (śarīra), p. 127 (śukraśoṇitapiṇḍa) vijñānam kāyād (an)apakrāntam, etc.: see vijñāna

vijnana-kayah, dauşthulya-kaya: q.v.

kāya-vijñāna n. 280

kāya-vyāpana, kāya-spharaṇa (44add.), 216+add., (218f); ns. (38), (1373)

*kāyâlayanatā 22; n. 140; cp. ns. 181, 1373

kāyiko 'nubhavaḥ, kāye kāyānubhavaḥ (5), 44, 87, (181), 195; ns. (294), 295

kāyêndriya ("sense of touch") 37, 41; ns. 171, (172), 247, 248, 257 (vipāka), 280, 348, 847

kāraka 234

kāraṇa 222 (causes of (citta-)utpāda and anutpāda)

kuñjara 230

kuśala(, akuśala/kliṣṭa, avyākṛta) 39, 132ff, 238; ns.
(259(b)), (261), 262, 269,
875, 878, 934 (k. accompanied
by the notion of Ego)

kuśala-dharma(-bhāvanā) 77, 197, 21of; n. 1335

kuśala-mūla 78, 209, 211; ns. 563, 564, 566, 1344

kuśala-sāsrava ns. 47 (bijas of k.-s.), 544, 1477(A5).

kuśala-vāsanā n. 582

kriyā (activity and momentariness:) ns. <u>741</u>, 1035, 1394; cp. n. 221

kliṣṭa ("defiled") 167 (sopā-dāna-vijñāna), 169 (ālayavi-jñāna not k.): n. 933 (dhātrī-caila); ((mind at) pratisandhi or death:) 39; ns. 258, 259(b), 261, 266, (269)

k.m manas: s. manas I (k.m
manas = k.m cittam) and manas
III

k l e ś a ("Defilement") 4, (67), (70), 75, 112, (162), 179, (202), 206, 232, 240; ns. 21+add. (meaning of the term 'k.'), 22, 147(a), 374 (sa-vipāka), 461, 471 (k.-vā-sanā of the Arhat), 477, 490 (k.-saṃgrhītā vipariṇāma-duḥ-khatā), 504, 532(b), 536, 537, 539, 602 (k.s as duḥkha), 788, 831, 1059, 1242, 1294, 1326 (eradication of k.s), (1447), (1453), (1490), (1491)

ālayavijñāna and k.s 78, 83; ns. 568, 596, 935

k.s of manas 117, 123, <u>152;</u> ns. 492, 913, <u>943</u>, 954, <u>956,</u> 958; cp. 959 (saṃkleśa). Cp. asmimāna, manas, satkāyadṛṣṭi

kleśa-pakṣya (bīja:) 67; n. 481; cp. dauṣṭhulya

kleśa-bīja 69f; ns. 495, 499, 516, (587); cp. bīja.

kleśāvaraņa see āvaraņa

kṣaṇa-paramparā 215; n. 1369

kṣaṇa-mātra 230 (-anupaśamika), 236

kṣaṇikatva (146); $\underline{161}(\underline{f})$ (excluding an identical subject of a process or change); ns. (1449), (1450), (1453-1455), (1490), (1491)

k. ultimately excluding activity ns. 221, 741, 1035

kṣipta-citta 221

kşiprābhijña n. 601

G

gaṇḍa "ulcer" ns. 469, (1064), 1443

gati 5, 238 (+ n. 1484); ns. 14, 315, 665 fn.1 (g.-nimi-tta), 875

garbhāvasthā 59; n. 412; cp. kalala, vijñāna (in the embry-onic state)

grāhya/grāhaka 200; ns. 693, 1167

С

cakṣus (etc.) 64; 110, 114, 238; ns. 445, 446, 449 (cak-ṣurdhāto rūpa ādhipatyam), 729 (c.-vijñapti), 761, 1102; cp. also (rūpi-)indriya, ṣaḍ-āyatana

cakṣur-vijñāna, etc. ("(sense-) perception") (42f), (5of), (62), 64, 110, 114, 125(f); ns 445, 447, 610, 729, (c.-v.-vijñapti), 1392, (1477(D.b)); see also (pañca) vijñāna-kā-yāḥ

- ci-, cita 123; ns. 719(d),
 1433; cp. ācita, ācinoti, upacita
- c i t t a ("(state-of-)mind"; "consciousness"); in Sāṅkhya: 28f; cp. acittaka, sacittaka, vijñāna

- c. as the "subject" of samsāra/rebirth cp. 47 (sarvabījakam c.m); cp. ns. 1059, 1408
- c. as the object of the notion of Ego/Self (54)f; n. 386
- c. (and caittas) as (containing) bījas or receiving/retaining vāsanās 21, 62; ns. 131, 219, 442, 495 (kleśa-bījas), 1016, 1165; cp. sarvabījaka
- c. (and caittas) as containing dauṣṭhulya/praśrabdhi ns. 131, 495; cp. kāya (and citta)
- bijas of c. (and caittas) in corporeal matter $\underline{21}$, (32); ns. $\underline{171}$, $\underline{172}$
- "etymology" of c. 123, 226; cp. 230; ns. 436, 719(d), 824, 1433
- c. = ālayavijñāna: s. ālayavijñāna (I); = pravṛttivijñāna: n. 719(d)
- c. (and caittas) as vipāka(ja)
 5, 47, 54, 58(f); ns. 257,
 402; cp. n. 259
- c. and kāya: see kāya
- c. (and caittas) as principle
 of life / biological appropri ation (23); ns. 167, 184,
 196, 250
- c. at conception (58); cp.
 ns. 231, 4o2(1a,2a); see pratisandhi(-citta)
- c. at death (39), (58); n.
 402(1a,2a); see cyuti-c.,
 marana-c.
- c. (and caittas) in (and its/their re-emergence after) unsconscious states, esp. nirodhasamāpatti (4f), $\underline{19}$ (\underline{ff}); ns. 131, $\underline{153-158}$, 167, 171, 175, 200, $\underline{230}$, 708
- subtle c. ns. 154, <u>157</u>, <u>167</u>, 218, 231

c. pervading the body n. 315 c. fettered to nimittas 202; n. 1294 klistam c.m 162; n. 1447; cp. n. 402(1a); vaisamya-parigatam c.m 230 (a)bhrāntam c.m, (a)viparyastam c.m 221 contemplation of (contemplating) mind (itself) 200f; cp. 197; ns. 1276, 1282 lokottaram c.m ns. (37), 574, 575, 582 prakṛti-(pra)bhāsvaram c.m: c. as arūpy anidarśanam 55; n. 385 c., manas, vijñāna 46, 89, 117, $\underline{122ff}$, add. to 123f and 125ff, $\underline{126f}$, 149; ns. $\underline{820}$, 824, 905, 906, 943 c. and caittas 18f, (54), $\frac{85f}{153}$, 150, $\frac{152}{171}$; ns. 131, 146, 173, 156, 171, 172, 196, 200, 239, 495, 582, 611 causes of c.-utpāda/anutpāda <u> 222</u> citta-tușți, etc. (44); n. citta-bhrānty-abhrānti citta-mātra ns. 100, 221 citta-viksepa n. 1295 citta-viparyāsa 148; cp. 221; n. 1445

citta-saṃskārāḥ (97); n. 683

citta-santāna/-santati 111(ff),

(129), 179; ns. 442, 580, 582 (c.-caitta-s.), 955, 1165

citta-saṃprayuktā (dharmāḥ) n. 680; cp. caitasikāḥ citta-sthiti 197, 200f cetanā 86, 97, 101; ns. 680, 738 cestā n. 341 (= saṃskārāḥ) ceștita 230, 238 caitasikāḥ/caittāḥ (dharmāḥ) ("mental factors") (18)f, (54), $\underline{61}f$, $\underline{85}(\underline{f})$, $(\underline{97}f)$, 100ff, 104, 150, 152; ns. 131, 146, 153, 156, 171, 172, 196, 200, 239, 431, 495, 582, <u>611</u>, 615; cp. n. 680; see also citta, ālayav. (II) sarvatragāś c. 86, 97(f); cp. n. 680 cyu-, cyuta, cyuti ("death") ns. 259(b), 1426(C.b fn.6); cp. marana, death (state-of-)mind at or shortly before death $(\underline{36})$, $(\underline{39f})$, (146); ns. $231-\overline{233}$, $2\overline{35}$, 266, 269, (270), (274), (665), 828, (1405(E,H)); cp. ns. 259(b,c), 261, 265; cp. cyuti-citta, marana-citta mind withdrawing from the body in the process of dying (5), $(7), \underline{40}(\underline{f}), 195; \text{ ns. } 275,$ 278, <u>281</u> body growing cold in the process of dying 4of; ns. 278, 281 cyuti-citta ns. 235, 266, 269, 1447; cp. n. 259(b,c); cp. maraņa-citta Ch

chanda (asmîti ch.:) 152; n.
918
chanda-rāga 24; ns. 192, 197

J

jagatī (metre) 225

janana-hetu n. 570

janman 136; n. 879

jalpa-manaskāra n. <u>693</u>

jīvita (-indriya:) ns. 36, 555 fn.5; (-pratyaya:) n. 555

jñāna

animitta-j. cp. 202; n. 1326

tathatā-(ālambanaṃ) j.m (77), 181, 198, (200), 205(f)f, 210; ns. 1167, (1326); cp. n. 1323

duḥkhādi-j. n. 1326

nirvikalpam j.m cp. 202; ns. 696, 1297, (1326)

(tat)pṛṣṭhalabdham j.m ns. 1323, (1326)

lokottaram samyag-j.m ns. 1323, (1326)

jñeyâvaraṇa ns. 580, 1297, 1326

T

tattvārtha-sammoha n. 1438

tathatā <u>78f</u>, 98, 201, <u>204</u>, 206; ns. <u>570</u>, 691, 1323, 1337; see also (tathatā-)jñāna

Tathāgata 81; ns. 580, 586, 588, <u>606</u>

tathāgatagarbha 81

tathā (↔ evam) 134

tad-ākārôtpatti(-tā) ns. 741, 764 taranga(/ogha) (51); n. 357

tarkaņā 234

tarkayati 236 (ayoni**ś**as t.)

-ti (nominal suffix) n. 1477 (G.a fn.2)

tīrthika 236

tṛṣṇa/taṇhā 165f (āśraya-sanniviṣṭā); ns. 193, 202 (t.-vatthu, t.-ālaya), 532(b), 1062, 1405(A,B), 1425(B), 1440, 1477 (A17;D.b)

adhyātma-bahirdhā-t. ns. 1405 (F), 1418

t. associated with manas 117;
n. 943

t. with reference to aduḥkhāsukhā vedanā / saṃskāraduḥkhatā / ālayavijñāna 166; ns. 1064, 1421(end)

tṛṣṇā-vicarita n. 1425(B,D)

triştubh 225

D

*darśana (← nimitta) ns. 726, 729, 1303; cp. n. 719(d): drś

darśanamārga 181, 197, 205, 209; ns. 931, 932, 956 fn.1

darśana-heya etc. 147; cp. n. 932

d u h k h a ("Suffering")
ns. 147(a), 461, 488 (threefold: saṃyogajaṃ, viyogajaṃ,
sātatam), 1426(C.b: d.m upeti),
1440

- d. psychological: pain; painful, disagreeable 66, (88), 230; ns. (422), 425, 455, (1064), 1420, (1435), 1471, 1480, 1486(c); cp. duḥkha-duḥkhatā
- d. ontological: Suffering =unsatisfactoriness; (what is) unsatisfactory 66ff, 138, 158, 16of, 230, 236, 238, 240; ns. 147(d), 459, 461, 484, 488, 6o2, (1420), 1421, 1426(C.d), 1428, 1438, 1444-1446, 1471, 1478, 1479, 1486; cp. saṃskāra-duḥkhatā, duḥkha-satya
- d.(tā) constituted by dauṣṭhulya 66ff, 87, 158; cp. 206;
 ns. 147(d), 461, 469, 479,
 488, 602, 623; cp. dauṣṭhulya-duḥkha
- d. = ālaya (/ what is clung
 to (as Ego or Mine, by ordin ary people)) 55, 140, 158ff,
 164, 230; ns. 202, 388, 391,
 1428, 1444(A).
- d. realized (as d. by Āryas)
 159, 230; ns. 368, 488, 602,
 1428; cp. n. 1326 (duḥkhādijñāna)
- ātmabhāva(/āśraya/upādānaskan-dhas, etc.) as d. <u>66f</u>, 158ff, 163; ns. 368, 458, <u>459</u>, 461, 469, (1420), (1421), <u>1428</u>, 1435, 1444(A,B), 1468
- ālayavijñāna as d.: s. ālayavijñāna
- duḥkha-duḥkhatā ns. 459, 461, 489, 490, 1471, 1472, 1480
- duḥkha-satya 6, <u>68</u>, 75, 160, 163, <u>206</u>; ns. 548, 1031; cp. n. <u>455</u>
- duḥkhatā 206, 236, 238; ns.
 459, 461, 489, 490, 1471, 1472,
 1478, 1480; cp. duḥkha-, vipariṇāma- and saṃskāra-duḥkhatā

- duḥkhita 230, 232, 240; n. 1444
- duḥkhin 232; ns. 1444(C), 1445, 1486
- duḥsthitatā ns. 470, 602
- duspariccheda 93; ns. 634,
- dūrānugata, -anupraviṣṭa 165; n. 1059
- dṛś, dṛśya n. 719(d); cp. *darśana
- dṛṣṭa-pada n. 956
- dṛṣṭi 228, 232, 234, 240; ns. 193, 1019, 1405(A), 1425(B), 1444(B), 1446
- dṛṣṭi-viparyāsa 148, 234
 (ātma-); n. 1445
- deśa-nirūpaņā n. 1459
- deha ("body") ns. <u>763</u>, 796, 1477(A15); cp. kāya!
- d. in the process of dying
 (41); n. 281
- d., pratiṣṭhā, bhoga 102;
 ns. 631a fn.2, 720, 722, 755,
 758; cp. n. 763
- d., pada, artha ns. 689, 758, 759
- d. as the object or content
 of ālayavijñāna 1o2, (1o7);
 ns. 72o, 763
- d a u s t h u l y a 6, 8f [+
 n. 75], 9, 44, 66ff, 75f, 78,
 81, 83f, 87f, 158, 205ff; ns.
 47, 147(d), 300, 461-482, 488490, 495, 555, 570, 590, 601,
 602, 605, 606, 623, 624, 1337,
 1429, 1478
- nature of d. $\underline{66}$; ns, $\underline{463-467}$, $\underline{470}$

d. and bfjas/vāsanās <u>67</u>, 76, 78, 158, 206f; ns. 47, 470-472, <u>480-482</u>, 553, 570

d. as kleśa-bijas etc. 67,69, 81, 83f, 206; ns. 131,481, 482, 495

support/container of d. <u>67</u>, 88, 158, 166; cp. 44; ns. 131, 300, 362, 469, 471, <u>473-476</u>, 480, 555, 590, 602, 1064, 1330, 1337

d. and ālayavijñāna: see ālayav.

d. constituting (samskāra)duḥkha(tā): s. duḥkha

different kinds of d. ns. 462-466; 472 (daurmanasyendriya-pakṣyaṃ d.m)

kleśa-(pakṣyaṃ) d.m, etc. 69, (83)f; cp. 67, 75; ns. 462, 471, 473, 474, 480, 489, 602; cp. ns. 477, 545 (āsrava-pakṣyaṃ d.m), 1478

vipāka-(pakṣyaṃ) d.m <u>84</u>; cp. 67; ns. 605, 606

satkāyadṛṣṭi-pakṣyaṃ (/satkāyadṛṣṭi as) d.m ns. 470, 476, 920

sarvatragam d.m 76, 78; ns. 47, 461, 553

removal of d. 44, 181, 206<u>f</u>; ns. 300, 1167, 1327, 1337; cp. āśrayaparivṛtti

d. in Arhats, etc. 81, 84; ns. 555+add., 601, 602, 605, 606, 1337

dauṣṭhulya-kāya 207; ns. 47, 466, 689, 719(a), 1167, 1327, 1330

dausthulya-duḥkha cp. 87f; ns. 461, 465, 466, 623, 624; cp. n. 488

dausthulya-bandhana 198, 201; n. 1326

dausthulya-sahagata, d.ânugata, d.ôpagata: see sahagata etc.

dauṣṭhulyâśraya ns. 47, 365, 1327, 1330; cp. ns. 300, 473

dravate/drāvayati n. 1327

dvaya-prakhyāna-kāraņa 99; ns. 691, 693

Dh

dharma see bīja (ālayav. containing b.s of all dh.s); dharmas = pravṛttivijñānas, etc.:) n. 453

dharma-grāha (202); n. 1297

dharma-caryā 160, 230, 238

dharma-dhātu ("True Essence of dharmas", "True Reality" [≡ tathatā]) 204, 206

dharmadhātu-niṣyanda 80; ns. 578, 579, 582

dharmadhātu-prativedha, dh.m prati-vidh- (77), (80), 197, (204ff); ns. 577, 1297

dharmadhātu-viśuddhi n. 1477 (G.a fn.2)

dharma-nairātmya 191ff, 204; ns. 221, 532(a), 1323

dhātu 5, 64, 126, 191, 209[+ n. 1345], 238; ns. 34, 449, 450; cp. also kāma-, rūpa-, ārūpya-dh.

dhyāna 44+<u>add</u>., 134f; n. 300

N

naḍakalāpikā "reed bunch" (170), (175), (215); ns. 1075, 1086, (1132)

nāman 105f, 171, 173ff, 215; ns. 221 (n.-mātra), 751, 753, 1096, 1114, 1115, 1124, 1125, 1142, 1145; cp. nimitta

nāma-rūpa

def./concretization of n.-r. 37(+add.), 171, 173, 175, 218f; ns. 238, 244, 247, 248, 328, 372, 1096, 1110, 1114, 1115, 1122, 1130; cp. ns. 512, 1383

n.-r. at the beginning of a [new] existence 37; ns. 51, 238, 240, 247, 248, 328, 1477 (A6-9;C;F)

n.-r. and bijas, etc. 178, 180; ns. 147(b), 208, 209, 476 (dausthulya), (1014), 1155, 1156

n.-r.(-bīja) and ṣaḍ-āyatana ns. 208, 209, 794, 1085, 1115, (1156)

n.-r. and vijñāna: see vijñāna!

niḥsvabhāvatā n. 132

nikāya-sabhāga(-tā) ns. 36, 879, 1477(A6,8a;E.a); (n.-parigraha:) ns. 879, 1477(A9)

nitya (ātman:) (191); ns. 221, 1212-1215; (āśraya-parivṛtti:) n. 1337; (manas:) n. 943(?)

nityam, nityakālam 149; cp. 166; ns. 943, 944, 946, 954, 958, 1447

nitya-samjñā n. 1414

nimitta 201f; ns. (511), 769, 1296

"characteristic feature", etc. 202; ns. 665 (kamma-n., ga-ti-n.), 1293, 1295

"phenomenon; objective/objectified (mental) image" (103), (107), <u>201f</u>; ns. 132 (n., nāman, vikalpa), 221, (<u>511</u>), 646, 717, 726, 729, 755 (n.-vikalpa), <u>769</u>

viṣaya-n. 202; n. 1294; cp. n. 1296

 $n. = \bar{a}lambana$ ns. 717, 759

nimitta-nāma-vikalpa-vyavahāra-prapañca-vāsanā 71; ns. 435, 510, 532(a); cp. prapañca-vāsanā

nimitta-bandhana 198, $201(\underline{f})$; n. 1326

nirīha n. 221

niruddha 222 (ālayavijnāna)

nirupadhiśesa see nirvāņa

nirūpaņā n. 1459 (deśa-)

nirodha 222 (as a cause of (cittasya) anutpāda)

n i r o d h a - s a m ā p a t t i 101 [+ ns. 705, 706] (occurrences of n.-s. in MSg/AS); n. 322 (occurrences in Y)

n.-s. lacking citta (and caittas) 19, 173, 221f; cp. 42f, 86, 112; ns. 131, 153, 156, 200; lacking even bhavanga-viñnāṇa: n. 161; lacking pravṛtti- and ālayavijnāna: 81 + n. 588 (Arhats, etc.!)

n.-s. lacking pravṛtti-vijñāna (only) (18ff), 83, 222; ns. 131, 1232

re-emergence of (conscious) mind after n.-s. 4, 18, 21; ns. 16, 146, 151, 171

(continuance of life (/Sūtra wording, etc.) requiring) presence of (subtle) mind in n.-s. (5)f, 18ff, 28, 35, 81(f), 85, 171, 173, 175, 182; cp. 195; ns. 40, 57, 58, 146, 151, 154, 157, 167, 175, 218, 227, 1136, 1137

manovijñāna in n.-s. 19, 101, 104; ns. <u>157</u>, 158, 707, 708

ālayavijñāna in n.-s. (4-6), 13, <u>18ff</u>, 47 (+ n. 322), 82, 83, 85, <u>101f</u>, 137, 167f, <u>222</u>; cp. 182, 195; ns. 16, 57, <u>131</u>, <u>146</u>, <u>227</u>, (709), 710, 1232

cognitive function and caittas of (ālaya)vijñāna conflicting with n.-s. 86, 92f, 97f, 101f, 104; ns. 157, 707; cp. n. 158

mutual dependence of nāmarūpa and vijñāna in n.-s. 171, 175f; ns. 1136, 1142

n.-s. in ārūpya-dhātu? ns. 131, 161

n.-s. of Arhats (etc.) and alayavijñāna 81f, 83(f); cp. 205; n. 588

Arhat entering nirupadhiśeṣanirvāṇa from (/ dying in the state of) n.-s. 39, 83; n. 600

n.-s. as an anticipation of (/ as similar to) (nirupadhi-śeṣa-)nirvāṇa 20, 24; ns. 161, 200

n.-s. and (kliṣṭaṃ) manas ns. 220, 657, 1232

n.-s. (/ ālayavijñāna's specific connection with n.-s.) (largely) ignored (/ lost sight of) in Saṃdh V: 47; n. 657; in Proof P. (proof v): 92f; in Pravṛtti P.: 97; n. 657; in Sacittikabhūmivin.: n. 657; in the "Maitreya texts": 99; n. 696

nirdhārita n. 930

-nirbhāsa 107, 203; ns. 689, 763

nirmāņa n. 555

nirmoksa 232, 240

nirvartana, nirvartayati 236 (duḥkham); n. 544 (punarbha-va-)

nirvāṇa cp. ns. 591 (pari-n.), 1028 (no "subject" entering n.)

apratișthita-n. n. 606

sopadhiśeṣa-n.(-dhātu) ns. 605, 606, 1074; cp. n. 591

nirupadhiśeṣa-n.(-dhātu)/anupādisesa-nibbāna ("N. where no Possessions remain", "N.after-Death", "final N.") (203), 222; ns. 130, 606; nirup.-n. and nirodha-samāpatti: see nirodha-s.; cessation of vijñāna (incl. ālayavijñāna) in nirup.-n. (20), 36, 81ff, 154, 222; ns. 588, 600; cp. n. 164

nirvikalpa see jñāna

nirvedhabhāgīya 78, 209; ns. 546, 563, 564

niviṣṭa/niviṭṭha 120, 163; ns. 1422(A), 1432

nivṛtâvyākṛta 154; n. 266

nivṛtti ns. 14, 1107, 1363

niveśana(m kr-) 55, 138ff, 162ff, 230, 236; ns. 892, 1056, 1422, 1426, 1473, 1474, 1477 (D.b;I)

niścesta ns. 221, 1394

niṣyanda n. 448 (-vāsanā); see dharmadhātu-n.

nītārtha/neyārtha n. 114

nairātmya ("selflessness", "essencelessness") (190)ff, (202), 204; ns. 221, 1213, 1226, 1323; cp. dharma-n., pudgala-n. naiva-samjñā-nâsamjñâyatana 91; n. 37

naisargika ns. 930, 1421 fn.2

P

panka 23o

pañca-vijñāna-saṃprayuktā bhūmiḥ 221

pada ("key term":) n. 132; (= pratiṣṭhā, bhājanaloka:) 97; ns. 631a fn.1 (p.-nirbhāsa), 689 (p.-artha-deha-nirbhāsa), 758 (p.-ābhāsa), 759 (id., p.-vijñapti)

parataḥ/pareṇa 215f; ns. 1139, 1140, 1363

paramārtha(tas) 161, 221f, 234; ns. 221, 1032

parāvṛtti ns. 759, 762, 1297 (of manas)

parikalpa 19o, 232, 24o; ns.
1445 (samjñā-p.), 1446; cp.
abhūta-p., mithyā-p.

parikalpita

p.ā ātmadṛṣṭiḥ/satkāyadṛṣṭiḥ,
etc. 148, 236; ns. 930, 932,
(952), 1462

p.m laksanam n. 1227

p.ḥ svabhāvaḥ 192, 204; ns. 532(a), 1223, 1311; p.-sv.-abhiniveśa(-vāsanā) see abhiniveśa

pari-klid- n. 250

parigata 230; n. 1431

parigraha

p. = "possession(s) / taking
possession" ns. 201, 1426
(C.b), 1477(A15)

phala-p. q.v.

p. = "taking possession [of a new (basis-of-)personal-existence]" 49, 60, 73; 106; ns. 337, 417, 879, 1127, 1422 (B.b: p.m kr-); cp. n. 1408; p.to 'vyākṛtam (/kuśalam): n. 1477(A4); p.to hetu-pratyayaḥ: n. 1477(A5); cp. p.-hetu; upapatti-(āyatana-)p.: ns. 337, 1477(A4); see alsoātmabhāva-p., nikāyasabhā-ga-p., vipāka(phala)-p.

p. = "containing [bījas] /
receiving [vāsanās]" 73;
cp. bīja-p.

parigraha-hetu n. 1477(A9)

-parigrhîta (18), 26, 30, 74; ns. 146, 147, 208, 563(?), 1130, 1154-1156

parijñā(na), parijānāti 238, 240; ns. 1438, 1444; cp. bandha-p., mokṣa-p.

paripūrayati 136; n. 882

-paribhāvita ns. 147(a), 450, 693, 729, 1154

pari-vrt-

āśrayaḥ parivartate/parivṛttaḥ etc. 198; n. 300, 475, 591; cp. āśrayaparivṛtti, parāvṛtti

parivṛttâśraya (44); ns. 298, 591

parītta, mahadgata, apramāṇa 91(f); n. 646

paryeṣaṇā ns. 1405(G), 1426(C.c) piṇḍa-grāha 228, 236; n. <u>1414</u> (p.-g.-vikalpa)

piṇḍa-samjñā n. 1414

puṇya (etc.) 134ff; ns. 875, 878, 1438

puṇya-bhāgīya ns. <u>563</u>, 564, 1344

pudgala-nairātmya 161, 191, 193, 204; ns. 221, 1032, 1226, 1323; cp. n. 1227; cp. ātman (as non-existent)

punarbhava ("rebirth") (70); ns. 338-340, 499, 504, (537), 544, 555, 1154, 1425(D fn.3), 1438; cp. ns. 1426, 1446

 $p\bar{u}t\bar{i}-kr-(?)$ n. 587

pūtī-bhū- n. 1477(A16)

pūrvam 230, 232, 240 (p. eva); ns. 1421, 1426(C.c), 1473

pūrvâbhyāsa 228, 236; cp. ns. 932, 1416

pṛthag-jana 150(ff), 197; ns. 955, 1428. Cp. bāla

pṛthivī (as object/content of ālayavijñāna) ns. 758 (mahā-p.), 759

pṛṣṭha-labdha see jñāna

prajñapti(tas) 221; ns. 221, 947

prakṛti-(pra)bhāsvara(m cittam) 160, 162, 232; n. (1456)

prakṛti-bhraṣṭa 221

prakṛti-stha 58; ns. 402(1a), 403

pratipakṣa n. 1337 fn.1, 1374

pratipad (sukhā kṣiprābhijñā) n. 601

pratibimba (51); n. 357

pratibhāsa <u>107</u>, 203; ns. 628a fn.2, 631a fn.1, 724, 755, 761, 763, 769, 1305

prativijñapti 110, 125; ns. 610, 824, 1154

prati-vidh-, prativedha 197, 208ff; ns. 577, 956 (*supratividdha), 1322, 1323, 1336; cp. dharmadhātu-p.

pratiṣṭhā (= bhājanaloka, (mahā-)pṛṭhivī:) 97, 1o2(f); ns. 631a fns.1,2, 72o, 722, 755, 758, 759, 763; (= ālayavijñāna:) n. 758

p.m labh- (subj.: vijñāna)
 (112), (174); ns. 788, 1120,
 1121

pratișthā-hetu ns. 570, 1337

pratiṣṭhāya 51; ns. 1102, 1125

pratișthita (sc. vijñāna) 87, 127; n. 412

pratisaṃyukta n. 193 (kāmadhātu-p., etc.)

pratisandhi ("Linking up", less precisely: "conception", "rebirth") 5(ff), 36(ff), (47f)f, 58, 112, 118, 127ff, 139, 163, 174, 177(f)f; ns. 161, (231), 232, 240, 247, 253, 256 (upapatti- and antarabhava-p.), 348, 374, 402(1a, 2a), (413), 517, 519, 717, 788, 1123, 1125, (1145), 1369, 1477(A8a,10,12,13b; D.a;G); cp. upapatti, ātmabhāva-parigraha, punarbhava, upādāna "taking possession"

pratisandhi-kṣaṇa ns. 256-258

pratisandhi-citta/-(phala-)vijñāna 38, 130, 172, 174f, 177; ns. 235, 257, 259, 374, (401(2a)), 1117, 1126-1128, 1130, 1153; cp. citta, vijñāna, ālayavijñāna, manovijñāna: at conception

pratītya-samutpāda 6ff; 17o, 173; n. 161; cp. ns. 51, 52; cp. vijñāna (and p.), nāmarūpa, ṣaḍāyatana

10-membered p.: see vijñāna
 (and nāmarūpa: mutual dependence)

āvasthikaḥ p. n. 1110 kṣaṇikaḥ p. ns. 1110, 1114

pratyakṣa 207; ns. 1167, 1421 (p.-saṃmoha)

pratyaya-vijñāna 99; ns. 689, 693, 719(b)

pratyātma-vedanīya(tva) 53, 228, 234

pratyudāvartate see vijñānāt p. mānasam

Pratyeka-buddha 81, 204; ns. 586, 588, 1323

pradīpa n. (677); (p.-jvālā:) (91), (95f); ns. (634), 639; (p.sya ābhā:) n. 678

prapañca 71f, 157 (quasi-synonyms), 198; ns. 391 (quosyn.s), 510, 514 (quosyn.s), 532(b) (quosyn.s, def.), 729, 831 (= bijas), 1004, 1242, 1243, 1405 [nuances of the concept: A; subjective and objective meaning: B; objective meaning: kāmaguṇas, bhavas saṃsāra, ātmabhāva, (defiled) 5 skandhas/satkāya: D ff; p. = ahaṃkāra: I], 1408, 1425 [p. = saṃsāra, bhava: A,B; p.

= diversified world, nimittāni: B; objective and subjective meaning of p.: B; pavaṃc' uvei / p.m upaiti: A,C], 1426 (C.b,c)

prapañca-(abhi)rati(/ta), p.ārāma 53, (57), 110, 228; ns. 374, 515, (794), 831, 1004, 1405, 1408, 1426(C.c), 1477 (D.b)

prapañca-vāsanā <u>71ff</u>, 92, (94); ns. 435, 517, 532, 536, <u>537</u>, 654, 831; cp. n. 521; cp. ālso vāsanā(-upādāna, etc.)

prapañcita(m upaiti) 138, 230, 236; ns. 532(b), <u>1425</u>, <u>1426</u>, 1474(A)

-prabhāvita ns. 489, 577, 709, 1323

prayoga-mārga 209

prarūpaņā n. 1459

pralaya 28; n. 214

*pralayana, *praliyana n. 181

pravartate ns. 281, 422, 1307

pravṛtti 173; ns. 14, 15, 221, 566 (*p.-vairodhika), 859, 1107, 1125, 1309, 1408; kleśa-p.: 78; n. 568; mārga-(a)p.: 78; ns. 568, 570, 1337; yuga-pat p.: see yugapad

pravṛtti-vijñāna 129(f); ns. 615, 693, 859

literal meaning and origin of the term 22, 28f; n. 281

occurrence/non-occurrence of the term (Basic Section:) 143; ns. 354, 893; (Samdh:) n. 354; (Proof P.:) n. 308

manas as a p.-v. ns. 357, 900, 1232

simultaneity of p.-v.s with ālayavijñāna: $\underline{46}$; n. 355; with each other: see vijñāna (simultaneity)

p.-v.s non-continuous / interrupted in unconscious states
45, 81, 83, 222; cp. 18; ns.
131, 588, 600

preceding p.-v. not bija of the following one cp. 77, 111, 133, 195; n. 17

p.-v.s (re)arising from (bījas in) ālayavijñāna 18, 21, 26, 30, 32, 62, 64; cp. 205; ns. 16, 146, 356, 438, 444; cp. n. 568

p.-v.s generating bījas/vā-sanās in ālayavijñāna 60; ns. 356, 418

p.-v.s having \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} \bar{a} na as \bar{a} $\sin (51)$; ns. 356-358

mutual dependence of p.-v. and ālayavijñāna ns. 580, 1143

p.-v. included in nāman 105, 215

p.-v. associated with vedanā
44; ns. 297, 422, 423

p.-v. in the mind-only system
1o3; ns. 719(d), 726, 769;
cp. n. 453

defiled and pure p.-v.s n. 568

p.-v. as an object of contemplation 197, 200f

p.-v. in Arhats, etc. 81, 83, 205; ns. 586, 588, 600

praveśa 197; n. 1336

praveśa-prativedha-bhāvanā-manaskāra 208ff

praśrabdhi 44+<u>add.</u>, 87(f); ns. <u>47</u>, 131, 300, 466, 471, 1330 prahāṇa 165 (a-p.-dharmiṇī), 222 (as a cause of cittânutpāda); n. 479

pra-hā-, prahīṇa (ālayav.:) 198, n. 1337; (kleśas of manas a-p.:) n. 956

prīti(-sukha) (in dhyāna:) 44; ns. 297, 300, 602

Ph

phala 234 (of ātmadṛṣṭi)

phala-parigraha n. 1477(D.b);
s. vipāka-ph.-p.

phena-piṇḍa (191f); n. 1222

В

bandha (abhiniveśa-b., upādā-na-b., vijñapti-b.:) 202; n. 1294

bandha-parijñā 238, 240; n. 1444(C)

bandhana (nimitta- and dausthulya-b.:) 198, 201f; n. 1326

bahirdhā/bahis ("outside")
90, (93), (96), 198, 228 (bahiḥ snehaḥ); ns. 633, 637,
639, 664, 677, 678, 757, 1293,
1295, 1405(F) (bahirdhā-tṛṣṇā),
1418 (id.), 1421 (b. ajñānam)

bāla 226, 228, 230, 234

bāliśa 23o

bāhya ("external") (126) (dharmas); ns. 442 (matter), 449 (b.-viṣaya), 769 (b.m āyatanam), 770 (artha), 1421 (saṃskārāḥ)

- bīja ("Seed") 4f, 8f [+ n. 74], 41 (no b.s. in Therav.), 175f + n. 1135 (rūpa-b.); ns. (22), 30+add., 82, 401 (b.m vipāka-samgṛhītam), 775
 - quasi-synonyms of 'b.' 55, 157f; ns. 388, 391, 831, 1008
 - b.s no separate entities 55, 158; ns. 1015, 1016
 - "containing" or "being" b.(s) of something 55, 111, 119, 158; cp. 27, 100; ns. 428, 580, 703, 775, 1014
 - b.s as a layer on its own 102; n. 689; cp. ns. 288, 1008
 - b.s hypostatized (into ālayavijñāna) <u>21</u>, 30, 42f, 45, 54f, 63, 85; n. 428
- different kinds of b.s 64, 67; ns. 445, 448, 481; b.s of kleśas, etc.: 67, 69f, 139, 228, 236; ns. 131, 481, 482, 495, 499, (516), (587), (1014); vipāka-pakṣyaṃ (/vaipākyaṃ) b.m: 64, 67; ns. 445, 481
- b. and vāsanā 78f(f); ns. 22, 570, 582, 719(d), 784, 831; (parikalpita-svabhāvâ-bhiniveśa-vāsanā:) 76; ns. 532(a), 553
- b. and dausthulya/praśrabdhi 67, 76, 81, 83f, 158, (206); ns. 47, 131, 470-472, 480-482, 553, 570; cp. dausthulya
- b.s (i.e. ātmabhāva) as the object of the notion of Ego 24, 55
- b.s as the object of manovij \tilde{n} ana 54; ns. 382, 828
- b.s as the object of ālayavivijñāna 94, <u>107</u>; cp. 9off (vāsanā); ns. <u>532(a)</u>, <u>717</u>, 765, 828

- b.s and āśrayaparivṛtti/Arhatship (205); n. 591; cp. n. 719(a)
- samskrta / (good, bad and neutral) dharmas as (/containing) b.s ns. 131, 471, 1016
- āśraya/ātmabhāva/ṣaḍāyatana as (/containing) b.(s) 43, 48, 53, <u>55</u>, 67, 94, <u>111ff</u>, (129), 151f, <u>158</u>f, 166; ns. <u>172</u>, 208, 377, 831, 853, 1008, <u>1014</u>, 1408
- sense-faculties reduced to b.s $106\underline{f}$; ns. 729, 761, 768
- vipāka as (/containing) b.s
 n. 147(d); see (sarvabījako)
 vipākaḥ
- corporeal matter containing b.s of mind 85; n. 172
- corporeal matter and mind as (/containing) b.s of mind (and mentals) 32, 42f, 68; ns. 171, 219; (of kleśas:) ns. 131, 495
- corporeal matter and mind as (/containing) b.s of each other 21, 58, 176; cp. $32\underline{f}$, 63f; ns. 131, 171add., $\underline{172}$
- b.s containing further b.s <u>178</u>; ns. 208, 209, 1155, 1156
- mind compared with a b. 69; n. 498
- mind (and mentals) as (/containing) b.s 37, 42, 111ff, 129, 167, 178ff; ns. 78, 147 (b,c), 831, 1014, 1016, 1156, 1165; cp. also sarvabījakam vijnānam
 - of mind (and mentals) 42, 48, 178; ns. 428, 1154; preceding (pravrtti)vijñāna n o t b. of the following (contrary) one: 77, 111, (133), 195; n. 17
 - of kleśas, etc. <u>69f</u>; n. 499, 516

of matter 62ff, 178, 180; ns. 428, 441, 442, 1156 of bodhi 77; ns. 428, 558

ālayavijñāna/ādānavijñāna as (/containing) b.(s) 30, 41ff, 48, 62ff, 73, 100ff+add., 110f, 1i7, 119f, 123ff, 127f, 131ff, 145; ns. 140, 147(b), 428, 440, 527, 532(a), 548,

428, 440, 527, 532(a), 548, 580, 717, 719-721, 755, 768, 811, 824, 1016, 1433; cp. n. 437

of ordinary (/pravrtti-) vijñānas (and mentals) <u>18</u>, <u>26</u>, <u>30</u>, 32, 37, 42f, <u>62</u>, 68, <u>110</u>, 124, <u>132f</u>, <u>195</u>;

ns. 17, 146, 159, 356, 438, 439 of its own future reproduc-

of its own future reproduction $\underline{60}$; cp. 125; n. 418; cp. n. $\underline{831}$

of kleśas, etc. <u>69f</u>, 151, (198), 205f; ns. 492, 954; cp. n. 548

of matter 61, 63ff; cp. 180, 203; n. 436(?)

of all dharmas <u>64f</u>; ns. 131, 138, 139, (450), 452, 527

ālayavijñāna and the b.s of mokṣa- and nirvedha-bhāgīyas 78ff, 209, 212; n. 563

ālayavijñāna and the b.s of lokottara-dharmas <u>77ff</u>; ns. 570, 572, 574, 582

tathatā as b. 78f; n. 570

bija-parigraha ns. <u>147(b)</u>, 418

bīja-bhāva, bīja-bhūta(m vijñānam, etc.) ns. 76, 147(c), 1014, 1154-1156

bīja-santāna 43, (45); ns. 1008, 1165

bīja-sthāna n. 472

bījânugata see anugata

bījāśraya 42f, <u>110</u>, 114f, 124 ff, 129, 179, 195; ns. 172(?), 775, 794, 831

bījôpagata see upagata

*bijôpādāyaka n. 527

Buddha 80, 165, 204; ns. 130, 576; cp. Tathāgata

buddhi(-vṛtti) 28f

bodhi ("'Enlightenment'" [more precisely: Awaking]; "liberating insight") 80; ns. 576, (606); (bījas of b.:) 77; ns. 428, 558

Bodhisattva 197, 204; ns. 1297, 1323, 1477(A1-3; D.b)

avaivartiko B.ḥ (has eliminated ālayavijñāna) 81, 204; ns. 586, 588

B. = the historical Buddha
before bodhi 176, 214, 218f;
n. 1362a

Bh

bhava 6; ns. 52, 203, 1405(C: bh.-tṛṣṇā; D,G), 1425(B), 1426 (B: bh.-dṛṣṭi), 1428

bhavāngavijnāna/bhavanga(vinnāna) 7, 36, 41; ns. 68, 89, 161, 235, 282, 665 (object of bh. compared with that of ālayavijnāna)

bhaviṣyāmi (/ na bh.) iti 236; ns. 1405(I), 1425(D), 1426(B), 1476

bhājana-loka ("surrounding world") ns. 628a fn.2; (671) (no bh.-l. in ārūpya-dhātu)

ālaya = sthāna = bhājana(loka)? 94; n. 666 bh.-l. as arising from or differentiated by karman 203; ns. 672, 1302

bh.-l. as arising from ālayavijnāna 64, 203; n. 444

bh.-1. as object of (/ representation in) ālayavijñāna 6, 93f, (104f)f; ns. 634, 664, 744, 758, 759, 763; cp. bhājana-vijñapti

bhājana-vijñapti <u>89f(f)</u>, (94f)f, (1o2), (181), (196), 2o3; ns. 629, 630, 631a fns.1, 3, 633, <u>634</u>, 637, 654, (755), 757; cp. n. 744; cp. sthānavijňapti

bhāvanā 77f, 198, 208ff; n. 1336; cp. kuśala-dharma-bh.

bhāvanā-mārga 208ff; n. 1335

bhāvanā-prahātyavya/-heya 147f; ns. 930, 931

bhāskara 230; cp. n. 1441

bhī- 230; n. 1428

bhūmi 221f

bhoga 102; ns. 631a fns.1,2, 720, 722, 755, 758

bhrānta, bhrānti 221

M

maņi n. 677 (maņer ābhā)

manas

I. m. as an equivalent of citta/
vijñāna 122, (146), 149; ns.
820, 906, 1477(A10,C)

m. as an equivalent of manovijñāna 125f; n. 1477(D.b) kliṣṭaṃ m. = kliṣṭaṃ cittam $161\underline{f}$, $\underline{232}$, 240; ns. $\underline{1447}$, (1449), (1455), (1490), (1491)

śuddham m. 240

II. m. as samanantara-niruddham vijñānam / samanantara āśraya, etc. 110, 114, 117, 123ff, 146; ns. 943, 1102, 1297; cp. mana-indriya, (samanantara) āśrayaḥ

III. m. as a vijnāna on its own (/ 7th vijnāna, kliṣṭaṃ m.) 1, 83, 117, 123ff, 144ff, 181, 194; ns. 89 (Pa.), 102 (LAS), 631a, 900, 943, 1142, 1145, 1447

origin of m. and its original relation to ālayavijñāna 144f, 146ff, 160(f)f, 167, 169; ns. 395, 900, 966

choice of the term 'm.' $\frac{149}{151}$,

m. and the ahańkāra of Sāṅkhya 29, 149, 151

m. as a pravṛtti-vijñāna ns. 357, 900, 1232

continuity of m. (and its caittas) 1, 117, 149<u>ff</u>, 194; ns. 824, 943, 944, 946, 953, 954, 958, 959; cp. n. 236

m. as (manyanā in the sense of) /associated with the notion of 'I' (and 'Mine') (and asmimāna) 1, 90, 117, 123, 149ff, 194, 202; ns. 719(a), 824, 919, 920, 943, 944-946, 948, 953, 1297; cp. ns. 532 (a), 631a fn.3

m. as klista / associated with klesas 117, 147, 152; ns. 492, 913, 943, 954, 958, 959, 963

m. as nivṛtāvyākṛta 154

m. associated with dharmagrāha n. 1297; cp. n. 532(a)

- m. as saṃkleśāśraya / principle of Pollution 83, 152, 169; cp. 202; n. 830; cp. ns. 541, 1297, 1298
- m. as āśraya (/sahabhūr āśrayaḥ) of manovijñāna 124; cp. 202; ns. 358, 1297; cp. ns. 830, 1298
- m. based on ālayavijñāna 51;
 ns. 357, 358
- m. having ālayavijñāna as its object $150(\underline{f})$, 153; ns. 719 (a), 943, 945, 1297; cp. n. 953
- m. in unconscious states 194; ns. 220, 657, 1142, 1232
- m. in Śaikṣas 152; n. 956
- elimination/parāvṛtti of m. 83; ns. 595, 1297
- m. in the Basic Section of Y 117, 123ff, 161ff; ns. 132, 913, 943
- m. in VinSg 51, <u>83</u>, 90, <u>149f</u>, <u>194</u>, 196; ns. <u>357</u>, 358, 631a, 632, <u>657</u>, <u>830</u>, <u>944-946</u>, 953, 954, <u>956-959</u>, <u>1232</u>
- m. not mentioned 148 (ASBh 62,3ff); (in Samdh:) 196; ns. 395, 942; (in certain passages of VinSg:) 194; ns. 220, 657, 1232
- mana-indriya 145f, 174; n. 1125
- manas(i)kāra, manasi-kṛ- 86, 97, 197, 200, 208ff, 222 (m.vaikalya), 236; ns. 680, 738, 859, 947, 955, 1119, 1276, 1335, 1416, 1421

mano-bhūmi 221

mano-vijñāna 1, 29, 44, 110, 124ff, 162, 206; ns. 89 (bhavaṅga-v./ālayav. classified as m.-v.), 219, 905, 1447

- apperceptive, conceptualizing m.-v. 46; ns. 532(a), 953 (m.-v. associated with sahajā satkāya-dṛṣṭi), 1297
- m.-v. fettered to nimittas 202; n. 1298
- (specific) functions of m.-v. 124, 131, 146; ns. 230, 233, 828, 1477(A10;C;D.b)
- (specific) objects of m.-v. 54, 124f; ns. 382, 610, 828
- (faint) m.-v. at conception 36, 13of, 146; ns. 232, 233, 348, 717, 828, 11o2; cp.n. 1477(Alo;C;D.b)
- (faint) m.-v. at death 36, 40, 146; ns. (232), 233, 269, 274, 281, 828
- (faint) m.-v. in unconscious states (esp. nirodhasamāpatti) 19, 101, 104; ns. 157, 158, 707, 708; cp. n. 230
- m.-v. arising from (bīja in) ālayavijñāna 42f, 62, 110, 124
- āśraya(s) of m.-v. 42f, <u>51</u>, 110, <u>124f</u>, <u>202</u>; ns. 357, <u>358</u>, 830, <u>1124</u>, <u>1297</u>, <u>1298</u>
- manyanā <u>149f</u>; ns. 824, 943-946
- mama (iti) 150; ns. 221, 368, 371, 540, 824, 946, 948, 1426 (C.b fn.5), 1428, 1444(A)
- mama(m)kāra ns. 371, 946, 958, 1293
- mamāyita 228; ns. 193, 1426 (C.b)
- maraṇa n. 1426(C.c); cp. cyuti,
 "death"
 - (state-of-)mind/consciousness at or shortly before death (36), 39f; ns. 231, 259(b,c), 261, 265; cp. n. 1426(C.a)

mind withdrawing from the body in the process of dying (5), (7), (4of)

marana-citta ns. 259(b,c), 261, 265

marana-bhava ns. 262, 266

mala n. 477, 932

mahā-pṛthivī n. 758

mahā-bodhi n. 132 (fivefold)

mahā-bhūta 127(f); ns. 442, 846; cp. indriya-m.-bh.-

Mahāyāna 33, 46, 72, 80, 99, 102, 190ff, 201f, 204; ns. 114 (M.-pratisaṃyukta), 132, (key terms of M.), 532(a), 578

-mātra 234 (hetu-phala-m.);
n. 221 (indriya-m., viṣaya-m.,
citta-m., etc.); cp. vijñaptim.

māna 147; ns. 920, 1425(B); see asmīti, asmimāna, ātmamāna

māyā (vijñāna as m.-upama:) ns. 504, 1222

mārga (m.-apravṛtti:) 78; n.
658; (m.-pravṛtti:) ns. 570,
1337; (m.-satya:) n. 956

*mithyā-parikalpa 189f

middha ("sleep") (36), 222 (acittika-m.-avasthā); ns. (161), (1232); cp. ns. 167, 230, 282

mukta 232, 240; ns. 1452, (1453)

muktaka-sūtra n. 1401

mukti n. 1298; cp. moksa

mūrcchā ("swoon") (36), 222 (acittika-m.-avasthā); ns. (167), (230), (1232)

mūla-vikalpa n. 755

mūla-vijñāna 7; ns. 68, 315, 719(d)

mokṣa (16of), 236, 238; ns. 200, 1033, 1405(G); cp. nirmokṣa, mukti, vimukti; nirvāṇa; āśrayaparivṛtti

mokṣa-parijñā 238, 240; n. 1444(C)

mokṣa-bhāgīya 78, 209; ns. 563, 566

moha 230; n. 1421; cp. ātmamoha, saṃmoha

Y

yat tarhi n. 1492

yathāpi tad n. 1477(A4)

yadā tarhi 240; n. 1492

yugapad (vijñāna-)pravṛtti (45f), (195f); n. 31o; cp. sahabhāva, vijñāna (simulta-neity/non-s.)

yogakṣema n. 184; (anyonya-y.:) 37; n. <u>184</u>; (eka-y.(tā):) ns. 181, 196, 253, 745, 817(c); cp. a-y.

R

rajas n. 477

rūpa ns. 341 (= deśin), 1222 (phenapiṇḍopama)

personal (ādhyātmika) / appropriated (upātta) / sensitive (sacetana) / living corporeal r. (62); n. 196, 442; cp. kāya, deha, indriya-rūpa, adhiṣṭhāna (of the mat. sensefaculties), nāmarūpa; upādāna, upātta

external (bāhya) r. (62); n. 442; cp. artha, bhājanaloka, viṣaya

r. in ārūpyadhātu? see ārūpya-dh.

r. arising from (bījas in) mind/ālayavijñāna ($\underline{32f}$), ($\underline{61ff}$); cp. 2o3; ns. $\underline{442}$, ($\underline{444}$); cp. indriya-r., bīja

r.(-santati) containing bijas
(of mind) n. 219; cp. indriya-r., kāya, bija

r. as vipāka(ja) / not vipāka
 (59ff); cp. 53f + n. 384,
 and n. 406; cp. indriya-r.,
 ātmabhāva, ṣaḍāyatana

rūpyata iti rūpam ns. 1459, 1460

rūpa-dhātu 91; ns. 34, 131, 259(c), 875

rūpa-(pratibhāsā) vijñapti(ḥ) ns. 729, 761

rūpa-prasāda ("pellucid matter [of the sense-faculties]") ns. (131), 495

rūpaņā 234; n. 1459

rūpin ns. 1410, <u>1459</u>

rūpîndriya see indriya

L

lakṣaṇa n. 1227 (parikalpita, vikalpita, dharmatā-)

laya 28f

loka-bhājana ns. 634, 637

lokottara 77(f), (80); n. 582

1.m cittam/jñānam, 1.ā dharmāḥ (77ff); ns. (37), 575,
(58o), 1323, (1326); their
bīja(s) or vāsanā: 77ff; ns.
57o, 574, (575), 582; cp.
śruta-vāsanā; their continuance: n. 57o

1.o mārgaḥ (78); ns. (568), (570), (956)

1.m vyavadānam ns. (572),
710

V

vaśin, vaśitā 81; ns. 590, 591

vāyu 230; n. (1441)

vāsanā ("Impression"; "after-effect") <u>4;</u> n. 516 ('x' for 'x-v.')

different kinds of v.s 60; ns. 419, 448; cp. n. 417; cp. abhiniveśa(-v.), karma-v., kleśa(-v.), niṣyan-da(-v.), (°)prapañca-v., (°)vikalpa-v., śruta-v., satkāyadṛṣti-v.

v. and bīja 78ff; n. 57o (v.ācitaṃ bījam); see bīja

v. and dausthulya ns. 470,

v.s received and passed on by (sarvabījakaṃ) vijñānam cp. 178f

v.s received and supported by ālayavijñāna (1), <u>60</u>, 105; ns. 51, (52), (75), 147(a), 356, 436, 450, 536, 719(c), 746, 758, 818, 974, 1433, 1477(A13b;G.a); cp. ns. 57, 629, 719(d) (v.-bijas), 784

ālayavijñāna as the result(-of-Maturation) of (karmic) v.s 60; ns. 367, 417, 419 (karmaand grāhadvaya-v.)

(prapañca-/parikalpitasvabhāvābhiniveśa-)v.-upādāna 71ff, 90ff, 95; ns. 508, 517, 521, 654, 669; cp. ns. 528, 536, 653 fn.1; v. n o t object of the function of upādāna: p. 105 + ns. 752, 753

(prapañca-/parik.-sv.-abhin.-)v. as the object of ālayavijñāna's cognitive function
9off, 94f. 104, (106); n.
654; cp. n. 676; neg.: pp.
(102), 104f, (107)

v. of lokottaram cittam 80; n. 575; cp. śruta-v.

vāsanā-paripuṣṭi ns. 22, 470

vikalpa 71, 190, 201; ns. 132, 221, 510, 511, 540, 755, 770, 1297

vikalpa-vāsanā n. 517

vikalpita (v.m lakṣaṇam:) n. 1227; (v.ā satkāyadṛṣṭi:) 148; ns. 930, 1462

viksepa n. 1295

vicāra see vitarka, savitarka, avitarka

vijñapti ("making known; perception/cognition; representation, image") 85, 89, 97, 102, 104, 203; ns. 453, 610, 628a, 631a, 729 (cakṣur-, rū-pa-, cakṣurvijñāna-v.), 741, 755 (deha-...-pratibhāsā vijñā-ptayaḥ), 759 (pada-v.), 761 (rūpa-pratibhāsā v.), 1305, 1308, 1309; see also artha-v., aham iti v., ālambana-v., āśra-ya-v., upādāna-v., ekarasa-v., bhājana-v., viṣaya-v., sthāna-v.

vijnapti-tathatā n. 628a

vijñapti-bandha 202; n. 1294

vijñapti-mātra-tā ("mind-only", "'idealism'") (32)£, (57), (61), (65), 88£, 96£, 100+add., 199£, 203£; cp. 103, 105, 107£, 190 (AS); ns. 101 fn.1, 221, 222+add., 628a, 631a, (741); cp. ns. 729 (sense-faculties in the v. system), 762 (non-existence of matter), 764, 769 (idealist interpr. of object and bāhyam āyatanam), 770 (idealist interpr. of biological appropriation)

v. and ālayavijñāna: see ālayav.

v. (not) in Y (32), <u>199ff</u>, <u>203f</u>; ns. <u>221</u>, 224, 628a, 631a, (634), 1193

v. in Samdh <u>88f</u>, 96f, 200; ns. 625, 628a, 631a

v i j ñ ā n a ("mind") cp.
also ādāna-vijñāna, ālaya-vijñāna, (savijñānakaḥ) kāyaḥ,
citta, pratyaya-vijñāna, pratisandhi-(phala-)vijñāna, manovijñāna, sopādānaṃ vijñānam,
hetu-vijñāna

v. as central subject / not
subject 16o(f)

v. as the object of the notion of Ego/Self (54)f; n. 386

6 ordinary/traditional kinds of v. (1), 10, 19, (42f), (50), (60), 111, 117, 122ff, 130, (148f), 171f, 174, 178ff, (195); cp. 126 (ṣaḍ vijñāna-dhātavaḥ); ns. 159, 172, 415, 820, 905, 1102, 1125, 1145; cp. n. 1119; cp. cakṣurvijñā-na, manovijñāna, vijñānakāya

8 vijñānas <u>1</u>, <u>145f</u>; ns. 631a, 831, 900, 906; cp. ālayavijñāna, manas

- simultaneity/non-simultaneity of v.s 45f, 5of, (58), 149, 195f; ns. (302), 303, 310, 631a, 768, 944
- immediate succession of contrary v.s? 77, 111; n. 785
- v. as cause (32f), 37, 178; n. 1153; cp. hetu-v.
- v. as (/containing) bīja(s),
 bīja(s) of v.: see bīja
- v. as vipāka(ja) <u>38</u>, (59f), 129f; n. 255; cp. vipāka-v.
- v. as the principle of biologigical appropriation and life 5, 7, 20, 23, 35, 37f, 40, 44, 129f, 167f; ns. 189, (196), (227), 280, 1074; see also indriya-mahābhūtôpādātṛ v.
- āyus, uṣman and v. 6f, 19f; ns. 58, 102, 154, 1<u>65</u>
- v. at conception (/ merging with proto-embryonic matter / settling down in a new existence) 5ff, 36ff, 42, 47, 112, 127ff, 164, 172, 174f, 177ff; ns. 161, 196, 231-235, 238-244, 247, 374, 717, 788, 1054, 1055, 1102, 1137, 1145; cp. pratisandhi(-v.)
- v. in the embryonic state 87, 177, 179; ns. (328), 412; cp. n. 231
- v. at death 5, 7, 20, 36, <u>39ff</u>, 44; ns. 42, 165, 231-233, 275, 278, <u>280</u>, <u>281</u>; cp. cyuti(-citta), maraṇa-citta
- v. in (and its re-emergence after) unconscious states, esp. nirodhasamāpatti (4)-6, 18ff, 35, 47, 81, 85, 104, (145), 167f, 173, 175f; ns. 16, 40, 57, 58, 146, 151, 157, 161, 227, 230, 1136, 1137
- faintness/subtlety of v. 20, (36), 50, 172, 177, 179; cp. n. 231

- v. sticking in (/ hiding in / pervading) corporeal matter 5, 38, 40; n. 328; cp. ns. 280, 281, 315
- āśraya of v.: see āśraya, bījāśraya, saṃkleśāśraya
- v. and pratītyasamutpāda 6ff; n. (161)
 - v. and samskāras 6, 70; ns. 51+add., 57, 147(c), 1054, 1128, 1154
 - v. and nāmarūpa 37, 112;
 ns. 51, 147(b), 238, 247,
 512, 788, 1054, 1055, 1096,
 1110, 1114, 1120, 1121, 1128,
 1137, 1140, 1155, 1156, 1163;
 mutual dependence of v. and
 n.-r.: 6, 169ff, 214ff;
 ns. 811, 1075, 1110, 1117,
 1119, 1125+1127+1130+1131,
 1135, 1136, 1139, 1141-1143,
 1145, 1359, 1369; simultaneeity of v. and n.-r.: 174f;
 ns. 1110, (1116), 1128, 1142;
 cp. n. 1132
- v. as (s)āhāra 6, <u>70</u>, 168; n. 497
- v. as subject to (/ containing the Seeds of) (karman and) klesas and leading to rebirth 69f, 167f, 178; ns. 499, 504, 587, 1153; cp. sopādānam vijnānam
- Abhidharma def. of a v. (as cognition of (/ appearing as) an object and associated with caittas) 85f, 88, 103f, 117, 123; ns. 609, 610, 615, 741, 763-765, 824, 828, 905
- v. and vijñaptimātratā cp. 32f, 99; ns. 628a, 631a; cp. ns. 763, 764; cp. ālayavijñā-na (and vijñaptimātratā)
- v. and abhūtaparikalpa 99;
 n. 693; cp. n. 719(d)
- (cessation of) v. in nirupadhiśeṣa-nirvāṇa 20; n. 164

v. as ādāna: n. <u>341</u>; as anidar**ś**ana: 55; n. <u>385</u>; as māyopama: ns. 504, 1222

v.m kāyād (an)apakrāntam, etc. (6), 18ff, (31), (70), (81); ns. 146, 227, 275, 502

v.āt pratyudāvartate mānasam (176), 214, 216; cp. 173 [+ n. 1108]; ns. 1139, 1140; cp. ns. 1359, 1362

vijñāna-kāya

pañca v.-k.āh ("five kinds of [sense-]perception") (1), (42f), (51), (62); ns. 358, (1295), (1447); cp. n. 232+ add.; cp. cakṣur-vijñāna (etc.)

ṣaḍ v.-k.āḥ ("six [ordinary/
traditional] kinds of mind",
i.e. the 5 sense perceptions
and manovijñāna (q.v.))
(111), 117, add. ad 123f,
add. ad 125ff, (195); ns.
(159), 172, 415, 82o; cp.
(6 trad. kinds of) vijñāna;
cp. also citta, pravṛtti-vijñāna

vijñāna-santati/-santāna 42f, 45, 111(f), (129), 179; ns. 101 fn.1 (-pariṇāma), 288, 1165

vijnana-sthiti 173; n. 504

vitarka n. 1459

vinirbheda 230

vinivṛtti 197, 210

vipacyate (sc. sarvabījakam cittam) 47; ns. 327, 508, 970

vipariṇāma (-duḥkhatā:) ns. 21, 459, 461, 489, 490, 1471, 1472, 1480; (-dharman:) 66

viparyasta 127, 221; n. 844

viparyāsa 221, 234 (ātma(-dṛṣṭi)-v.); ns. 963, 1421; (saṃjñā-, dṛṣṭi- and citta-v.:) 148; n. 1445

vipa**ś**yanā 200f

v i p ā k a ("(Result-of-)Maturation") 5, <u>57ff</u>, <u>11off</u>, 135 <u>ff</u>; ns. 37, 147(d), <u>374</u>, 399, 402, 417, 882, (1406)

v. and (anivṛta-)avyākṛta 58; ns. 402(1b), 404, 415, 860, 974

ātmabhāva/ṣaḍāyatana etc. as v. 31, <u>53</u> (57), 111f, 129, 136f, 158; ns. 672, 970, 1008, 1405(D), 1477(A5); cp. ns. 376, 1408

pratisandhi(-kṣaṇa/-vijñāna) as v. <u>38</u>, 129, 178f; ns. 256, 374, 413, 1102; cp. n. 257; (n o t v.:) 38, 58; ns. 258, <u>259</u>, 402(1a)

cyuti-/maraṇa-citta as v. 39, 58; ns. (269), 4o2(1a); (n o t v.:) 39; ns. 261, 262, 265, 266, 269

vedanā as v. <u>58f</u>; ns. 401, 402(2a), <u>406</u>, 412, <u>422-424</u>

sarvabījakam vijnānam as v. 54, 59, 110, 112, 137, 179; ns. 374, (794), 831

ālayavijñāna as v. see ālayavijñāna II

ādānavijnāna as v.ns. 871, 970

sarvabīj(ak)o v. 8(f), 53ff, 58f, 110, 112f, 139, 228; ns. 82, 402(1c), 831, 1405 (A,D,E,H), 1408, 1426(C.c), 1428, 1435, 1440, 1468; (s.v. as the object of the notion of Ego:) 24, 53ff, 113, 150f

vipāka-citta ns. 33, 38

vipāka-ja <u>57ff</u>, 137; ns. 255, 257, 376, <u>399</u>, 402(1c,2b), 412, 424, 425; 970, 1125 fn.1 vipāka-(pakṣyaṃ) dauṣṭhulyam 84; ns. 605, 606

vipāka-pakṣyaṃ bījam 67; n. 481

vipāka-phala ns. 672, 1421 (-saṃmoha)

vipāka-(phala-)parigraha ns.
337, 417, 1477(D.b); cp. n.
1477(H.c)

vipāka-vijñāna 8f, 62, 84, 130, 172, 177ff; ns. 16, 78 (KSi, Sāmmitīyas), 82, 255, 374, 414, 580 (v.-v. and ālayavijñāna in MSg), 768, 974, 1075, 1102, 1103, 1125

vipāka-saṃgṛhīta 31, 38(f), <u>58</u>, 6of, 11o, 112, <u>114ff</u>, 117, 127, 154; ns. 327, <u>401</u>, 412, 415, 860, 970

viprapañca n. 1243

vibandha 236, 238

vibhava-dṛṣṭi n. 1426(B fn.2)

vimukti n. 1326

virodha 222 (as a cause of cittânutpāda)

viśosayati, viśosaņa 230, 238

viṣama/visama 230, 238; n. 1432

viṣaya 59, 85; ns. 221 (v.-mā-tra), 412, 610, 628a fn.2, 769, 859; (bāhya-v.:) (64); n. 449

viṣaya-nimitta 202; n. 1294

viṣaya-prapañcâbhilāṣa n. 1405 (E)

viṣaya-vijñapti (194); ns. 630, 631a fns.1,3; cp. n. 610

viṣayânābhāsa-gamana 222

vrtti 28f (buddhi-/citta-v.);
n. 719(d)

vedaka 234; n. 1444(A)

vedanā 86 (sarvatragā); ns.
341 (= avasthā), 1222 (budbudopamā), 1444(B: all v. unsatisfactory)

v. resulting from sparsa ns. 157, 613

v. as vipāka, vipākajā, viṣayapratyayā, etc. <u>58ff</u>, 137; ns. 401, 402(2a+b), 406 (only v. is vipāka), <u>412</u>, <u>422</u>, 423; cp. n. 424

v. threefold ns. 422, 624, 1064, 1478

duḥkhā v. see duḥkha

sukhā v. see sukha

sātam v.-gatam (in dhyāna) based on ālayavijñāna (44+ add.), (88), n. 297

aduḥkhāsukhā v. 58ff, 137; (in the embryonic state:) 59, 87; n. 412; cp. n. 402 (2a); ((specifically) connected with saṃskāra-duḥkhatā and dauṣthulya(-duḥkha) and enabling its experience:) 68, 87f; ns. 489, 490, 624, 1064; (associated with ālaya-vijñāna:) 59, 61, 68, 87f, 97f, (134)f, 137; ns. 422, 423, 489, 679, 685, 686, 1064; cp. ns. 424, 680; (as the object of tṛṣṇā:) 166; n. 1064

-vedanīya 134ff; ns. 489, 875

vedita 238; ns. 297, 412; cp. saṃjñā-ved(ay)ita-nirodha

(-)vaipākya 64, 134, 137; ns. 445, 875

vai**ś**eṣika(tā) 134; n. 1405(G)

vaisamya 23o

vyativartate 216; ns. 1139, 1140

vyavadāna ns. 14, 21, (572), 710

vyavasthāna 208, 221f; n. 1309

∜vyavasth(āp)ita see satya

vyavasyati(/vy-ava-so-) 232, 240; ns. <u>1443</u>, 1444(esp. <u>C</u>), 1486

vyāpana (khyab pa) (mind/ālayavijñāna pervading the body:) 216(?)+add., (218f); ns. 38, 315, 1373; cp. n. 1392; (ālayavijñāna comprising all existences:) (217f); n. 1374

Ś

śamatha 200f

śara ("film") 127; ns. 239
fn.1, 247

\$iti-kṛ-/bhū- (4of); ns. 278,
281

sukra-sonita ("semen-cumblood") (37), 127; ns. 239, 241, 243, 244, (247), 344, 844, 1130

śuddha 232, 240

śudhyate 232 (kaścid, kutaścid)

śūnya(tā) 19off; ns. 114 (ś.pratisaṃyuktāḥ sūtrāntāḥ),
221, 1213-1215; (3 kinds:)
192; n. 1223

Śaikṣa 147ff; ns. 949, 956, 1445; cp. also Ārya

śosa 238

śravaṇa 228 (anukūlaṃ ś.m;
cp. 236); n. 1416 (asad-dharmaś.)

Śrāvaka 197, 204; ns. 931, 932, 1323

Śrāvakayāna 19o(ff), 2o2, 2o4; ns. 132 (key terms of Ś.), 532, 1212

śloka (metre) 224ff

Ş

ṣaḍ-āyatana ("Six Senses")
n. 1440

s. as ātmabhāva, āśraya, maulam sattvadravyam, etc. 52ff, 151, 165; ns. 363, 375 (savijñānakaḥ kāyaḥ), 376, 555, 796, 970, 1337, 1405(D), 1477 (E.c;F.a)

s. as vipāka(ja) 53f; n. 376,
970, 1405(D), 1477(E.c)

s. as (/containing) bīja(s)
or dauṣṭhulya, etc. 67; ns.
172, 208, 377, 475

bijas of s. 178, 180; ns. 208, 209

s. and nāmarūpa 178; ns. 208, 209, 240 (also: vijñāna and s.), 1085, 1115, 1477(A8a, 9;F.a)

s. as the exclusive object of manovijñāna 54; ns. 382, 828 S

saṃyojana n. 918 (orambhāgīyāni s.āni)

saṃsāra n. 144o; ('s.' as a
quasi-syn. of 'prapañca':)
ns. 14o5(D), 1425(A,B); ("subject" of s.:) 4, 16o; cp. n.
1o28 (saṃsartṛ); cp. ālayav.
(II)

saṃskāra ns. 341 (= ceṣṭā), 1222 (kadalīskandhopama)

s. = karmic forces 6, 70, 130; ns. <u>51</u>, 147(c), 344, 859, 1054, 1128, 1154, 1438

s. = conditioned factors: e.g. 198; ns. 147(d), 221, 488-490, 817(a), 1243

saṃskāra-duḥkhatā 6, <u>66ff</u>, 75, 138ff, 158, 206, 236; ns. <u>459</u>, <u>461</u>, 484, <u>488</u>, <u>489</u>, 602, 1064, 1421, 1469, 1471, <u>1472</u>, 1479; cp. ālayav. (II)

samharati 230; ns. <u>1420</u>, 1426 (C.c,d)

sakta 23o

saṃkleśa ns. 21, 555, 719(d), 1242; principle of s.: see ālayav. (II), manas (III); parikalpita-svabhāvâbhinive-śa(-vāsanā) as responsible for s. 73; 's.' in the sense of 'kleśa': ns. 504, 959; cp. n. 555add.(A;fn.1)

saṃkleśâśraya n. 830; cp. ns. 555+add., 557

samkhyā ns. 1425(C: nôpaiti s.m), 1426(C.a: s.m gacchati)

saṃgṛhīta e.g. 138, 236, 238; ns. 484, 488, 490, 1472, 1477 (A5); cp. vipāka-s. saṃgraha n. 1477(A11: upādānas.; F.a fn.1)

sacittaka (sacittikā avasthā:)
ns. 219, 586, (1232); (sacittikā bhūmiḥ:) 221f

samcintya n. 1477(A2,3;D.b)

samjñā ("ideation"; "consciousness") 86 (sarvatragā); ns.
147(a) ("name"), 157 (implied
by sparśa), 341 (= kalpa),
(614), 1222 (marīcikopamā)

faint/dim s. (at death:) (36),
 39; ns. 231, 265, (269); (in
 naivasamjñānāsamjñāyatana:)
 n. (37)

s. associated with \bar{a} layavij $\bar{n}\bar{a}$ -na $\underline{97}$, 101; ns. 680, 738

s. hardly reconcilable with nirodhasamāpatti 98, 101; n. 157

samjnā-parikalpa n. 1445

samjnā-viparyāsa 148; n. 1445

samjñā-ved(ay)ita-nirodha-samāpatti 19, 86; ns. 157, 218,
683; see nirodha-s.

satkāya 157; ns. 391, 1004, 1008, 1062, 1405(D,H)

s a t k ā y a - d ṛ ṣ ṭ i 147 ff; ns. 192 (s.-d. = ālaya), 196, 476 (s.-d.-pakṣyaṃ dauṣṭhulyam), 918, 930-932, (934), 963, 1421, 1426(B); (s.-d. as dauṣṭhulya:) ns. 470, 920. Cp. ahaṃkāra, ātmagrāha, ātmadṛṣṭi, mamakāra, sattva-darśana

s.-d. as sahajā or pari-/vikalpitā, etc. 148, 15of;
ns. 93o-932, 953, 1462; cp.
n. 1445

object(ive basis) of s.-d. 150f, 159f; ns. 539, (918), 932, 949, 953, 962, 1018

s.-d. hypostatized into or associated with (klistam) manas $\underline{149}$, $\underline{151f}$; ns. 943, 946, 953, 958, 959

s.-d. presupposed by (asmi-)
māna, other dṛṣṭis, (ātma-)
tṛṣṇā 147; ns. 920, 962,
1413

causes/bija of s.-d. 151; cp. 139, 228, 236; ns. 954, 963, 1416; cp. n. 920

s.-d. in Śaikṣas/Āryas (/ darśana- or bhāvāna-heyā?) 147f, 150; ns. 930-932, 949; cp. n. 956

satkāyadṛṣṭy-adhiṣṭhāna 55 157, $\underline{159}(\underline{f})$; ns. 388, 391; cp. n. 1018

satkāyadṛṣṭi-vāsanā <u>148;</u> cp. n. 933

satya 197

s. = the four Noble Truths 197, 201, (204); n. (1438)

*vyavasth(āp)itam s.m <u>206;</u> ns. 1323, (1326)

*avyavasth(āp)itam s.m 201, 204, <u>206;</u> ns. 1323, 1326

satyâbhisamaya ("Full Comprehension of Truth") 197, 201, (206); cp. 77

sattva n. 1303 (mutual influence of living beings)

sattva-dar**ś**ana n. 1445 (sahaja)

sattva-dravya n. 345; (maulam s.m:) 52; n. 367

sattva-pratibhāsa (107); n. 763

sattva-loka 203; ns. 444, 1302

sattva-saṃkhyāta ns. 806, 1421 (a-)

sadā 232, 240; ns. 1447, 1448

santāna/santati (67); ns. 1o1
fns.1,2 (s.-pariņāma(-viśeṣa)), 474, 580, 1o14, 1165;
cp. citta-, bīja-, vijñāna-s.

saṃdarśana 214; cp. 240 (saṃdarśayati); ns. (1361), 1362, 1362a

sam-ni-viś- 164; ns. 817(c)(?),
1054

saṃniviṣṭa 26 (≈ ālīna), 165 (pragāḍha-s.); ns. 239 (≈ saṃmūrcchita), 805

bījas/vāsanās sticking in mind/ ālayavijñāna 26, 73; ns. 442, 1165; cp. n. 1477(A13b); in the āśraya: (166 + n. 1066)

dauṣṭhulya as āśraya-s. 67, (166); n. 473, 480

(ālaya)tṛṣṇā as āśraya-s. 165(f); cp. n. 1065a

āśrayabhāva-s. 117<u>ff</u>; 142, 146; ns. <u>8</u>11, 816, 817

samnivesa 105; ns. 461(?), 744, 1477(A13b)(?)

samatā n. 1297

samanantara- + ppp[loc.] +
 (pro)noun[loc.] 198; n. 1248

samanantara āśrayaḥ see āśraya

samanantara-niruddha ns. 943, 1125

samanantara-pratyaya 146; n. 943

samāpatti 221 (sa-s.-upapattika); cp. acittā s.ḥ

samutthāpaka 232, 240

samudaya(-satya) 75, 159f, 163, 206, 236; ns. 548, 566, 1031

samparigraha n. 1477(A7;D.a)

samprayukta/samprayoga 85; n. 615; (no s. of ālayavijñāna with kleśas:) ns. 596, 935; cp. ālayavijñāna (II: association with caittas), manas (III: association with kleśas)

sam-mūrch- / sammūrcchita 5,
37f, 47, 127f, 164, 177; ns.
196, 239, 241-244, 247, 327,
344, 508, (717), 817(c)(?),
864, 1055, 1130

saṃmoha/saṃmūḍha 230, 238; ns. 1421 (ātma-s., ātmabhāve s., pratyakṣa-s., adhyātmaṃ vipākaphala-s.); 1426(C.b fn.5), 1438 (tattvārtha-s.)

samyaktva-niyāma 197, 204

saṃyag-jñāna n. 1323

saras 138, 160, 230, 238; ns. 1440, 1441

sarva n. 428 (absolute and relative use)

sarvatraga 230, 238; ns. 459 (duḥkhatā), 1297 (dharmadhātu); (caittas:) 86, 97(f); n. 680; cp. dauṣṭhulya

sarva-dharma (ālayavijñāna containing the bījas (/ being the āśraya) of s.-dh. (34), 64f.; ns. 14, 452, 1383

sarvabījaka ns. (172: sādhiṣṭhāna-rūpīndriya and vijñāna), <u>428</u>, 437

s.ḥ abhūtaparikalpaḥ 99; ns. 691, 693, 719(c) s. ātmabhāvaḥ 53, (55), 111ff, (151); ns. (388), 831, (853), 1008, 1405(D); (s.ā ātmabhā-vābhinirvṛttiḥ:) ns. 377, 1408

s.m ādānavijñānam n. 321, 354a; cp. n. 437

s.m ālayavijñānam 43, $\underline{62(f)}$, $\underline{110f}$, 114, 116, 119f, $\overline{127(f)}$, 131f, 145; cp. 117; ns. $\underline{428}$, 450; cp. n. 136

s.m cittam $(\underline{46f})\underline{f}$, 71(f), 95; ns. 82, $\overline{327}$ +add., 508, 512, 800, 871; cp. n. 437

s.m vijñānam 8 [+ n. 76], (37), 42(f), 48, 51, (54)f, (59), 70, 77, 87, 110, 112, 114f, 127(f), 131, 137, 172, 177ff; ns. 82, 136, (247), 327+add., (374), 428, 558, 775, 788, (794), 831; cp. n. 172

s.o vipākaḥ see vipāka
s.m vipāka-vijñānam ns. 78,
574, (58o)

sarvabījôpagata see upagata

sa-vitarka 221 (s.ā savicārā bhūmiḥ)

sa-vipāka n. 374

saha- + noun[abl.] ns. 374,
1248

-sahagata (aduḥkhāsukha-s.:)
n. 490; (dauṣṭhulya/praśrabdhi-s.:) ns. 300, 471, 473, 480,
490, 496, 1330

sahaja (kleśas:) ns. (930), 959; (ātmabhāva-tṛṣṇā at death:) n. 266; (satkāyadṛṣṭi, etc.:) 148, 150f, 236; ns. 930-(932), (949), 953, 1445, 1462; cp. n. 959 sahabhāva, -bhūta n. 1125;
(simultaneity of vijñānas:)
51add; n. 355; cp. yugapad,
vijñāna

sahabhūr āśrayaḥ see āśraya

sahānucara 46

sahāya 228

sahôtpanna-niruddha 232, 240; cp. n. 1448

sātata n. 488 (s.m duḥkham)

sādhāraņa see karman

*sābhisaṃskāra see avyākṛta

sāmānya-lakṣaṇa(-taḥ) ns. 947, 955

sārūpya 238

sāsrava(tva) 69, <u>75</u>, 77; ns. 47 (praśrabdhi as bījas of s.-kuśala), 374, <u>495</u>, 496, <u>539-545</u>, 547

sukha, sukhā vedanā ("pleasant (sensation)") (88), 134ff (s.-vedanīya), 23o; ns. 192 (sukhā vedanā sarāgā = ālaya), (422), 425, 455, 490, (1064), 1065, 1428, 1444, 1445, 1480

s. in dhyāna <u>44add.</u>; ns. 300, 602; (based on ālayavijñāna:) (5f), 44+add., (88); n. <u>297</u>

sukhita 232, 240; ns. 1444, 1486

sūkṣma n. 93o (su-s.ā satkāya-dṛṣṭiḥ)

s.ā samjñā at death 39; n. 231

s.am cittam in unconscious states ns. (157), 167, 218 (s.-s.m cittam in nirodhasamāpatti), 652 (in ākimcanyāyatana) ālayavijñāna (or its object) is s. 31, 46f, 91(f), 93; ns. 218, 321, 664, 1171 caittas of ālayavijñāna are

s. <u>97</u>; n. 684 sopadhiśeşa see nirvāṇa

sopādāna(tva) 69f, 75f, 168; ns. 461, 496, 504, 540, 554;

cp. ālayavijñāna (II)

sopādānam vijñānam 8f, <u>69ff</u>, 76, 81f, <u>167ff</u>; ns. 82, <u>504</u>, 516, 587, <u>1074</u>; cp. ālayavijñāna (I)

skandha ns. 221 (sk.-mātra), 1405(H: ādhyātmikāḥ sk.āḥ); (sa-kleśāḥ sk.āḥ:) ns. 532(b), 1242, 1405(D.c); (sk.s, dhātus and āyatanas:) 64, 191; ns. 450, 1213, 1227; cp. āsaṃsārika-sk., upādāna-sk.

sthāna 200(?); (= ālaya:) n. 666; (= bhājanaloka:) ns. 666, 744; s. cognized by ālayavijñā-na: see s.-vijñapti

sthāna-vijñapti (104; ns. 737, 742, 744, 759

-sthānīya ns. 490, 540, 1416

sthiti 200; n. 502 (s.-hetu; cp. n. 796); cp. citta-s.

*sthira 89; ns. 628, 629, 634

sneha 166, 228 (adhyātmam and bahis); ns. 1405(E,F), 1418 (upakaraṇa-sn.), 1426(C.c); cp. ātma-s., ātmabhāva-s., ālaya-s.

spar**śa** 86, 97; ns. 157, <u>613,</u> 680, 1441 (s.-āyatana), <u>145</u>9 (s.-rūpaṇā)

smrti ("memory, recollection":)
(4); ns. (18), 28; ("mindfulness":) ns. 947 (+ upa-sthā-),
1444(D: s.-upasthāna)

smṛtisampramoṣa ns. 930, 1421 fn.2 (naisargika)

srotas (esp. viṣama) 230, 238; n. <u>1440</u>

svabhāva n. 1223 (sv.-śūnyatā); (sv. of manas/manovijñāna:) 117, 125f; (3 sv.s:) 192f;
ns. 132, 1223

sva-lakṣaṇa(-taḥ) n. 947

svāmin 234; n. 221

Н

hṛdaya ns. 278, 281 (as the seat of manovijñāna)

hetu(-pratyaya) in a general sense: e.g. 110, 234, 236; ns. 1408, 1477(A5); in the specific sense of homogeneous cause: 74; ns. 536, 570, 580, 582, 719(b), 817(a), 831

hetu-phala(-mātra) 234

hetu-vijñāna 178; ns. 1130, 1153

I.2 Tibetan words

kun tu len pa'i rnam par ses pa n. 191

rgyu (for upādāna) n. 647

'jug pa (for sam-mūrch-) ns. 239, 508

ldan pa see -anugata, -upagata

mi rig pa n. 629

... źig gu n. 1476

ye yod pa ns. 953, 958

ril n. 1477(G.a fn.1: skye
ba ril)

len rgyu (for upādāna) ns. 647, 653 fn.1

I.3 English words

activity (no. a.:) s. nirīha, niśceṣṭa; kṣaṇikatva

appearance s. pratibhāsa; cp. vijnapti, nimitta

appropriation s. upādāna

association s. samprayoga

author(ship) cp. 184; n. 1198; cp. ns. 1180, 1195; special a. problems: s. Index II.1, esp. s.v. Yogācārabhūmi

Badness s. dausthulya

basis s. adhiṣṭhāna, āśraya, āyatana

basis-of-personal-existence s. ātmabhāva, āśraya

bodily heat s. usman

body s. kāya, deha; cp. ātmabhāva, āśraya; (corporeal) matter

Clinging (to Ego/Self) s. ātmagrāha, ātmasneha, etc.; ālaya; cp. Ego

compilation, compiler 189; n. 1198; Y as a c.: see Index II.1 (s.v. Yogācārabhūmi)

conception s. pratisandhi;
 cp. upapatti

consciousness s. citta, vijñāna, saṃjñā

continuity s. ālayavijñāna (II), manas (III)

corpse 20, 23; cp. ns. 165, 189

death 19 (d. and nirodhasamāpatti), 36, 39ff (mind at d. / in the process of dying); s. cyuti, maraṇa.

Arhat dying in nirodhasamāpatti 39, 83; cp. n. 600

Defilement s. kleśa

Ease s. praśrabdhi

Ego s. aham, ātman

attachment or Clinging to (/ notion of / belief in)
Ego/Self/I (and Mine) s.
aham iti (vijñapti), ahaṃkāra, ātma--grāha, -darśana/
dṛṣṭi, -saṃjñā, -sneha, ālaya, satkāyadṛṣṭi, sattvadarśana; manas (III)

object(ive basis) of the notion of Ego, etc.: s. ātmavastu; ātmabhāva, ālayavijñāna, upādāna-skandhas, citta, vijñāna, (sarvabījo) vipākaḥ (as the object of n. of E.); (object of) asmimāna, satkāyadṛṣṭi

embryo, embryonic matter 5, 7, 37, etc.; s. kalala, śukraśonita

embryonic state s. garbhāvasthā; cp. vijñāna

experience

yogic or mystical e. of ālayavijñāna, "background e." of its functions: s. ālayavijñāna (II)

mystical e. of True Reality:
 s. insight

faintness (of consciousness, etc.) s. avispaṣṭa, aparisphuṭa, sūkṣma; cp. subliminal feeling of identity s. asmimāna

Full Comprehension s. abhisa-maya

glow-worm 93

idealism s. vijnaptimātratā

image s. nimitta, pratibimba, pratibhāsa, vijñapti

Impression s. vāsanā

insight s. jñāna, prativedha; cp. bodhi, satyābhisamaya

supramundane insight s. lokottara(m cittam/jñānam)

liberation s. mokṣa, nirmokṣa, mukti, vimukti; cp. nirvāṇa

lamp s. pradipa

life-force s. āyus

Linking up s. pratisandhi

matter

corporeal m.: s. adhiṣṭhāna (of the mat. sense-facul-ties), indriya(-rūpa), kāya, deha, rūpa; cp. ātmabhāva, āśraya, kalala, nāmarūpa, śukraśoṇita; cp. also ālayavi-jñāna II, citta, vijñāna [as princ. of biol. appropr.; (merging with proto-embryonic m.) at conception; (withdrawing from corp. m.) at death]; upādāna [biol. appropr.; sādhiṣṭhāna-rūpīndriya-u.]; bīja

external m.: s. artha, bāhya, bhājanaloka, rūpa

(Result-of-)Maturation s. vipāka

mental factors s. caitasikāh

milk and water 80 + n.580

mind s. citta, vijñāna

M.-containing-all-Seeds s. sarvabījakam vijnānam

mind series s. cittasantāna, vijnānasantāna

mirror s. ādarśa

momentariness s. kṣaṇikatva

nature 187 (Western scholarship and n.; religion, esp. Buddhism, and n.)

object(ive basis) s. artha, adhiṣṭhāna, ālambana, viṣaya; cp. asmimāna, ālayavijñāna (II), manas (III), manovijñā-na, satkāyadṛṣṭi

Pollution s. samkleśa

possession s. upadhi, parigraha, "taking p."

Purification s. vyavadāna

rebirth s. upapatti, punarbhava; cp. pratisandhi, upādāna "taking possession [of a new existence]"

reed bunch simile s. naḍakalāpikā

representation s. vijñapti; ābhāsa, nirbhāsa, pratibhāsa; cp. ns. 628a fn.1, 741

river s. ogha

Seed s. bija

Self s. ātman

semen-cum-blood s. śukra-śonita

sensation s. vedanā

sense-faculties s. indriya

sense-objects s. artha, bhoga, vișaya

simultaneity s. yugapat(pravrtti), sahabhāva; cp. vijñāna

causal rel. betw simult. entities rejected 174; n. 1118

Six Sense s. ṣaḍāyatana

sleep s. middha

spiritualism 99, 103, 105; cp. vijñaptimātratā

sticking to s. abhiniveśa, ālaya, niviṣṭa, niveśana, saṃniviṣṭa

subject (of samsāra or liberation) cp. ālayavijñāna (II), nirvāņa, mokṣa, samsāra

subliminal s. asamvidita; cp. aparicchinna, duspariccheda, sūkṣma, faintness

supramundane s. lokottara

surrounding world s. bhājana-(loka), pada, pratiṣṭhā, sthāna

swoon s. mūrcchā

taking possession (of a new existence) s. ātmabhāvaparigraha, ādāna, upādāna

tradition ("t." and textual analysis; reliability of "t.") 183f; n. 1183

True Essence (of dharmas) s. dharmadhātu

True Reality s. tathatā; cp. dharmadhātu

Truth s. satya

ulcer s. gaṇḍa

unconscious states s. acittikā avasthā

waves s. taranga

II. Texts (+ Index locorum)

II.1 Indian texts

```
Akṣarāśisūtra 8(+n.72)
                                      109b5f n. 1425(D fn.3)
                                      157a1 n. 244
Ańguttaranikāya (AN)
                                    Abhidharmakośa-vyākhyā (AKVy)
 II 131
         8(+n.71); n. 203
 II 212
         ns. 920, 1425(D fn.
                                      47,19ff n. 1414
         2), 1426(B)
                                     674,13 216add.
 IV 68f
         ns. 514, 1405(A)
                                    Abhidharmadīpa (AD)
Abhidharmakośabhāṣya (AKBh)
                                     254,3f n. 1214
 ns. 78, 101
                                    Abhidharma-dharmaparyāya n. 69
  61,21f n. 1433
  63,20(ff) n. 1014
                                    Abhidharmasamuccaya
  72,18-21 ns. 170, 171+add.
  72,21ff 19[n.152]; n. 157
                                     composition
                                                  189
  77,21
        n. 1492
                                     relative chronology 11f, 136,
                                      167; ns. 100, 713, 1197
 126,19ff n. 844
 127,7f
         n. 348
                                     ālayavijnāna in AS: see Index
         n. 1114
 133,3f
                                      I.1 s.v. ālayav. III
 151,16ff n. 258;
                                     relation of AS to Śrāvakayāna/
           (1. 21:) n. 231;
                                      Mahāyāna 19off
           (1. 24:) n. 262
                                      1,14f and 2,2 n. 1477(A15)
 156,2of
          41[n.280]
                                      2,5ff
                                             n. 197
 227,13f+228,1 137[n.884]
                                      2,10ff ns. 1459, 1460
          136[n.877]
 228,3ff
                                      3,3-9
                                              64[ns. 445, (448),
 232,2of
          24[n.200]
                                              449], 100[n.702]
 245,19 n. 142
                                      8,11f
                                             n. 932
 258,12ff, 2off 136[ns.879,
                                      9,20-22 n. 1295
           881]; (1. 14:) n.1492
                                     11,1 n. 220
 278,20f n. 1014
                                     12,1(f)
                                               64[n.450], 100[n.
 281,20 n. 1414
                                               7o2]; n. 12o
 285,15ff 147[n.923]
                                            n. 943
                                     12,2f
 286,6ff
         147[n.924]
                                     13,9ff n. 706
 287,4f
         n. 962
                                     15,14-17 <u>192[n.1222]</u>
 290,16
         n. 934
                                     17,8ff
                                             n. 1459
 328,19
         n. 1428
                                     22,15f
                                             n. 1477(A4)
 329, 1of
         n. 488
                                     23,26f
                                             n. 147(a)
 329,21f
         n. 1443
                                     24,11ff n. 1477(\underline{A4};C;D.b)
 329,24
        n. 1444(C)
                                     27,3f
                                            n. 1477(A6)
 333,19
         ns. 1045, 1477(A17;
                                     28,9f
                                             100[n.702]; n. 582
         D.c)
                                     29,8f
                                            n. 1477(A5)
 438,15-439,11
               44add.
                                     29,23f n. 196
                                     30,2of
                                             <u>61</u>[n.424], 100[n.700]
Abhidharmakośa-ţīkā (AKŢU) [tu]
                                             <u>192f</u>[n.1227]
                                     31,6ff
   7a7ff, esp. 9b1ff 97[ns.682,
                                     32,8f \quad 64[n.450], 100[n.702]
          683]; ns. 149, 165,
                                     37,21f. n. 461
          174
                                     38,5f n. 459
```

```
40,10-16 190f[n.1213]
                                        92,5ff ns. 463-466, 470
 40,16-18 192[n.1223]
                                        137,8ff n. 755
 42,12 n. 555 fn.5
48,7 n. 338
                                        156,25 n. <u>103</u>
 54,3ff ns. 882, 1477(A15;B)
                                       Abhidharmasamuccayavyākhyā
 54,11-14 136[ns.88o-<u>882</u>]
                                        (ASVy) n. 191
 54,19-21 n. 884
                                       Abhidharmasūtra 11\underline{f} (rel. chronol., quotations), 15[n.136],
 55,2 n. 1438
 55,1off
          203[n.<u>1302</u>]
 55,13ff n. 13o3
                                        65, 102; ns. 69, 103, 57\overline{2},
 58,10-14 136[n.876]
                                        <del>58</del>5
 58,19 n. 705
 82,20ff n. 100
                                       *(Ārya-deśanā-)vikhyāpana
 84,11ff 193[n.1230]
                                        n. 99; see Hsien-yang
 95,16ff n. 200
 97,19 ns. 338, <u>796</u>
                                       Udānavarga (UV)
                                        29.38 n. 1405(D(+UVViv),G)
Abhidharmasamuccayabhāṣya (ASBh)
                                        29,51 n. 1405(D) (+UVViv)
 n. 755
                                        32.39a n. 1428
  1,18f n. 386
  2,6f n. 1477(D.c)
                                       Kathāvatthu (Kv)
  2,22ff ns. 446, 447, 449
                                        XIV.2 n. 248
 11,1of n. 137
                                        XV.9 + Kv-a 155,18 n. 232+add.
 11,12f ns. 336, 1477(A12;D.a)
 12,1-13,20 see Y (B.1.a.α:
                                       Karmasiddhi (KSi) 103; ns.
            Proof P.)
                                        68, 101
 21,9f n. <u>755</u>
 22,15ff n. 1459
                                        § 23 n. <u>171</u>
 23,19-24,1 n. 564
                                               n. 174
 32,19f n. 1477(A6;E.a)
                                           25
                                              n. 157
 35,22ff n. 448
                                              n. 78
                                           30
 37,20f n. 1477(A5)
                                           31
                                               n. 1433
 39,7f n. 1405(E)
                                               ns. 78, 120, <u>138</u>, 336,
 39,11f n. 265
                                               348
         n. 259(c)
                                               \overline{ns.} 68, 78
 39,12f
                                           35
 39,14f
         n. 266
                                           36
                                               103(\underline{f})[ns.731, 733,
 39,20f n. 256
                                               734]
 40,1f n. 1447
40,2f n. 256
                                       Karmasiddhiţīkā (KSiŢ)
 40,12f n. 1019
                                         94a4f
                                                 (ad 23)
                                                           n. 219
 44,8ff 68[n.489]; n. 480
                                        103b1f (ad 34)
                                                           n. 1392
44,18-20 61[n.425]
                                        103b2
                                                           n. 189
45,2f n. 1019
 45,5f
        ns. 189, 1477(A16; D.c;
                                       Kṣemakasūtra <u>147</u>f, 152; ns.
        E.d)
                                        915, (916), (918), 1426(B)
47,7f n. 1477(\underline{A11};C;H.a)
54,13f ns. 259(c), 269

55,13-15 68[n.489]; n. 1421
                                       *Khyātivijñānaśāstra (T 1618)
61,1-7 ns. <u>297</u>, 298, 365
                                        879b3ff n. 89
62,3-11 <u>148[ns</u>.931, 933];
                                        881b1ff n. 665 fn.2
          ns. 916, 918, 932, 949
66,18ff n. 1438
```

```
Trisvabhāvanirdeśa (TSN) n. 101
Catuḥśataka comm. by Dharmapāla
 (T 1571) n. 167 (228c8ff)
                                      6-9 and 29f
                                                    102[n.719(d)]
                                      7 n. 1433

☆Catuḥsatyaśāstra (T 1647)
                                      8-9 103[n.726]
 n. 455 (386a19ff)
                                     Dîghanikāya (DN)
Cūļavedallasutta (MN No. 44)
 n. 149; see MN (I 301); cp.
                                       I 233 ns. 164, 385
                                      II 63 37[n.238], 174[n.
 Dharmadinnāsūtra
                                               1120]; see Mahānidāna-
Cūļasuññatasutta (MN No. 121)
 191[n.1211]; see MN (III 107f)
                                     Dharmadinnāsūtra 6, (19ff),
Jñānaśrīmitranibandhāvalī n.
                                      81, 173; cp. 35; ns. 16, <u>149</u>,
                                      (<u>165</u>), (174), 1136, 1137; cp.
 741 (478,3f)
                                      n. 227
*Tattvasiddhi (TSi)
                                     Dharmadharmatāvibhāga (DhDhV)
 344a25f n. 652
                                      11, 98(\underline{f})
 345b6ff, 18f n. 58, 154, 167
                                      15,9+13 n. 628a fn.2
16,9 n. 696
Triṃśikā (Tr) 106; ns. 101,
                                      17,1ff 98f[n.691]; n. 693
 102 (rel. to LAS), 222, 1183
                                      17,9 n. 628a fn.2
 2b+8bc n. 610
 3ab 104[n.737], 106; n. 759;
                                     Dharmadharmatāvibhāgavṛtti
  cp. n. 741
                                      (DhDhVV) n. 101; (26,16;
 3cd 1o4[n.738]
                                      40,1:) n. 719(c)
 5a 83[n.599]
 19 61[n.419]
                                     Dharmaskandha
                                      {^{DhSk}}_{D}
Triṃśikābhāṣya (TrBh) 106[n.
                                            DhSk<sub>c</sub> n. 238
 756] (rel. to PSkVai); (inco-
                                      34,19f
 herence:) n. 749; cp. n. 733
                                      35,16ff
 18,23-26 ns. 137, 138
                                       = 507c25ff ns. 1114, 1119
 19,2-4 86[n.615]
                                         508a11ff n. 1114
 19,4-22 104-106[ns.742-753]
                                      55,17-19 ns. 918 fn.1,
                                                 1426(B fn.2)
  19,4-8 n. <u>733</u>
  19,5(f) ns. 633, 1307
                                     Nagarasūtra 6, 17of, 173, <u>176</u>,
  19,11ff 73[n.529]; n. 517
                                      214ff; ns. 1078, 1107, 1139
 19,26f 86[n.615]
                                      (Sa. version), 1140 (Mū. ver-
 23,18ff n. 943
                                      sion), 1141
 24,19ff n. 956
 44,15f n. 46
                                     Nadakalāpikāsūtra 6, 17of,
                                      176, 214f, 219; ns. 154, 1076,
Trimsikāţīkā (TrŢ)
                                      1087
 17b4, 18a2f
               203[n.1305];
               n. 741
 18a7f n. 517
```

```
Nidānasamyukta (NidSa)
                                      Pañcaskandhaka-vivaraṇa (PSkViv)
 § 5.11-15 n. 1139; see Naga-
                                       95b3-5 ns. 344, 1477(A13;G.c
       ra-s.
                                                fn.8)
   6 see Nadakalāpikā's.
  10.3 n. 1426(C.b fn. 7)
                                      Pañcaskandhaka-vaibhāsya
  16.7 n. 1096
                                       (PSkVai)
                                       rel. to TrBh 106[n.756]
*Nyāyānusāra (NA)
                                       46a8 73[n.527]
 477c1off n. 844
                                       46b4 n. 73\overline{2}
 503b13f 173[n.1114]
                                       46b4-6 106[n.757]
 503b17 174[n.1118]
                                       53b7 n. 1373
 503b23ff n. 111o
                                       54a2f n. 344
 503c6ff n. <u>1132</u>
 504a7ff n. 1110
                                      Paramatthamañjūsā
 504a1off n. <u>1117</u>
 504c2ff n. <u>1132</u>
                                       ad VisM 16.28 n. 203
 504c21f n. 1110
                                       ad VisM 23.30 n. 200
 504c25ff 173[ns.1111, 1112];
    n. 1114
                                      Paramārthagāthāḥ (PG): see
 609a14ff 148[n.926]
                                       Yogācārabhūmi (A.11)
 61ob4ff
          147[ns.921-923]
 61oc12ff 147[n.924]
                                      Paripṛcchā (by Vasumitra) 19
Pañcaviṃśatisāhasrikā Prajñā-
                                      Pātañjalayogaśāstravivaraņa
 pāramitā
                                       60,10ff n. 677
  76,1f n. 1477(A1)
 185,7f n. 1477(A2; D.b)
                                      Pārāyaṇa n. 1401; cp. Suttani-
                                       pāta (1034, etc.)
Pañcaskandhaka (PSk) n. 1o1
 PSk<sub>+</sub>/PSk<sub>D</sub>/Muroji
                                      Prajñāpāramitā(sūtras) 193
                                       (Maitreya ch. of the Large
 14a8f/18.8 n. 47
                                       P.); n. 114 (P. referred to in
 16b8/25/44,3f n. 61o
                                      BoBh); cp. Pañcav., Sat.
 16b8f/26/44,5-7 n. 1433 17a1/26/44,7f 1o3(f)[n.733]
                                      Pratītyasamutpādavyākhyā (PSVy)
 17a2f/26/44,9-11 n. 16
                                       ns. 68, 101; (interpret. of
 17a3/26/44,12f n. <u>17</u>
                                       vijñāna in the pratītyasamut—
 17a3f/26/44,15-17 \overline{15}[n.140],
                                       pāda formula:) ns. 51, <u>1128</u>
         22; n. 1477(D.c;H.c)
 17a4/27/44,18 ns. 39, 344
                                       18b1f n. <u>1119</u>
 17a5/27/44,2of n. 943
                                       18b6-8 n. 152
                                       19a1 n. 157
Pañcaskandhaka-bhāṣya (PSkBh)
                                       20a7ff ns. 171, 172, <u>219</u>
                                               (2ob1f), 516 (2oa8)
 119a3f n. <u>21</u>
                                      24b2-3 15[n.139]; ns. 120,
 182b5 n. <u>634</u>
                                               344, 348
 182b5ff 93[n.664]
                                      24b5 n. 68
 195b8f
        n. 232
                                      24b6
                                             103(f)[n.733]
 199b4f
        ns. 372, <u>1383</u>
                                      25a6 ns. 39, 1373
 199b7f n. 1373
                                      25b2f n. 151
 200a6 n. 348
                                      25b5 n. 69
 202b8 n. 1421 fn.5
```

```
II.14a n. 1297
Pratītyasamutpādavyākhyā-ţīkā
                                        V.16 n. 693
 (PSVyŢ)
 139a6-b3 ns. 149, 174(b1)
                                       Madhyāntavibhāgabhāṣya (MAVBh)
 139b7 n. 157
                                        102f; n. 101
 155a2(ff) ns. 28o, 281, 1392
 156b5 n. 69
                                        18,23f+26f(I.3) n. 724
                                         18,24 n. 943
Pramānavārtika (PV)
                                        21,3f(I.9) n. 719(b)
                                        21,14(I.1ob) n. 1477(\underline{A7};
 II.200 n. 1445
                                                     C \text{ fn.2; } \underline{F.b})
                                        35,10f(II.14) n. 12\overline{97}
Pramāṇasamuccayavṛtti
                                        48,8-10(III.22bc) <u>102f</u>[n.
                                                     720, 721]
 I.8cd n. 741
                                        48,12(III.22bc) n. 943
Prasannapadā (Pr)
                                       Madhyāntavibhāgaţīkā (MAVŢ)
 552,4f (ad 26.2) 164 + n.
                                         17,13f ns. 724, 727, 729, 763
         1055; ns. 239, 243
                                         18,9 n. 764
33,9f n. <u>76</u>
Bodhisattvabhūmi (BoBh): see
                                                n. 763
 Yogācārabhūmi (A.15)
                                         34.8f n. 147(b)
                                         37,17ff n. 1477(A8;C;\underline{F})
Bodhisattvabhūmi-vyākhyā
                                         38,7f n. 248
 (BoBhVy)
                                         42,4-6 n. 1477(A9; C fn.1)
                                         42,8f n. 1477(F.a fn.1)
 86b1 (ad BoBh<sub>D</sub> 35,2)
86b8 ("D 35,6)
                          n. 1019
                                        101,11
                                                80[n.577]
 86b8
                   35,6)
                          n. 920
                                                 n. <u>729</u>
                                        144,6f
 87a7f (
                 35,20)
                          n. 1414
                                        146,3-5 n. 729
                                        146,5ff
                                                  ns. 722, 763, <u>769</u>
Majjhimanikāya (MN)
                                        161,14ff
                                                   n. 763
                                                   n. 722
   I 167
           165f
                                        161,18ff
                                        215,23ff
                                                   n. 920
   I 233
           140, 164; n. 1444(A)
   I 296
           97[n.683]; ns. 165,
                                       Manorathapūraņī
           174; cp. Mahāvedalla-s.
   I 301
           97[n.681]; cp. C\bar{u}-
                                        III 128 n. 203
           lavedalla-s.
   I 329 ns. 164, 385
                                       Mahākauṣṭhilasūtra (<u>19ff</u>);
 III 107f n. 555 fn.5; cp.
                                        ns. 58, \underline{149}, (\underline{165}), (174)
           Cūļasuññata-s.
                                       Mahānidānasūtra (37), 170,
Madhyamāgama (MĀ)
                                        174, 176, 215; ns. 1087, 1137;
 737a1off (No. 190): see Cūļa-
                                        cp. Dîghanikāya (II 63)
           suññata-s.
 789c16ff (No. 210): see Dharma-
                                       Mahāyānasamgraha (MSg)
           dinnā-s.
                                        structure / compositional and
 791c16ff (No. 211): see Mahā-
                                         textual history 101; ns.
           kausthila-s.
                                         572, 708, 710-712, 974, 975;
                                         cp. n. 717
Madhyāntavibhāga (MAV) 11,
 98(f)
                                        relative chronology / relation
                                         to other texts 11, 83; ns.
 I.3 ns. 628a fn.2, 631a, 665
                                         68, 713
 1.9 99; ns. 689, 69\overline{3}
```

```
doctrinal aspects 10, 27,
                                      II.26 n. 578
  57, 61f, <u>65</u>, <u>79f</u>, <u>100-102</u>
                                      II.32
                                              103[n.726]; ns. 453,
  (+ add. ad 100f), 111; ns.
                                              58o
  222, 572, <u>580</u>, 784; cp. Index
  I.1 s.v. ālayavijnāna III
                                      III.1 ns. 572add., 582, 1014
I.1 65[n.453]; ns. 14, 572
                                      III.12 n. 717
1.2(+27) 65[ns.453, 454],
                                     Mahāyānasamgrahabhāsya (MSgBh)
 102[n.714]; ns. 136, 179
                                      102; n. 101
I.3 57[n.397], 65[n.454];
                                      structure / textual history
 cp. 25, 27, 113; ns. <u>137</u>,
                                       ns. <u>708+add.</u>, <u>717</u>
 179, 817
                                      I.5(_{+}150a6ff) n. 1477(A13b;
I.5 49[ns. 344, 349], 101[n. 704]; ns. 222, 338, 348, 647,
                                                       D.a;G.a,b fn.4)
 1477(A13;G;H.b)
                                      1.6(150b3f) ns. 919, 920,
                                                    963
I.6 ns. 830, 943
                                      I.34(159b6f) n. 717
I.7 n. 710; (7A.2:)124[n.
 830]; n. 358; (7A6:) n. 934;
                                      I.36(160a2-7) n. 1369
 (7C:) 154[n.975]
                                      I.39(161a5f) n. 147(b)
I.11 7[n.68]
                                      I.45(163a1f) n. 58o
I.13B 23[n. 192]; n. 204
                                      I.50-54 (163b7ff, esp. 166a3-
I.14.1 n. 147(b)
                                       167b5) n. 7o8+add.
I.20 182[n.1171e]
                                       I.52.2(164b2f)
                                                         101[n.709]
I.21 ns. 1374, 1477(H.c)
                                      III.1-13(189a6-196a5) n. 717
I.27
      see I.2
                                       III.12(195b6f) n. 717
I.34
      130[n.858]; ns. 222
                                     Mahāyānasamgrahopanibandhana
       (I.34ff), 243, 259(c),
                                      (MSgU)
      1477(\underline{A10};C)
                                      1.5(_{+}240a6ff) 49f[n.350];
I.35
     101[n.704]
                                                 ns. 189, 247, 1051,
I.36 \quad 170[n.1075]ff
                                                 1477(G.a fn.3, c
                                                 fn.7; H.a)
I.40-41 ns. 36, 37
                                      I.6(24ob3f) ns. 919, 920,
I.44 n. 572
                                                 963
I.45-49 <u>79f</u>[ns.572-576, 579,
                                      1.13B(246a6) 24[n.205]
          580-582]; n. 710
                                      I.21(251a2f,6f) ns. 367, 1374
I. 50-55 101[n.707]; ns. 16,
        157, 708, 710
                                      1.33(257a6) n. 259(c)
I.61
      (61A:) n. 47; (61D:)
                                      I.34(257b4-7) ns. 239, 259(c),
      n. 580
                                                 1477(A10;C)
I.62
     154[n.974]
                                      I.36(259b2-7) 17of[ns.1077,
                                                 1078], 214, <u>215ff</u>
II.11 ns. 726, 729
                                                 [ns.1366, 1370,
II.13 89, 100[n.698, 699];
                                                 1371]; ns. 38, 1369
       n. 727
                                      1.42(261a2f) n. 281
                                     II.7(271b1-3) n. 1305
```

Mūla-Madhyamaka-kārikāḥ (MK) Mahāyānasūtrālaṃkāra (MSA) 11, 98(f); ns. 99, 104 XXVI.2(+4) 164 + n. 1054; ns. 239, 1119 VI.9 n. 1330 IX.42 n. 1297 XI.44 (102)[n.715]; ns. 631a "Maitreya texts" fn.1, 689 relative chronology / relation XIV.20 n. 1327 to other texts 11, 99; ns. XIV.29f n. 1297 98-100, 690 (basic texts and XIX.51 200, 207; ns. 689, earliest comm.s), 695 1167, 1330 XIX.76 ns. 719(a), 1297 no ālayavijñāna but similar concepts 98f, 102; n. 1196 Mahāyānasūtrālamkārabhāsya no interest in nirodhasamāpatti (MSABh) 1o2[n.719(a): 63,5; 99; n. 696 66,5; 169,6; 169,23; 174,16]; n. 101 "Maitreya Asanga complex" 187ff 59,5 ns. 147(a), 504 "Maitreya ch." of the Large Prajñāpāramitā 193 (Mahāyāna-)Sūtrālamkāraţīkā (SAT) 106f Yogācārabhūmi (Y) 66b6 / VI.2 n. 386 79a6ff/IX.41structure / incoherences / n. 762 79b5ff/IX.42 n. 1297 history of composition 154, 185; ns. 124, 1103; 80a4f/ IX.45 n. 759 101a5/ XI.40 n. 759 cp. also the different Sec-180a2/XIX.49 n. 759 tions, Bhūmis, etc. author/compiler 13, 183ff; (Mahāyāna-)Sūtrālamkāravṛttin. 173; cp. n. $1\overline{70}$; (PG:) bhāṣya (SAVBh) 106f 223f; (BoBh:) 193[n.1231]; mi: (VinSg:) 64; ns. $\underline{128}$, $\underline{131}$, 141b5/IX.41 n. 762 173, 451 142a5ff/IX.42 n. 1297 relative chronology / relation 143b5,6/IX.45 n. 758 to other texts <u>11ff</u>, 136, ns. $\overline{758}$, 763, 207b5f/XI.40 187ff; ns. 78, 98-100, 102, 764 1197; cp. also the different 300b1/XIV.20 n. 1330 Sections, etc. 300b7/XIV.21 n. 634 canonical affiliation 20[n. 166], 176, <u>214ff</u>; n. 1141; 170a6f/XVIII.83 ns. 244, (245) 242a2f/XIX.49 n. 758 cp. n. 58 248a3f/XIX.55 ns. 758, 764ālayavijñāna in Y see Index I.1 (s.v. ālayavijñāna III) Mahāvadānasūtra ns. 1078, 1138 Y and vijnaptimātratā, etc. 32f; ns. 221, 224 Mahāvedallasutta (MN No. 43) ns. 149, 154 (Buddhaghosa on M.); see Majjhimanikāya (I A. Basic Section (B.S.) 296); cp. Mahākausthilasūtra structure / incoherences / history of composition 14, Milindapañha n. 161 (299,14f. 142f; ns. 124, 132; cp. also

300,4-6)

```
the different Bhūmis
                                         16,1ff
                                                  39[n.261]; ns. 231,
relative chronology
                                                  156[n.984]; n. 256
                                         18,1ff
 within B.S. 45[n.307], 117ff
                                          18,1(-3) 39[n.265]; ns.
  132, 137f, 141ff; n. 94\overline{3}
                                                     231, \overline{270}, 1405(E,H)
 B.S. and Samdh 12ff, 47,
                                                   <u>40[n.278]</u>
                                         18,16ff
  49, 116, 123, 1\overline{31f}, 1\overline{45};
                                         18,21(ff) ns. 264, 270
  ns. 111, 115, 118, <u>324</u>, 326,
                                         19,6-8 ns. 264, 1405(E)
  327+add., 334
                                         23,2-9 n. <u>844</u>
 B.S. and VinSg 14, 116, 123f,
                                                   48[n.333], <u>127ff</u>;
                                         24,1-10
  <u>126f</u>, 145; ns. 132, 173,
                                                   ns. 247, 86\overline{4}
  943
                                                   37[n.245], 38f[n.
                                          24,3-5
                                                   260], 42[n.287],
                                                   43[n.291], 59[n.

    Pañcavijñānakāya-s. Bh.

                                                   411], (137), 146[n.
structure / incoherences /
                                                   910], 156; n. 327
hist. of compos.
                     114f f
                                         24,14-17 ns. 184, 239, 250,
4,5-12
        <u>110ff</u>
                                        25,3ff 48[n 25]
                                                    864
  4,7: 42[n.285], 43[n.291],
   (117ff), (131f), (137),
                                                 48[n.332]; n. 831
   154[n.970], 156; n. 796
                                        25,8f n. 328
                                        25,12-14 ns. 831, 1408
  4,11f: 54f[n.383], 59[n.
                                        25,15(f)
                                                    <u>53[n.368], 113[n.</u>
   410], 179[n.1164]; ns. 831,
                                                    791], 141, <u>15</u>0f[n.
   1408
                                                    951], 159[n.1o2o];
5,16 ns. 610, 631a
                                                    ns. 1426(B), 1428
                                        25,16-19
                                                    <u>59</u>[n.412], 87[n.
6,5f, 8, etc.: 110, (115)
                                                    621], 137[n.885];
                                                    ns. 328, 14o5(D.c;H)
2. Manobhūmi
                                                    43[n.289], 45[n.
                                        25,2o(ff)
structure / incoherences /
                                                     304]; n. 417
hist. of compos.
                                        26,11-19
                                                    141
 48, <u>123ff</u>, <u>131f</u>, <u>156f</u>; ns.
                                          26,11-14
                                                     67[n.481], 69[n.
 864, 943, 996, 1008
                                                     494]
11,3-8
         117ff, 123f add., 125ff
                                          26,14-16
                                                     n. 47
         add., 145f[n. 903];
                                          26,16f
                                                   66f[n.469], 158[n.
         ns. 132, 828, 943
                                                   1007]
                                          26,18(f)
                                                     23, <u>55</u>[n.391],
          (15), (26), \underline{52}[n.
11,4f.
                                                     113[n.792], 150f
[n.951], <u>157ff</u>;
          364], (142); ns. 291,
          8o5
                                                     ns. 388, 514, 831,
11,6f 146; ns. 913, 943
                                                     962, <u>1002</u>, <u>1008</u>,
 11,8 ns. 828, <u>905</u>
                                                     1426(C.b)
11,9ff 54[n.382], 124; n. 828
12,1f ns. 610, 631a
                                        27,1-3 n. 591
12,6f(+14,1f) n. 230
                                        30,6f n. 3\overline{26}
12,8 130[n.861], 146[n.909];
                                        52,15f 62[n.442], 111[n.
      n. 233
                                                 781]
                                        55,14 111[n.781]; n. 442
```

57,1of 86[n.612]

```
59,16ff 86[n.612]; n. 613
                                          207,16f
                                                    n. <u>613</u>
 61,3 158[n.1015]
                                          212,18f
                                                    15of[n.951]; ns.
       45[n.305], 111[n.781];
                                                    368, 1421
        n. 288
                                                     37[n.241], 170[n.
                                          230,4-9
                                                    1087], 174[ns.1119, 1121], 175[n.1130];
 65,1ff 123f add.
 70,6-8 n. 386
 71,12ff n. 115
                                                    n. 239
                                                        170[n.1088], <u>214f</u>
                                         230,10-15
 3.-5. Savitarkā Savicārā Bh.,
                                                    [ns.1359, 1362, 1363],
 etc.
                                                    219; n. 1108
                                         230,16-18 ns. 221, 1087
structure / incoherences /
 hist. of comp.
                    133f, 135ff
                                         6. Samāhitā Bh.
 99,5f(f) ns. 469, 1064
                                          Y<sub>t</sub>dzi
 108,8f n. 831
109,13-15 69[n.492], <u>132ff</u>;
                                          145a3f "
                                                      66b4
                                                             n. <u>472</u>
            ns. 47, 292, 432
                                          151a2 =
                                                      69a4
                                                             n. <u>473</u>
          n. <u>930</u>
n. <u>141</u>6
162,1ff
                                          164b6f =
                                                             ns. <u>677</u>, <u>678</u>
                                                      75a7
162,11f
                                          171b5f =
                                                      78b2 n. 156
163,19f
           n. 1421 fn.2
                                          172a6-8 = 78b5 ("Initial Pas-
164,3ff ns. 1416, 1464
166,16f(f) n. 963
                                                      sage") (13), 18[n.
                                                      146]ff, 38, 85, 109,
191,18 n. 1019
                                                      129, 137 142f, (153)
192,4-6 136<u>f</u>; n. 875
                                                      166, 180; ns. 16,
192,6-9 60[n.416], 87[n.
                                                      (<u>147(e)</u>)
          618], <u>134ff</u>, (142);
n. 147<del>7(H.c</del>)
                                         8.-9. Sacittikā and Acittikā Bh.
198,17ff ("Pratītyas. Analy-
                                          <u>137f</u>, <u>22off</u> (ed.)
          130[n.862],
                                          § 5
                                                36[n.229], 82[n.592],
 <u>170ff[n.1084]</u>, <u>177ff</u>; n.
                                                154[n.976]; n. 1074
 259(a)
 198,20-199,1 \quad 174[n.1127],
                                         10. Śrutamayī Bh. n. 132 (hist.
         178[ns.<u>1153</u>, 1157];
                                          of compos.)
         n. 789
                                          Y_t dzi
                                                        Ym
 199,1f ns. 1405(F), 1418
 199,3-7 38[n.<u>255</u>], 175[n.
                                                        84a4ff ns. 132+
                                          184b8ff =
         1130]; ns. 374, 1153
                                                               add.
 199,7-10 171[n.1092], 174[n.
                                          185b8
                                                        84b2
                                                               n. 532(b),
          <u>1125</u>]; n. 1102
                                                        1242, 1405(B)
 199,10-13 n. 1085
199,14 n. 1086
                                          186a8
                                                   =
                                                        84b5
                                                               n. 341
                                          186b4
                                                   =
                                                        84b7
                                                                23[n.<u>195</u>]
 200, 1f(f) 48[n.331], 175f [n.1135], 178[n.1161]
                                          194a5-8 =
                                                        88a2
                                                               n. <u>488</u>
                                          197b4-6 =
                                                        89a7
                                                               202[n.1294]
 200,16ff 26[n.209], 62[n.
                                          211a1
                                                        94b2
                                                               n. <u>8</u>93
         441], 178[n.1156];
                                          213b7ff =
                                                        95b5f n. 218
         n. 147(b); cp. n. 794
202,15 n. 1074
204, 10-13 n. 1421
206,14f ns. 147(c), 831, 1014,
         1154
207,9 ns. 147(b), 1014, 1155
207,13 26[n.208]; n. <u>1156</u>
```

```
11. Cintāmayī Bh.
                                          293b1f = 131b1ff \text{ n. } 1405(G)
                                          299a1-3 = 135b1(f) ns. 303,
a. Paramārthagāthāḥ (PG) + com-
                                                                 820, 1059
 mentary (cy)
                                          299b6f = 136a2
                                                              n. 1440
                                          300b4f = 136b3
                                                              n. 1440
 sources 223 + n. 1401
 relation of PG to cy 141,
                                         13. Śrāvakabhūmi (ŚrBh)
            223f; n. 1404
 relative chronology 45[n.
                                           16,15-18 <u>165f</u>[n.1057]
            307], 141f
                                          242,4-6
                                                    n. 820
 doctrinal aspects esp. 53ff,
                                          255,3ff
                                                    n. 459
            68, <u>138ff</u>, <u>16off</u>;
                                          256,17ff n. 6o2
            ns. 221, 1421
                                                     66[ns.<u>461</u>, 465, 467],
                                          257,6ff
                                                     68[n.<u>490</u>]; n. 459;
 SrBh<sub>u</sub> 174,15 161[n.1032];
                                                     (13f:) n. 1478
                 n. 221
                                          283,6-8 44[n.300]; n. <u>1330</u>
 PG:
                                          384,8f 53[n.376]; n. 399
 1(+cy) ns. 221, 1394
                                          384,11-18 (11:) n. 1421 fn.
 2-4 n. 1401
                                                       4; (12f(f):) ns.
 5 161[n.1035]; ns. 221, 741,
                                                       <u>147(b,c)</u>, 831, 1154;
     1394, 1401
                                                       (14f:) 62[n.441]
 6-7 n. 1394
16(+cy) n. 1394
                                          385,6f n. <u>1478</u>
                                          431,14f n. 172
 17-18 ns. 1222, 1401
                                          432,20ff n. 1330
 25 n. 1394
                                          450,12-14 44[n.300]
 28-41(+cy) 223ff, esp. 224ff
                                                    44[n.300]; n. 602
                                          451,19f
         (metre) and 228ff(ed.,
                                                    67[n.471], 68[n.<u>490</u>];
                                          490,5ff
         transl.) + ns. 1405ff
                                                    ns. 480, 496
 28-30 (28:) ns. 831, \overline{1426(C.c)};
                                          490,21f n. <u>221</u>
         (28-29:) 55, 112[n.786], 139; (29:) 150f[n.951];
                                          497,20-498,7 <u>200f</u>[ns.1282-
                                                          1284
         (29-30:) 53f[n.380],
                                          500,19 67[n.473]
         113[n.791]
                                          506,1 n. 1248
 31 139
                                          507,7f n. <u>480</u>
 32 \text{ n. } 1405(F)
 33-34
         <u>55</u>[n.387], 140, 224ff;
                                         15. Bodhisattvabhūmi (BoBh)
         (cy:) (26), 45[n.306],
                                          literary history, relative
         55[n.389], <u>68</u>[ns. 484,
                                           chronology 14, 99[n.695];
         488], <u>138ff</u>, 153, <u>162ff</u>
                                           ns. 111, <u>114</u>, 124
     139, 224f <del>T</del>
 35
 36
     224, 226
                                          doctrinal aspects e.g. 14;
     225; (cy:) 68[ns.484,
                                           n. 221
 491], 138, 141f, 160f 38(+cy) 161, 225
                                                         BoBh<sub>w</sub>:
                                          BoBh<sub>D</sub>:
 39(-41)
           161f; ns. 913, 966,
                                                     = 14,26 \text{ n. } 602
           1401
                                           18,15-17 = 27,2ff
                                                                 67[n.473];
 42-44 n. 1033; (42:) n. 1394;
                                                                 n. 602
         (44:) 16o[n.1o28]
                                           25,22ff = 38,3ff
                                                                 n. 1326
                                           31,10ff = 46,8ff
                                                                 n. 114
b. other passages
                                           32,11ff = 47,16ff
                                                                 191[n.
                                                                 1209], 193
Y_{+}dzi:
                                           35,2ff
                                                     = 51,3ff
                                                                 ns.147(a),
 269b5ff = 119b5f
                       n. 1441
                                                                 1019
 288b3f = 128b2 \text{ n. } 532(b)
```

```
35,6
                                          Y_{t}zi:
                                                   ASBh 12f:
            = 51,9f ns. 920,
                                                  (proof No.)
                       1413
  35,24ff
            = 52,10ff
                         75[n.540]
                                          2b4-3a3
                                                       i
                                                          45[n.308], 49[n.
  68,7
            = 96,12f
                        n. <u>147(a)</u>
                                                          348], 60[n.415],
  69,5f
            = 97,16f n. 517
                                                          130[ns.859,
 115,6
            = 167,27f n. 147(a)
                                                          860], <u>195</u>; ns.
 167,5f
            = 243,1 \text{ of } \text{ns. } 1421,
                                                          33, 34, 38, 796
                1426(C.b fn.5)
                                          3a3-6
                                                    iì
                                                         46[ns.310, 311],
 169,4f
            = 246,25f
                        84[n.6o2]
                                                         195f
 175,22
            = 257,10 \text{ n. } 114
                                          3a6-8
                                                   iii
                                                         46[ns.311, 316],
 179,6f
            = 262,24ff n. 114
                                                         <u>19</u>5f
            = 265,3ff n. 114
 180,16ff
                                          3a8-b4
                                                         45[n.308], 62[n.
                                                    iv
 187,19
            = 276,7f n. 147(a)
                                                         434], 77[n.559],
111[n.783], 195;
 224,9f
            = 327,17ff n. 1418
            = 339,16f n. 930
 232,6
                                                         n. 17
 253,23
            = 368,5 \quad 67[n.473]
                                                         (46), 90[n.630],
(92), (181), 194,
                                          3b4-6
 265,5
            = 384,4f
                        n. 471
 265,6f
            = 384,6f
                        ns. 340,
                                                         196; ns. (628a),
                                                         <u>631a</u>
                                          3b6-8
                                                    νi
                                                         <u>44[n.295], (87</u>),
B. Viniścayasamgrahanī (VinSg)
                                                         (181), 195
                                                         (19), <u>35</u>[n.227],
                                          3b8-4a2 vii
 structure / incoherences /hist.
  of compos. 14; ns. 124, 128,
                                                         194f
  130, <u>131</u>, 173; cp. "Proof
                                          4a2-4 viii
                                                         40[n. 274], <u>41</u>,
                                                         (44), 195; n\overline{s}.
  Portion", etc.
                                                         <u>281</u>, 796
 relative chronology
  VinSg and Basic Section see A
                                         β) "Pravṛtti Portion" [see n.
  VinSg and Samdh
                     12ff; ns.
                                          226] n. 657 (nirodhasamāpatti
   13o, 1193
                                          not mentioned)
 author/compiler see Yogācāra-
                                          structure / incoherences /
                                           hist. of compos. 152[n.960],
                                           194[n.1233]; ns. 357, <u>634</u>,
 ālayavijñāna in VinSg see
  I.1 (s.v. ālayavijñāna III)
                                           948, <u>135</u>1
                                          relative chronology / relation
1.-2. Pañcavijñānakāya-mano-bhū-
                                           to other texts
mi-vin.
                                           (Samdh:) 47, 89; ns. 627, 634, 646; (Proof P.:) 196;
a) "VinSg ālay. Treatise" [see
 \{1.5 + n.92\}
                                            n. <u>632</u>; (Sacittikabhūmi-
 Y<sub>+</sub>zi2a3f 116[n.8o3]
                                            vin.:) 82f+add., 152[n.960];
                                            n. 948, 1297; (MAVBh:) 102f;
a) "Proof Portion" [see n. 226]
                                            (Kośakāra:) 104; ns. 733,
  10, 48, 170
                                            738; (Sthiramati:) 104, 106;
                                            n. 749
 structure / incoherences /
                       36, <u>194ff</u>
  hist. of compos.
                                         Y_{t}zi/H 1979(\S \underline{I}):
 relative chronology / relations
                                          4a8-b2/1.b.A
                                                          90[n.633], (102),
  to other texts (Samdh:) 46,
                                                          <u>203f</u>[n.1304];
  195f; (Pravṛtti P.:) 196; n.
                                                          ns. (628a), 634,
  632; (MAV:) n. 628a fn.2;
```

(MSg)10; (LAS:) n. 631a fn.2

1307

4b2/A.1 73[n.531], 9o[n.636], 91[n.645],	Y _t zi / H 1979 (§ <u>I.5.b</u>):
204[n.1310]; n. 521 4b3f/A.2 91[n.637]; n. 634 4b4f/A.3 91[n.639], (96); n. (634)	8a4f/A 76[n.549] 8a5f/A.1-2 64[n.444], 203[n. 1300]
4b5f/B.1 ns. 634, 1171 4b6f/B.2 49[n.348], 91[n. 640]; ns. 634, 1308	8a6-b1/A.3 n. <u>13</u> o <u>3</u> , 1351 8b1f/A.4 <u>75</u> [n. <u>548</u>], <u>206</u> [ns. <u>13</u> 20, 1324]; n.
4b7f/B.3 91[n.641] 4b8-5a2/C 91f[n.646]; n. 361 5a2-5/1.c 2o4[n.1309]	555add.[C] 8b4-9a4/B.1 <u>209ff</u> 8b4-6 <u>78[ns.563, 566];</u> n.
$5a5-8/2.\overline{b}$ $61[n.421]$, 97f[ns. 679, 680, 684]; n. 218	147(b) 9a3f 77[n.561], $\underline{197f}(\bigcirc)$, $\underline{210ff}$
5b3-6a5/3 n. $11435b3f/3.\overline{b}.A 51[n.356]5b4f/\overline{A}.\overline{1} 62[n.438]$	9a4-8/B.2 77[n.561], 181[n. 1167], <u>197ff</u> (<u>1a</u> - <u>2b</u>), <u>204ff</u>
5b5-7/A.2 $51[n.358]$; ns. 357 , 830 $5b7-6a4/B$ $n.448$	9a4f ((1a)) <u>20of</u> , 21o 9a6f ((2a)) n. 1322 9a7f ((2b)) <u>201f</u> ; n. 124o
5b7f 6o[n.418]; n. 1477 (G.c;H.c) 5b8-6a3/B.1 n. 356	9a8-b3/C.1 77[n.561], 181[n. 1167], $\underline{198f}$ ($\underline{3a}$), $\underline{204ff}$; ns.
$\frac{60[n.417]}{1477(H.c)}$; n.	555add.[C], <u>1337</u> 9b3-8/C.2 n. <u>1337</u> 9b4f (a) <u>76</u> [n.554]
6a5f(f)/4.b.A.1 ns. 657, 900, 1232 $6a6f \frac{149f}{1496}[n.944]; n. 948$	9b5 (b) <u>76</u> [n.551] 9b6f (c) (76), <u>78</u> [n. <u>568];</u> n. <u>570</u>
6a7f $149f[n.945]$; n. 948 6b2-4/A.2 ns. 1351 , 1355 6b2 $202f[n.1298]$; ns. 358,	9b7f (d) <u>81[n.590]</u> 9b8-1oa4/C.3 76[n. <u>555+ add.</u>]; n. 13 <u>37</u>
830, <u>1297</u> 7a4-8a3/B.1-4 ns. <u>1351</u> , 1355 7b1-3/B.2 n. 355 7b7-8a3/B.4 82add., <u>152[n.</u> 959]; n. 657	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
y) "Nivṛtti Portion" [see n. 226] ns. 47, 357 (manas not mentioned), 362	b) other passages Y _t zi: Y _c :
structure / incoherences / hist. of compos. <u>77ff</u> ; <u>208ff</u> ; ns. 590, 1240, <u>1337</u> , 1351	$\begin{array}{ccc} 10b6ff/582a13ff & \underline{126}[n.833] \\ 15b5ff/583b21ff & \underline{21}[n.\underline{172}]; \\ & n.\underline{131} \end{array}$
relative chronology / relation to other texts (Samdh:) 47, 20of[ns.1281, 1288]; ns.	17b6 /584a27ff 64[n.452]; n. 131 3oa5ff/589a9ff 76[n.553];
130+add., 627; (Sacittikabhū-mi-vin.:) 82f.+add., 169; (Sopadhikanirupadhika-bhūmi-vin.:) 83f; n. 130	ns. 47, 532(a) 30a8f /589a14ff

```
19oa6ff /651c15ff <u>82f</u>[n.593];
                     1337 fn.1
  39a3ff/593a1ff
                    n. 131
                                                     (6f:) 8\overline{3[n.596]};
  41b1f / 593c29f
                   n. 196
                                                     (7f(f):) 152[n.
  41b8f /594a11ff
                    n. 651
                                                     958]; n. 946
  61a8ff/6o1b22ff
                   84[n.6o2]
                                        19ob1ff /651c2off n. 657;
  7ob2-4/6o5a9ff
                   n. 221
                                                     (b3:) <u>151</u>[n.954];
  7ob7ff/6o5a2off
                    n. 459
                                                     n. 492;
  71a6f /605b1f n. 461
                                                     (b4:) 152[n.956]
  73a1-3/605c27ff
                                        19ob5
                    n. 1323
                                                /652a2 83[n.595]
  73b2f /6o6a15ff
                    n. 1326
  76b2ff/6o7b4ff
                   ns. 131, 161
                                       10. Śrutamayī Bh. (of VinSg)
  78a6 /608a13f
                    69[n.495]
                                        202b2f
                                                /657a19ff ns. 463-466
  8ob2ff/6o9a3ff
                   n. 611
                                        202b5ff /657a3f 206[n.1326]
 107b5f /619b23ff n. 606
                                       11. Cintāmayī Bh. (of VinSg)
3.-5. Savitarkādibhūmi-vin.
                                        208a4
                                                /659a12f
                                                           158[n.1016]
 112b6ff/621b6ff
                    148[n.928]
                                        215a5f(f)/661b26ff 69[n.495];
 115b2(f)/622a26ff
                      148[ns.
                                                      ns. 131, 172
                      928, 929]
                                        220b5f
                                                /663b9ff
                                                           n. 461
 118b2 / 623a22f
                   n. 482
                                                           n. 459
                                        220b6f
                                                /663b12f
 127a1f /626a18f
                    1<u>66</u>[ns.1063,
                                        22ob8ff /663b15ff
                                                            87f[n.624];
                    1065]
                                                            n. 1064
 156b2-4/637b21ff
                    n. <u>1421</u>
                                                /664c6 n. 374
                                        224a4
 168a4f /642a12ff
                    84[n.602]
                                        224b3-225a2/664c19ff
                                                                38| n.
 169a3 - 5/642b17ff
                                                      <u>259(a)</u>], <u>39</u>[n.
                                                      272], <u>58f</u>[n.402];
8. Sacittikabhūmi-vin. n. 657
                                                      n. 401;
 (nirodhasamāpatti not mention-
                                                      (b6:) 154[n.972].
 ed)
                                        225b1f
                                                /665a17f n. 147(d)
 structure / incoherences /
                                        226a2
                                                /665b6f n. 374
  hist. of compos. ns. 357,
                                        228a3ff /666a11ff n. 196
  1351
                                        228b7
                                                /666b5f n. 17\overline{2}
 relative chronology / relation

    Śrāvakabhūmi-vin.

  to other texts (Pravrtti and
  Nivṛtti P.:) 82f+add., 152[n.
                                       245b2
                                                /672b12f
                                                          n. 147(d)
  960], 169; ns. 948, 1297
                                       246a5(ff)/672c2
                                                          66[n.460];
                                                          n. 459
 189a8f /651b11f add. ad 125ff
                                       274b8ff /684b1off
                                                            ns. 259(b,
 189b2(f)/651b15-17 ns. 355,
                                                            c), 26\overline{1}
                       <u>357</u>, 900
 189b3f
         /651b19 ns. 820, 906
                                      15. Bodhisattvabhūmi-vin. 99;
 189b4f
         /651b19-23 83[n.594],
                                       n. 221
              92[n.653], 123f
              [n.<u>824]</u>, <u>15</u>0
                                       302b5ff /696a11ff
                                                            206[n.1323];
              [n.946]; \overline{ns.} 634,
                                                            n. 1326
                                       Y_t 'i:
              (948)
 190a2
         /651c3f
                   ns. 830, 1297,
                                         2b4-7 / 696c18ff
                                                            ns. 131,
                   1351
                                                            471
190a3f(f)/651c7ff
                     61[n.4<u>22</u>],
                                          5b4
                                                /697c16f
                                                           206[n.1323]
                     98[n.685]
                                                /701b14f
                                        15a8
                                                           206[n.1326]
                                        26a7f
                                                /705c4ff
                                                           n. 1311
                                        47a4
                                                /713b29
                                                          189f
```

```
67[n.475];
                                       312b3f
                                               /839a29f
16.-17. Sopadhika-nirupadhika-
                                                          n. 300
 bhūmi-vin.
             83f; n. 13o
                                                /839b14
                                                         45[n.303]
                                       312b8f
 137b6f
        /748a2f
                   73[n.534]
                                       313a6f
                                               /839b25ff
                                                           37[n.240];
 138a5ff / 748a15ff
                      3<u>9</u>[n.273],
                                                           n. 1130
                      83[n.600];
                                       314b4f
                                               /840a17ff
                                                           n. 504
                      \overline{n}. 281(end)
                                       335a8f
                                                          67[ns.478,
                                               /849a3-5
                   84[n.605]
 139b6f
         /748c6f
                                                          48o]
                                               /880a12-14 ns. 495,
                                                             539, 547
C. Vastusamgrahanī
                     12, 14,
 167, 214; n. 115
                                      D. Paryāyasamgrahanī
 154a1ff /776c14ff
                     n. 1426(C.a)
                                       Y<sub>t</sub> yi:
 154a5
         /776c2of
                    n. 147(c)
 162a5ff /779c1off
                     148[n.928]
                                         35b6-8 / 763c11ff
                                                            67[n.477]
 167b3f / 781c12f
                                                /768b9
                                                         67[n.479]
                   n. <u>504</u>
                                         46b5
 183b4-8 /788a11ff
                     n. 1426(C.c
                                         50b2
                                                         67[n.480]
                                                /770a6
                     fn.8)
 201a2
         /794b6
                  69f[n.499];
                  n. (516)
                                     E. *Vivaraņasamgrahaņī
                    81[n.587]
 201a4
         /794b12f
                                                /751b12ff
                                         59b5f
                                                            add. ad
 207b8f
         /796c29f
                    n. 601
                                                             125f f
 209b4
         /797b28f
                    n. 920
                    148[n.927]
 21oa1ff /797c9ff
 210a6-8
           /797c2off
                       150[n.
                                     Yogācārabhūmi-vyākhyā (YVy)
                        947]
                                        88a2 n. 796
                    151f[n.955]
 210b1f
         /797c24f
                                        88b7ff n. 14o5(H)
         /802b9f 67[n.480]
 222a1f
                                        89a6f
                                               n. 831
 246a7f
         /812a1o n. 1215
                                        89a8ff
                                                ns. 1405(I), 1421
 252a4f
         /814b11ff
                     ns. 172, 377
                                        97b7f
                                               n. 1298
 261b4
         /818a16f
                    67[n.474]
                                        98a1-b2 ns. 137, 191, 806,
 271a2(f)/821c23ff
                     87[n.623];
                                                  811, 817, 818; cp.
                     n. 479
                                                  119[n.814]
                    170[n.1083];
 285a3ff /827c3ff
                                       101b3f
                                               n. 963
            (a7ff:) 38[n.255];
                                       115b4f
                                               n. 1405(B)
            n. 1130; (b1-3:)
                                       115b6 n. 181
            ns. 1102, 1125;
                                       115b7f
                                               159 n.1018
            (b7ff:) 48[n.331];
            n. 1135
                                     Ratnagotravibhāga-vṛtti
                                                                n. 104
 288a8ff /829a5f
                  112[n.<u>788</u>],
             (115), 179[n.1162]
                                     Lankāvatārasūtra 8o[n.584];
 289a5ff /829b7ff
                    170[n.1080];
                                      ns. 102, 631a fn.2, 721
                    n. 1108
         /829c7ff
                    170[n.1081]
 290a3
                                     Vimšatikā ns. 101, 102 (rel. to
 290a7f
         /829c15ff
                    n. 221
                                      LAS), 222 (idealism), 736 (no
 294a4ff /831b2ff
                    170[n.1082]
                                      ālayav.), 1183
         /831c5ff
 295a3f
                    174[n.1115]
                                      v. 9 106[n.761]; cp. 107;
 295a4f
         /831c8-10\ 174f[ns.
                                       ns. 729, 749; cp. n. 768
                    <u>112</u>7, <u>1131</u>]
 295a5f
         /831c1off
                     174[n.1125]
 311a5ff /838c6ff
                    70[ns.500,
                                     *Vikhyāpana n. 99; see Index
            (b2f:) 502, (b5f:)
                                      II.2: Hsien-yang
            <u>504], 168[n.1072]</u>
```

```
Vibhāṣā (Vi) n. 30 ('bīja'
                                     Saṃyuktāgama (SĀ)
  in Vi)
                                             / No.
  124c6ff 173[n.1111]
                                        3a18f,b16/15-16 n. 1426(C.a)
  226a4f, 8f 147[ns.921, 923]
                                        9a6f/39 69[n.497]
  363b2off n. 844
                                       29cff/103 see Ksemakasūtra
  392b26f n. 539
                                       56b24f/232 n. <u>1215</u>
 431b11f ns. 58, 154
                                       69a18-2o/265 192[n.1221];
 625a9ff, 667b17ff n. 231
                                                     n. 1401; cp.
 746c11-14 23[n.193]
                                                     n. 1222
 774a14ff n. 154
                                       8oc2ff/287 s. Nagara-s.
 774a22ff n. 153
                                      81a25ff/288 s. Nadakalāpi-
                                               kȧ̀−s.
                                      82b1of,c2off/291-292 n. 1426
Vivṛtagūḍhārthapiṇḍavyākhyā
                                                    (C.b fn.7)
 (VGPVy)
                                      88c1o/3o8 n. 14o1, 1428
                                      150a25/568
                                                  97[n.682]
 376a4f n. 348
                                     150b4-10/568
                                                   <u>20[n.165]</u>
 376a6ff n. 770
                                     150b11-15/568 19ff[ns.149,
 376b3ff n. 348
                                                     174], 97[n.
 381b2 ns. 1401, 1447
                                                     683
 416a8f n. 257
                                     160c2off/601
                                                   n. 1441
 416b1-4 n. \overline{232}
                                     312a8ff/1168
                                                   ns. 1425(D),
 420a8 n. 414
                                                    1426(B)
 421b2 n. 1383
                                     316a3/1175 69[n.497]
 426b4-6 n. 28o
 426b6ff
          n. 281
                                    Saṃyuttanikāya (SN)
 427b4ff
          n. 770
 430b6ff n. 570
                                     vol.+p. / No.
 432a5f n. 58o fn. 1
                                     I 15/1.27 n. 1441
                                     II 107f/12.66 n. 1426(C.b)
Visuddhimagga (VisM)
                                     II 112ff/12.67 see Nadaka-
 XIV.111-114 ns. 235, 665
                                                     lãp.-s.
 XIV.123 n. 235
                                     III 31/22.29 ns. 1428, 1444(A)
 XXIII.30 n. 200
                                     III35,36f/22.35-36 n. 1426(C.a)
                                     III 46/22.47 ns. 1426(B fn.1),
Vyākhyāyukti ns. 101, 102
                                                  1426(C.c fn.8)
                                     III 126ff/22.89 ns. 915, 916,
Šatasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā
                                                     918; cp. Ksema-
 (Sat)
                                                     ka-s.
                                     III 142/22.95 192[n.1221];
  110,3f n. 1477(A14;D.b)
                                                   ns. 165, 1222,
 1470,13ff n. 1477(A3;D.b)
                                                   1401
                                     IV127/35.136 ns. 1428, 1444(E)
Śālistambasūtra ns. 1414, 1421
                                     IV201-203/35.207 ns. 1405(A),
∜Śāsanodbhāvana, -sphūrti n.
                                                       1425(D),
 99; see Index II.2: Hsien-yang
                                                       1426(B)
                                     IV294/41.6 ns. 149, 174, 683
Śrāvakabhūmi see Yogācārabhūmi
                                   Sanghabhedavastu
 (A.13)
                                    I 7,2off n. 239 fn.1
```

```
15.1 n. 1327
Saddharmavyākhyāna n. 99; see
 Index II.2: Hsien-yang
                                        34.2 n. 1295
Saṃdhinirmocanasūtra (Saṃdh)
                                       37 88f; n. 627
 relative chronology / relation
                                       37.1 n. 628a
  to other texts 11ff, 46ff,
                                                 <u>89[ns.628, 628a, 629],</u>
                                        37.1.1
  89[n.627], 116, \overline{131f}, 195f,
                                                 93[n.660], 95ff; ns.
  200f; ns. 78, 98, 111, 114,
                                                 634, 737, 755
  115, 118, 130, 132, 324, 326,
                                         37.1.3-7 n. 646
  327, 631a, 119<u>3</u>
 canonical affiliation ns.
                                        37.1.14 n. 942
  315, 334
                                       37.2
                                             (88), 200[n.1280]; n.
                                              628a
 doctrinal issues ns. 132,
  354, 395, 657, 942; cp. Index
                                       37 • 3
                                              201
  I.1 s.v. ālayavijñāna III,
  ādānavijnāna
                                      X n. 130
    46ff, 62[n.437], 71ff, 87;
                                       5.2 n. 115
  ns. 627, 657
                                      Samdhinirmocanasūtra-ţīkā
  1 46[n.318]
                                        (SamdhT)
     46ff[ns.325-327+add., 329,
                                       269b7ff n. 196
     330, 351], <u>71ff</u>[ns. <u>508</u>,
     [520], 90f, [131f][ns. [870],
                                      Samdhinirmocanasūtra-vyākhyāna
     871]; ns. 353, 437,
                                       (SamdhVy) n. <u>1355</u>
     532(a), 970, 1143
                                       co:
     12[n.118], 15[n.135],
     \frac{22[n.181, 183]}{(27)}, \frac{23}{38[n.253]}, 48f[n.
                                       77b8ff
                                                213[n.1354]
                                       78a2-5
                                                ns. 1234, 1249ff
     345], 50[n. 352] + add.,
                                       78b3-5
                                                n. 1331
     71, 123[n.823], 149[n.
                                       8oa8ff
                                                ns. 247, 834, 835,
     941], 155; ns. 118, 327
                                                837
     + add., 436, 437, 817
                                                73[n.529]; ns. 517,
                                       81a3-5
                                                519, 532(b)
                                       81b2 n. 521
  4-5 46[n.312], 50f[n.355],
                                       83a6f n. 345
      97, 116; ns. 437, 631a,
                                       83b4-84a2 ns. 806, 811
      1143
                                       84a2ff
                                                n. <u>817</u>
     46[n.317]; n. 435
                                      104b2f f
                                                213[n.1354]
                                      104b5-105b1 ns.
                                                          1234, 1240,
     46f[n.321], 56[n.394],
                                                      1249ff
     (74), 182; ns. 218, 942,
                                       105a6-8 n. 1335
     952
                                      105b8ff n. 1331
VI-VII 73[n. 533]; n. 532(a)
                                      238b6f
                                               n. 1295
                                      239a7f
                                               n. 1330
VIII <u>88ff</u>, <u>200</u>f
 3(-6) 200[n.1276]
                                      [Vijñaptimātratā-]Siddhi (Si)
                                       107f; n. 1183
 7-8 88[n.<u>625]</u>; ns. 222, 741
      fn.1, 1305
                                       loal4ff
                                                 107[n.766];
                                                 (a14-16:) n. 527;
 9 200[ns.1276, 1279]
                                                 (a15:) ns. 510, 532;
                                                 (a17f:) n. 729
```

10c16ff 108[n.771]; n. 634 (end) 11a11ff 108[n.772] 14c7f n. 527	Suttanipāta (Sn) 34 n. 1426(C.b) 364 n. 343
16a16ff n. 33 16b22-24 n. 38 16c6ff 6[n.58] 16c24 36[n.237] 17a18f n. 38 19c11f n. 831 19c12ff 107[767, (768)] 20b24ff ns. 38, 1392 24c19ff ns. 1401, 1447 25c11ff ns. 1298, 1299 40c14ff 107[n.769] 40c21ff 108[n.773]	762 n. 1428 774 ns. 1425(D fn.1), 1426 (B;C.c[add.]), 1432 1034 n. 1440 1051 ns. 1422(B.b), 1426(C.b) 1052, 1055, 1056 n. 1426(C.b) 1103, 1104 n. 343 Sūyagaḍa I.7.30 n. 1425(A,B) Sūtrālaṃkāraṭīkā see Mahāyāna- Sūtrālṭ.
	Sūtrālaṃkāravṛttibhāṣya see Mahāyāna-Sūtrālv.

II.2 Tibetan and Chinese texts/titles

```
48oc16(etc.) 62[n.439]
Byams zus kyi le'u
                   193
                                     48oc23f n. 943
                                     48oc29f(etc.) 62[n.439]
                       see Index
Ch'êng-wei-shih-lun
                                     482a13f
                                             n. 930
 II.1: Siddhi
                                     483c9f
                                             <u>62</u>[n.440]
                                     485b13f
                                              n. 930
                        n. 3<u>57</u>
Chüeh-ting(-tsang-lun)
                                               5f[n.44], 44[n.297],
 (1019b27); cp. Index II.1 s.v.
                                     487a3-6
                                               (88); n. 4\overline{7}
 Yogācārabhūmi B.1 (Y, zi 1a1-
                                               44add.
                                     487b3ff
 60b7)
                                               61[n.423]
                                     504b12f
                                              ns. 159, 206, 778
                                     50 5b21f
                       catalogue
1Han(/1Dan) dkar
                   ma
                                                66[n.460]
                                     548c1off
 ns. 21, 113
                                     566c6f n. 1298
                                      567b13-28 n. 1234
Hsien-yang(-shêng-chiao-lun)
                                     568b6f, 12f n. 1295
 11, 83, 189; n. <u>99</u>
                                      571c1f n. 872
 579c4 n. 532(b)
                                      580a19-21 n. 1408
         92[ns.654, 655]; ns.
                                    Liu-mên-chiao-shou-hsi-ting-lun
         \overline{528}, 729
                                      (T 1607) n. 46
         98[n.686]
 480c7f
        n. 568
                                    Shu-chi ns. 230 (364a15f),
 480c9f
 48oc12-14 76[n.556]
                                      1145 (366b23ff)
  48oc14f n. 12o
```

Yid dan kun gzi'i dka' 'grel (YidKun) ns. 281 (73,2ff), 631a fn. 3 (70,9ff, 71,4-6), 728 (14,2ff), 765 (13,8ff, 15,5ff), 1297 (60,8ff) Yü-chia-lun-chi (T 1828) ns. 269 (321b1off), 1344 (603a29ff)

III. Index of persons

III.1 Indian authors, masters and schools

Asanga 13, 100, 183ff; ns. 46, 69, 124, 128, 157, 451

*Asvabhāva <u>106</u>f, 170ff, 215(f) f; ns. 281, 759, <u>1100</u> (date), 1477(G.c)

Gunamati ns. 69, 149

Ghosaka ns. 157, 158

Candrapāla n. 583

Jñānagarbha n. 629; cp. Bibl. (I.1)

Tāmra**ś**āṭīyas (/-parṇīyas) n. 68

Theravādins (19)f, 36, 38f, 41; ns. 68, 161, 232, 248, 302, 404, 409, 785, 1114

Dārṣṭāntikas 44add; ns. 154, 406

Dignāga ns. 665, 741

Dharmakirti n. 1421

Dharmapāla ns. 167, 532(a), 583, 1100

Nanda n. 768

*Nandasena (dGa ba'i sde) n. 157 Paramārtha esp. 145, 162, 183, ns. 89, 357, 589, 665 fn.2, 1311

pūrvācāryāḥ n. 170

*Pṛthivībandhu (or Vasubandhu: see Bibl. I.1 s.v. PSkBh) 93, 217; n. 21

Buddhadeva 175; n. 154

Buddhaśānta ns. 708, 717, 1477 (G.c fn.8)

Mahāsāṅghikas 46(+ n. 314); ns. 302, 315, $\overline{11}33$

Mahīśāsakas 4; ns. 13, <u>68</u>, <u>69</u>, 161

Mūlasarvāstivāda/vādins (esp.: their version of canonical texts) 20, 69(+ n. 497), 97, 176(+ n. 1140), 214ff; ns. 58, 71, 149, 161, 167, 1138, 1141

Maitreya 183, 189; cp. Index II.1

Yasomitra ns. 58, 78

Yogācāras passim

Rāma (Bhadanta R.) n. 1117

Vasubandhu 102ff; ns. 99, 101, 717, 1200

V. the Kośakāra 15, 19, 26, 83, 103f, 111; ns. 68, 69, 78, 101, 102 (rel. to LAS), 151, 157, 170, 222, 733, 743, 1119, 1128

Vasumitra 19f; ns. 153 (in Vi); 157, 175

Vārsaganya 28

Vinītadeva 203

Vibhajyavādins ns. 58, 154

Vaibhāṣikas 19, 36, 38f, 41, 44add., 130, 136, 147, 173f; ns. 36, 302, 785, 844, 1102, 1110; cp. Sarvāstivādins

Śankara 189; ns. 677, 1180

Śamathadeva ns. 58, 149, 244

Śīlānka n. 1425(A-C)

Śrīlāta <u>148</u>; ns. 925, 1109, 1114, <u>1117</u>

Sanghabhadra n. 1114, 1132

Sarvāstivāda/vādins 4, 19, 173, 175, 203; ns. 157, 348, 1114, 1421; (S. version or exegesis of canonical texts:) 19f, 69(+ n. 497), 176(+ n. 1139), 214ff; ns. 149, 1107, 1137, 1141

Sānkhya 28f, 149, 151

Sāmmitīyas n. 78

Sautrāntikas 4, 21, 130, 148, 174; ns. 30, <u>78</u>, 101 fn.2, 157, <u>170</u>, 173, 232, <u>257</u>, 302

Sthaviras see Theravādins

Sthiramati <u>104ff</u>; ns. 137, 170, 222, 244, 729, 733, 743, 749 etc., 1100, 1297, 1477(F)

III.2 Tibetan and Chinese authors

Ching 🕏 n. 1344

dGa' ba'i sde n. 157

Hsüan-tsang **玄奘** esp. 84, 107, 189f; ns. 239, 1100

Kuei-chi 賴基 ns. 1002, 1003, 1145, 1344

Tsoń kha pa ns. 631a fn.3, 765, 1297; cp. Index II.2: YidKun

Tun-lun **遁倫** ns. 269, 1002, 1003, 1344

Ye ses sde 190

Yüan-ts'ê 🛛 🗷 n. 196

III.3 Modern authors

Anacker, St. n. 21

Aramaki, N. ns. 103, 1103

Bareau, A. n. 68

Collins, St. ns. 161, 282

Cousins, L. S. n. 68

Enomoto, F. $\frac{170f}{1078}$, $\frac{214ff}{1140}$; ns. $\frac{1078}{1140}$, $\frac{1140}{1141}$

Frauwallner, E. n. 111

Funahashi, N. ns. 193, 900

Griffiths, P. J. ns. 5, 101, 175, 222, 224, 281, 296, 1172

Hacker, P. 189; n. 1180

Hakamaya, N. 13, 181f, 183ff, 187ff, 194; ns. 99, 128, 179, 625, 900, 1173, 1183, 1195, 1236, 1447

Hirakawa, A. n. 97

Hirosawa, T. n. 111

Honjō, Y. n. 1076

Hotori, R. ns. 99, 111, 1231

Kajiyama, Y. <u>171f</u>, <u>177</u>, 179, <u>214</u>; ns. <u>221</u>, <u>1100-1103</u>, 1113, <u>1141</u>

Katano, M. n. 1100

Keenan n. 572

Kelsang(/Odani) n. 1297

Kudara, K. n. 99

Lamotte, E. n. 78

de La Vallée Poussin, L. ns. 5, 224

Matsuda, K. ns. 99, 101

May, J. n. 239

Mimaki, K. n. 78

Mukai, A. 13, 183; ns. 99, 124, 132

Müller, W. (187 +) n. 1189

Nagao, G. ns. 103, 239, <u>580</u>, 665, 765a

Nishi, G. n. 30

Norman, K. R. n. 1425(A,B)

Odani, N. ns. 442, 1103, 1297

Oetke, C. n. 1173

Okada, Y. n. 695

Osaki, A. <u>199(ff)</u>, 205; ns. 942, 1447

Paul, D. n. 222

Sakamoto-Goto, J. 224ff

Sakuma, H. n. 1330add.

Sasaki, Y. 49, $\frac{167f(f)}{239}$; ns. 239, 1477(G.a fn.1, c fn.5)

Schlesier, K. H. (187 +) ns. 1185 etc.

Seyfort Ruegg, D. ns. 67, 69, 1180

Singh, Amar n. 101

Srinivasan, S. A. n. 1198 fn.1

Sugawara, Y. n. 101

Suguro, Sh. 2, 12, 15, 144ff, 153(f), 155ff, 223; ns. 224, 625, 628a, 631a, 690, 721, 900, 943, 966, 970, 974, 985, 1017, 1045

Takasaki, J. ns. 183, 239, 342, 512, 513, 517, 749, 752, 753, 770

Takemura, Sh. n. 129

Takeuchi, Sh. ns. 342, 520, 1477(G.c fn.5)

Warder, A. K. n. 100

Ui, H. 128, 194; ns. 99, 900, 1351, 1447

Wayman, A. 220, 223; ns. 222, 1444(E), 1486(d)

Wezler, A. n. 202

Willis, J. ns. 100, 222

Yokoyama, K. <u>180</u>, 190; ns. 183, 239

Yūki, R. ns. 183, 1447

ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

p. 21

On the bija theory referred to in § 2.5, cp. now H. Katō in: BGK 43/1987, 295ff.

p. 37, 1. 7f.

If $n\bar{a}mar\bar{u}pa$ is understood as "living, sensitive corporeal matter", 'sam-murch-' could be taken as "to coagulate, solidify".

p. 44 (§ 3.7.2)

Ālayavijñāna is also introduced to explain the experience of pleasant (corporeal) sensation without joy (niṣprītikaṃ sukham) in the third dhyāna (Hsien-yang 487b3ff).

The problems involved in the experience of sukha in the first three dhyānas are extensively discussed at AKBh 438,15ff (and NA 760a6ff). The Vaibhāṣikas stress the non-occurrence of tactile perception in dhyāna and therefore consider sukha to be a pleasant mental sensation (viz. in the third dhyāna) or Ease (praṣrabdhi(sukha), viz. in the first and second dhyāna). Thus, they have to interpret 'kāyena' in the canonical formula of the third dhyāna (viz. 'sukhaṃ ca kāyena pratisaṃvedayati') as 'manaskāyena'. Though they would quite appear to admit Ease in the dhyānas to be both mental and corpore al, the Vaibhāṣikas would hardly be able to concede experiencing the latter in the state of samādhi but could, at best, allow it to take place after re-emergence from this state.

On the other hand, "others" (AKVy 673,6f.: Dārṣṭāntikānāṃ kilaiṣa pakṣaḥ) took the Sūtra quite literally and explained sukha, in the case of all the three dhyānas, as corpore al pleasant sensation caused by the fact that the body is suffused

with a special kind of wind arising from $sam\bar{a}dhi$ and called 'Ease'. ⁸ This, however, means that the experience of sukha in dhyāna involves either the occurrence of tactile perception in $sam\bar{a}dhi^9$ or the interruption of the latter. ¹⁰

The earliest Yogācāras, too, seem to have held the view that there is, in the first three dhyānas, both pleasant sensation (vedita-sukha) and Ease (pra\$rabdhi(sukha)), 11 and that at least and corporeal, 12 to be the latter is both mental experienced by the body (rūpakāya) also. 13 But they do not seem to have felt, from the outset, the doctrinal difficulties involved. Yet, in the Proof Portion (§ 3.7.1) and - explicitly in the context of the first three dhyanas - in the Hsien-yang (§ 3.7.2), corporeal experience or sensation in states where (ordinary) tactile perception was doctrinally excluded, is explained by ālayavijñāna, and this fact seems to indicate that the difficultiesdid not remain altogether unnoticed, and that the newly introduced alayavijnana was recognized to offer a chance for a new and (at any rate at first glance) more satisfactory solution to the problem. However, the association, in dhyāna, of ālayavijñāna with pleasant s e n s a t i o n, which is, to be sure, not unambiguously expressed but at any rate strongly suggested by the Hsien-yang, does not appear to have been taken up by other sources, and it was indeed likely to appear questionable: not only from the point of view of the Nivṛtti Portion where ālayavijñāna had come to be conceived of as essentially bound up with the opposite of Ease, viz. Badness/uneasiness (dausthulya) (see \S 4.7), but also because even ālayavijñāna's being, in dhyāna, suffused with or transmuted into Ease should not involve its association with pleasant sensation, no more than its ordinary constitution, viz. Badness/uneasiness, is considered to involve association with painful sensation.

^{1.} DhSk 484c18f.; NA 761c6ff.; cp. also Vibh 257-259 (1st-3rd dhyāna); ŚA 621c5, 622c1f. (1st and 2nd dhyāna).

^{2.} AKBh 438,18; Vi 412b5.

- 3. AKBh 439,5; DhSk_c 484b25f.; \$A 623a19; cp. Vibh 259 and VisM IV 175.
- 4. DhSk 483c5f.; cp. NA 761a22ff.; cp. also VisM IV.175.
- 5. NA 761a25f.
- 6. Cp. VisM IV.175.
- 7. AKBh 439,1f.
- 8. AKBh 439,1of.; cp. also ŚrBh 433,1f.: ... kāya-praśrabdhy-utpādânukūlāni vāyūdriktāni(?) mahābhūtāni kāye 'vakrāmanti.
- 9. AKBh 439,10; AKVy 674,13f.
- 10. AKBh 439,11; AKVy 673,6 and 674,20f.
- 11. ŚrBh 453,9f (3rd dhy.); 450,11ff., 451,17ff. and 453,6(-8) (1st and 2nd dhy.).
- 12. ŚrBh 450,13f. and 451,19f.: see n. 300.
- 13. ŚrBh 453,9f.

p. 50, 1. 8f, (+ n. 352)

If, in spite of the fact that none of the renderings preserved has anything but "body", yet Tib. 'lus' in the definition of $\bar{a}d\bar{a}navij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ at Saṃdh V.3 should be a rendering of ' $\bar{a}tmabh\bar{a}va'$, the remarks of § 3.9.2.6 would have to be modified, and we would have to assume that, even though the pronoun 'di points to the present, i.e. corporeal, (basis of) personal existence, the Sūtra's definition of \bar{a} d \bar{a} n a vij $\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ may in principle be applicable to existences in $\bar{a}r\bar{u}pyadh\bar{a}tu$ as well, in contrast to the definition of \bar{a} l a y a vij $\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ where 'lus' cannot but mean the b o d y , as is corroborated by the parallel passage PSk_t 17a4 (lus la gnas pa $\bar{n}id$: see n. 140) where 'lus' is unambiguously explained as "body" by the commentaries (see n. 1373).

1. Cp. also the fact that SaṃdhVy (co 83a5f.) explains 'lus' in the present passage not only as "body" but also as the four skandhas (except vijnāna) - an interpretation which, though perhaps not impossible for 'kāya', appears more natural in the case of 'ātmabhāva'.

p. 51 (§ 3.10.1)

Of course, the Pravṛtti Portion (Y_t zi 6a5ff.; H 1979, 32) as well as the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (Y_t zi 189b6f.) contain also a detailed treatment of the simultaneous occurrence ($sahabh\bar{a}va$) of several (occasionally even all the eight) $vijn\bar{a}nas$.

p. 53, 1. 17f.

"in so far as ...": The sense-faculties may also be au-pacayika (Y 64,1; AKBh 25,9).

p. 82 (§ 4.10.1)

The textual relation between the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya on the one hand and the Pravrtti and Nivrtti Portion of the VinSg $ar{a}lay.$ Treatise on the other requires more careful investigation. As far as I can see, it is difficult to take one of these texts simply as a remodelling of the other as it stands. At least some of the agreements may rather be due to a common source, or common sources - partly oral ones, perhaps, differently preserved and arranged in the two texts (the thematic emphasis in each of which is, after all, somewhat different: lying on ālayavijñāna in the one case, on a general theory of vijñāna (in conscious states) in the other). Since at least the Pravṛtti and the Nivṛtti Portion appear to contain several strata (see § 11 and ns. 1337 and 1351), mutual dependence between the two texts cannot be excluded either. Indeed, Y_{t} zi 7b7-8a3 (cp. n. 959) may well have been influenced by the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya $(Y_t$ zi 190a7f.: cp. n. 958). But since this paragraph of the

Pravṛtti Portion is a kind of supplement - from the point of view of compositional structure (see n. 1351) as well as from that of dogmatic clarification (see p. 152) -, its dependence on the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya has no evidential value for the basic materials of the Pravṛtti and the Nivṛtti Portion, nay, it may even be taken to indicate that the latter are probably not dependent on the former as it now stands. In fact, though conceding that in some cases the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya would seem to have kept closer to the original form of the source material, I cannot, on the other hand, imagine the Nivṛtti Portion (see § 4.7-9) to presuppose the soteriological theory of the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (see § 4.10.1) or even the mere concept of (kliṣṭaṃ) manas. - Cp. also n. 948.

p. 100f. (§ 5.12.2)

It should be noted, however, that in the Abhidharmasamuccaya mind-only statements are largely missing (cp. S 1972, 154f.; for an exception, see n. 100), and that ālayavijñāna's containing or being the Seed(s) of all dharmas can also be accounted for in line with traditional (non-idealist) ontology, viz. in terms of karmic Impressions (see §§ 3.13.4 and 10.3.1.3). Even the Mahāyānasaṃgraha, though elaborating the mind-only position in its 2nd and 3rd chapter, entirely refrains from explicitly "idealist" statements in its 1st chapter, viz. the one on ālayavijñāna (cp. also Suguro 1983, 5), and this means that viewed w i thin the context of this one chapter ālayavijñāna's functioning as the principle of biological appropriation does not constitute an incoherence.

p. 116, l. 9 from below and l. 5 from below

Read: § 6.1.3[end]

p. 119, 1. 18

Read: § 6.1.2.1

p. 120 (§ 6.2.2.d)

If one regards the "etymological" intention as the only motive for coining the expressions 'āšrayabhāvopagata' and 'āšrayabhāvasanniviṣṭa', one might even consider the possibility of referring them merely to the b \hat{i} \hat{j} \hat{a} \hat{s} r a y a function of \bar{a} layavijñāna (in the sense of (1)), as is in fact done by the first explanation of YVy in the case of 'āśrayabhāvopagata' (but not in the case of 'asrayabhavasannivişţa': see n. 811). Yet, such a meaning is not probable for 'asraya' without specification, as is shown by the fact that the ${\it Pravrtti}$ Portion (Y_{+} zi 5b3ff.: see n. 356) expressly distinguishes the *āśrayadāna function of ālayavijñāna from its bijabhāva function. Cp. also the use of 'asraya' (without specification), referring to ālayavijñāna's character of being the fundamental stratum, in the Sacittikabhūmi-viniścaya (Y, zi 189b2f.: see n. 357) - a text which seems to be earlier than the (extant wording of the) present passage (Y 11,3-8) and may even have influenced its introduction or modification (see \S 6.2.3[end] and add. ad p. 125ff.).

p. 123f.

One may even consider the possibility of a pre- \bar{a} layavij \bar{n} anic form of Y 11,3-8, viz.:

- (a) *cittaṃ katamat / yat sarvabījakaṃ vijāānam /
- b) *manaḥ katamat / yat ṣaṇṇām api vijñānakāyānām anantaraniruddham /
- c vijnānam katamat / yad ālambanavijnaptau pratyupasthi tam /

Such a (hypothetical) version of the passage would be particularly

homogeneous from the point of view of phraseology, for 'vijnānam' of (a) ("mind [in so far as it is ..."] is exactly what one would tend to supply in (b) and (c) as well. Moreover, the assumption of such a version would perfectly well explain the fact that Saṃdh V.3 lists, besides 'ādānavijnāna' and 'ālayavijnāna', 'citta' too as another name of Mind-containing-all Seeds (sar-vabījakaṃ vijnānam, the rnam par šes pa de of V.3 referring to the sa bon thams cad pa'i sems of V.2).

A differentiating interpretation of manas in terms of citta, manas and vijñāna is also indicated at Y 65,3 (trividhaṃ (manaḥ): cittaṃ mano vijñānam) — a passage belonging to a definitely pre-ālayavijñānic context where manas is also expressly identified with the six (ordinary) kinds of mind (Y 65,6: ṣaḍvidhaṃ (manaḥ): ṣaḍ vijñānakāyāḥ), without any reference to ālayavijñāna or to the new manas, not even in connection with manas being classified as eightfold (Y 65,6-8).

p. 125ff (§ 6.2.4.d)

The presumption that in its original form the beginning of the Manobhūmi did not contain definitions of ālayavijñāna and (kliṣṭaṃ) manas but was understood rather as dealing with manovijānāna only (or at best with the six traditional vijñānas) is further confirmed by Y_t yi 59b5f. (Y_c 751b12ff.), referring the reader, for the six kinds of mind (ṣaḍ vijnānakāyāḥ), i.e. the five sense perceptions and mano v i j ñ ā n a , to the Pañcavijñānakāyasaṃprayuktā Bhūmiḥ and the M a n o bhūmi.

I find it difficult to decide what, precisely, is implied by the remark of the Sacittikabhūmiviniścaya (Y_t zi 189a8f. = Y_c 651b11f.) that the analysis of mind by "establishing it in the conventional way" (*samvṛti-naya-vyavasthāna, in contrast to analyzing it from the ultimate point of view (*paramārtha-naya-vyasthāna)) is to be learnt from the Manobhūmi (with no mention of the Pañcavijñānakāyasamprayuktā Bhūmiḥ!). But at any

rate this remark too appears to presuppose that when the Sacittika-bhūmiviniścaya was compiled the definition of citta, manas and $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ at the beginning of the Manobhūmi (Y 11,3-8) was still missing or had a purely traditional form like the one suggested in the addendum ad p. 123f.

On the other hand, I a now inclined to think that the definition of manas as cittam mano vijnānam - with or without a pre-ālayavijñānic differentiating interpretation like the one suggested in the add. ad p. 123f. - was most probably introduced already in connection with the compilation of the first two Bhūmis of Y; for it seems to me that some of the material compiled is, in its basic definitions (cp. n. 774), affiliated to a work like the Pañcavastuka of the Prakarana. And it may well be that in this material the treatment of mind had started, as in the Prakarana (692b24f.), with a statement that citta means cittam mano vijnānam, to be concretized as the six kinds of mind (sad vijnānakāyāh), viz. caksurvijnāna, etc., up to manovijnāna. Since in Y sense perception had to be treated in isolation before non-sensory cognition, the compiler(s) could not start with the general definition of citta, only that they were unwilling to drop it, and, in view of the ambiguity of the term manas, they may well have considered it suitable for the beginning of the Manobhūmi. Cp. also the concretization of manas as cittam mano vijnānam at Y 65,1+3 (see add. ad p. 123f.) and at DhSk₂ 499b3+9 (cp. Vibh 88, \$A 525c2o+22).

1. Cp. also Hsien-yang 48ob26, where this definition is, however, followed by a concretization in the sense of the theory of e i g h t $vijn\bar{a}nas$.

p. 190, 1. 12

Instead of "this case", read: the passage under discussion

p. 194, 1. 14

Instead of "(cp. n. 220)", read: (for nirodhasamāpatvi, cp. ns. 220 and 657)

p. 198, 1. 5

adhyātmam pratyātmam: cp. ŚrBh 236,17; 299,19f.; 494,18; CPD s.v. ajjhattam.

p. 205, l. 11 from below ff.

Read: ... ālayavijñāna is not perceived but when or after insight into $dharmadh\bar{a}tu$, i.e. darsanam $\bar{a}rga$, is attained (1b) + (2a), ...

p. 216, (d)

lus la khyab pa corresponds to kāyavyāpana (so to be read instead of °dhmāpana) at AKVy 674,13 (Tib.: chu 353a6), but to kāyaspharaṇa at AKBh 439,11 (though this expression is rendered by lus la rgyas pa in the pratīka at AKVy chu 353a5), and to ā\$rayaspharaṇa at ASBh 115,28. In all these passages, the expression refers to the body being pervaded by, or suffused with, Ease (pra\$rabdhi).

n. 21

Cp. also MAVŢ 40,9-11: kli\$yata iti ... pi\$qyate ... / kli\$yata iti na v ya v ad \bar{a} ya ta ity apare /

n. 30

On the bija theories ascribed to the Sautrāntikas, see now H. Katō in: BGK 43/1987, 286ff.

n. 51

In the *Proof Portion* (Y_t zi 2b4ff.: see p. 130 + ns. 859 and 860) the saṃskārapratyayaṃ vijnānam (= ālayavijnāna) seems to be understood as the vijnāna which arises, at conception, as the v i p \bar{a} k a (of previous karman) and appropriates the (corporeal) basis-of-personal-existence.

n. 99

Hôb (fasc. annexe, 136) reconstructs the Skt. title of the Hsien-yang as Āryaśāsanaprakaraṇa(?).

n. 130 (end)

Add: But cp. § 10.3.1.1 and n. 627.

n. 132 (end)

Add: Since, however, the detailed treatment of the fivefold mahābodhi in the Bodhisattvabhūmi-viniścaya (Y_t 'i 30a5ff. = Y_c 707a1ff.) is introduced by an explicit reference to the occurrence of this term in the "summary of Mahāyāna" in the Śrutamayī Bhūmiḥ (of the Basic Section), the key words of the Mahāyāna must have

already been there when VinSg was compiled. But this does not mean that they must, already at that time, have also included the three svabhāvas, etc., the treatment of which in the Bodhisattva-bhūmiviniścaya does not contain any reference to the Śrutamayī Bhūmiḥ.

n. 137, 1. 6f.

Read: ... stick (i.e. cling) to it as to [their] Self ...

n. 171

Mutuality of being or containing Seeds is explicitly stated only in the AKBh passage (especially 78,20), whereas KSi and PSVy are explicit only with regard to the Seeds of mind (and mentals).

n. 172a

Cp. also the passages quoted in n. 171.

n. 202

The expression 'tanhālaya' would seem to derive from the explanation of nirodhasacca in the Dhammacakkappavattanasutta (SN V 421) as "... t a n h \bar{a} y a ... $c\bar{a}go$ patinissaggo mutti a n \bar{a} - l a y o ", where ' $\bar{a}laya$ ' means "clinging to" in the sense of "not desisting from [a wrong attitude like $tanh\bar{a}$]". Such a reasonable interpretation is, however, hardly possible for ' $\bar{a}lay\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$ ' (with ' $\bar{a}laya$ ' taken in a subjective sense).

n. 222

In at least most of the Yogācāra texts "idealism" (in the sense of n. 222) is, of course, a preliminary posi-

tion, to be surmounted in $nirvikalpa-j\tilde{n}ana$ experiencing ultimate reality = tathata, but re-emerging in $prsthalabdha-j\tilde{n}ana$.

n. 232

Instead of pañcahi pi ñānehi read: pañcahi viññānehi; cp. Vibh 308.

n. 233

Add: Vibh 307f.

n. 327

The non-occurrence of ālayavijñāna in Saṃdh V.2 can hardly be taken as an indication of an earlier version of Y 24,4f. I should rather think that at V.2 the Sūtra deliberately avoided the specific term because it wanted to introduce, in the next paragraph, its own term 'ādānavijnāna' (mentioning 'ālayavijñāna' only as an alternative). The less specific term 'sarvabījakaṃ cittam' at Saṃdh V.2 (resumed by 'rnam par šes pa de' = 'tad vijnānam' in the beginning of Saṃdh V.3) would seem to have been stimulated by the 'sarvabījakaṃ vijnānam' of Y 24,7f. (see § 6.3.1) and, in view of 'cittam' instead of 'vijnānam', perhaps also by an older version of Y 11,4f. (see add. ad p. 123f.!).

n. 374 (p. 330,6)

Delete dharmāḥ!

n. 555 (p. 365)

[A.] For sentence 1.b, the following rendering is perhaps preferable:

"Because [ālayavijñāna as] the cause of all Pollution (Hts.: Defilements) (*sarva(saṃ)kleśahetu) in this life (*dṛṣṭe dharme) is abandoned, [spiritually negative] Clinging which is the basis of all Pollution (*sarva-saṃkleśāśrayôpādāna) (i.e. of all Defilements (and of karman governed by Defilements)) is abandoned."

- [B] If the preceding interpretation of sentence 1.b is accepted, the suggestion of fn. 5 (p. 366) that in sentence 2 'āsraya' should be supplied from sentence 1.b is misleading. Grammatically, such a supplement is anyway superfluous because *jīvita-pratyaya-mātra may act as a substantive. Yet, from the point of view of meaning there is little doubt that the latter expression has a predicative value and that what has to be supplied as the 1 og i c a 1 subject is the [animated] body (kāya) or basis-of-personal-existence (ātmabhāva) [furnished with or consisting of the Six Senses (ṣaḍāyatana)], which had, in the introductory phrase, been stated to continue [a while], similar to a nirmāṇa, i.e. to a supranormally created apparition free from all inclinations and anxieties as well as from latent wickedness and uneasiness (dauṣṭhulya).
- [C.] The three features indicating, according to the present passage (viz. § 5.b.C.3 in H 1979), the elimination of ālayavijñā-na clearly correspond to the three aspects of ālayavijñāna as the root of Pollution distinguished at Y_t 8b1f. (H 1979, 38 [§ 5.b.A.4]; see n. 548): The abandonment of taking possession of a new existence = Suffering in future is due to the elimination of ālayavijñāna as the cause of future duḥkhasatya; the abandonment of spiritually negative Clinging as the basis of all the other klešas and of pollutive karman in this life is due to the elimination of ālayavijñāna as the cause of samudayasatya in this life; the continuance of the body or basis-of-personal-existence as a mere condition of physical life but free from dausthulya is due to the elimination of ālayavijñāna as c o n s i s t i n g i n [dausthulya constituting] duḥkhasatya in this life. The present passage (§ C.3) thus concretizes in line with the concretization

of ālayavijñāna's responsibility for Pollution in \S A.4 - the statement at the end of \S C.1 (Y_t 9b3; H 1979, 41; see \S 10.1, (3d)) that the elimination of ālayavijñāna implies that of Pollution (saṃkleša, comprising both [present and future] duḥkhasatya and samudayasatya). 1

1. In sentence 1.b (see [A]!), samkle&a is obviously used in a narrower sense, viz. as equivalent to samudayasatya, i.e. kle&as (and pollutive karman).

n. 555 fn. 3

SamdhVy (D) cho 9ob3 reads gnas nan len pa.

n. 572

MSg III.1 may even be a still later addition since it is not commented upon in the first version of $MSgBh_{\pm}$ (see n. 717).

n. 591

My interpretation of the expression '-nirbija āŝrayaḥ pari-vartate' is based on ŚrBh 283,8f., but in the present passage (as well as in the case of the similar expression 'nirdauṣṭhulya āŝrayaḥ parivartate' at ASBh 82,22) I for one cannot exclude the possibility of an interpretation as "his Basis is Transmuted [so as the become or be] devoid of all Seeds of ...", i.e. in the sense of the phrase 'laukiko mārgo ... lokottaratvena parivṛttaḥ' found at ASBh 93,17f.

n. 657 (end)

Read: ... to this state, as is, of course, natural in the case of the S a c i t t i k a bhūmi-viniścaya).

ns. 659 and 663

Add: TrBh 19,8.

n. 708

The second (i.e., from the point of view of elaborateness of the arguments, the later) version of the Bhāṣya, though placed first, yet clearly appears to be intrusive; for its beginning (163b6) interrupts what seems to be the initial words of (the older version of) the Bhāṣya on I.49 (ji ltar kun gźi - --, continued at 166b5: -- rnam par ses pa dań bcas pa¹) by what would seem to be the first sentence of (the later version of) the Bhāṣya on I.50 ('gog pa la sňoms par źugs pa'i ... rnam par ses pa dań mi 'bral lo // źes ...; cp. 166b8f.), the latter being mixed up with a fragment of the end of (the later version of) the Bhāṣya on I.49.

1) *savijāāna- may be taken as a misreading of *///yavijāāna-, i.e. *⟨āla⟩yavijāāna; cp. the Ch. versions.

It may be helpful to give a diagramm of the arrangement of the material in $MSgBh_{t}$ (A = earlier version, B = later version):

A	1.48	163b1-6
A	I.49[beginning]///	163b6
	///B I.49[end] + I.50	163b6-164a1
	B I.51	164a1-3
	В 1.52	164a3-165b3
	В 1.53	165b3-166a2
	B I.55[beginning]///	166a2-3
A	I.52-cum-54/// (end missing)	166a 3-b 5
///A	I.49[contin.]	166b5-8
A	1.50	166b8-167a3
A	I.51	167a3-5
A	<pre>I.52-cum-54[beginning]///</pre>	167a5-6

gap: see 166a4-b5

///A I.52-cum-54[end] 167a6 A (I.52-cum-54 utsūtra) 167a6-b5 A I.55 167b5ff.

n. 708 fn. 3

gan dan gan dag is strange and may represent a combination of the beginning of the pratīka of I.55 (gan (yan) ...) as the final, fragmentary element of the later Bhāṣya version, and the beginning of the comm. on I.52-cum-54 (gan yan ...) of the earlier version (cp. 167a5!); it may thus go back to something like yas (ca)/// yas ca ... or yo ('pi)/// yo 'pi ... (or: ye (ca)/// ye ca ..., etc.).

n. 741

Add: For the lack of activity in dharmas including $vij\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ and for the fact that the use of action phraseology (like ' $vij\bar{a}n\bar{a}-ti$ ') with regard to them is hence merely metaphorical, cp. also AKBh 31,11ff.

n. 816 (p. 424, l. 10)

After 'upagata' insert:

as second member of an accusative tatpuruṣa

n. 817(a)

For sbyor bar byed pa'am /, SaṃdhVy (P,D) has sbyor bar byed pa d a \dot{n} /.

n. 817 fn. 1

D reads kun gźi i don, which is preferable.

n. 817 fns. 3, 5

D confirms YVy.

n. 837

My emendations are confirmed by D (cho 69a3), which has, besides, thigs pa geig in both cases.

n. 1062

For 'tanhālaya' see add. to n. 202.

ns. 1249ff.

Variant readings in SamdhVy (D) cho 67a3-4 (= a) and cho 89b4-90a5 (= b):

n. 1250 b: bsgom

n. 1251 a: bsgoms; b: bsgom

n. 1262 b: gcig tu sdud

n. 1263 b: spuń ba

n. 1265 b: bsten!

n. 1267 b: span bar

n. 1330 (p. 497, 1. 6f. from below)

The remark in parantheses "(probably ... momentariness)" should be deleted. H. Sakuma convinced me that this is a wrong track. A detailed discussion of the passage will be offered in his dissertation on asrayaparivṛtti.

n. 1426(C.c)

If taken in the sense of [III], PG 33cd would, though perhaps with a slightly different emphasis (see n. 1426(C.d)), express the same idea as does PG 35ab - an assumption in favour of which one may adduce that vaisamyaparigata at 35a evokes the visame nivit, it, i

Additions to page 625, left column:

ā.-v. in Vasubandhu 22, 61, 83, 101ff; ns. 16, 17, 37, 78, 120, 138-140, 348, 419, 709, 717, 719, 720, 733, 736-738, (741), 1369, 1373, 1477(H.c)

Addenda et Corrigenda to the Reprint

Part I:

- p. 6-7, §§ 1.3.2 and 1.3.4.1: On aspects of viññāṇa in early Buddhism anticipating aspects or functions of ālayavijñāna see Tilmann VETTER, The 'Khandha Passages' in the Vinayapiṭaka and the four main Nikāyas, Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 2000: esp. 66-73; Rita LANGER, Das Bewusstsein als Träger des Lebens: Einige weniger beachtete Aspekte des viññāṇa im Pālikanon, Wien: Arbeitskreis für tibetische und buddhistische Studien Universität Wien 2001; William S. WALDRON, The Buddhist Unconscious, London and New York: RoutledgeCurzon 2003: 9-45.
 - p. 12,16:本事分 → 本地分.
- p. 98,22: The authenticity of the *Dharmadharmatāvibhāga* is questioned by some scholars; cf. the references in Keishō TSUKAMOTO et al. (eds.), *Bongo butten no kenkyū* (A Descriptive Bibliography of the Sanskrit Buddhist Literature) III (Kyoto 1990): 339 n. 116.
 - p. 117,15 f: The encircled number on the left side ought to be ② instead of ①.
 - p. 150,-9: wordly \rightarrow worldly.
- p. 192,6-16: Another example is AS 38,14 f (misleading reconstruction) = AS_t 89a4, defining anitya in the sense of asat in terms of the permanent absence of ātman and ātmīya in the skandhas, dhātus and āyatanas (gang phung po dang | khams dang | skye mched rnams labdag dang bdag gi dus rtag tu med pa'o). This contrasts neatly with the 'Mahāyānist' definition of BoBh_D 188,16-18: iha bodhisattvah sarvasamskārānām abhilāpyasvabhāvam 'nityakālam eva nāsti' ity upalabhyânityatah sarvasamskārān paśyati. The VinSg (Y₁ zi 245a5; Y_c 672b2-3) is quite explicit in associating anitya in the sense of asat with the Mahāyāna and anitya in the sense of perishability with the Śrāvakayāna. One can thus hardly avoid the impression that the AS deliberately interprets anityatā in the sense of asat in such a way that it is compatible with the Śrāvakayāna.
- p. 198,17-20: Perhaps better: "by means of insight, through continuously cultivating [it] ("nena jñānena, āse"). In this case, the text on p. 199 (3b) would be acceptable and n. 1264 unnecessary.
- p. 198,25: "too" is to be deleted (after numbers or expressions of quantity (here: thams cad kyang = sarvo 'pi), api has the function of underlining completeness)
 - p. 240,7 ff: Cf. Samdh X.8 (p. 160,16-24).

Part II:

- n. 51: Cf. also Arthaviniścayasūtra-nibandhana (ed. SANTANI) 119,2 f (Sautrāntika-mata, contrasted with the view (of the Vaibhāṣikas) that the samskārapratyayam vijñānam is the pratisandhi-vijñāna).
- n. 66: Cf. also SN 22.87 (III 124,1-13). On *viññāṇa* as an unbroken stream established (*patiṭṭḥita*) in this world as well as in the other world (i.e. continuing from one life into the next one) see DN III 105,20-22.
 - n. 68 (p. 256,5): read *āsamsārika°.
- n. 78: On the vipākavijñāna, cf. also Yoshihito G. MUROII, Vasubandhus Interpretation des Pratītyasamutpāda, Stuttgart: Franz Steiner 1993: 116 and 195 f (§ 14) with n. 358.

- n. 132: See my article "On Three Yogācārabhūmi Passages Mentioning the Three Svabhāvas or Lakṣaṇas", in: Jonathan A. Silk (ed.), Wisdom, Compassion, and the Search for Understanding. The Buddhist Studies Legacy of Gadjin M. Nagao. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press 2000: 245–263.
- n. 147: Cf. Ratnākaraśānti, Sāratamā (ed. P. S. JAINI, Patna 1979) 42,5: taiḥ parigrhītā adhiṣṭḥitāḥ.
 - n. 165: Cf. also DN II 338.
- n. 238: On the form °muccissatha cf. Thomas OBERLIES, Pāli, Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter 2001: 111 (§ 19 rem.), referring to Heinrich LUDERS, Philologica Indica: 184. AKVy 284,17 and Arthaviniścayasūtra-nibandhana 118,4 read kalalatvāyâbhisammūr(c)chet. Cf. n. 244.
- n. 278: For a similar view in Jaina dogmatics (the way the soul leaves the body at death indicates future destiny) see Walther SCHUBRING, *Die Lehre der Jainas*, Berlin and Leipzig 1935: 100.
- n. 341: At Saridh VIII.36.2.1, however, *ādāna (contrasted with *anubhava, *vijñapti, and *samkleśa/vyavadāna) characterizes the function of citta with reference to the body (smṛty-upasthāna pattern!); cf. also Sandh VIII.22.
- n. 352: In view of ŚrBh 300,17 and 19 (cf. also Taishō daigaku sōgō bukkyō kenkyūjo nem-pō 26/2004: 90,17 and 92,2), the form upādatta (instead of upātta) would also seem possible. In the beginning, the reconstruction should run *tad (or: etad) vijñānam ādāna° (cf. Tib. rnam par shes pa de ni len pa'i ...).
- n. 436: This etymology of citta seems to be taken from ŚrBh 496,18-21: tasya dīrgharātram tac cittam (ms. °tram) rūpa-ratam śabda-gandha-rasa-sprasṭavya-ratam ācitam upacitam {...} rūpa-śabda-gandha-rasa-sprasṭavyaih.
- n. 555 (p. 365,13–17: 1.a): Perhaps rather: "Because [\bar{a} layavij \bar{n} ana, as] the cause for Suffering connected with rebirth in the future, has been abandoned (* \bar{a} yati-paunarbhavika-duḥkhahetu-prahāṇāt), ...". As for the expression \bar{a} yati-paunarbhavikam duḥkham, see Y₁ dzi 329a4 (phyi ma la yang srid pa 'byung bar byed pa'i sdug bsngal) = Y_m 154b5. For Paramārtha's version of the passage, see Hidenori SAKUMA, Die Āśrayaparivṛtti-Theorie in der Yogācāra-bhūmi, Stuttgart: Franz Steiner 1990, II: 160–161 n. 851.
- n. 568: The Tibetan reads "is not the cause of the origination-and-continuance of the Path", which is also Paramārtha's understanding of the passage (不爲聖道而作根本). But cf. the opposite case, viz. that āśrayaparivṛtti is basis for the pravṛtti of the Path and the basis for the apravṛtti of the Defilements, at Yt'i 30a7-b1 (see SAKUMA, op. cit., II: 190 ff [Text VinSg 15: 2.i-ii]).
- n. 755: Read: "Apart from the quotation from the VinSg at ASBh 13,5 (see n. 630), I have so far not ...".
- n. 769: For details see my paper On the Problem of the External World in the Ch'eng wei shih lun, Tokyo: The International Institute for Buddhist Studies 2005: 29 ff.
- n. 1215: Cf. also VisM XXI.55 (from the Cullaniddesa): cakkhu suññam attena vā attaniyena vā niccena vā dhuvena vā sassatena vā avipariṇāmadhammena vā. One could take niccena vā etc. as alternative qualifications of attena and attaniyena. As for the Sanskrit version, cf. ASBh 99,15: cakṣuḥ ... śūnyam nityena yāvad ātmīyena; full wording: Catuḥśatakaṭīkā (ed. Kōshin Suzuki, Candrakīrti's Bodhisattvayogācāracatuḥśatakaṭīkā, Tokyo: The Sankibo Press 1994) 164,5 f: cakṣuḥ ... śūnyam ātmanâtmīyena ca nityena dhruveṇa śāśvate-

nâvipariṇāmadharmeṇa (cf. also Felix ERB, Śūnyatāsaptativṛtti, Stuttgart: Franz Steiner 1997: 149 n. 454).

- n. 1330 (p. 497,-3 ff): The expression dauṣṭhulya-sahagata occurs indeed, as an attribute of kāya parallel to praśrabdhi-sahagata, at ŚrBh 292,2 (cf. also Taishō daigaku sōgō bukkyō kenkyūjo nempō 25/2003: 34,11).
 - n. 1440 sub-note 1 (p. 538,2-5): Cf.also, e.g., Y 40,17-41,1 or AKBh 162,19 f.
- n. 1477 (p. 559, ad c and d): Cf. also VisM IX.54: attabhāvo vuccati sarīram, khandha-pañcakam eva vā.
 - n. 1492: For yat tarhi being expressly supplied by tat katham, cf. also AKBh 57,23.
 - p. 638: The lemma -parigrhīta is misplaced.
 - p. 695,6: Add "in this life" before "is abandoned".

Lambert SCHMITHAUSEN, born 17.11.1939 in Cologne, Germany.

1949-1958 highschool (Gymnasium) in Cologne.

1958-1963 study of Indology, Philosophy and Islamic Studies at the universities of Bonn, Cologne and Vienna.

1963 Dr. phil. at the university of Vienna.

1966 venia legendi (Habilitation) at the university of Münster.

1970 associate professor for Indology at the university of Münster.

1973-2005 chair for Indian and Buddhist studies at the university of Hamburg.

Since April 2005 professor emeritus of Hamburg University.

Currently working on a monograph on meat eating and vegetarianism in the Buddhist tradition.

Some Publications:

Mandanamiśra's Vibhramavivekah, mit einer Studie zu Entwicklung der indischen Irrtumslehre. Wien 1965.

Zur advaitischen Theorie der Objekterkenntnis. In: Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd- und Ostasiens, 12-13 (1968-1969), 329-360.

Der Nirvāṇa-Abschnitt in der Viniścayasamgrahaṇī der Yogācārabhūmiḥ, Wien 1969.

Versenkungspraxis und erlösende Erfahrung in der Śrāvakabhūmi. In: Epiphanie des Heils, ed. Gerhard Oberhammer, Wien 1982, 59-85.

Beiträge zur Schulzugehörigkeit und Textgeschichte kanonischer und postkanonischer Materialien. In: Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hīnayāna-Literatur, ed. Heinz Bechert, 2nd part, Göttingen 1987, 304-406.

Ālayavijñāna: On the Origin and Early Development of a Central Concept of Yogācāra Philosophy (2 vols.), Tokyo 1987.

The Problem of the Sentience of Plants in Earliest Buddhism, Tokyo 1991.

Buddhism and Nature. An Enlarged Version with Notes. Tokyo1991.

Maitrī and Magic: Aspects of the Buddhist Attitude Toward the Dangerous in Nature, Wien 1997.

Tier und Mensch im Buddhismus. In: Paul Münch (ed.), Tiere und Menschen — Geschichte und Aktualität eines prekären Verhältnisses, Paderborn 1998, 179-224 (with M. Maithrimurthi).

Buddhism and the Ethics of Nature — Some Remarks. In: The Eastern Buddhist (New Series) 32.2 (2000), 26-78.

Zum Problem der Gewalt im Buddhismus. In: Adel Theodor Khoury et al. (ed.), Krieg und Gewalt in den Weltreligionen, Freiburg/Basel/Wien 2003: 83-98 u. 133-138.